Characterization of Red Beans Yogurt (Phaseolus vulgaris L) with addition of dates (Phoenix dactylifera)

by Astrilia Damayanti

Submission date: 23-Aug-2023 02:47AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2149584420

File name: eolus_vulgaris_L_with_addition_of_dates_Phoenix_dactylifera.pdf (692.87K)

Word count: 4533

Character count: 20510

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

Characterization of Red Beans Yogurt (Phaseolus vulgaris L) with addition of dates (Phoenix dactylifera)

To cite this article: Astrilia Damayanti et al 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1203 012004

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

12 - An investigation of elastic moduli of 12 Edium-chromium alloys JT Lenkkeri and E E Lahteenkorva

 Viability of ram's X-Y sperm after sexing with bovine serume albumin at different incubation time Nurcholidah Solihati, Siti Darodjah Rasad, Kikin Winangun et al.

Synthesis of silicon carbide nanowhiskers by microwave heating: effect of heating duration

S M Kahar, C H Voon, C C Lee et al.



Characterization of Red Beans Yogurt (Phaseolus vulgaris L) with addition of dates (Phoenix dactylifera)

Astrilia Damayanti, Radenrara Dewi Artanti Putri, Tobias Samuel Salim, Hannah Arya Sriwijaya and Dheandles Duta Agung Bajuri

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang, Indonesia

astrilia.damayanti@mail.unnes.ac.id

Abstract. Yogurt is one of the probiotic products that is commonly consumed and widely developed across the world. This experiment aims to determine the effects of red beans, dates, and starter culture addition on a certain ratio of full cream milk powder and characterized by Indonesian National Standard also known as SNI number 2981 :2009. This experiment was conducted with the ratio of red beans to dates (v/v) were 40:60, 50:50, and 60:50 and the starter cultures (%v/v) were 5, 15, and 25. This experiment method consisted of making red beans juice, dates juice and fermentation. The results of the experiment showed that the protein content (%) of the ratio of red beans to dates (v/v) and starter cultures (%v/v) were 40:60 and 5, 50:50 and 5,60:40 and 15, 50:50 and 15, 60:40 and 15, 50:50 and 25 were 3.455, 3.63, 3.335, 2.805, 2.965, 2.915, 2.305, 3.10, and 3.15. While the fat content (%) were 2.22, 2.015, 1.55, 1.58, 1.515, 1.28, 1.27, 2.20, and 1.655; and the ash content (%) were 0.795, 0.88, 0.855, 0.835, 0.855, 0.91, 0.78, 0.82, and 0.89. The protein content that was not in accordance with the SNI was only found in yogurt with a ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) and starter cultures of 5 (%v/v). Yogurt made from red beans, dates, and addition of full cream milk powder has the potential to become a healthy drink with a new taste.

1. Introduction

Yogurt is a probiotic drink made from fermented milk and is quite popular in the world by involving lactic acid bacteria [1] so that it is beneficial for health and patients in it [2]. Lactic acid bacteria is a type of probiotic bacteria [3] which breaks down lactose in milk into lactic acid which can lower the pH (acid) so that pathogenic bacteria cannot grow in yogurt [4]. In addition, the breakdown of protein in milk or as proteolysis is the role of lactose in order to produce peptides and amin o acids that contributes to bacterial growth and metabolic growth so as to create the taste of fermented products [5].

Some microorganisms, such as lactic acid bacteria, can multiply well in milk because of the lactose content which is used as a carbon source and fuel for the growth of microorganisms [6]. Lactose is the main source of carbohydrates and sugars that provides energy value in cow's milk [7]. Apart from being in liquid form, lactose is also found in full cream milk powder [8], which also helps the fermentation process due to lactose being the source of carbohydrates [9], and hydrolyzed by the enzyme β -galactosidase to produce galactose and glucose monosaccharides in order to lower the pH and create a sour taste of yogurt [10].

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

Vegetative products, such as fruits, vegetables, and beans are ideal substrates for the growth of probiotic bacteria [11] that includes red beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) [12,13] dates (Phoenix dactylifera) [14,15] and Terminalia catappa Linn seed [16]. Peanuts production in Indonesia, including red beans, reaches 613,365 tons/year and the average annual increase is 1% [17]. Vegetative products not only could be used as an alternative raw material for making yogurt, but also, like dates, could be used as an alternative for sweeteners [18] and nutrition [19].

