

PAHMI 2018 PROCEEDINGS

Faculty of Arts & Social Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur

**REVIEW REPORT -COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS AND ACTION BY AUTHOR(S)
(STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL)**

PART A:

**TITLE OF THE PAPER: Tracing the Ancient History of the North Coast of Central Java Through Archaeological Heritage Studies from Batang Districh in Central Java
Manuscrip No. 32**

REVIEWER: R. Cecep Eka Permana
Affiliation: Departemen Arkeologi FIB UI
Expertise: Arkeologi

**Date receive the Manuscript: 27 Maret 2019
Date submitted by Reviewer: 19 April 2019**

Review Cycle: (Please tick) **FIRST Review** () **SECOND Review** () **THIRD Review**

No	Review Item	Reviewer's comments/suggestions (1) - Revised by the author	Author's Action (Review 1)	Reviewer's comments/suggestions (2)	Author's Action (Review 2)	NOTES
1.	GENERAL REVIEW Title, abstract, keywords	ok		sufficient		
2.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	ok		sufficient		

3.	LITERATURE REVIEW/THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK Summary of previous investigations lead to understanding the state of current research, its relevance, and provides an overall understanding of the literature's contribution to scholarship	Perlu ditambahkan		Need to be improved		
4	METHODOLOGY	Perlu diperjelas		Need to be explained		
5	FINDINGS	ok		sufficient		
6	ANALYSIS	Perlu dipertajam		Need to be strengthen		
7	CONCLUSION	Perlu kesimpulan konkret dari penulis berdasarkan hasil penelitiannya		Need to be strengthen		
8	TECHNICAL	ok		Follow the format		
9	APA STYLE OF CITATIONS AND REFERENCE	Perlu disesuaikan		Follow the format – APA		
10.	LANGUAGE	Perlu editing Bahasa Inggris		Need to be edited by the professional editor	1.	

	grammar, diction, and sentence structure do or do not impede reading			In British English style		
11	OTHER COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS	Lihat komentar dalam teks				

PART B: RATING

(Reviewer to rate the manuscript) 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=very good, 5=excellent)

NO.	CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
1	Suitability of the title			√			3
2	Abstract sufficient and informative			√			3
3	Introduction and background			√			3
4	Literature sufficiently reviewed			√			3
5	Suitability of the theoretical framework		√				2
6	Methodology sufficiently described			√			3
7	Suitability of the Methodology			√			3
8	Data analysis supporting the findings			√			3
9	Appropriateness of presentation of findings			√			3
10	Conclusion			√			3
11	Scientific and academic soundness			√			3
12	Language skill (grammar, diction, and sentence structure)			?			?
OVERALL MERIT							32

PART C: RECOMMENDATION

(Reviewer to give recommendation on publication of the manuscript)

Please tick (✓)

Acceptable with minor revisions		Acceptable with some revisions	✓	Considerable after recommended revisions		Reject (Major Problem)	
--	--	---	---	---	--	---------------------------------------	--

Reviewer's Signature (full name to replace the signature):