

**KRONOLOGI KORESPONDENSI PUBLIKASI ARTIKEL PADA JURNAL INTERNASIONAL
BEREPUTASI INTERNASIONAL DAN BERDAMPAK FAKTOR**

Judul Artikel : How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy Towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters
 Jurnal : Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies
 Volume : 4
 Nomor : 2
 Tahun : 2019
 Halaman : 259-276
 Penerbit : Universitas Negeri Semarang
 SJR Jurnal : 0.224
 Quartile : Q2
 Nama Penulis : Rodiyah, Batari Laskarwati, Ali Masyhar, Waspiyah

KRONOLOGI KORESPONDENSI

No	Tanggal	Aktivitas
1	26 September 2019	Penulis <i>submit</i> artikel ke jurnal melalui sistem OJS
2	26 September 2019	Submission Acknowledgment dari Editor
3	1 Oktober 2019	Pemberitahuan dari Editor mengenai hasil review tahap pertama
4	10 Oktober 2019	Penulis mengirimkan revisi tahap pertama sesuai dengan saran-saran reviewer
5	11 Oktober 2019	Informasi oleh Editor bahwa naskah dikirimkan ke Reviewer untuk ditinjau oleh Reviewer ahli
6	16 Oktober 2019	Editor mengirimkan hasil catatan review tahap kedua kepada penulis
7	20 Oktober 2019	Penulis mengirimkan hasil revisi tahap kedua
8	20 Oktober 2019	Editor mengomentari revisi yang dilakukan penulis
9	23 Oktober 2019	Penulis menginformasikan editor bahwa revisi telah dikirimkan
10	25 Oktober 2019	Informasi kepada penulis bahwa naskah masuk ke tahap Copyediting
11	30 Oktober 2019	Informasi kepada penulis bahwa naskah masuk ke tahap Production
12	1 November 2019	Artikel diterbitkan (<i>published</i>)

Bukti Indexing Jurnal



[Search](#) [Lists](#) [Sources](#) [SciVal](#)



[Create account](#)

[Sign in](#)

Source details

[Feedback](#) [Compare sources](#)

Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies

Open Access

Scopus coverage years: from 2016 to 2022

Publisher: Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES)

ISSN: 2548-1584 E-ISSN: 2548-1592

Subject area: [Social Sciences: Law](#)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

CiteScore 2022

0.8



SJR 2022

0.224



SNIP 2022

0.866



[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2022 counts the citations received in 2019-2022 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2019-2022, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2019-2022. [Learn more](#)

CiteScore 2022

0.8 = $\frac{51 \text{ Citations 2019 - 2022}}{65 \text{ Documents 2019 - 2022}}$

Calculated on 05 May, 2023

CiteScoreTracker 2023

0.8 = $\frac{39 \text{ Citations to date}}{48 \text{ Documents to date}}$

Last updated on 05 May, 2023 - Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2022



[View CiteScore methodology](#) [CiteScore FAQ](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)
[Content coverage](#)
[Scopus blog](#)
[Scopus API](#)
[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)
[查看简体中文版本](#)
[查看繁體中文版本](#)
[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)
[Tutorials](#)
[Contact us](#)

Bukti Quartile Jurnal Scimago Journal Ranking


Ads by Google

[Send feedback](#) [Why this ad?](#)

Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies

COUNTRY

Indonesia




SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Social Sciences
Law

PUBLISHER

Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES)



H-INDEX

3

PUBLICATION TYPE


Journals







ISSN

25481592, 25481584

COVERAGE

2016-2022





Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies

Q2 Law

SJR 2022 0.22

powered by scimago.com

Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

`https://www.scimago.com`

1. SUBMISSION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

From: "Dani Muhtada" dmuhtada@mail.unnes.ac.id
To: "Rodiyah Rodiyah" rodiyahtangwun@gmail.com

Subject: [JILS] Submission Acknowledgement

Rodiyah Rodiyah:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters" to JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript

URL: <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jils/authorDashboard/submission/34343>

Username: [rodiyahtangwun](#)

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Dani Muhtada

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies) is peer-reviewed journal published biannually on May and November by Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia. Email: jils@mail.unnes.ac.id

[JILS \(Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies\)](#)

2. SUBMISSION ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO ALL AUTHORS

From: "Dani Muhtada" dmuhtada@mail.unnes.ac.id
To: "Batari Laskarwati" batarilaskarwati@gmail.com; "Ali Masyhar"
ali_masyhar@mail.unnes.ac.id; "Waspiah Waspiah" waspiah@mail.unnes.ac.id

Subject: [JILS] Submission Acknowledgement

Hello,

Rodiyah Rodiyah has submitted the manuscript, "How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters" to JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies).

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Dani Muhtada

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies) is peer-reviewed journal published biannually on May and November by Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia. Email: jils@mail.unnes.ac.id

[JILS \(Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies\)](#)

How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters

Rodiyah, Batari Laskarwati
Faculty of Law, Semarang State University
Gedung K Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia 50229
Email: rodiyah@mail.unnes.ac.id, batarilaskarwati@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The university has become an important place in the cultivation of character for generations in many countries. Many universities in the world also apply a variety of systems in making policies to shape the character of campus communities, including students. This study aims to analyze legal and ethical policies for students in fostering an attitude of nationalism and anti-radicalism. This study also aims to describe the various forms of implementation of student ethics policies that are applied in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University, Indonesia. This study uses a qualitative method with direct data collection through observation and interviews. This study bases its analysis on the theory of the operation of Lawrence M Friedman's law. The results of this study indicate that legal and ethical policies for students are interpreted by a variety of different interpretations both between managers and students. Various cases of inequality of perception lead to pros and cons. However, this research proves that the implementation of legal ethics and student character policies have been regulated in various rules, both at the national level (ministerial regulation), university level (rector regulation), and faculty level (dean regulation) all of which emphasize on forming attitudes nationalism and anti-radicalism.

Universitas menjadi salah satu tempat yang penting dalam penanaman karakter bagi generasi di banyak negara. Banyak universitas di dunia pun menerapkan sistem yang beragam dalam mengambil kebijakan pembentukan karakter masyarakat kampus, termasuk mahasiswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dalam menumbuhkan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme. Penelitian ini juga bertujuan untuk menggambarkan berbagai bentuk implementasi kebijakan etika mahasiswa yang diterapkan di lingkungan Fakultas Hukum Universitas Negeri Semarang Indonesia. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif dengan pengambilan data secara langsung melalui observasi dan wawancara. Penelitian ini mendasarkan analisis pada teori bekerjanya hukum Lawrence M Friedman. Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dimaknai dengan berbagai tafsiran yang berbeda baik itu antara pengelola maupun mahasiswa. Berbagai kasus ketidaksamaan persepsi menimbulkan pro dan kontra. Namun demikian, penelitian ini membuktikan bahwa implementasi etika hukum dan kebijakan karakter mahasiswa telah diatur dalam berbagai aturan, baik itu pada tingkat nasional (peraturan menteri), tingkat universitas (peraturan rector), maupun tingkat fakultas (peraturan dekan) yang semuanya menekankan pada pembentukan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme.

Keywords: legal ethics, policy, university, student character

INTRODUCTION

Semarang State University (UNNES) is one of the State Universities in the city of Semarang. In 2018, Semarang State University has a vision of becoming a conservation-oriented and internationally reputable University. In 2018, Semarang State University has 8 Faculties, including: Faculty of Education, Faculty of Language and Arts, Faculty of Social Sciences, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Faculty of Law.

In 2019 Semarang State University has 8 Faculties and Postgraduate Programs with a significant number of students. The total number of Semarang State University students in 2019 was 29,087 students (source: data.unnes.ac.id).

Table 1
Data Active Student of UNNES in 2019
January

No.	Program	Male student	Female Student	Number of Students
	Undergraduate Program (S1)			
1	Faculty of Education	889	3,288	4,177
2	Faculty of Language and Art	1,399	3,060	4,459
3	Faculty of Social Sciences	1,018	1,626	2,644
4	Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences	839	2,636	3,475
5	Faculty of Engineering	1,769	1,286	3,055
6	Faculty of Sports Science	1,823	1,240	3,063
7	Faculty of Economics	964	2,703	3,667
8	Faculty of Law	691	785	1,476
9	Graduate Program (S2)	1,341	1,730	3,071
	TOTAL	10,333	18,354	29,087

The 1945 Constitution in its opening mandate has mandated that, one of the goals of the nationhood of Indonesia is to educate the life of the nation, in this case the University has an important role in educating the generation of the Indonesian nation. Through education in Higher Education, which in this case is a place where humans are given education to be able to humanize humans. Specifically for UNNES students, students must be able to condition their behavior in the local community of Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo. The local community around UNNES is often referred to as the SEPASUKA community (Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo).

Students as *agents of change* must have basic provisions before entering the community, one of which is to be ethical in the campus environment. Student ethics can be seen in students living on campus. The students themselves in ethics also need a guide in attitude or ethics. In this case, UNNES has a Chancellor Regulation Number 19 of 2016 concerning the Ethics and Code of Conduct of UNNES Students and then there is a regulation governing the same thing, Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018 which was ratified in December 2019. The Rector's Regulation is useful to support the Atmosphere Academic in campus life.

Researchers found several phenomena carried out by Law Faculty students around student behavior on campus. One of the phenomena encountered by researchers is the large number of students who commit violations of smoking in public places such as in parking lots, at the Student Activity Center (PKM), Mosque, and other places that should be sterile from

cigarette smoke. Another thing that is found in research is an offense in terms of dress, ranging from students who wore t-shirts and some clothing disrespectful. In addition, the shoes worn by students did not match the appeal in the Faculty of Law. Other violations committed by students of the Faculty of Law are cheating, this practice is still practiced by students. Another phenomenon encountered by researchers is parking habits that are not in accordance with the rules set. The parking area at Semarang State University has been arranged in such a way, but in reality many students are parking anywhere and outside the designated parking area. The phenomenon of violations committed by these students is contrary to the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Order of Semarang State University students. This study will discuss the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University which includes Normative, Sociological and Empirical Urgency. In addition, researchers will also discuss the Obstacles to the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research method used in this study is to use qualitative approaches. A qualitative approach is a method of analyzing research results that produces descriptive analysis data, that is data stated by respondents in writing or verbally as well as real behavior, which is thoroughly researched and studied (Fajar Mukti, 2010; 192) . This type of research is sociological juridical, namely research that emphasizes the behavior of individuals or communities in relation to law (Marzuki and Peter, 2005: 128). The focus of research in this study is the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law being the needs of students of the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 of 2018 and the constraints of implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 Year 2018. The location of this study was conducted in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University. The data source used in this study is primary data which includes the results of interviews and observations and secondary data which includes library data and documentation. Data collection techniques in this study by observation, interviews and study document. In this study, the data analysis process was carried out in four stages, namely data collection, data reduction, data presentation / data *display*, and drawing conclusions.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

A. Normative, Sociological, Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

The urgency of the Campus Life Ethics Policy is needed to find out how far this policy is needed in regulating student ethics and discipline. The following will describe the urgency in terms of normative, sociological and empirical.

1. The Urgency of Normative Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Student Ethics and Rules of Conduct at Semarang State University is the official UNNES regulation governing the attitudes, actions, or behavior of students both on campus and off campus.

UNNES students totaled 29,087 students. So, with such a large number of students a rule is needed to regulate the attitudes, actions and behavior of students in living on the campus of Semarang State University. Therefore, Semarang State University made Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning the Ethics and Student Rules of Semarang State University.

Nawiasky has a theory called *theorie von stufenaufbau der rechtsordnung*. The arrangement of norms according to the theory is the fundamental norms of the

demands occurred and her deposition the long term from the social demands more (Nur Hidayat, 2017; 195). Legal structure in this study are:

- a. Legal Institution in this research is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Conduct of UNNES Students.
- b. Apparatus Law, namely policy makers, such as UNNES Rector, Vice-Rector for Student Affairs, UNNES Ethics Board, the Dean, Vice Dean for Student Affairs, De wan Ethics Faculty of Law UNNES. The structure of the Ethics Board can be seen in the attachment of this thesis.
- c. Law enforcement in this study was carried out starting from reports of student violations that violated ethics and discipline on campus, then was given guidance at the faculty level, and then when serious violations would be handed over to the University, the UNNES Student Ethics Board. Severe violations can lead to sanctions in the form of Rector's Decree ranging from suspension to dropout (DO). Law Enforcement can be seen in the content of Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 CHAPTER VI Article 11.

3. Culture of law (*legal culture*)

Legal culture (*legal culture*), the emphasis of the culture in general, habits, opinions, way of acting and thinking, directing force in society. Legal culture is needed to make good habituation to students. The legal culture that has been carried out in the law faculty is habituation to law students to avoid plagiarism, the habituation is always stated by the lecturer in verbal speech while teaching in class and students are always directed to include reference sources and post writing on campus walls in the form of writing "*Be Original Don't Plagiarize*" see Figure 4.2.1 (1).

B. Constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Campus Life Ethics Policy at Semarang State University is an important policy for Semarang State University students because, Ethics is very important for ongoing learning and teaching activities and as a supporting factor for the realization of the Vision and Mission of Semarang State University. However, constraints arise in the implementation or implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy. There are two obstacles in implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy namely, internal and external constraints.

1. Internal constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Semarang State University Law Faculty

Internal constraints are obstacles that come from the policy makers, or in this case the policy makers Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 Semarang State University. Judging from Edwards III's view, Edward III argues that policy implementation is influenced by four variables, namely: (1) communication, (2) resources, (3) disposition, and (4) bureaucratic structure. The four variables are also interconnected with each other (Edward III, 1980; 1).

1) Communication

Successful implementation of policies requires that the implementer knows what needs to be done. The Faculty of Law in communicating policy that is through delivery by the Dean and Vice Dean in events or activities on campus, one of which is in PPAK, Banner about dress ethics and communication ethics via telephone to lecturers, and written communication such as displays moral values on the Faculty of Law campus.

2) Sources

Human Resources is positioned as an implementer of policies. The resources in implementing the policy in this study are, the Chancellor, Deans, Vice Deans, the University Student Ethics Board and the Faculty Ethics Board, as well as lecturers and educational staff and security guards who act as supervisors.

state (*Staatsfundamentalnorm*); Basic rules of the state (*Staatsgrundgesetz*); Formal Law (*Formell Gesetz*); and Implementation regulations and autonomous regulations (*Verordnung En Autonome Satzung*). *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is the norm that is the basis for the formation of the constitution or Basic Law (*staatsverfassung*) of a country. The legal position of a *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is as a condition for the application of a constitution. *Fundamental norms* exist first from a country's constitution (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287).

Based on the Nawiaky theory, A. Hamid S. Attamimi compared it to Kelsen's theory and applied it to the structure of the legal system in Indonesia. Attamimi shows the hierarchical structure of Indonesian law using Nawiasky's theory. Based on this theory, the structure of Indonesia's legal system is (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287):

- 1) *Staatsfundamentalnorm* : Pancasila (Opening of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia).
- 2) *Staatsgrundgesetz* : Body of the 1945 Constitution, MPR Decree, and Convention
- 3) State Administration.
- 4) *Formell gesetz* : Law.
- 5) *Verordnung en Autonome Satzung* : Hierarchically from Government Regulations to Decisions of Regents or Mayors.