Research on yogurt from plant-based with the addition of full cream milk is coconut [20], Mangosteen [21] cinnamon [22], soya bean [23], black soybean [24]. So that the quality of yogurt is guaranteed and suitable for consumption, it must be in accordance to the Indonesian National Standard (SNI) number 2981:2009. This study aims to determine the effects of adding red beans and dates with full cream milk on yogurt production and finding out the proximate according to SNI 2009.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Dried red beans, Deglet Noor dates (Palm'Fruit), starter culture (Biokum and full cream milk powder (Dancow) were obtained from local market (Indonesia). Chig oform (p.a, Sigma Aldrich), methanol (p.a, Sigma Aldrich), Selenium (Sigma Aldrich), H2SO4 (p.a, Sigma Aldrich), NaOH (p.a, Sigma Aldrich), H3BO3 (p.a, Sigma Aldrich), HCl (p.a, Sigma Aldrich).

2.2. Making red beans juice

Five hundred g of dried red beans were washed and soaked for ±12 hours in 1 L water, then boiled for ½ hour. Red beans and water are blended in a ratio of 1:2 (v/v) and filtered [25]

2.3. Making dates juice.

Three hundred g of dates flesh and water are blended at a ratio of 1:3 (w/v) and filtered [26].

2.4. Making yogurt from red beans and dates.

The method is modified from [25] where there are 2 variables, starter cultures (5%, 15%, 25% v/v) and red beans:dates ratio (v/v) (40:60, 50:50, 60:40) which resulted in 9 sample variants as presented in

Table 1. Variable variation of vogurt

Table 1. Variable variation of yogurt		
Starter Culture (v/v)	Red beans: Dates Ratio (v/v)	
5%	40:60	
	50:50	
	60: 40	
15%	40:60	
	50:50	
	60: 40	
25%	40:60	
	50:50	
	60: 40	

Red beans:dates solution are divided into 3 part, 40:60, 50:50, and 60:40, then 6% (b/v) of full cream milk powder was added to each part and stirred until homogenous. The solution was pasteurized at 80°C and allowed to stand until 40°C then added 5%, 15%, 25% (v/v) starter cultures to each solution. The solution was fermented for 8 hours at room temperature. Yogurt was then stored in the refrigerator for 18 days before characterization (fat, protein, and ash content).

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

2.5. Determination of protein content.

Protein level determination was carried out using the Kjeldahl method [27]. Two grams of the sample were put into a Kjeldahl tube, then 1 gram of selenium and 2 mL H2SO4 were added, then the KjelDigester was pre-heated until the temperature reached 420oC [28]. The Kjeldahl tube containing the sample was attached to the KjelDigester and scrubber unit, then the digestion was turned on at 420oC for 1 hour. After that, the tube rack was removed and left to reach room temperature. Fifty mL of distilled water and 10 mL of 40% (w/v) NaOH were added to the Kjeldahl tube containing the sample from the destruction in the distillation unit. The distillate was accommodated in 2% (w/v) H3BO3 then titrated with HCl solution (0.01400 mol/L). The end point of the titration was determined with a potentiometer (ZDJ-4B). Protein content (%) was calculated according to equation (1)

$$Protein \ content \ (\%) = \frac{(V_p - V_b)_x N x 1.4007 x F k}{Weight \ of \ sample \ (g)}$$
 (1)

Pescription:

Vp = HCl volume required for sample titration (mL)

Vb = HCl volume required for blank titration (mL)

N = Normality of HCL solution

Fk = Protein conversion factor (6.25)