The position of the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018, explained by **Ali Masyar** as Chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster and at the same time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members at Semarang State University, is as follows:




Fig. 1 Legal Position Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018

Based on the statement described above, matters regarding the urgency of the Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 were also conveyed, **Ali Masyar** as Chairperson of the Research and Community Service Development and Public Service Cluster and at the same

time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members in Semarang State University, explains that fUNCTION of the Regulation Rector No. 44 of 2018 is that students are in *on the right track*, or is on track and really true. Mr. Ali added that the UNNES institution provides a regulation that is in line with the UNNES Vision and Mission, and so that students do not cross the tracks or remain *on the right track*. But in reality on the ground, there are still many who violate the policy, this is due to several factors, ranging from internal factors and external factors.

In addition there are Ethics Board as a supervisory policy, in fact, the Faculty of Law has provided a control-control, one example is the manufacture of XBanner about communication ethics, ethics of dress and boards that contain moral messages. as stated by **Nurul Febrianti** as Chairman of the Business Development, Career and Alumni Cluster who explained that for knowledge through exhibitors, then there are writings on the walls emphasizing moral education which is written in nature, if verbally, for example is a reprimand, like not may sit at the table. So, the reprimands tell and give understanding to them that this is wrong, but let the students be right, because there is no reprimand.

In addition to the socialization there were also exhibitors about dress ethics and communication ethics with lecturers at the Faculty of Law UNNES, in this case, the need for exhibitors at several points was not just 1, 2 points, but if necessary there were floors in the campus.

In addition to the preventive control that has been applied by the faculty, in the form of exhibitors and display of words that are positive, it is good that the lecturer gives a good example to students, becoming role models for students. This is the same as stated by **Aplia Naravita, SH, MH** as Secretary of the Collaborative Development Cluster, PKL and KKL who provide an explanation that there must be role models in this lecturer, because it is useless to be socialized loudly but not balanced with good role models from the lecturer.

2. Sociological Urgency Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Sociological urgency is also needed considering in life on campus certainly involves the community, namely the community within the campus itself, besides that students are also closely related to the community outside the campus where, good ethics or good character must be owned by students to socialize on campus and off campus. One of the functions of regulations or policies implemented by a tertiary institution, according to **Ridwan Arifin's** statement above, is that the function of a policy is to shape student character. So, through Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules is expected to be able to form a good student character.

Students in social life must naturally be armed with good ethics, so that the community also responds well. In ethics, students must know the basic concepts of ethics, and the following are the basic concepts of ethics (Kenneth, 2007; 155):

1. Responsibility (*responsibility*)

Responsibility means that you accept all costs, obligations and obligations that will arise as a consequence of the decision you make. In terms of responsibilities, students have learned responsibility from activities on campus, namely when students take part in intra and extra campus activities. The intra and extra campus ethics also affects the personality or character of the student. An example is UKM Scout as an organization that emphasizes the formation of student character. **Mohammad Zacki Pratama** asserted that by participating in Scouting UKM, understanding of the tasks and responsibilities was also taught.

2. Accountability (*accountability*)

Accountability is a characteristic of the system and social institutions: terms this means that there is a mechanism that determines who do act responsibly and who is responsible. The

manifestation of accountability in this research is the presence of an Ethics Board whose task is to impose sanctions on students who violate them. The one who is responsible of course is the student who commits the violation, because the student is already considered an adult human who can be responsible for everything that happens due to his actions. One member of the Ethics Board is the Commander of the security guard, who can provide affirmative action prior to the realm of the ethics session such as reprimanding if there are students who think that do not match the parking lot. Thus, the accountability in the ethical concept in this study is fulfilled by the Ethics Board that determines who is responsible for any violations or problems.

3. Liability (*liability*)

Liabilities are the characteristics of a political system in which a legal entity takes the role of giving permission to individuals to repair losses caused by other actors, systems or organizations. The manifestation of the liability in this study is that it allows students to apologize for their mistakes. Students who make mistakes in guidance given by the college that is at the faculty level first, then when it cannot *handle* by the faculty, has submitted to the Ethics Board of the University level. But in this case, students are very permitted to improve themselves, with certain conditions such as promises on the stamp will not repeat the mistakes that have been made. **Tri Sulistyono** as Vice Dean of the Faculty of Law explained that the Ethics Board will convene if there is a serious violation, if the violation is minor or is handled by the Faculty, so initially there are incoming reports related to violations committed by students, then analyzed by the Ethics Board into violations what, if it is mild, it will be handled by the Faculty, if it is entered into a serious violation, it will be held at the university level. **Ali Masyar** as a member of the University level Ethics Board revealed that, the Faculty has autonomy in making policies and handling when a problem occurs, with a note that it is still in the reasonable category and does not exceed the limits.

Students just need habituation in the act should be. Therefore, this regulation is very important to shape the character of UNNES students in living on campus and off campus. Therefore, students must begin to be ordered or disciplined from small things, until later in creating big things also orderly. **Rodiyah** explained that the small things we must order to create the big things, all of those people will obey the rules when we all apply lovingly. The role of students in the community done by **Regita Prameswari**, Student UNNES force FH 2017 following the organization of the campus extras that IPNU such as, form of bhakti activities social in orphanages. This shows that good character is obtained from organizations that are followed on campus and off campus. With regard to intra and extra campus activities, ethics in behavior is also written and unwritten. Examples of ethics written in the AD / ART of each organization. The unwritten ethics are a habit.

This is consistent with the statement **Arifin**, Student of 2016 following the Linguabase Unit (intra-campus) explained that there are AD/ART, for example, drinking alcohol, not allowed backbiting one another. Based on the interview above, it is clear that the character of students is formed not only in lectures but when students join both intra and extra-campus organizations, where each organization has its ethics both written and unwritten that have a sufficient impact both for the UNNES and SEPASUKA communities (now, patemon, sukorejo and kalirejo).

3. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Student Ethics and Code of Conduct is no stranger to students who have been educated in tertiary institutions. However, even though it is familiar, in reality there are still many students who do not understand the Ethics and Rules of Conduct as students. Violations

committed by UNHES FH students include smoking in the campus area, cheating, improper parking, wearing t-shirts during lectures, wearing short (disrespectful) clothes while in college, wearing sandals. As stated by one of the 2015 FH UNNES students, **Mariyatul Qibtiyah**, who stated that they often see people smoking or students smoking in the gazebo, smoking in the canteen, and parking lots, as well as some students cheating during exams. The Faculty of Law has provided enforcement of smoking offenses in the form of making statements from students accompanied by KTM not to repeat them.

Some facts that occur in the field suggest that there are still many students who commit violations. Therefore, this regulation is important in order to regulate students in order to be lawful. Based on research conducted by researchers, some students who have been interviewed by researchers, the results are all students who do not understand anything related to ethics and order as students at UNNES, students only understand related to dress code, the rest they lack understanding.

Baidhowi stated that students' lack of understanding and ignorance regarding the Policy on Campus Life Ethics in addition to the lack of socialization was also due to student apathy. The understanding of students related to ethical policies in living on campus is very important, so there must be clear socialization related to the Ethics and Code of Conduct, considering that students' Ethics and Rules are used in living on campus and off campus.

Ali Masyhar as chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster, UNNES Rector Expert Staff in Law, and members of the Student Ethics Board stated that socialization was already in place by each faculty, which was integrated at the time of PPAK, in PPAK there was material on Ethics in Campus life, but because the time is a little less absorbent for students. Student ignorance related to Ethics and Rules of students makes violations of Ethics and Rules occur, this is the same as conveyed by **Erina Fionita Nurfajila** (Political Science Student, 2016, Vice President of BEM KM 2019) that the possibility of the regulations has not been fully socialized, if it is conveyed fully for example the law is known whether or not considered known. Thus ultimately the students do something that is not known and abuse later declared guilty.

Lawrence M. Friedman argued that effective or not, and success or failure of a legal system depends on three elements, namely the law of substance law (*substance rule of law*), legal structure (*structure of the law*), legal culture (*legal culture*).

1. Legal substance (substance rule of the law)

The substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), the substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), includes all written and unwritten rules, both material and formal law. The legal substance in the form of written rules in this study is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Student Rules and Appeals in the form of banners from each Faculty at Semarang State University which are determined and agreed upon by the Faculty, and habits that live in Semarang State University in general and the Semarang State University Law Faculty in particular. Submission or outreach of this policy in the form of written rules and habits that are considered good by the surrounding environment such as the need to be polite and polite to the campus community such as lecturers and students. The above theory and interviews show that the legal substance at UNNES in general and the Faculty of Law in particular is written and unwritten law as well as formal and material law.

2. The structure of law (*structure of the law*)

Kelsen (2013) proposed legal structure (*structure of the law*), covers the legal Institution, Legal Apparatus and law enforcement system. The legal structure is an element of the procedure of legal formation and form of law (in a substantial substance and hierarchy). According to Lawrence Friedman that the structure is characteristic - feature of solid formed slowly by the power of social forces in the long term, which modification of

3) Disposition

Disposition is the character and characteristics of the implementer. If the implementer has a good disposition, then he will run the policy as well as what is desired by policy makers. The characters possessed by the implementer in this study vary or vary, but the diversity of character actually becomes a good combination in making a policy or implementing a policy.

4) Structure of Bureaucracy

The organizational structure in charge of implementing the policy has a significant influence on the implementation of the policy. One of the important structural aspects of every organization is the existence of standard operating procedures (SOPs). SOP is a guideline for every implementer in acting. Organizational structure that is too long will tend to weaken supervision and lead to *red-tape*, which is a complex and complex bureaucratic procedure. This in turn causes organizational activities to be inflexible (Edward III, 1980; 1). Ali Masyhar said that the policy-making at the University had been adjusted by the existing SOP, the mechanism for hearing students who had committed violations was already in the attachment to Law Number 44 Year 2018. From Edward's theory, researchers then associate with data from interviews with policy makers. These obstacles were pointed out by Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board as well as the UNNES Rector's legal staff, where Ali Masyhar's statement was also approved by the Rector of UNNES as the policy maker. \

2. Communication obstacle

Based on information from **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff, one of the obstacles in the implementation of policy making for Campus Life Ethics is the verbal delivery constraints what they want to regulate, namely the obstacles that they want to say (verbal obstacles) that they want to regulate same conveyed to the inside of a post.

A. Human Resource Constraints

The second obstacle is problem in Human Resources. Ali Masyhar explained that for Human Resources there were no obstacles because they were complete, starting from the chairman, deputy, secretary, and member, which meant here was the Ethics Board of Semarang State University, so there are no obstacles in Human Resources.

B. Disposition Constraints

One of the obstacles to implementing policies is the disposition constraint, which is the difference in terms of the character of the policy maker. In the interview **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and Expert Staff of the Chancellor of the Law explained that differences of opinion and character are reasonable, instead they can become a color in policy making, so that it does not become an obstacle, instead it makes color in policy making.

C. Bureaucratic Constraints

Bureaucratic constraints are closely related to SOPs in making any legal product or in this case policy. **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff in the interview explained that all policies have been adjusted to the existing SOP.'

3. External constraints Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

External constraints are obstacles faced by policy makers in implementing policies. External constraints are closely related to the still occurrence of violations committed by students. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors.

1. Internal factors

Internal factors violations committed by students are factors that arise from within the student. Baidhowi explained that indeed the violation was due to the Human Resources (HR) of the students themselves, the enthusiasm of knowledge, then the human resources of the education staff. Influences the occurrence of violations. Khirul Mustaqim (FH UNNES Student, 2014) added that the internal factor of habitual violations committed by the students themselves, for parker violations could be due to rush factors. Anisyah (Chair of the 2019 UNNES KM DPM KM Department of Indonesian Language and Literature, 2015) stated that the external factor for violations was an apathetic student culture factor.

2. External Factors

External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside the student's self. The meaning is external factors that cause students to violate the Ethics of Campus Life. M.Reza Wahyu Artura Putra as the 2017 Faculty of Law student of UNNES stated that the external factor for violations was the lack of deep socialization. While Setyo Sri Prihatin as a 2016 UNNES FH Student also added that the external factor for violations was the absence of supervisors and strict sanctions. According to Setyo sanctions for violations are less severe. In addition, other factors, namely interference from outside parties or in this case are parties that are not students or community of Semarang State University, are obstacles in the implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy, in accordance with a statement from Baidhowi that other triggers for violations are related to factors facilities provided by the campus.

CONCLUSION

This research concluded that the Urgency of Normative Ethical Policy on Campus Life at the Faculty of Law is that the existence of a Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty is quite a guideline for students living on the Faculty of Law campus. It's just that in its implementation there is still a need for in-depth socialization and strict sanctions in its implementation. The Urgency of Sociological Policy on the Ethics of Campus Life in the Faculty of Law, namely the participation of the UNNES community, or in this case, all students, lecturers, education personnel and all leaders or officials of UNNES are still lacking primarily as students, due to their ignorance and lack of understanding of this regulation. . Students really need this as forming good student character so that in life on and off campus is also good. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law is the importance of in-depth socialization related to Campus Life Ethics. Data in the field shows that there are still some Faculty of Law students who commit violations of student ethics such as smoking in the campus area, cheating, wearing t-shirts and sandals during lectures, parking is not in place. Even though the rules regarding the ethics of campus life already existed but were not given enough attention and heeded by UNNES and FH UNNES students because of their ignorance and student misunderstanding regarding the Rector's Regulation.

Internal constraints Campus Life Ethics Policy Implementation in the Faculty of Law based on the interview above, shows that the internal constraints of policy implementation Campus Life Ethics in the Faculty of Law of Semarang are communication problems are difficulties in verbal delivery of speech into text. (1) External Constraints: Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors. (2) Internal factors: Internal factors of violations committed by students are factors that arise from within these students. Based on the results of this study, the researchers made conclusions about the constraints of internal factors faced by the Ethics Policy Makers of the Campus Life of Semarang State University, namely: 1) The character or character of the students themselves, 2) Student habits, 3) Factors of apathetic student culture. (3) External Factors: External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside themselves students. Based on the

results of this study, the researcher made a conclusion about the external constraints faced by the Ethics Policy Maker at the Semarang State University, namely: 1) Facilities from the campus that are felt by students to be incomplete, 2) Lack of in-depth supervision of deviant student behavior (ranging from minor violations to being allowed), 3) Lack of socialization about clear and real sanctions to students, so that students do not have fear when committing violations, 4) There is interference from outside parties, those who make the atmosphere worse, those who are not members of the UNNES community .

REFERENCE

- Alam, Lukis.2016. Internalisasi Nilai-Nilai Pendidikan Islam dalam Perguruan Tinggi Umum Melalui Lembaga Dakwah Kampus. *Istawa : Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*. 1(2) :113.
- Atamimi, A, Hamid S. 1990. *Peranan Keputusan Presiden Republik Indonesia dalam Penyelenggaraan Pemerintahan Negara; Suatu Studi Analisis Mengenai Keputusan Presiden yang berfungsi Pengaturan dalam Kurun Waktu Pelita I-Pelita IV, Disertasi Ilmu Hukum Fakultas Pascasarjana Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta.*
- Dunn, William N.2003. *Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik*. Yogyakarta : Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Edward III George C.1980. *Implementing Public Policy*. Congressional Quarterly Press. Washington.
- Fajar, Mukti. dan Ahmad Yulianto.2010. *Dualisme Penelitian Hukum Normatif & Empiris*. Yogyakarta:Pustaka Belajar.
- Farida, Maria. 1998. *Ilmu Perundang-Undangan*, Yogyakarta: Kanisius..
- Hidayat, Nur. 2017.Rujukan Dan Aplikasi Sistem Hukum Indonesia Berdasarkan Pasal 1 Ayat (3) UUD 1945 Pasca Amandemen Ke Tiga. *UIR Law Review* 1 (2) : 191-200.
- Istighfarani, Dzikrina.2015. *Peningkatan Hasil Belajar IPS Melalui Model Mind Mapping Menggunakan Media Jarum Perjuangan Di Kelas V SD Negeri Sokawera.FKIP, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto.*
- Janeiro,Rio de.2017. Implementation of public health policy and its challenges in the digital age .*Brazilian Journal Of Public Administration*. 51 (6) : 1109.
- Jensen, Christian et al.2018. Policy implementation in the era of accelerating projectification:Synthesizing Matland's conflict-ambiguity model and research on. *Public Policy and Administration*. 33(4) : 449.
- Nuraisyah. 2016. Penerapan Nilai-Nilai Akhlak Dalam Peraturan Dan Hukum Formal (Studi Terhadap Kode Etik Mahasiswa Stain Bukittinggi Tahun 2014). *Alhurriyah : Jurnal Hukum Islam* 1(1).
- Nurhardianto, Fajar. 2015. Sistem Hukum Dan Posisi Hukum Indonesia .*Jurnal TAPIS* 11 (1). Panduan Akademik Universitas Negeri Semarang tahun 2018
- Patton, Michael Quiin.2006.*Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Yogyakarta : Pustaka Belajar.
- Peraturan Perundang-Undangan**
- Peraturan Rektor Universitas Negeri Semarang Nomor 44 Tahun 2018 tentang Etika dan Tata Tertib Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2011 tentang pembentukan Peraturan Perundang-undangan.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Peraturan Menteri Ristek Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 49 Tahun 2016 Tentang Statuta Universitas Negeri Semarang.

Sumber Web

<https://unnes.ac.id> di akses pada tanggal 16 Oktober 2018 pukul 20.11 WIB.

3. EDITOR DECISION

From: "Ridwan Arifin" ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id
To: "Rodiyah Rodiyah" rodiyahtangwun@gmail.com, "Batari Laskarwati" batarilaskarwati@gmail.com, "Ali Masyhar" ali_masyhar@mail.unnes.ac.id, "Waspiah Waspiah" waspiah@mail.unnes.ac.id

Subject: [JILS] Editor Decision

Rodiyah Rodiyah, Batari Laskarwati, Ali Masyhar, Waspiah Waspiah:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies), "How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters".

Our decision is: **REVISION REQUIRED**

Ridwan Arifin
Universitas Negeri Semarang
ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

TITLE

- The title is clear and informative.
- The title represents the content and extent of the research (not misleading).
- The title captures the importance of research and reader's attention.

Good

COMMENT for TITLE

Title, How Should Students Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters, is very clear and interesting, BUT the author fails to explain nationalism and anti-radicalism characters with various supporting theories in relation to legal ethics and the ethics of law students themselves.

ABSTRACT

- Complete abstract (comprehensive); important details are presented.
- Abstract results are presented in sufficient and specific details.
- Conclusions in abstracts are justified by information in abstracts and texts.
- There are no inconsistencies in detail between the abstract and the text.
- All information in the abstract is present in the text.
- The abstract whole is congruent with the text; abstract gives the same impression as the text.
- Abstracts are written in English and Indonesian, in accordance with the rules of scientific writing, no more than 250 words.
- Abstracts accompanied by keywords, a maximum of five related keywords

Good

COMMENT *for* ABSTRACT

It's good, but it needs to be added what is the relationship with radicalism and anti-terrorism

ARTICLE CONTENT

Does not contain an indication of the element of plagiarism

- All quotes include clear sources that can be traced
- The Introduction section is written completely, clearly, and supported by the latest data
- The background confirms the problems studied in writing with various data and case support
- The content section is written neatly, logically, structurally, and is complete in accordance with the required writing guidelines
- Writing does not only present data but is analyzed and studied using various theories and regulations
- There is a novelty and solution offered

Good

COMMENT *for* ARTICLE CONTENT

In general, this article discusses student ethics and attitudes within the law faculty. However, the author does not analyze much using various existing theories, especially theories related to law, ethics and policy. Because the policies that exist in the institution are inseparable from the product of the policy (policy) which should be studied in depth by the author. The author has only just parsed and described the facts on the ground, even though in legal scientific writing, support for adequate legal theory studies is very important in addition to the legal rules used.

ABSTRACT

- Complete abstract (comprehensive); important details are presented.
- Abstract results are presented in sufficient and specific details.
- Conclusions in abstracts are justified by information in abstracts and texts.
- There are no inconsistencies in detail between the abstract and the text.
- All information in the abstract is present in the text.
- The abstract whole is congruent with the text; abstract gives the same impression as the text.
- Abstracts are written in English and Indonesian, in accordance with the rules of scientific writing, no more than 250 words.
- Abstracts accompanied by keywords, a maximum of five related keywords

Good

COMMENT *for* ABSTRACT

Good enough

ARTICLE CONTENT

- Does not contain an indication of the element of plagiarism
- All quotes include clear sources that can be traced
- The Introduction section is written completely, clearly, and supported by the latest data
- The background confirms the problems studied in writing with various data and case support
- The content section is written neatly, logically, structurally, and is complete in accordance with the required writing guidelines
- Writing does not only present data but is analyzed and studied using various theories and regulations
- There is a novelty and solution offered

Good

COMMENT *for* ARTICLE CONTENT

Author should identify and indicate the law, ethic, and policy on this paper not only describing facts and finding but also should analyzed deeply by using laws and regulations and various legal theories.

Some potential material can be used by author, such as:

1. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/73973879.pdf>
2. <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2157&context=clevstlrev>

REFERENCE

- Review of current literature (last five years).
- The number of references is appropriate and the choice is wise.
- The literature review is well integrated.
- Reference is mainly the main source.
- Ideas are properly recognized (scientific attribution) and accurate.
- Literature is analyzed and assessed critically.
- Using 60% of primary sources in the form of Journal Articles
- Use relevant laws and regulations

Good

COMMENT *for* REFERENCE

Need to be added especially international library sources

OVERALL COMMENT

Please write your comment for Author, including recommendations

Revision required

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

TITLE

- The title is clear and informative.
- The title represents the content and extent of the research (not misleading).
- The title captures the importance of research and reader's attention.

Good

COMMENT *for* TITLE

Good and attractive

3. https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1353&context=faculty_scholarship
4. https://www.cengage.com/resource_uploads/downloads/1111138214_259148.pdf
5. <https://www.etownschoools.org/cms/lib/PA01000774/Centricity/Domain/629/Political%20Ethics1.pdf>
6. http://www.ethics-education.eu/resources/ManualTeachers_EN.pdf
7. https://bib.irb.hr/datoteka/822680.Raising_students_aware.pdf
8. <http://www.ijiet.org/vol6/772-EP00018.pdf>
9. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1095995.pdf>
10. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/5354736.pdf>.

See attached document

REFERENCE

- Review of current literature (last five years).
- The number of references is appropriate and the choice is wise.
- The literature review is well integrated.
- Reference is mainly the main source.
- Ideas are properly recognized (scientific attribution) and accurate.
- Literature is analyzed and assessed critically.
- Using 60% of primary sources in the form of Journal Articles
- Use relevant laws and regulations

Good

COMMENT *for* REFERENCE

But need to be added some international references

OVERALL COMMENT

Please write your comment for Author, including recommendations

Need to be revised, see all comment and document

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies) is peer-reviewed journal published biannually on May and November by Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia. Email: jils@mail.unnes.ac.id

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies)

ARTICLE ID: ID34343

How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters

ABSTRACT

The university has become an important place in the cultivation of character for generations in many countries. Many universities in the world also apply a variety of systems in making policies to shape the character of campus communities, including students. This study aims to analyze legal and ethical policies for students in fostering an attitude of nationalism and anti-radicalism. This study also aims to describe the various forms of implementation of student ethics policies that are applied in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University, Indonesia. This study uses a qualitative method with direct data collection through observation and interviews. This study bases its analysis on the theory of the operation of Lawrence M Friedman's law. The results of this study indicate that legal and ethical policies for students are interpreted by a variety of different interpretations both between managers and students. Various cases of inequality of perception lead to pros and cons. However, this research proves that the implementation of legal ethics and student character policies have been regulated in various rules, both at the national level (ministerial regulation), university level (rector regulation), and faculty level (dean regulation) all of which emphasize on forming attitudes nationalism and anti-radicalism.

Universitas menjadi salah satu tempat yang penting dalam penanaman karakter bagi generasi di banyak negara. Banyak universitas di dunia pun menerapkan sistem yang beragam dalam mengambil kebijakan pembentukan karakter masyarakat kampus, termasuk mahasiswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dalam menumbuhkan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme. Penelitian ini juga bertujuan untuk menggambarkan berbagai bentuk implementasi kebijakan etika mahasiswa yang diterapkan di lingkungan Fakultas Hukum Universitas Negeri Semarang Indonesia. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif dengan pengambilan data secara langsung melalui observasi dan wawancara. Penelitian ini didasarkan analisis pada teori bekerjanya hukum Lawrence M Friedman. Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dimaknai dengan berbagai tafsiran yang berbeda baik itu antara pengelola maupun mahasiswa. Berbagai kasus ketidaksamaan persepsi menimbulkan pro dan kontra. Namun demikian, penelitian ini membuktikan bahwa implementasi etika hukum dan kebijakan karakter mahasiswa telah diatur dalam berbagai aturan, baik itu pada tingkat nasional (peraturan menteri), tingkat universitas (peraturan rektor), maupun tingkat fakultas (peraturan dekan) yang semuanya menekankan pada pembentukan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme.

Keywords: legal ethics, policy, university, student character

Commented [AR1]: Reviewer comment:

The abstract provides a clear overview of the study, focusing on the analysis of legal and ethical policies for students in fostering nationalism and anti-radicalism within the Faculty of Law at Semarang State University, Indonesia. Here are some specific comments:

1. Clarity and Organization: The abstract effectively introduces the topic and objectives of the study. It presents the central idea in a concise and straightforward manner, allowing readers to understand the purpose and scope of the research.

2. Methodology: The abstract mentions the use of a qualitative method with direct data collection through observation and interviews. This choice of methodology is appropriate for exploring the different interpretations and perspectives of managers and students regarding the legal and ethical policies.

3. Theoretical Framework: The abstract states that the analysis is based on Lawrence M Friedman's theory of the operation of law. However, it would be helpful to briefly explain how this theory is relevant to the study and how it informs the analysis of the policies under investigation.

4. Findings: The abstract mentions that the study reveals different interpretations and perceptions of the policies between managers and students, resulting in pros and cons. However, it would be beneficial to provide a bit more detail on the specific findings, such as the main themes or patterns that emerged from the data analysis.

5. Significance and Generalizability: The abstract highlights the importance of legal and ethical policies in shaping attitudes of nationalism and anti-radicalism within the university context. However, it could be improved by discussing the broader implications of the study's findings and whether they can be generalized beyond the specific case of the Faculty of Law at Semarang State University.

6. Conclusion: The abstract concludes by stating that the implementation of legal ethics and student character policies has been regulated at various levels, emphasizing nationalism and anti-radicalism. It would be beneficial to briefly mention the potential implications or significance of these findings in terms of policy recommendations or future research directions.

Overall, the abstract provides a clear overview of the study, its objectives, methodology, and some of the key findings. However, by addressing the mentioned points, it can be further enhanced to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the study's contribution and implications.

INTRODUCTION

Semarang State University (UNNES) is one of the State Universities in the city of Semarang. In 2018, Semarang State University has a vision of becoming a conservation-oriented and internationally reputable University. In 2018, Semarang State University has 8 Faculties, including: Faculty of Education, Faculty of Language and Arts, Faculty of Social Sciences, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Faculty of Law.

In 2019 Semarang State University has 8 Faculties and Postgraduate Programs with a significant number of students. The total number of Semarang State University students in 2019 was 29,087 students (source: data.unnes.ac.id).

Table 1
Data Active Student of UNNES in 2019
January

No.	Program	Male student	Female Student	Number of Students
	Undergraduate Program (S1)			
1	Faculty of Education	889	3,288	4,177
2	Faculty of Language and Art	1,399	3,060	4,459
3	Faculty of Social Sciences	1,018	1,626	2,644
4	Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences	839	2,636	3,475
5	Faculty of Engineering	1,769	1,286	3,055
6	Faculty of Sports Science	1,823	1,240	3,063
7	Faculty of Economics	964	2,703	3,667
8	Faculty of Law	691	785	1,476
9	Graduate Program (S2)	1,341	1,730	3,071
	TOTAL	10,333	18,354	29,087

The 1945 Constitution in its opening mandate has mandated that, one of the goals of the nationhood of Indonesia is to educate the life of the nation, in this case the University has an important role in educating the generation of the Indonesian nation. Through education in Higher Education, which in this case is a place where humans are given education to be able to humanize humans. Specifically for UNNES students, students must be able to condition their behavior in the local community of Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo. The local community around UNNES is often referred to as the SEPASUKA community (Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo).

Students as *agents of change* must have basic provisions before entering the community, one of which is to be ethical in the campus environment. Student ethics can be seen in students living on campus. The students themselves in ethics also need a guide in attitude or ethics. In this case, UNNES has a Chancellor Regulation Number 19 of 2016 concerning the Ethics and Code of Conduct of UNNES Students and then there is a regulation governing the same thing, Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018 which was ratified in December 2019. The Rector's Regulation is useful to support the Atmosphere Academic in campus life.

Researchers found several phenomena carried out by Law Faculty students around student behavior on campus. One of the phenomena encountered by researchers is the large number of students who commit violations of smoking in public places such as in parking lots, at the Student Activity Center (PKM), Mosque, and other places that should be sterile from

Commented [AR2]: Negative comment:

The provided information lacks depth and critical analysis. It primarily consists of basic facts and figures about Semarang State University (UNNES) without offering any substantial critique or evaluation. Here are some specific points:

1. Lack of Analysis: The comment merely presents basic information about UNNES, such as its vision, the number of faculties, and the student population. However, it fails to provide any critical assessment or analysis of the university's performance, achievements, or challenges.
2. Absence of Context: The comment does not offer any context or comparison to other universities or educational institutions, making it difficult to understand the significance or relevance of the information provided.
3. Lack of Focus: The comment presents a broad overview of UNNES without specifying a specific aspect or area of concern. It would be more effective to highlight a particular issue, achievement, or development related to the university and provide a critical perspective on it.
4. Superficiality: The comment lacks depth and critical thinking. It does not go beyond basic facts and figures, offering no insight or analysis into the university's strengths, weaknesses, or impact on the local community or education sector.

To improve this comment, it would be necessary to provide a more critical evaluation of UNNES, focusing on specific aspects such as academic quality, research output, student support, or community engagement. Additionally, offering comparisons with other universities or discussing challenges and opportunities facing UNNES would add more depth and value to the comment.