2.6. Determination of fat content.

Determination of fat content was carried 22 put gravimetrically based on a modified method Folch, Lees and Sloane-Stanley [29]. Mix 11 ml chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) with 2 mL of sample. Thoroughly homogenized the mixture and centrifuged at 1509 g for 10 min. The clear homogenate was transferred to a separating funnel. Subsequently, 7.8 mL of water was mixed with the homogenate and allowed to stand until phase separation was observed. The proportion of water to homogenate was 2:10 (v/v) to ensure that no interfacial fluff was formed in the biphasic system obtained. The lipid layer (lower layer) was collected. The aqueous layer (top layer) was rinsed with chloroform/methanol mixture (2:1, v/v) and was allowed to stand for ±15 min [30,31] until phase separation. The ratio between the aqueous layer and the rinsing solvent was around 1:1 (v/v) to prevent interfacial fluff. The lipid layer was collected and combined with the previous collection. The combined lipid fraction was then evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator (KNF RC600) and dried to constant weight under vacuum and the lipid content determined gravimetrically. Fat content is calculated according to equation (2)

Eat content (%) =
$$\frac{(C-A)}{B} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

Description:

A = Weight of empty flask (g)

B = Weight of sample (g)

C = Fixed weight of flask + sample after heating (g)

2.7. Determination of ash content.

h content was obtained using gravimetric test method [32]. Five gram of sample was heated in a muffle furnace a system perature of 100 °C for 1 hour then the temperature was raised to 200 °C for 2 hours and finally the temperature was raised to 550 °C for 5 hours. The sample was cooled in a desiccator and then weighed until it got a constant weight. Ash content (%) was calculated according to equation (3)

$$4sh content (\%) = \frac{(C-A)}{B} \times 100\%$$
 (3)

Description:

A = Weight of empty cup (g)

B = Weight of sample (g)

C = Fixed weight of cup + sample after heating (g)

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

3. Results and Discussion

Proximate testing was carried out to determine the protein content, fat content, and ash content in red beans yogurt with the addition of dates.

3.1. Effect of the Ratio of Red Beans, Dates, and Starter Culture on Protein Content

The effect of the ratio of red beans and dates as well as starter culture on protein content is presented in Figure 1. The protein content (%) in the ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with starter cultures (%v/v) as much as 5, 15, and 25, respectively, were 3,455, 3.63, and 3,335%. While the protein content (%) with the ratio of red beans to dates 50:50 (v/v) with starter cultures (%v/v) of 5, 15, and 25 were 2.805, 2.965, and 2.915%. Protein content (%) with the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) and starter cultures (%v/v) of 5, 15, and 25 were 2.305, 3.10, and 3.15%.




Figure 1. Yogurt protein content of various red beans:dates ratios in starter cultures

The increase in protein content was suspected to be caused by the amount of nutrients contained in dates as an energy source for the growth of starter cultures and red beans which provided vitamins, minerals, and growth factors for starter cultures [33–35]. The ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with a starter culture of 15% (v/v) had the highest protein content because we suspected it was due to having the highest content of dates so that the nutrients for the growth of the starter cultures were also the highest and the number of starter cultures were neither exceeded nor insufficient than the amount of nutrients available [36]. Meanwhile, the protein content in the ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with 5% (v/v) and 25% (v/v) starter cultures was lower than 15% (v/v) starter cultures, it was suspected that the available amount of nutrients either exceeded or were insufficient in the amount needed to stimulate the growth of starter cultures as much as 5% (v/v) or 25% (v/v) [37]. The same reason applied to the 50:50 (v/v) ratio of red beans to dates. Unfortunately, the protein contents for that ratio and content of the starter cultures were lower than the 40.60 (v/v) ratio variable. We suspected this was due to fewer dates were added so that the amount of energy source for the growth of starter cultures was also reduced even though there was an addition of red beans so that the protein content for that variable was not reduced too much [38,39]. Protein content in the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) was between the ratio of 40:60 (v/v) and 50:50 (v/v). This was suspected that although there was a reduction in dates, the addition of red beans caused the protein content of a ratio of 60:40 (v/v) with starter culture levels of 5% (v/v), 15% (v/v), and 25% (v/v) had no significant decrease [12]. Variable ratio of 60:40 (v/v) and 5% (v/v) starter culture content had a protein content that was not in accordance with SNI. This was suspected that it was due to the dates juice contained in these variables was very little to provide nutrients as an energy source for the growth of starter cultures when compared to other variables [40] and the number of starter culture contained in the yogurt were only 5% (v/v) so that there were not enough starter cultures to increase the protein content for that variable to reach the SNI [41]. The comparison of yogurt protein content in this study with SNI is presented in Table 2.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

Table 2. Comparison between	experimental protein	on yogurt and SNI
------------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------

		Yogurt Protein Content (%)	
Red Beans : Dates	Starter Culture		
Ratio (v/v)	(% v/v)	Average	SNI
		Experimental Results	
	5		
40:60	15	3.63	
	25	3.335	
	5	2.805	Min 2.7
50:50	15	2.965	
	25	2.915	
	5	2.305	
60:40	15	3.1	
	25	3.15	

3.2. Effect of the Ratio of Red Beans, Dates, And Starter Culture On Fat Content

The results of the determination of fat content are shown in Figure 2 where the ratio between red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with a starter culture of 5% (v/v) has a fat content of 2.220%, then it became 2.015% when the starter cultures were 15% (v/v) and decreased to 1.550% when the starter cultures were 25% (v/v). This also occurred for the ratio of 50:50 (v/v) and the amount of the starter cultures as much as 5, 15 and 25% (v/v) where there was a decreased in fat content of 1.58, 1.51 and 1.28%.




Figure 2. Yogurt fat content on various red beans:dates ratios in starter culture

According to [42], it was suspected the decrease in fat content was caused by the lipolysis reaction by the starter culture so that the fat content decreased along with the increase of starter cultures contained in these variables however for the ratio of red beans:dates 60:40 (v/v) with starter cultures of 5, 15 and 25% (v/v) resulted in a fat content of 1.27, 2.20, 1.65%. According to [42], it was suspected that the decrease in fat content was caused by the lipolysis reaction by the starter cultures affecting the decrease in the fat content along with the increase of the starter cultures contained in the variables. However, for the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) with the starter cultures of 5, 15 and 25% (v/v) resulted in a fat content of 1.27, 2.20, 1.65% which was suspected it was due to the amount of red beans added for that ratio [43], but since there was date palm juice in the yogurt, the fat content for each variable at the

ratio of 60:04 had a fat content that was not constant [44]. The comparison of fat content in this study with SNI is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison between experimental fat content on yogurt and SNI

•	•	Yogurt Fat Content (%)	
Red Beans : Dates Ratio (v/v)	Starter Culture (% v/v)	Average Experimental Results	SNI
40:60	5 15	2.22 2.015	
	25 5	1 .55 1 .58	0.6 - 2.9
50:50	15 25	1.515 1.28	
60:40	5 15	1.27 2.2	
	25	1.655	

3.3. Effect of the Ratio of Red Beans, Dates, And Starter Culture on Ash Content

The effect of the ratio of red beans and dates and starter culture on ash content is presented in Figure 3. The ash content (%) with the ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) and starter cultures of 5, 15, and 25 (% v/v) were 0.795, 0.88, and 0.855%. Meanwhile, the ash content (%) with the ratio of 50:50 (v/v) red beans to dates and 5, 15, and 25 (% v/v) amount of the starter cultures were 0.835, 0.855, and 0.91%. Meanwhile, the ash content (%) with the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) and starter cultures of 5, 15, and 25 (% v/v) were 0.78, 0.82, and 0.89%.




Figure 3. Yogurt ash content of various red beans:dates ratios in starter cultures

The ash content in yogurt was suspected to be caused by the metabolic activity of the starter cultures during fermentation which was influenced by the amount of energy contained in the dates juice and red beans in order to stimulate the growth of the starter culture [37,45,46]. The highest ash content for ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) was found in starter culture content of 15% (v/v) which was suspected