Commented [AR3]: State the source for this Table!

cigarette smoke. Another thing that is found in research is an offense in terms of dress, ranging from students who wore t-shirts and some clothing disrespectful. In addition, the shoes worn by students did not match the appeal in the Faculty of Law. Other violations committed by students of the Faculty of Law are cheating, this practice is still practiced by students. Another phenomenon encountered by researchers is parking habits that are not in accordance with the rules set. The parking area at Semarang State University has been arranged in such a way, but in reality many students are parking anywhere and outside the designated parking area. The phenomenon of violations committed by these students is contrary to the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Order of Semarang State University students. This study will discuss the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University which includes Normative, Sociological and Empirical Urgency. In addition, researchers will also discuss the Obstacles to the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research method used in this study is to use qualitative approaches. A qualitative approach is a method of analyzing research results that produces descriptive analysis data, that is data stated by respondents in writing or verbally as well as real behavior, which is thoroughly researched and studied (Fajar Mukti, 2010; 192). This type of research is sociological juridical, namely research that emphasizes the behavior of individuals or communities in relation to law (Marzuki and Peter, 2005: 128). The focus of research in this study is the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law being the needs of students of the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 of 2018 and the constraints of implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 Year 2018. The location of this study was conducted in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University. The data source used in this study is primary data which includes the results of interviews and observations and secondary data which includes library data and documentation. Data collection techniques in this study by observation, interviews and study document. In this study, the data analysis process was carried out in four stages, namely data collection, data reduction, data presentation / data *display*, and drawing conclusions.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

A. Normative, Sociological, Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

The urgency of the Campus Life Ethics Policy is needed to find out how far this policy is needed in regulating student ethics and discipline. The following will describe the urgency in terms of normative, sociological and empirical.

1. The Urgency of Normative Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Student Ethics and Rules of Conduct at Semarang State University is the official UNNES regulation governing the attitudes, actions, or behavior of students both on campus and off campus.

UNNES students totaled 29,087 students. So, with such a large number of students a rule is needed to regulate the attitudes, actions and behavior of students in living on the campus of Semarang State University. Therefore, Semarang State University made Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning the Ethics and Student Rules of Semarang State University.

Nawiasky has a theory called *theorie von stufenaufbau der rechtsordnung*. The arrangement of norms according to the theory is the fundamental norms of the

Commented [AR4]: Reviewer comment:

The provided text outlines the context and focus of a study related to the campus life ethics policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University (UNNES). Here are some specific comments:

1. Background and Context: The comment begins by providing a relevant background, highlighting the mandate of the Indonesian Constitution and the role of universities in educating the nation. It also mentions the specific local community around UNNES, known as the SEPASUKA community, which adds context to the study.
2. Ethical Behavior and Regulations: The comment discusses the importance of ethical behavior among UNNES students and highlights the Chancellor and Rector regulations that govern student ethics and code of conduct. This demonstrates the relevance and significance of the study in addressing real issues related to student behavior on campus.
3. Identified Phenomena: The comment describes several phenomena identified by the researchers, including smoking violations in public places, dress code offenses, cheating practices, and improper parking habits. These specific examples illustrate the existing challenges and violations of the campus life ethics policy within the Law Faculty.
4. Research Objectives: The comment outlines the objectives of the study, which include discussing the urgency of the campus life ethics policy from normative, sociological, and empirical perspectives. Additionally, the comment mentions that the study will address the obstacles to the implementation of the ethics policy at the Law Faculty.
5. Scope and Focus: The comment specifically highlights the Law Faculty at UNNES, which provides a clear focus for the study. It is important to ensure that the scope and limitations of the research are clarified to provide a better understanding of the study's scope and generalizability.

Overall, the comment provides a clear overview of the research context, objectives, and identified phenomena. It effectively sets the stage for the study and gives a glimpse of the issues and challenges that will be explored. However, it would be beneficial to provide more details on the methodology and potential significance of the findings to enhance the understanding of the research.

state (*Staatsfundamentalnorm*); Basic rules of the state (*Staatsgrundgesetz*); Formal Law (*Formell Gesetz*); and Implementation regulations and autonomous regulations (*Verordnung En Autonome Satzung*). *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is the norm that is the basis for the formation of the constitution or Basic Law (*staatsverfassung*) of a country. The legal position of a *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is as a condition for the application of a constitution. *Fundamental norms* exist first from a country's constitution (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287).

Based on the Nawiaky theory, A. Hamid S. Attamimi compared it to Kelsen's theory and applied it to the structure of the legal system in Indonesia. Attamimi shows the hierarchical structure of Indonesian law using Nawiasky's theory. Based on this theory, the structure of Indonesia's legal system is (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287):

- 1) *Staatsfundamentalnorm* : Pancasila (Opening of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia).
- 2) *Staatsgrundgesetz* : Body of the 1945 Constitution, MPR Decree, and Convention
- 3) State Administration.
- 4) *Formell gesetz* : Law.
- 5) *Verordnung en Autonome Satzung* : Hierarchically from Government Regulations to Decisions of Regents or Mayors.

The position of the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018, explained by Ali Masyar as Chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster and at the same time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members at Semarang State University, is as follows:




Fig. 1 Legal Position Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018

Based on the statement described above, matters regarding the urgency of the Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 were also conveyed, Ali Masyar as Chairperson of the Research and Community Service Development and Public Service Cluster and at the same

time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members in Semarang State University, explains that the FUNCTION of the Regulation Rector No. 44 of 2018 is that students are in *on the right track*, or is on track and really true. Mr. Ali added that the UNNES institution provides a regulation that is in line with the UNNES Vision and Mission, and so that students do not cross the tracks or remain *on the right track*. But in reality on the ground, there are still many who violate the policy, this is due to several factors, ranging from internal factors and external factors.

In addition there are Ethics Board as a supervisory policy, in fact, the Faculty of Law has provided a control-control, one example is the manufacture of XBanner about communication ethics, ethics of dress and boards that contain moral messages. as stated by **Nurul Febrianti** as Chairman of the Business Development, Career and Alumni Cluster who explained that for knowledge through exhibitors, then there are writings on the walls emphasizing moral education which is written in nature, if verbally, for example is a reprimand, like not may sit at the table. So, the reprimands tell and give understanding to them that this is wrong, but let the students be right, because there is no reprimand.

In addition to the socialization there were also exhibitors about dress ethics and communication ethics with lecturers at the Faculty of Law UNNES, in this case, the need for exhibitors at several points was not just 1, 2 points, but if necessary there were floors in the campus.

In addition to the preventive control that has been applied by the faculty, in the form of exhibitors and display of words that are positive, it is good that the lecturer gives a good example to students, becoming role models for students. This is the same as stated by **Aplia Naravita, SH, MH** as Secretary of the Collaborative Development Cluster, PKL and KKL who provide an explanation that there must be role models in this lecturer, because it is useless to be socialized loudly but not balanced with good role models from the lecturer.

2. Sociological Urgency Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Sociological urgency is also needed considering in life on campus certainly involves the community, namely the community within the campus itself, besides that students are also closely related to the community outside the campus where, good ethics or good character must be owned by students to socialize on campus and off campus. One of the functions of regulations or policies implemented by a tertiary institution, according to **Ridwan Arifin's** statement above, is that the function of a policy is to shape student character. So, through Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules is expected to be able to form a good student character.

Students in social life must naturally be armed with good ethics, so that the community also responds well. In ethics, students must know the basic concepts of ethics, and the following are the basic concepts of ethics (Kenneth, 2007; 155):

1. Responsibility (*responsibility*)

Responsibility means that you accept all costs, obligations and obligations that will arise as a consequence of the decision you make. In terms of responsibilities, students have learned responsibility from activities on campus, namely when students take part in intra and extra campus activities. The intra and extra campus ethics also affects the personality or character of the student. An example is UKM Scout as an organization that emphasizes the formation of student character. **Mohammad Zacki Pratama** asserted that by participating in Scouting UKM, understanding of the tasks and responsibilities was also taught.

2. Accountability (*accountability*)

Accountability is a characteristic of the system and social institutions: terms this means that there is a mechanism that determines who do act responsibly and who is responsible. The

Commented [AR5]: This part will be more interesting if author can analyze more deeply, especially related to legal studies and socio-legal theories.

The mentioned comment highlights the sociological urgency of the campus life ethics policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University. Here are some additional comments related to legal studies:

1. **Sociological Perspective:** The inclusion of a sociological urgency in the study of campus life ethics policy demonstrates an understanding of the broader social context and the impact of societal factors on student behavior and ethical standards. This perspective acknowledges that ethical behavior is influenced not only by individual choices but also by social norms, values, and interactions.

2. **Legal Studies Relevance:** As the comment specifically relates to the Faculty of Law at Semarang State University, it aligns with the field of legal studies. Exploring the sociological urgency of campus life ethics within a legal education setting offers valuable insights into the role of legal education in shaping ethical behavior and the challenges faced by law students in upholding ethical standards.

3. **Interdisciplinary Approach:** The comment suggests that the study takes an interdisciplinary approach by incorporating sociological perspectives into the legal context. This interdisciplinary approach is beneficial as it allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics involved in shaping and enforcing campus life ethics policies.

4. **Research Scope and Objectives:** It would be helpful to further specify the exact focus and objectives of the study concerning the sociological urgency of the campus life ethics policy at the Faculty of Law. Clarifying the specific research questions or hypotheses related to this aspect will provide a clearer framework for the study.

5. **Methodological Considerations:** It is important to consider the methodology that will be employed to explore the sociological urgency of campus life ethics. Qualitative methods, such as interviews, surveys, or observations, may be suitable for capturing students' perspectives and understanding the social dynamics within the faculty.

6. **Implications and Applications:** Highlighting the sociological urgency of the campus life ethics policy can have practical implications for the Faculty of Law. By identifying the sociological factors that contribute to ethical violations, the study can inform the development of more effective policies, interventions, and educational approaches to promote a culture of ethical behavior among law students.

Overall, the comment brings attention to the sociological urgency of the campus life ethics policy within the Faculty of Law. By connecting it to legal studies, interdisciplinary perspectives, and research considerations, it lays the groundwork for a comprehensive examination of the topic and its implications for legal education.

manifestation of accountability in this research is the presence of an Ethics Board whose task is to impose sanctions on students who violate them. The one who is responsible of course is the student who commits the violation, because the student is already considered an adult human who can be responsible for everything that happens due to his actions. One member of the Ethics Board is the Commander of the security guard, who can provide affirmative action prior to the realm of the ethics session such as reprimanding if there are students who think that do not match the parking lot. Thus, the accountability in the ethical concept in this study is fulfilled by the Ethics Board that determines who is responsible for any violations or problems.

3. Liability (*liability*)

Liabilities are the characteristics of a political system in which a legal entity takes the role of giving permission to individuals to repair losses caused by other actors, systems or organizations. The manifestation of the liability in this study is that it allows students to apologize for their mistakes. Students who make mistakes in guidance given by the college that is at the faculty level first, then when it cannot *handle* by the faculty, has submitted to the Ethics Board of the University level. But in this case, students are very permitted to improve themselves, with certain conditions such as promises on the stamp will not repeat the mistakes that have been made. **Tri Sulistyono** as Vice Dean of the Faculty of Law explained that the Ethics Board will convene if there is a serious violation, if the violation is minor or is handled by the Faculty, so initially there are incoming reports related to violations committed by students, then analyzed by the Ethics Board into violations what, if it is mild, it will be handled by the Faculty, if it is entered into a serious violation, it will be held at the university level. **Ali Masyar** as a member of the University level Ethics Board revealed that, the Faculty has autonomy in making policies and handling when a problem occurs, with a note that it is still in the reasonable category and does not exceed the limits.

Students just need habituation in the act should be. Therefore, this regulation is very important to shape the character of UNNES students in living on campus and off campus. Therefore, students must begin to be ordered or disciplined from small things, until later in creating big things also orderly. **Rodiyah** explained that the small things we must order to create the big things, all of those people will obey the rules when we all apply lovingly. The role of students in the community done by **Regita Prameswari**, Student UNNES force FH 2017 following the organization of the campus extras that IPNU such as, form of bhakti activities social in orphanages. This shows that good character is obtained from organizations that are followed on campus and off campus. With regard to intra and extra campus activities, ethics in behavior is also written and unwritten. Examples of ethics written in the AD / ART of each organization. The unwritten ethics are a habit.

This is consistent with the statement **Arifin**, Student of 2016 following the Linguabase Unit (intra-campus) explained that there are AD/ART, for example, drinking alcohol, not allowed backbiting one another. Based on the interview above, it is clear that the character of students is formed not only in lectures but when students join both intra and extra-campus organizations, where each organization has its ethics both written and unwritten that have a sufficient impact both for the UNNES and SEPASUKA communities (now, patemon, sukorejo and kalirejo).

3. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Student Ethics and Code of Conduct is no stranger to students who have been educated in tertiary institutions. However, even though it is familiar, in reality there are still many students who do not understand the Ethics and Rules of Conduct as students. Violations

committed by UNNES FH students include smoking in the campus area, cheating, improper parking, wearing t-shirts during lectures, wearing short (disrespectful) clothes while in college, wearing sandals. As stated by one of the 2015 FH UNNES students, **Mariyatul Qibtiyah**, who stated that they often see people smoking or students smoking in the gazebo, smoking in the canteen, and parking lots, as well as some students cheating during exams. The Faculty of Law has provided enforcement of smoking offenses in the form of making statements from students accompanied by KTM not to repeat them.

Some facts that occur in the field suggest that there are still many students who commit violations. Therefore, this regulation is important in order to regulate students in order to be lawful. Based on research conducted by researchers, some students who have been interviewed by researchers, the results are all students who do not understand anything related to ethics and order as students at UNNES, students only understand related to dress code, the rest they lack understanding.

Baidhowi stated that students' lack of understanding and ignorance regarding the Policy on Campus Life Ethics in addition to the lack of socialization was also due to student apathy. The understanding of students related to ethical policies in living on campus is very important, so there must be clear socialization related to the Ethics and Code of Conduct, considering that students' Ethics and Rules are used in living on campus and off campus.

Ali Masyhar as chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster, UNNES Rector Expert Staff in Law, and members of the Student Ethics Board stated that socialization was already in place by each faculty, which was integrated at the time of PPAK, in PPAK there was material on Ethics in Campus life, but because the time is a little less absorbent for students. Student ignorance related to Ethics and Rules of students makes violations of Ethics and Rules occur, this is the same as conveyed by **Erina Fionita Nurfaajila** (Political Science Student, 2016, Vice President of BEM KM 2019) that the possibility of the regulations has not been fully socialized, if it is conveyed fully for example the law is know whether or not considered know. Thus ultimately the students to do something that is not known and abuse later declared guilty.

Lawrence M. Friedman argued that effective or not, and success or failure of a legal system depends on three elements, namely the law of substance law (*substance rule of law*), legal structure (*structure of the law*), legal culture (*legal culture*).

1. Legal substance (substance rule of the law)

The substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), the substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), includes all written and unwritten rules, both material and formal law. The legal substance in the form of written rules in this study is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Student Rules and Appeals in the form of banners from each Faculty at Semarang State University which are determined and agreed upon by the Faculty, and habits that live in Semarang State University in general and the Semarang State University Law Faculty in particular. Submission or outreach of this policy in the form of written rules and habits that are considered good by the surrounding environment such as the need to be polite and polite to the campus community such as lecturers and students. The above theory and interviews show that the legal substance at UNNES in general and the Faculty of Law in particular is written and unwritten law as well as formal and material law.