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

to be caused by a lot of metabolic activities in the starter cultures due to the large amount of dates juice in the yogurt and the amount of starter cultures that was not less nor exceeds the amount of energy contained in the dates juice [47]. While the ash content in the ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with starter cultures as much as 5% (v/v) and 25% (v/v) was lower than that of a starter culture of 15% (v/v) because it was suspected that 5% (v/v) amount of starter cultures were a little amount of starter cultures to carry out metabolic activity even though there was a large amount of energy, and there was not enough of energy available to help stimulate the metabolic activity of 25% (v/v) starter culture [37]. The ash content in the ratio of red beans to dates 50:50 (v/v) increased along with the addition of starter culture content, the same goes for the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v), and 25% (v/v) starter cultures had the highest ash content because it was suspected that there was a very high metabolic activity of starter cultures [36]. There was a decrease in ash content in the ratio of red beans to dates 60:40 (v/v) compared to the ratio of red beans to dates 50:50 (v/v) which was suspected due to a reduction in the amount of dates juice so that the amount of energy was also reduced [34]. However, the amount of decrease in this ratio was not too far from the previous ratio because it was suspected to be influenced by the content of red beans juice which provided growth factors for starter cultures to carry out metabolic activities [35].

	Yogurt Ash Co		ntent (%)
Red Beans : Dates	Starter Culture		
Ratio (v/v)	(% v/v)	Average	SNI
		Experimental Results	
	5	0.795	

Red Beans : Dates	Starter Culture		
Ratio (v/v)	(% v/v)	Average Experimental Results	SNI
	5	0.795	
40:60	15	0.88	
	25	0.855	
	5	0.835	Max 1
50:50	15	0.855	
	25	0.91	
	5	0.78	
60:40	15	0.82	
	25	0.89	

4. Conclusion

Yogurt made from red beans and dates produced yogurt in accordance with SNI 2981:2009 with protein content ranging from 2.305-3.63%, fat content 1,27-2,22% and ash content 0.78-0.91%. Yogurt with the highest protein content of 3.63% was found in the ratio of red beans to dates 40:60 (v/v) with a starter culture content of 15% (v/v), yogurt with the highest fat content of 2.22% was found in the ratio of red beans to dates as much as 40:60 (v/v) with a starter cultures content of 5% (v/v) and yogurt with the highest ash content was found in the ratio of red beans to dates 50:50 (v/v) with a starter culture content of 25% (v/v).

References

- García C, Rendueles M and Díaz M 2019 Food Res. Int. 119 207-20 [1]
- haolu TJ and Reale A 2020 Microorganisms 8 1-24 [2]
- [3] Evivie SE, Huo GC, Igene JO and Bian X 2017 Food Nutr. Res. 61
- Mani A 2018 Int. J. Acad. Res. Dev. 1 51-7
- Ding Y, Ban Q, Wu Y, Sun Y, Zhou Z, Wang Q, Cheng J and Xiao H 2021 Crit. Rev. Food. Sci.
- Choudhury P, Bhunia B and Bandyopadhyaya TK 2021 J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. 21 129-42
- Costa A, Lopez-Villalobos N, Sneddon NW, Shalloo L, Franzoi M, De Marchi M and Penasa M 2019 J. Dairy Sci. 102 5883-98