2. The structure of law (*structure of the law*)

Kelsen (2013) proposed legal structure (*structure of the law*), covers the legal Institution, Legal Apparatus and law enforcement system. The legal structure is an element of the procedure of legal formation and form of law (in a substantial substance and hierarchy). According to Lawrence Friedman that the structure is characteristic - feature of solid formed slowly by the power of social forces in the long term, which modification of

demands occurred and her deposition the long term from the social demands more (Nur Hidayat, 2017; 195). Legal structure in this study are:

- a. Legal Institution in this research is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Conduct of UNNES Students.
- b. Apparatus Law, namely policy makers, such as UNNES Rector, Vice-Rector for Student Affairs, UNNES Ethics Board, the Dean, Vice Dean for Student Affairs, De wan Ethics Faculty of Law UNNES. The structure of the Ethics Board can be seen in the attachment of this thesis.
- c. Law enforcement in this study was carried out starting from reports of student violations that violated ethics and discipline on campus, then was given guidance at the faculty level, and then when serious violations would be handed over to the University, the UNNES Student Ethics Board. Severe violations can lead to sanctions in the form of Rector's Decree ranging from suspension to dropout (DO). Law Enforcement can be seen in the content of Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 CHAPTER VI Article 11.

3. Culture of law (*legal culture*)

Legal culture (*legal culture*), the emphasis of the culture in general, habits, opinions, way of acting and thinking, directing force in society. Legal culture is needed to make good habituation to students. The legal culture that has been carried out in the law faculty is habituation to law students to avoid plagiarism, the habituation is always stated by the lecturer in verbal speech while teaching in class and students are always directed to include reference sources and post writing on campus walls in the form of writing "*Be Original Don't Plagiarize*" see Figure 4.2.1 (1).

Commented [AR6]: This part can be analyzed in more detail, please make sure that Lawrence M Friedman theory properly analyzed

Commented [AR7]: Where the Figure 4.2.1?

B. Constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Campus Life Ethics Policy at Semarang State University is an important policy for Semarang State University students because, Ethics is very important for ongoing learning and teaching activities and as a supporting factor for the realization of the Vision and Mission of Semarang State University. However, constraints arise in the implementation or implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy. There are two obstacles in implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy namely, internal and external constraints.

1. Internal constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Semarang State University Law Faculty

Internal constraints are obstacles that come from the policy makers, or in this case the policy makers Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 Semarang State University. Judging from Edwards III's view, Edward III argues that policy implementation is influenced by four variables, namely: (1) communication, (2) resources, (3) disposition, and (4) bureaucratic structure. The four variables are also interconnected with each other (Edward III, 1980; 1).

1) Communication

Successful implementation of policies requires that the implementer knows what needs to be done. The Faculty of Law in communicating policy that is through delivery by the Dean and Vice Dean in events or activities on campus, one of which is in PPAK, Banner about dress ethics and communication ethics via telephone to lecturers, and written communication such as displays moral values on the Faculty of Law campus.

2) Sources

Human Resources is positioned as an implementer of policies. The resources in implementing the policy in this study are, the Chancellor, Deans, Vice Deans, the University Student Ethics Board and the Faculty Ethics Board, as well as lecturers and educational staff and security guards who act as supervisors.

3) Disposition

Disposition is the character and characteristics of the implementer. If the implementer has a good disposition, then he will run the policy as well as what is desired by policy makers. The characters possessed by the implementer in this study vary or vary, but the diversity of character actually becomes a good combination in making a policy or implementing a policy.

4) Structure of Bureaucracy

The organizational structure in charge of implementing the policy has a significant influence on the implementation of the policy. One of the important structural aspects of every organization is the existence of standard operating procedures (SOPs). SOP is a guideline for every implementer in acting. Organizational structure that is too long will tend to weaken supervision and lead to *red-tape*, which is a complex and complex bureaucratic procedure. This in turn causes organizational activities to be inflexible (Edward III, 1980; 1). Ali Masyhar said that the policy-making at the University had been adjusted by the existing SOP, the mechanism for hearing students who had committed violations was already in the attachment to Law Number 44 Year 2018. From Edward's theory, researchers then associate with data from interviews with policy makers. These obstacles were pointed out by Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board as well as the UNNES Rector's legal staff, where Ali Masyhar's statement was also approved by the Rector of UNNES as the policy maker. \

2. Communication obstacle

Based on information from **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff, one of the obstacles in the implementation of policy making for Campus Life Ethics is the verbal delivery constraints what they want to regulate, namely the obstacles that they want to say (verbal obstacles) that they want to regulate same conveyed to the inside of a post.

A. Human Resource Constraints

The second obstacle is problem in Human Resources. Ali Masyhar explained that for Human Resources there were no obstacles because they were complete, starting from the chairman, deputy, secretary, and member, which meant here was the Ethics Board of Semarang State University, so there are no obstacles in Human Resources.

B. Disposition Constraints

One of the obstacles to implementing policies is the disposition constraint, which is the difference in terms of the character of the policy maker. In the interview **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and Expert Staff of the Chancellor of the Law explained that differences of opinion and character are reasonable, instead they can become a color in policy making, so that it does not become an obstacle, instead it makes color in policy making.

C. Bureaucratic Constraints

Bureaucratic constraints are closely related to SOPs in making any legal product or in this case policy. **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff in the interview explained that all policies have been adjusted to the existing SOP.

Commented [AR8]: Please analyze and explain this part in more detail!

3. External constraints Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

External constraints are obstacles faced by policy makers in implementing policies. External constraints are closely related to the still occurrence of violations committed by students. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors.

1. Internal factors

Internal factors violations committed by students are factors that arise from within the student. Baidhowi explained that indeed the violation was due to the Human Resources (HR) of the students themselves, the enthusiasm of knowledge, then the human resources of the education staff. Influences the occurrence of violations. Khirul Mustaqim (FH UNNES Student, 2014) added that the internal factor of habitual violations committed by the students themselves, for parker violations could be due to rush factors. Anisyah (Chair of the 2019 UNNES KM DPM KM Department of Indonesian Language and Literature, 2015) stated that the external factor for violations was an apathetic student culture factor.

2. External Factors

External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside the student's self. The meaning is external factors that cause students to violate the Ethics of Campus Life. M.Reza Wahyu Artura Putra as the 2017 Faculty of Law student of UNNES stated that the external factor for violations was the lack of deep socialization. While Setyo Sri Prihatin as a 2016 UNNES FH Student also added that the external factor for violations was the absence of supervisors and strict sanctions. According to Setyo sanctions for violations are less severe. In addition, other factors, namely interference from outside parties or in this case are parties that are not students or community of Semarang State University, are obstacles in the implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy, in accordance with a statement from Baidhowi that other triggers for violations are related to factors facilities provided by the campus.

CONCLUSION

This research concluded that the Urgency of Normative Ethical Policy on Campus Life at the Faculty of Law is that the existence of a Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty is quite a guideline for students living on the Faculty of Law campus. It's just that in its implementation there is still a need for in-depth socialization and strict sanctions in its implementation. The Urgency of Sociological Policy on the Ethics of Campus Life in the Faculty of Law, namely the participation of the UNNES community, or in this case, all students, lecturers, education personnel and all leaders or officials of UNNES are still lacking primarily as students, due to their ignorance and lack of understanding of this regulation. Students really need this as forming good student character so that in life on and off campus is also good. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law is the importance of in-depth socialization related to Campus Life Ethics. Data in the field shows that there are still some Faculty of Law students who commit violations of student ethics such as smoking in the campus area, cheating, wearing t-shirts and sandals during lectures, parking is not in place. Even though the rules regarding the ethics of campus life already existed but were not given enough attention and heeded by UNNES and FH UNNES students because of their ignorance and student misunderstanding regarding the Rector's Regulation.

Internal constraints Campus Life Ethics Policy Implementation in the Faculty of Law based on the interview above, shows that the internal constraints of policy implementation Campus Life Ethics in the Faculty of Law of Semarang are communication problems are difficulties in verbal delivery of speech into text. (1) External Constraints: Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors. (2) Internal factors: Internal factors of violations committed by students are factors that arise from within these students. Based on the results of this study, the researchers made conclusions about the constraints of internal factors faced by the Ethics Policy Makers of the Campus Life of Semarang State University, namely: 1) The character or character of the students themselves, 2) Student habits, 3) Factors of apathetic student culture. (3) External Factors: External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside themselves students. Based on the

results of this study, the researcher made a conclusion about the external constraints faced by the Ethics Policy Maker at the Semarang State University, namely: 1) Facilities from the campus that are felt by students to be incomplete, 2) Lack of in-depth supervision of deviant student behavior (ranging from minor violations to being allowed), 3) Lack of socialization about clear and real sanctions to students, so that students do not have fear when committing violations, 4) There is interference from outside parties, those who make the atmosphere worse, those who are not members of the UNNES community .

Commented [AR9]: The conclusion should directly answer the research question!

REFERENCE

- Alam, Lukis.2016. Internalisasi Nilai-Nilai Pendidikan Islam dalam Perguruan Tinggi Umum Melalui Lembaga Dakwah Kampus. Istawa : *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*. 1(2) :113.
- Atamimi, A, Hamid S. 1990. *Peranan Keputusan Presiden Republik Indonesia dalam Penyelenggaraan Pemerintahan Negara; Suatu Studi Analisis Mengenai Keputusan Presiden yang berfungsi Pengaturan dalam Kurun Waktu Pelita I–Pelita IV, Disertasi Ilmu Hukum Fakultas Pascasarjana Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta.*
- Dunn, William N.2003. *Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik*. Yogyakarta : Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Edward III George C.1980. *Implementing Public Policy*. Congressionl Quarterly Press. Washington.
- Fajar, Mukti. dan Ahmad Yulianto.2010. *Dualisme Penelitian Hukum Normatif & Empiris*. Yogyakarta:Pustaka Belajar.
- Farida, Maria. 1998. *Ilmu Perundang-Undangan*, Yogyakarta: Kanisius..
- Hidayat, Nur. 2017.Rujukan Dan Aplikasi Sistem Hukum Indonesia Berdasarkan Pasal 1 Ayat (3) UUD 1945 Pasca Amandemen Ke Tiga. *UIR Law Review* 1 (2) : 191-200.
- Istighfarani, Dzikrina.2015. *Peningkatan Hasil Belajar IPS Melalui Model Mind Mapping Menggunakan Media Jarum Perjuangan Di Kelas V SD Negeri Sokawera.FKIP, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto.*
- Janeiro,Rio de.2017. Implementation of public health policy and its challenges in the digital age .*Brazilian Journal Of Public Administration*. 51 (6) : 1109.
- Jensen, Christian et al.2018. Policy implementation in the era of accelerating projectification:Synthesizing Matland’s conflict–ambiguity model and research on. *Public Policy and Administration*. 33(4) : 449.
- Nuraisyah. 2016. Penerapan Nilai-Nilai Akhlak Dalam Peraturan Dan Hukum Formal (Studi Terhadap Kode Etik Mahasiswa Stain Bukittinggi Tahun 2014). *Alhurriyah : Jurnal Hukum Islam* 1(1).
- Nurhardianto, Fajar. 2015. Sistem Hukum Dan Posisi Hukum Indonesia .*Jurnal TAPIS* 11 (1).
- Panduan Akademik Universitas Negeri Semarang tahun 2018
- Patton, Michael Quiin.2006.*Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Yogyakarta : Pustaka Belajar.
- Peraturan Perundang-Undangan**
- Peraturan Rektor Universitas Negeri Semarang Nomor 44 Tahun 2018 tentang Etika dan Tata Tertib Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2011 tentang pembentukan Peraturan Perundang-undangan.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Peraturan Menteri Ristek Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 49 Tahun 2016 Tentang Statuta Universitas Negeri Semarang.

Commented [AR10]: Please add some international references to support your analysis and argument.

Sumber Web

<https://unnes.ac.id> di akses pada tanggal 16 Oktober 2018 pukul 20.11 WIB.

4. FINAL DECISION (EDITOR DECISION)

From: "Ridwan Arifin" <ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id>

To: "Rodiyah Rodiyah" <rodiyahtangwun@gmail.com>, "Batari Laskarwati" <batarilaskarwati@gmail.com>, "Ali Masyhar" <ali_masyhar@mail.unnes.ac.id>, "Waspiah Waspiah" <waspiahtangwun@gmail.com>

Subject: [JILS] Editor Decision

Rodiyah Rodiyah, Batari Laskarwati, Ali Masyhar, Waspiah Waspiah:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies), "How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters".

Our decision is to: **ACCEPT SUBMISSION**

Ridwan Arifin

Universitas Negeri Semarang

ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies) is peer-reviewed journal published biannually on May and November by Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia. Email: jils@mail.unnes.ac.id

[JILS \(Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies\)](#)

How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters

ABSTRACT

The university has become an important place in the cultivation of character for generations in many countries. Many universities in the world also apply a variety of systems in making policies to shape the character of campus communities, including students. This study aims to analyze legal and ethical policies for students in fostering an attitude of nationalism and anti-radicalism. This study also aims to describe the various forms of implementation of student ethics policies that are applied in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University, Indonesia. This study uses a qualitative method with direct data collection through observation and interviews. This study bases its analysis on the theory of the operation of Lawrence M Friedman's law. The results of this study indicate that legal and ethical policies for students are interpreted by a variety of different interpretations both between managers and students. Various cases of inequality of perception lead to pros and cons. However, this research proves that the implementation of legal ethics and student character policies have been regulated in various rules, both at the national level (ministerial regulation), university level (rector regulation), and faculty level (dean regulation) all of which emphasize on forming attitudes nationalism and anti-radicalism.

Universitas menjadi salah satu tempat yang penting dalam penanaman karakter bagi generasi di banyak negara. Banyak universitas di dunia pun menerapkan sistem yang beragam dalam mengambil kebijakan pembentukan karakter masyarakat kampus, termasuk mahasiswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dalam menumbuhkan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme. Penelitian ini juga bertujuan untuk menggambarkan berbagai bentuk implementasi kebijakan etika mahasiswa yang diterapkan di lingkungan Fakultas Hukum Universitas Negeri Semarang Indonesia. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif dengan pengambilan data secara langsung melalui observasi dan wawancara. Penelitian ini mendasarkan analisis pada teori bekerjanya hukum Lawrence M Friedman. Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa kebijakan hukum dan etika bagi mahasiswa dimaknai dengan berbagai tafsiran yang berbeda baik itu antara pengelola maupun mahasiswa. Berbagai kasus ketidaksamaan persepsi menimbulkan pro dan kontra. Namun demikian, penelitian ini membuktikan bahwa implementasi etika hukum dan kebijakan karakter mahasiswa telah diatur dalam berbagai aturan, baik itu pada tingkat nasional (peraturan menteri), tingkat universitas (peraturan rector), maupun tingkat fakultas (peraturan dekan) yang semuanya menekankan pada pembentukan sikap nasionalisme dan anti-radikalisme.

Keywords: legal ethics, policy, university, student character

INTRODUCTION

Semarang State University (UNNES) is one of the State Universities in the city of Semarang. In 2018, Semarang State University has a vision of becoming a conservation-oriented and internationally reputable University. In 2018, Semarang State University has 8 Faculties, including: Faculty of Education, Faculty of Language and Arts, Faculty of Social Sciences, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Faculty of Law.

In 2019 Semarang State University has 8 Faculties and Postgraduate Programs with a significant number of students. The total number of Semarang State University students in 2019 was 29,087 students (source: data.unnes.ac.id).

Table 1
Data Active Student of UNNES in 2019
January

No.	Program	Male student	Female Student	Number of Students
	Undergraduate Program (S1)			
1	Faculty of Education	889	3,288	4,177
2	Faculty of Language and Art	1,399	3,060	4,459
3	Faculty of Social Sciences	1,018	1,626	2,644
4	Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences	839	2,636	3,475
5	Faculty of Engineering	1,769	1,286	3,055
6	Faculty of Sports Science	1,823	1,240	3,063
7	Faculty of Economics	964	2,703	3,667
8	Faculty of Law	691	785	1,476
9	Graduate Program (S2)	1,341	1,730	3,071
	TOTAL	10,333	18,354	29,087

The 1945 Constitution in its opening mandate has mandated that, one of the goals of the nationhood of Indonesia is to educate the life of the nation, in this case the University has an important role in educating the generation of the Indonesian nation. Through education in Higher Education, which in this case is a place where humans are given education to be able to humanize humans. Specifically for UNNES students, students must be able to condition their behavior in the local community of Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo. The local community around UNNES is often referred to as the SEPASUKA community (Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo).

Students as *agents of change* must have basic provisions before entering the community, one of which is to be ethical in the campus environment. Student ethics can be seen in students living on campus. The students themselves in ethics also need a guide in attitude or ethics. In this case, UNNES has a Chancellor Regulation Number 19 of 2016 concerning the Ethics and Code of Conduct of UNNES Students and then there is a regulation governing the same thing, Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018 which was ratified in December 2019. The Rector's Regulation is useful to support the Atmosphere Academic in campus life.

Researchers found several phenomena carried out by Law Faculty students around student behavior on campus. One of the phenomena encountered by researchers is the large number of students who commit violations of smoking in public places such as in parking lots, at the Student Activity Center (PKM), Mosque, and other places that should be sterile from

cigarette smoke. Another thing that is found in research is an offense in terms of dress, ranging from students who wore t-shirts and some clothing disrespectful. In addition, the shoes worn by students did not match the appeal in the Faculty of Law. Other violations committed by students of the Faculty of Law are cheating, this practice is still practiced by students. Another phenomenon encountered by researchers is parking habits that are not in accordance with the rules set. The parking area at Semarang State University has been arranged in such a way, but in reality many students are parking anywhere and outside the designated parking area. The phenomenon of violations committed by these students is contrary to the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Order of Semarang State University students. This study will discuss the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University which includes Normative, Sociological and Empirical Urgency. In addition, researchers will also discuss the Obstacles to the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research method used in this study is to use qualitative approaches. A qualitative approach is a method of analyzing research results that produces descriptive analysis data, that is data stated by respondents in writing or verbally as well as real behavior, which is thoroughly researched and studied (Fajar Mukti, 2010; 192). This type of research is sociological juridical, namely research that emphasizes the behavior of individuals or communities in relation to law (Marzuki and Peter, 2005: 128). The focus of research in this study is the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law being the needs of students of the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 of 2018 and the constraints of implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 Year 2018. The location of this study was conducted in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University. The data source used in this study is primary data which includes the results of interviews and observations and secondary data which includes library data and documentation. Data collection techniques in this study by observation, interviews and study document. In this study, the data analysis process was carried out in four stages, namely data collection, data reduction, data presentation / data *display*, and drawing conclusions.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

A. Normative, Sociological, Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

The urgency of the Campus Life Ethics Policy is needed to find out how far this policy is needed in regulating student ethics and discipline. The following will describe the urgency in terms of normative, sociological and empirical.

1. The Urgency of Normative Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Student Ethics and Rules of Conduct at Semarang State University is the official UNNES regulation governing the attitudes, actions, or behavior of students both on campus and off campus.

UNNES students totaled 29,087 students. So, with such a large number of students a rule is needed to regulate the attitudes, actions and behavior of students in living on the campus of Semarang State University. Therefore, Semarang State University made Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning the Ethics and Student Rules of Semarang State University.

Nawiasky has a theory called *theorie von stufenaufbau der rechtsordnung*. The arrangement of norms according to the theory is the fundamental norms of the

state (*Staatsfundamentalnorm*); Basic rules of the state (*Staatsgrundgesetz*); Formal Law (*Formell Gesetz*); and Implementation regulations and autonomous regulations (*Verordnung En Autonome Satzung*). *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is the norm that is the basis for the formation of the constitution or Basic Law (*staatsverfassung*) of a country. The legal position of a *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is as a condition for the application of a constitution. *Fundamental norms* exist first from a country's constitution (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287).

Based on the Nawiaiky theory, A. Hamid S. Attamimi compared it to Kelsen's theory and applied it to the structure of the legal system in Indonesia. Attamimi shows the hierarchical structure of Indonesian law using Nawiasky's theory. Based on this theory, the structure of Indonesia's legal system is (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287):

- 1) *Staatsfundamentalnorm* : Pancasila (Opening of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia).
- 2) *Staatsgrundgesetz* : Body of the 1945 Constitution, MPR Decree, and Convention
- 3) State Administration.
- 4) *Formell gesetz* : Law.
- 5) *Verordnung en Autonome Satzung* : Hierarchically from Government Regulations to Decisions of Regents or Mayors.

The position of the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018, explained by **Ali Masyar** as Chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster and at the same time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members at Semarang State University, is as follows:




Fig. 1 Legal Position Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018

Based on the statement described above, matters regarding the urgency of the Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 were also conveyed, **Ali Masyar** as Chairperson of the Research and Community Service Development and Public Service Cluster and at the same

time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members in Semarang State University, explains that fUNCTION of the Regulation Rector No. 44 of 2018 is that students are in *on the right track*, or is on track and really true. Mr. Ali added that the UNNES institution provides a regulation that is in line with the UNNES Vision and Mission, and so that students do not cross the tracks or remain *on the right track*. But in reality on the ground, there are still many who violate the policy, this is due to several factors, ranging from internal factors and external factors.

In addition there are Ethics Board as a supervisory policy, in fact, the Faculty of Law has provided a control-control, one example is the manufacture of XBanner about communication ethics, ethics of dress and boards that contain moral messages. as stated by **Nurul Febrianti** as Chairman of the Business Development, Career and Alumni Cluster who explained that for knowledge through exhibitors, then there are writings on the walls emphasizing moral education which is written in nature, if verbally, for example is a reprimand, like not may sit at the table. So, the reprimands tell and give understanding to them that this is wrong, but let the students be right, because there is no reprimand.

In addition to the socialization there were also exhibitors about dress ethics and communication ethics with lecturers at the Faculty of Law UNNES, in this case, the need for exhibitors at several points was not just 1, 2 points, but if necessary there were floors in the campus.

In addition to the preventive control that has been applied by the faculty, in the form of exhibitors and display of words that are positive, it is good that the lecturer gives a good example to students, becoming role models for students. This is the same as stated by Aphia Naravita, SH, MH as Secretary of the Collaborative Development Cluster, PKL and KKL who provide an explanation that there must be role models in this lecturer, because it is useless to be socialized loudly but not balanced with good role models from the lecturer.

2. Sociological Urgency Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Sociological urgency is also needed considering in life on campus certainly involves the community, namely the community within the campus itself, besides that students are also closely related to the community outside the campus where, good ethics or good character must be owned by students to socialize on campus and off campus. One of the functions of regulations or policies implemented by a tertiary institution, according to **Ridwan Arifin's** statement above, is that the function of a policy is to shape student character. So, through Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules is expected to be able to form a good student character.

Students in social life must naturally be armed with good ethics, so that the community also responds well. In ethics, students must know the basic concepts of ethics, and the following are the basic concepts of ethics (Kenneth, 2007; 155):

1. Responsibility (*responsibility*)

Responsibility means that you accept all costs, obligations and obligations that will arise as a consequence of the decision you make. In terms of responsibilities, students have learned responsibility from activities on campus, namely when students take part in intra and extra campus activities. The intra and extra campus ethics also affects the personality or character of the student. An example is UKM Scout as an organization that emphasizes the formation of student character. **Mohammad Zacki Pratama** asserted that by participating in Scouting UKM, understanding of the tasks and responsibilities was also taught.

2. Accountability (*accountability*)

Accountability is a characteristic of the system and social institutions: terms this means that there is a mechanism that determines who do act responsibly and who is responsible. The

manifestation of accountability in this research is the presence of an Ethics Board whose task is to impose sanctions on students who violate them. The one who is responsible of course is the student who commits the violation, because the student is already considered an adult human who can be responsible for everything that happens due to his actions. One member of the Ethics Board is the Commander of the security guard, who can provide affirmative action prior to the realm of the ethics session such as reprimanding if there are students who think that do not match the parking lot. Thus, the accountability in the ethical concept in this study is fulfilled by the Ethics Board that determines who is responsible for any violations or problems.

3. Liability (*liability*)

Liabilities are the characteristics of a political system in which a legal entity takes the role of giving permission to individuals to repair losses caused by other actors, systems or organizations. The manifestation of the liability in this study is that it allows students to apologize for their mistakes. Students who make mistakes in guidance given by the college that is at the faculty level first, then when it cannot *handle* by the faculty, has submitted to the Ethics Board of the University level. But in this case, students are very permitted to improve themselves, with certain conditions such as promises on the stamp will not repeat the mistakes that have been made. **Tri Sulistyono** as Vice Dean of the Faculty of Law explained that the Ethics Board will convene if there is a serious violation, if the violation is minor or is handled by the Faculty, so initially there are incoming reports related to violations committed by students, then analyzed by the Ethics Board into violations what, if it is mild, it will be handled by the Faculty, if it is entered into a serious violation, it will be held at the university level. **Ali Masyar** as a member of the University level Ethics Board revealed that, the Faculty has autonomy in making policies and handling when a problem occurs, with a note that it is still in the reasonable category and does not exceed the limits.

Students just need habituation in the act should be. Therefore, this regulation is very important to shape the character of UNNES students in living on campus and off campus. Therefore, students must begin to be ordered or disciplined from small things, until later in creating big things also orderly. **Rodiyah** explained that the small things we must order to create the big things, all of those people will obey the rules when we all apply lovingly. The role of students in the community done by **Regita Prameswari**, Student UNNES force FH 2017 following the organization of the campus extras that IPNU such as, form of bhakti activities social in orphanages. This shows that good character is obtained from organizations that are followed on campus and off campus. With regard to intra and extra campus activities, ethics in behavior is also written and unwritten. Examples of ethics written in the AD / ART of each organization. The unwritten ethics are a habit.

This is consistent with the statement **Arifin**, Student of 2016 following the Linguabase Unit (intra-campus) explained that there are AD/ART, for example, drinking alcohol, not allowed backbiting one another. Based on the interview above, it is clear that the character of students is formed not only in lectures but when students join both intra and extra-campus organizations, where each organization has its ethics both written and unwritten that have a sufficient impact both for the UNNES and SEPASUKA communities (now, patemon, sukorejo and kalirejo).

3. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Student Ethics and Code of Conduct is no stranger to students who have been educated in tertiary institutions. However, even though it is familiar, in reality there are still many students who do not understand the Ethics and Rules of Conduct as students. Violations

committed by UNHES FH students include smoking in the campus area, cheating, improper parking, wearing t-shirts during lectures, wearing short (disrespectful) clothes while in college, wearing sandals. As stated by one of the 2015 FH UNNES students, **Mariyatul Qibtiyah**, who stated that they often see people smoking or students smoking in the gazebo, smoking in the canteen, and parking lots, as well as some students cheating during exams. The Faculty of Law has provided enforcement of smoking offenses in the form of making statements from students accompanied by KTM not to repeat them.

Some facts that occur in the field suggest that there are still many students who commit violations. Therefore, this regulation is important in order to regulate students in order to be lawful. Based on research conducted by researchers, some students who have been interviewed by researchers, the results are all students who do not understand anything related to ethics and order as students at UNNES, students only understand related to dress code, the rest they lack understanding.

Baidhowi stated that students' lack of understanding and ignorance regarding the Policy on Campus Life Ethics in addition to the lack of socialization was also due to student apathy. The understanding of students related to ethical policies in living on campus is very important, so there must be clear socialization related to the Ethics and Code of Conduct, considering that students' Ethics and Rules are used in living on campus and off campus.

Ali Masyhar as chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster, UNNES Rector Expert Staff in Law, and members of the Student Ethics Board stated that socialization was already in place by each faculty, which was integrated at the time of PPAK, in PPAK there was material on Ethics in Campus life, but because the time is a little less absorbent for students. Student ignorance related to Ethics and Rules of students makes violations of Ethics and Rules occur, this is the same as conveyed by **Erina Fionita Nurfajila** (Political Science Student, 2016, Vice President of BEM KM 2019) that the possibility of the regulations has not been fully socialized, if it is conveyed fully for example the law is know whether or not considered know. Thus ultimately the students to do something that is not known and abuse later declared guilty.

Lawrence M. Friedman argued that effective or not, and success or failure of a legal system depends on three elements, namely the law of substance law (*substance rule of law*), legal structure (*structure of the law*), legal culture (*legal culture*).

1. Legal substance (substance rule of the law)

The substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), the substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), includes all written and unwritten rules, both material and formal law. The legal substance in the form of written rules in this study is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Student Rules and Appeals in the form of banners from each Faculty at Semarang State University which are determined and agreed upon by the Faculty, and habits that live in Semarang State University in general and the Semarang State University Law Faculty in particular. Submission or outreach of this policy in the form of written rules and habits that are considered good by the surrounding environment such as the need to be polite and polite to the campus community such as lecturers and students. The above theory and interviews show that the legal substance at UNNES in general and the Faculty of Law in particular is written and unwritten law as well as formal and material law.

2. The structure of law (*structure of the law*)

Kelsen (2013) proposed legal structure (*structure of the law*), covers the legal Institution, Legal Apparatus and law enforcement system. The legal structure is an element of the procedure of legal formation and form of law (in a substantial substance and hierarchy). According to Lawrence Friedman that the structure is characteristic - feature of solid formed slowly by the power of social forces in the long term, which modification of

demands occurred and her deposition the long term from the social demands more (Nur Hidayat, 2017; 195). Legal structure in this study are:

- a. Legal Institution in this research is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Conduct of UNNES Students.
- b. Apparatus Law, namely policy makers, such as UNNES Rector, Vice-Rector for Student Affairs, UNNES Ethics Board, the Dean, Vice Dean for Student Affairs, De wan Ethics Faculty of Law UNNES. The structure of the Ethics Board can be seen in the attachment of this thesis.
- c. Law enforcement in this study was carried out starting from reports of student violations that violated ethics and discipline on campus, then was given guidance at the faculty level, and then when serious violations would be handed over to the University, the UNNES Student Ethics Board. Severe violations can lead to sanctions in the form of Rector's Decree ranging from suspension to dropout (DO). Law Enforcement can be seen in the content of Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 CHAPTER VI Article 11.

3. Culture of law (*legal culture*)

Legal culture (*legal culture*), the emphasis of the culture in general, habits, opinions, way of acting and thinking, directing force in society. Legal culture is needed to make good habituation to students. The legal culture that has been carried out in the law faculty is habituation to law students to avoid plagiarism, the habituation is always stated by the lecturer in verbal speech while teaching in class and students are always directed to include reference sources and post writing on campus walls in the form of writing "*Be Original Don't Plagiarize*" see Figure 4.2.1 (1).

B. Constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Campus Life Ethics Policy at Semarang State University is an important policy for Semarang State University students because, Ethics is very important for ongoing learning and teaching activities and as a supporting factor for the realization of the Vision and Mission of Semarang State University. However, constraints arise in the implementation or implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy. There are two obstacles in implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy namely, internal and external constraints.