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

- Magan JB, Tobin JT, O'Callaghan TF, Kelly AL, Fenelon MA, Hennessy D and McCarthy NA [8] 26 2019 J. Dairy Sci. 102 9611–21
- Zenebe T, Ahmed N, Kabeta T and Kebede G 2014 Acad. J. Nutr.
- [10] Gholamhosseinpour A and Hashemi SMB 2019 J. Food Process Eng. 42 1-9
- [11] Rasudha S and Mishra HN 2013 Int. Food Res. J. 20 7-15
- [12] Jayamanohar J, Devi PB, Kavitake D, Priyadarisini VB and Shetty PH 2019 LWT 101 703-10
- nonmani D, Bhol S and Bosco SJD 2014 OALib 011-6 [13]
- purchala F, Ouazouaz M, Mihoub F and Henchiri C 2015 J. Chem. Pharm. Reg 7 511-8 [14]
- [15] Kandylis P, Pissaridi K, Bekatorou A, Kanellaki M and Koutinas AA 2016 Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 7 58-63
- [16] Damayanti A, Bahlawan ZAS, Adeline P, Salwa DF and Nurjaya N 2022 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1105 012033
- bekti N, Fanidya A, Priyono B and Kartika T 2020 J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1567 2-7
- [18] ya OB, Amar LB, Zarroud B and Hammami H 2016 Sugar Tech 19 337-40
- ia MA, Mosaib MG, Khalil MI, Islam MA and Gan SH 2020 Foods 9 1-21 [19]
- [20] Akeem SA, Yerumoh O, Leigh O, Bamgbala K, Okeke G, Sokunbi F and Olayiwola I 2018 Croat. J. Food Sci. Technol. 10 226-33
- Shori AB, Rashid F and Baba 24S 2018 LWT 94 33-9
- [22] Shori AB and Baba AS 2012 J. Assoc. Arab Univ. Basic Appl. Sci. 11 50-5
- AB 2013 J. Taibah Univ. Sci. 7 202-8 [23]
- [24] M, Ren L, Wu Y, Wang Y and Liu Y 2013 LWT 51 314-8
- Kumalaningsih S, Hindun Pulungan M and Raisyah R 2016 Ind. J. Teknol. dan Manaj. [25] 32 Agroindustri
- El-Sharnouby GA, Aleid SM and Al-Otaibi MM 2014 J. Food Process. Technol. 5 1-5 [26]
- te VD, Gouvêa MM, Marques FF and Netto ADP 2013 Food Chem. 141 362055 [27]
- Saez-Plaza P, Michałowski T, Navas MJ, Asuero AG and Wybraniec S 2013 Crit. Rev. Anal. 20 Chem. 43 178-223
- [29] Du J, Gay MCL, Lai CT, Trengove RD, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT 2017 Food Chem. 217 505-
- [30] Román-Carrasco P, Lieder B, Somoza V, Ponce M, Szépfalusi Z, Martin D, Hemmer W and Swoboda I 2019 Eur. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 74 1956–68
- Olufemi B, Sulaimon S and Arikawe 2020 El-Cezeri J. Sci. Eng. 7 1529-41
- Matter A, Mahmoud AM and Zidan NS 2016 Int. J. Environ. Agric. Res. 2 57-66
- [33] Winarsi H, Agustia FC, Ramadhan GR, Zaki I, Putri WAK, Sulistyaning AR, Farida F and Sari
- HP Food Res. 5 136–43
 [34] Mohamed HI, El-Beltagi HS, Jain SM and Al-Khayri JM 2021 Phytomedicine A Treasure of Pharmacologically Active Products from Plants ed Bhat RA, Hakeem KR and Dervash MA (London: Academic Press) chapter 18 pp 483-531
- 17 (London: Academic Fless) Chapter 18 pp 463–331 18 jami T, Kusuma EN, Satiti R, Rahayu ES and Cahyanto MN 2019 *Int. Food Res. J.* 26 117-22
- wfek MA, Baker EA and El-Sayed HA 2021 Food Nutr. Sci. 12 418–28 [36]
- ad AA, Gad El-Rab DA, Ibrahim SA and Williams LL 2020 Fermentation 6 1-10 [37]
- Almusallam IA, Mohamed Ahmed IA, Babiker EE, Al-Juhaimi FY, Saleh A, Qasem AA, Maiman SA, Osman MA, Ghafoor K, et al. 2021 LWT 148
- Sáez GD, Hébers M, Saavedra L and Zárate G 2017 Food Res. Int. 102 605-15
- [40] Aleid SM 2011 Date palm biotechnology ed Jain SM, Al-Khayri JM, Johnson DV (Springer) pp 675-709
- ы vakoli M, Habibi Najafi MB and Mohebbi M 2019 Heliyon 5 1-17
- Chen JM, Al KF, Craven LJ, Seney S, Coons M, McCormick H, Reid G, O'Connor C and Burton JP 2020 Nutrients 12 648
- [43] Setiyoningrum F, Priadi G and Afiati F 2017 AIP Conf Proc vol. 1803 (AIP Publishing LLC) pp 020040-1-8

C-2022 IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1203 (2023) 012004

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1203/1/012004

- [44] Nwanekezi EC, Ekwe CC aand Agbugba RU 2015 J. Food Process Technol. 6 484
- [45] kinde FM and Akinoso R 2014 Acta Sci Pol Technol Alime 13 309–19
- [46] Pahane MM, Tatsadjieu LN, Bernard C and Njintang NY 2017 J. Food Meas. Charact. 11 1613–22
- [47] Alhamdan AM, al Juhaimi FY, Hassan BH, Ehmed KA and Mohamed Ahmed IA 2021 *Foods* 10 3157