1. Internal constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Semarang State University Law Faculty

Internal constraints are obstacles that come from the policy makers, or in this case the policy makers Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 Semarang State University. Judging from Edwards III's view, Edward III argues that policy implementation is influenced by four variables, namely: (1) communication, (2) resources, (3) disposition, and (4) bureaucratic structure. The four variables are also interconnected with each other (Edward III, 1980; 1).

1) Communication

Successful implementation of policies requires that the implementer knows what needs to be done. The Faculty of Law in communicating policy that is through delivery by the Dean and Vice Dean in events or activities on campus, one of which is in PPAK, Banner about dress ethics and communication ethics via telephone to lecturers, and written communication such as displays moral values on the Faculty of Law campus.

2) Sources

Human Resources is positioned as an implementer of policies. The resources in implementing the policy in this study are, the Chancellor, Deans, Vice Deans, the University Student Ethics Board and the Faculty Ethics Board, as well as lecturers and educational staff and security guards who act as supervisors.

3) Disposition

Disposition is the character and characteristics of the implementer. If the implementer has a good disposition, then he will run the policy as well as what is desired by policy makers. The characters possessed by the implementer in this study vary or vary, but the diversity of character actually becomes a good combination in making a policy or implementing a policy.

4) Structure of Bureaucracy

The organizational structure in charge of implementing the policy has a significant influence on the implementation of the policy. One of the important structural aspects of every organization is the existence of standard operating procedures (SOPs). SOP is a guideline for every implementer in acting. Organizational structure that is too long will tend to weaken supervision and lead to *red-tape*, which is a complex and complex bureaucratic procedure. This in turn causes organizational activities to be inflexible (Edward III, 1980; 1). Ali Masyhar said that the policy-making at the University had been adjusted by the existing SOP, the mechanism for hearing students who had committed violations was already in the attachment to Law Number 44 Year 2018. From Edward's theory, researchers then associate with data from interviews with policy makers. These obstacles were pointed out by Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board as well as the UNNES Rector's legal staff, where Ali Masyhar's statement was also approved by the Rector of UNNES as the policy maker. \

2. Communication obstacle

Based on information from **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff, one of the obstacles in the implementation of policy making for Campus Life Ethics is the verbal delivery constraints what they want to regulate, namely the obstacles that they want to say (verbal obstacles) that they want to regulate same conveyed to the inside of a post.

A. Human Resource Constraints

The second obstacle is problem in Human Resources. Ali Masyhar explained that for Human Resources there were no obstacles because they were complete, starting from the chairman, deputy, secretary, and member, which meant here was the Ethics Board of Semarang State University, so there are no obstacles in Human Resources.

B. Disposition Constraints

One of the obstacles to implementing policies is the disposition constraint, which is the difference in terms of the character of the policy maker. In the interview **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and Expert Staff of the Chancellor of the Law explained that differences of opinion and character are reasonable, instead they can become a color in policy making, so that it does not become an obstacle, instead it makes color in policy making.

C. Bureaucratic Constraints

Bureaucratic constraints are closely related to SOPs in making any legal product or in this case policy. **Ali Masyhar** as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff in the interview explained that all policies have been adjusted to the existing SOP.'

3. External constraints Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

External constraints are obstacles faced by policy makers in implementing policies. External constraints are closely related to the still occurrence of violations committed by students. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors.

1. Internal factors

Internal factors violations committed by students are factors that arise from within the student. Baidhowi explained that indeed the violation was due to the Human Resources (HR) of the students themselves, the enthusiasm of knowledge, then the human resources of the education staff. Influences the occurrence of violations. Khirul Mustaqim (FH UNNES Student, 2014) added that the internal factor of habitual violations committed by the students themselves, for parker violations could be due to rush factors. Anisyah (Chair of the 2019 UNNES KM DPM KM Department of Indonesian Language and Literature, 2015) stated that the external factor for violations was an apathetic student culture factor.

2. External Factors

External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside the student's self. The meaning is external factors that cause students to violate the Ethics of Campus Life. M.Reza Wahyu Artura Putra as the 2017 Faculty of Law student of UNNES stated that the external factor for violations was the lack of deep socialization. While Setyo Sri Prihatin as a 2016 UNNES FH Student also added that the external factor for violations was the absence of supervisors and strict sanctions. According to Setyo sanctions for violations are less severe. In addition, other factors, namely interference from outside parties or in this case are parties that are not students or community of Semarang State University, are obstacles in the implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy, in accordance with a statement from Baidhowi that other triggers for violations are related to factors facilities provided by the campus.

CONCLUSION

This research concluded that the Urgency of Normative Ethical Policy on Campus Life at the Faculty of Law is that the existence of a Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty is quite a guideline for students living on the Faculty of Law campus. It's just that in its implementation there is still a need for in-depth socialization and strict sanctions in its implementation. The Urgency of Sociological Policy on the Ethics of Campus Life in the Faculty of Law, namely the participation of the UNNES community, or in this case, all students, lecturers, education personnel and all leaders or officials of UNNES are still lacking primarily as students, due to their ignorance and lack of understanding of this regulation. . Students really need this as forming good student character so that in life on and off campus is also good. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law is the importance of in-depth socialization related to Campus Life Ethics. Data in the field shows that there are still some Faculty of Law students who commit violations of student ethics such as smoking in the campus area, cheating, wearing t-shirts and sandals during lectures, parking is not in place. Even though the rules regarding the ethics of campus life already existed but were not given enough attention and heeded by UNNES and FH UNNES students because of their ignorance and student misunderstanding regarding the Rector's Regulation.

Internal constraints Campus Life Ethics Policy Implementation in the Faculty of Law based on the interview above, shows that the internal constraints of policy implementation Campus Life Ethics in the Faculty of Law of Semarang are communication problems are difficulties in verbal delivery of speech into text. (1) External Constraints: Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors. (2) Internal factors: Internal factors of violations committed by students are factors that arise from within these students. Based on the results of this study, the researchers made conclusions about the constraints of internal factors faced by the Ethics Policy Makers of the Campus Life of Semarang State University, namely: 1) The character or character of the students themselves, 2) Student habits, 3) Factors of apathetic student culture. (3) External Factors: External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside themselves students. Based on the

results of this study, the researcher made a conclusion about the external constraints faced by the Ethics Policy Maker at the Semarang State University, namely: 1) Facilities from the campus that are felt by students to be incomplete, 2) Lack of in-depth supervision of deviant student behavior (ranging from minor violations to being allowed), 3) Lack of socialization about clear and real sanctions to students, so that students do not have fear when committing violations, 4) There is interference from outside parties, those who make the atmosphere worse, those who are not members of the UNNES community .

REFERENCE

- Alam, Lukis.2016. Internalisasi Nilai-Nilai Pendidikan Islam dalam Perguruan Tinggi Umum Melalui Lembaga Dakwah Kampus. Istawa : *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*. 1(2) :113.
- Atamimi, A, Hamid S. 1990. *Peranan Keputusan Presiden Republik Indonesia dalam Penyelenggaraan Pemerintahan Negara; Suatu Studi Analisis Mengenai Keputusan Presiden yang berfungsi Pengaturan dalam Kurun Waktu Pelita I–Pelita IV, Disertasi Ilmu Hukum Fakultas Pascasarjana Universitas Indonesia*, Jakarta.
- Dunn, William N.2003. *Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik*. Yogyakarta : Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Edward III George C.1980. *Implementing Public Policy*. Congressional Quarterly Press. Washington.
- Fajar, Mukti. dan Ahmad Yulianto.2010. *Dualisme Penelitian Hukum Normatif & Empiris*. Yogyakarta:Pustaka Belajar.
- Farida, Maria. 1998. *Ilmu Perundang-Undangan*, Yogyakarta: Kanisius..
- Hidayat, Nur. 2017.Rujukan Dan Aplikasi Sistem Hukum Indonesia Berdasarkan Pasal 1 Ayat (3) UUD 1945 Pasca Amandemen Ke Tiga. *UIR Law Review* 1 (2) : 191-200.
- Istighfarani, Dzikrina.2015. *Peningkatan Hasil Belajar IPS Melalui Model Mind Mapping Menggunakan Media Jarum Perjuangan Di Kelas V SD Negeri Sokawera.FKIP, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto*.
- Janeiro,Rio de.2017. Implementation of public health policy and its challenges in the digital age .*Brazilian Journal Of Public Administration*. 51 (6) : 1109.
- Jensen, Christian et al.2018. Policy implementation in the era of accelerating projectification:Synthesizing Matland’s conflict–ambiguity model and research on. *Public Policy and Administration*. 33(4) : 449.
- Nuraisyah. 2016. Penerapan Nilai-Nilai Akhlak Dalam Peraturan Dan Hukum Formal (Studi Terhadap Kode Etik Mahasiswa Stain Bukittinggi Tahun 2014). *Alhurriyah : Jurnal Hukum Islam* 1(1).
- Nurhardianto, Fajar. 2015. Sistem Hukum Dan Posisi Hukum Indonesia .*Jurnal TAPIS* 11 (1). Panduan Akademik Universitas Negeri Semarang tahun 2018
- Patton, Michael Quiin.2006.*Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Yogyakarta : Pustaka Belajar.
- Peraturan Perundang-Undangan**
- Peraturan Rektor Universitas Negeri Semarang Nomor 44 Tahun 2018 tentang Etika dan Tata Tertib Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2011 tentang pembentukan Peraturan Perundang-undangan.
- Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Peraturan Menteri Ristek Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 49 Tahun 2016 Tentang Statuta Universitas Negeri Semarang.

Sumber Web

<https://unnes.ac.id> di akses pada tanggal 16 Oktober 2018 pukul 20.11 WIB.

5. NOTIFICATION FOR EDITING PROCESS

From: "Ridwan Arifin" <ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id>

To: "Rodiyah Rodiyah" <rodiyahtangwun@gmail.com>, "Batari Laskarwati" <batarilaskarwati@gmail.com>, "Ali Masyhar" <ali_masyhar@mail.unnes.ac.id>, "Waspiah Waspiah" <waspiahtangwun@gmail.com>

Subject: [JILS] Editor Decision

Rodiyah Rodiyah, Batari Laskarwati, Ali Masyhar, Waspiah Waspiah:
The editing of your submission, "How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission

URL: <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jils/authorDashboard/submission/34343>

Ridwan Arifin
Universitas Negeri Semarang

ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id

JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies) is peer-reviewed journal published biannually on May and November by Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia. Email: jils@mail.unnes.ac.id

[JILS \(Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies\)](#)



RESEARCH ARTICLE

HOW SHOULD STUDENT BEHAVE? A LEGAL ETHICS AND POLICY TOWARDS NATIONALISM AND ANTI-RADICALISM CHARACTERS

Rodiyah^{1✉}, Batari Laskarwati², Ali Masyhar³, Waspiah⁴

¹Department of Administrative and Constitutional Law,
Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

^{2,3,4} Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

✉ rodiyah@mail.unnes.ac.id

Submitted: September 26, 2019 Revised: October 10, 2019 Accepted: November 1, 2019

ABSTRACT

The university has become an important place in the cultivation of character for generations in many countries. Many universities in the world also apply a variety of systems in making policies to shape the character of campus communities, including students. This study aims to analyze legal and ethical policies for students in fostering an attitude of nationalism and anti-radicalism. This study also aims to describe the various forms of implementation of student ethics policies that are applied in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University, Indonesia. This study uses a qualitative method with direct data collection through observation and interviews. This study bases its analysis on the theory of the operation of Lawrence M Friedman's law. The results of this study indicate that legal and ethical policies for students are interpreted by a variety of different interpretations both between managers and students. Various cases of inequality of perception lead to pros and cons. However, this research proves that the implementation of legal ethics and student character policies have been regulated in various rules, both at the national level (ministerial regulation), university level (rector regulation), and faculty level (dean regulation) all of which emphasize on forming attitudes nationalism and anti-radicalism.

Keywords: Legal Ethics; Policy; University; Student Character

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	259
TABLE OF CONTENTS	260
INTRODUCTION	260
METHOD	262
STUDENT ETHICS POLICY	263
I. NORMATIVE, SOCIOLOGICAL, EMPIRICAL URGENCY OF CAMPUS LIFE ETHICS POLICY AT THE FACULTY OF LAW, SEMARANG STATE UNIVERSITY	263
II. CONSTRAINTS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CAMPUS LIFE ETHICS POLICY AT THE FACULTY OF LAW, SEMARANG STATE UNIVERSITY	270
CONCLUSION	273
REFERENCES	274



Copyright © 2019 by Author(s)

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. All writings published in this journal are personal views of the authors and do not represent the views of this journal and the author's affiliated institutions.

HOW TO CITE:

Rodiyah, R., Laskarwati, B., Masyhar, A., & Waspiyah, W. (2019). How Should Student Behave? A Legal Ethics and Policy towards Nationalism and Anti-Radicalism Characters. *JILS (Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies)*, 4(2), 259-. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v4i2.34343>.

INTRODUCTION

Semarang State University (UNNES) is one of the State Universities in the city of Semarang. In 2018, Semarang State University has a vision of becoming a conservation-oriented and internationally reputable University. In 2018, Semarang State University has 8 Faculties, including: Faculty of Education, Faculty of Language and Arts, Faculty of Social Sciences, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Economics and Faculty of Law.

In 2019 Semarang State University has 8 Faculties and Postgraduate Programs with a significant number of students. The total number of Semarang State University students in 2019 was 29,087 students.

Table 1
Data Active Student of UNNES in 2019 (per January 2019)

No.	Program	Male student	Female Student	Number of Students
	Undergraduate Program (S1)			
1	Faculty of Education	889	3,288	4,177
2	Faculty of Language and Art	1,399	3,060	4,459
3	Faculty of Social Sciences	1,018	1,626	2,644
4	Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences	839	2,636	3,475
5	Faculty of Engineering	1,769	1,286	3,055
6	Faculty of Sports Science	1,823	1,240	3,063
7	Faculty of Economics	964	2,703	3,667
8	Faculty of Law	691	785	1,476
9	Graduate Program (S2)	1,341	1,730	3,071
	TOTAL	10,333	18,354	29,087

Source: *Data UNNES, 2019*

The 1945 Constitution in its opening mandate has mandated that, one of the goals of the nationhood of Indonesia is to educate the life of the nation, in this case the University has an important role in educating the generation of the Indonesian nation. Through education in Higher Education, which in this case is a place where humans are given education to be able to humanize humans. Specifically, for UNNES students, students must be able to condition their behavior in the local community of Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo. The local community around UNNES is often referred to as the *SEPASUKA* community (Sekaran, Patemon, Sukorejo, and Kalirejo).

Students as *agents of change* must have basic provisions before entering the community, one of which is to be ethical in the campus environment. Student ethics can be seen in students living on campus. The students themselves in ethics also need a guide in attitude or ethics. In this case, UNNES has a Chancellor Regulation Number 19 of 2016 concerning the Ethics and Code of Conduct of UNNES Students and then there is a regulation governing the same thing, Rector's Regulation Number

44 of 2018 which was ratified in December 2019. The Rector's Regulation is useful to support the Atmosphere Academic in campus life.