Characterization of Red Beans Yogurt (Phaseolus vulgaris L) with addition of dates (Phoenix dactylifera)

ORIGINAI	LITY REPORT			
SIMILA	6% RITY INDEX	13% INTERNET SOURCES	13% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES			
1	research	repository.mur	doch.edu.au	2%
2	coek.info			1 %
3	Hanoi No	ational Universi	ty of Educatior	1 %
4	WWW.res	searchgate.net		1 %
5	link.sprir	nger.com ^e		1 %
6	www.atl	antis-press.com		1 %
7	jurnal.ug			1 %
8	Sumari, Indah Ni	antoso, Asri Mul Rini Retnosari, A ur Pramesti, Mu cracking of off	Adilah Aliyatuln Ihammad Roy	nuna, Asrori. "

to biogasoline using Co-Mo/α-Fe O catalyst ", Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 2023

Publication

- Marina Mefleh, Antonella Pasqualone, 9 Francesco Caponio, Michele Faccia. "Legumes as basic ingredient in the production of Cheese Alternatives: A Review ", Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2021 **Publication**
- <1%

Rahul Mehra, Harish Kumar, Shafiya Rafiq, 10 Naveen Kumar et al. "Enhancing yogurt products' ingredients: preservation strategies, processing conditions, analytical detection methods, and therapeutic delivery—an overview", PeerJ, 2022

<1%


Publication

wochem.univie.ac.at Internet Source

<1%

N. V. Skripnyak, F. Tasnádi, S. I. Simak, A. V. 12 Ponomareva, J. Löfstrand, P. Berastegui, U. Jansson, I. A. Abrikosov. "Achieving low elastic moduli of bcc Ti-V alloys in vicinity of mechanical instability", AIP Advances, 2020 Publication

assets.researchsquare.com Internet Source



21	www.sid.ir Internet Source	<1%
22	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet Source	<1%
23	Tatiane Aparecida Gomes, Éliton Fontana, Acácio Antonio Ferreira Zielinski, Alessandro Nogueira, Michele Rigon Spier. "Optimizing the growth-associated β-galactosidase production by probiotic <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> B-14171: experimental design, culture medium volume increase, and cell growth modeling", Scientia Plena, 2021 Publication	<1%
24	cyberleninka.org Internet Source	<1%
25	dergipark.org.tr Internet Source	<1%
26	jap.ut.ac.ir Internet Source	<1%
27	vdocuments.mx Internet Source	<1%
28	www.wjgnet.com Internet Source	<1%
29	biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id Internet Source	<1%

30	medcraveonline.com Internet Source	<1%
31	www.agrifoodscience.com Internet Source	<1%
32	www.rroij.com Internet Source	<1%
33	"Bioactive Molecules in Food", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019	<1%
34	Cristina García, Giuliana Ranieri, Manuel Rendueles, Mario Díaz. "Exploring encapsulation strategies as a protective mechanism to avoid amensalism in mixed populations of Pseudomonas taetrolens and Lactobacillus casei", Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, 2019 Publication	<1%
35	Joseph Kadanthottu Sebastian, Praveen Nagella, Epsita Mukherjee, Vijayalaxmi S. Dandin et al. "Chapter 43-1 Date Palm: Genomic Designing for Improved Nutritional Quality", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023 Publication	<1%
36	Xiaohui Tang, Jingjing Chen, Bo Jiang, Qianqian Zhu, Ran Zhang. "Effects of Lactiplantibacillus	<1%

plantarum fermentation on hydrolysis and

immunoreactivity of Siberian apricot (Prunus sibirica L.) kernel", Food Bioscience, 2023

Publication

37	journal.upgris.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
38	laser.scnu.edu.cn Internet Source	<1%
39	www.scielo.br Internet Source	<1%
40	Nan Di, Yang Liu, Donald J. Mead, Yuqi Xie, Liming Jia, Benye Xi. "Root-system characteristics of plantation-grown Populus tomentosa adapted to seasonal fluctuation in the groundwater table", Trees, 2017 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes Off
Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches

Off