Researchers found several phenomena carried out by Law Faculty students around student behavior on campus. One of the phenomena encountered by researchers is the large number of students who commit violations of smoking in public places such as in parking lots, at the Student Activity Center (*Pusat Kegiatan Mahasiswa*, PKM), Mosque, and other places that should be sterile from cigarette smoke. Another thing that is found in research is an offense in terms of dress, ranging from students who wore t-shirts and some clothing disrespectful. In addition, the shoes worn by students did not match the appeal in the Faculty of Law. Other violations committed by students of the Faculty of Law are cheating, this practice is still practiced by students. Another phenomenon encountered by researchers is parking habits that are not in accordance with the rules set. The parking area at Semarang State University has been arranged in such a way, however in reality, many students are parking anywhere and outside the designated parking area. The phenomenon of violations committed by these students is contrary to the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Order of Semarang State University students. This study will discuss the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University which includes Normative, Sociological and Empirical Urgency. In addition, researchers will also discuss the Obstacles to the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University.

METHOD

The research method used in this study is to use qualitative approaches. A qualitative approach is a method of analyzing research results that produces descriptive analysis data, that is data stated by respondents in writing or verbally as well as real behavior, which is thoroughly researched and studied (Mukti, 2010). This type of research is sociological juridical, namely research that emphasizes the behavior of individuals or communities in relation to law (Marzuki & Peter, 2005). The focus of research in this study is the Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law being the needs of students of the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 of 2018 and the constraints of implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Law Faculty of Semarang State University in the perspective of Rector Regulation Number 44 of 2018. The location of this study was conducted in the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University. The data source used in this study is primary data which

includes the results of interviews and observations and secondary data which includes library data and documentation. Data collection techniques in this study by observation, interviews and study document. In this study, the data analysis process was carried out in four stages, namely data collection, data reduction, data presentation / data *display*, and drawing conclusions.

STUDENT ETHICS AND POLICY

I. NORMATIVE, SOCIOLOGICAL, EMPIRICAL URGENCY OF CAMPUS LIFE ETHICS POLICY AT THE FACULTY OF LAW, SEMARANG STATE UNIVERSITY

The urgency of the Campus Life Ethics Policy is needed to find out how far this policy is needed in regulating student ethics and discipline. The following will describe the urgency in terms of normative, sociological and empirical.

1. The Urgency of Normative Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Student Ethics and Rules of Conduct at Semarang State University is the official UNNES regulation governing the attitudes, actions, or behavior of students both on campus and off campus. UNNES students totaled 29,087 students. So, with such a large number of students a rule is needed to regulate the attitudes, actions and behavior of students in living on the campus of Semarang State University. Therefore, Semarang State University made Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning the Ethics and Student Rules of Semarang State University.

Nawiasky has a theory called *theorie von stufenaufbau der rechtsordnung*. The arrangement of norms according to the theory is the fundamental norms of the state (*Staatsfundamentalnorm*); Basic rules of the state (*Staatsgrundgesetz*); Formal Law (*Formell Gesetz*); and Implementation regulations and autonomous regulations (*Verordnung* *En* *Autonome Satzung*). *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is the norm that is the basis for the formation of the constitution or Basic Law (*staatsverfassung*) of a country. The legal position of a *Staatsfundamentalnorm* is as a condition for the application of a constitution. *Fundamental norms* exist first from a country's constitution (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287).

Based on the Nawiaky theory, A. Hamid S. Attamimi compared it to Kelsen's theory and applied it to the structure of the legal system in Indonesia. Attamimi shows the hierarchical structure of Indonesian law using Nawiasky's theory. Based on this theory, the structure of Indonesia's legal system is (Atamimi A, Hamid S, 1990; 287):

- 1) *Staatsfundamentalnorm* : Pancasila (Opening of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia).
- 2) *Staatsgrundgesetz*: Body of the 1945 Constitution, MPR Decree, and Convention
- 3) State Administration.
- 4) *Formell gesetz*: Law.
- 5) *Verordnung en Autonome Satzung*: Hierarchically from Government Regulations to Decisions of Regents or Mayors.

The position of the Chancellor's Regulation No. 44 of 2018, explained by Ali Masyar as Chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster and at the same time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members at Semarang State University, is as follows:




Fig. 1 Legal Position Rector's Regulation Number 44 of 2018

Based on the statement described above, matters regarding the urgency of the Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 were also conveyed, Ali Masyar as Chairperson of the Research and Community Service Development and Public Service Cluster and at the same time being an Expert Staff in Law and one of the Ethics Council members in Semarang State University, explains that the REGULATION of the Regulation Rector No. 44 of 2018 is that students are in on the right track, or is on track and really true. Mr. Ali added that the UNNES institution provides a regulation that is in line with the UNNES Vision and Mission, and so that students do not cross the tracks or remain on the right track. But in reality, on the ground, there are still many who violate the policy, this is due to several factors, ranging from internal factors and external factors.

In addition, there are Ethics Board as a supervisory policy, in fact, the Faculty of Law has provided a control-control, one example is the manufacture of X-Banner about communication ethics, ethics of dress and boards that contain moral messages. as stated by Nurul Febrianti as Chair of the Business Development, Career and Alumni Cluster who explained that for knowledge through exhibitors, then there are writings on the walls emphasizing moral education which is written in nature, if verbally, for example is a reprimand, like not may sit at the table. So, the reprimands tell and give understanding to them that this is wrong, but let the students be right, because there is no reprimand.

In addition to the socialization there were also exhibitors about dress ethics and communication ethics with lecturers at the Faculty of Law UNNES, in this case, the need for exhibitors at several points was not just 1, 2 points, but if necessary there were floors in the campus.

In addition to the preventive control that has been applied by the faculty, in the form of exhibitors and display of words that are positive, it is good that the lecturer gives a good example to students, becoming role models for students. This is the same as stated by Aprilia Naravita, as Secretary of the Collaborative Development Cluster, PKL and KKL who provide an explanation that there must be role models in this lecturer, because it is useless to be socialized loudly but not balanced with good role models from the lecturer.

2. Sociological Urgency Policy on Campus Life Ethics at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Sociological urgency is also needed considering in life on campus certainly involves the community, namely the community within the campus itself, besides that students are also closely related to the community outside the campus where, good ethics or good character must be owned by students to socialize on campus and off campus. One of the

functions of regulations or policies implemented by a tertiary institution, according to Ridwan Arifin's statement above, is that the function of a policy is to shape student character. So, through Rector's Regulation No. 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules is expected to be able to form a good student character.

Students in social life must naturally be armed with good ethics, so that the community also responds well. In ethics, students must know the basic concepts of ethics, and the following are the basic concepts of ethics (Kenneth, 2007; 155):

1. Responsibility (*responsibility*)

Responsibility means that you accept all costs, obligations and obligations that will arise as a consequence of the decision you make. In terms of responsibilities, students have learned responsibility from activities on campus, namely when students take part in intra and extra campus activities. The intra and extra campus ethics also affects the personality or character of the student. An example is UKM Scout as an organization that emphasizes the formation of student character. Mohammad Zacki Pratama asserted that by participating in Scouting UKM, understanding of the tasks and responsibilities was also taught.

2. Accountability (*accountability*)

Accountability is a characteristic of the system and social institutions: terms this means that there is a mechanism that determines who do act responsibly and who is responsible. The manifestation of accountability in this research is the presence of an Ethics Board whose task is to impose sanctions on students who violate them. The one who is responsible of course is the student who commits the violation, because the student is already considered an adult human who can be responsible for everything that happens due to his actions. One member of the Ethics Board is the Commander of the security guard, who can provide affirmative action prior to the realm of the ethics session such as reprimanding if there are students who think that do not match the parking lot. Thus, the accountability in the ethical concept in this study is fulfilled by the Ethics Board that determines who is responsible for any violations or problems.

3. Liability (*liability*)

Liabilities are the characteristics of a political system in which a legal entity takes the role of giving permission to individuals to repair losses caused by other actors, systems or organizations. The manifestation of the liability in this study is that it allows students to apologize for their mistakes. Students who make mistakes in guidance given by the college that is at the faculty level first, then when it cannot *handle* by the faculty, has submitted to the Ethics Board of the University level. But in this case, students are very permitted to improve themselves, with certain

conditions such as promises on the stamp will not repeat the mistakes that have been made. Tri Sulistyono as Vice Dean of the Faculty of Law explained that the Ethics Board will convene if there is a serious violation, if the violation is minor or is handled by the Faculty, so initially there are incoming reports related to violations committed by students, then analyzed by the Ethics Board into violations what, if it is mild, it will be handled by the Faculty, if it is entered into a serious violation, it will be held at the university level. Ali Masyar as a member of the University level Ethics Board revealed that, the Faculty has autonomy in making policies and handling when a problem occurs, with a note that it is still in the reasonable category and does not exceed the limits.

Students just need habituation in the act should be. Therefore, this regulation is very important to shape the character of UNNES students in living on campus and off campus. Therefore, students must begin to be ordered or disciplined from small things, until later in creating big things also orderly. Rodiyah explained that the small things we must order to create the big things, all of those people will obey the rules when we all apply lovingly. The role of students in the community done by Regita Prameswari, Student UNNES force FH 2017 following the organization of the campus extras that IPNU such as, form of bhakti activities social in orphanages. This shows that good character is obtained from organizations that are followed on campus and off campus. With regard to intra and extra campus activities, ethics in behavior is also written and unwritten. Examples of ethics written in the AD / ART of each organization. The unwritten ethics are a habit.

This is consistent with the statement Arifin, Student of 2016 following the Linguabase Unit (intra-campus) explained that there are AD/ART, for example, drinking alcohol, not allowed backbiting one another. Based on the interview above, it is clear that the character of students is formed not only in lectures but when students join both intra and extra-campus organizations, where each organization has its ethics both written and unwritten that have a sufficient impact both for the UNNES and SEPASUKA communities.

3. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

Student Ethics and Code of Conduct is no stranger to students who have been educated in tertiary institutions. However, even though it is familiar, in reality there are still many students who do not understand the Ethics and Rules of Conduct as students. Violations committed by UNHES FH students include smoking in the campus area, cheating, improper parking, wearing t-shirts during lectures, wearing short (disrespectful)

clothes while in college, wearing sandals. As stated by one of the 2015 FH UNNES students, Mariyatul Qibtiyah, who stated that they often see people smoking or students smoking in the gazebo, smoking in the canteen, and parking lots, as well as some students cheating during exams. The Faculty of Law has provided enforcement of smoking offenses in the form of making statements from students accompanied by KTM not to repeat them.

Some facts that occur in the field suggest that there are still many students who commit violations. Therefore, this regulation is important in order to regulate students in order to be lawful. Based on research conducted by researchers, some students who have been interviewed by researchers, the results are all students who do not understand anything related to ethics and order as students at UNNES, students only understand related to dress code, the rest they lack understanding.

Baidhowi stated that students' lack of understanding and ignorance regarding the Policy on Campus Life Ethics in addition to the lack of socialization was also due to student apathy. The understanding of students related to ethical policies in living on campus is very important, so there must be clear socialization related to the Ethics and Code of Conduct, considering that students' Ethics and Rules are used in living on campus and off campus.

Ali Masyhar as chairman of the Research and Community Service Development and Publication Cluster, UNNES Rector Expert Staff in Law, and members of the Student Ethics Board stated that socialization was already in place by each faculty, which was integrated at the time of PPAK, in PPAK there was material on Ethics in Campus life, but because the time is a little less absorbent for students. Student ignorance related to Ethics and Rules of students makes violations of Ethics and Rules occur, this is the same as conveyed by Erina Fionita Nurfajila (Political Science Student, 2016, Vice President of BEM KM 2019) that the possibility of the regulations has not been fully socialized, if it is conveyed fully for example the law is know whether or not considered know. Thus, ultimately the students to do something that is not known and abuse later declared guilty.

Lawrence M. Friedman argued that effective or not, and success or failure of a legal system depends on three elements, namely the law of substance law (*substance rule of law*), legal structure (*structure of the law*), legal culture (*legal culture*).

1. Legal substance (substance rule of the law)

The substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), the substance of the law (*substance rule of the law*), includes all written and unwritten rules, both material and formal law. The legal substance in the form of written rules in this study is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Student Rules and Appeals in the form of banners from each Faculty at

Semarang State University which are determined and agreed upon by the Faculty, and habits that live in Semarang State University in general and the Semarang State University Law Faculty in particular. Submission or outreach of this policy in the form of written rules and habits that are considered good by the surrounding environment such as the need to be polite and polite to the campus community such as lecturers and students. The above theory and interviews show that the legal substance at UNNES in general and the Faculty of Law in particular is written and unwritten law as well as formal and material law.

2. The structure of law (*structure of the law*)

Kelsen (2013) proposed legal structure (*structure of the law*), covers the legal Institution, Legal Apparatus and law enforcement system. The legal structure is an element of the procedure of legal formation and form of law (in a substantial substance and hierarchy). According to Lawrence Friedman that the structure is characteristic - feature of solid formed slowly by the power of social forces in the long term, which modification of demands occurred and her deposition the long term from the social demands more (Nur Hidayat, 2017; 195). Legal structure in this study are:

- a. Legal Institution in this research is Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Conduct of UNNES Students.
- b. Apparatus Law, namely policy makers, such as UNNES Rector, Vice-Rector for Student Affairs, UNNES Ethics Board, the Dean, Vice Dean for Student Affairs, De wan Ethics Faculty of Law UNNES. The structure of the Ethics Board can be seen in the attachment of this thesis.
- c. Law enforcement in this study was carried out starting from reports of student violations that violated ethics and discipline on campus, then was given guidance at the faculty level, and then when serious violations would be handed over to the University, the UNNES Student Ethics Board. Severe violations can lead to sanctions in the form of Rector's Decree ranging from suspension to dropout (DO). Law Enforcement can be seen in the content of Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 CHAPTER VI Article II.

3. Culture of law (*legal culture*)

Legal culture (*legal culture*), the emphasis of the culture in general, habits, opinions, way of acting and thinking, directing force in society. Legal culture is needed to make good habituation to students. The legal culture that has been carried out in the law faculty is habituation to law students to avoid plagiarism, the habituation is always stated by the lecturer in verbal speech while teaching in class and students are always directed to include

reference sources and post writing on campus walls in the form of writing "Be Original Don't Plagiarize" see Figure 4.2.1 (1).

II. CONSTRAINTS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CAMPUS LIFE ETHICS POLICY AT THE FACULTY OF LAW, SEMARANG STATE UNIVERSITY

Campus Life Ethics Policy at Semarang State University is an important policy for Semarang State University students because, Ethics is very important for ongoing learning and teaching activities and as a supporting factor for the realization of the Vision and Mission of Semarang State University. However, constraints arise in the implementation or implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy. There are two obstacles in implementing Campus Life Ethics Policy namely, internal and external constraints.

1. Internal constraints on the Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Semarang State University Law Faculty

Internal constraints are obstacles that come from the policy makers, or in this case the policy makers Rector's Regulation Number 44 Year 2018 Semarang State University. Judging from Edwards III's view, Edward III argues that policy implementation is influenced by four variables, namely: (1) communication, (2) resources, (3) disposition, and (4) bureaucratic structure. The four variables are also interconnected with each other (Edward III, 1980: 1).

1) Communication

Successful implementation of policies requires that the implementer knows what needs to be done. The Faculty of Law in communicating policy that is through delivery by the Dean and Vice Dean in events or activities on campus, one of which is in PPAK, Banner about dress ethics and communication ethics via telephone to lecturers, and written communication such as displays moral values on the Faculty of Law campus.

2) Sources

Human Resources is positioned as an implementer of policies. The resources in implementing the policy in this study are, the Chancellor, Deans, Vice Deans, the University Student Ethics Board and the Faculty Ethics Board, as well as lecturers and educational staff and security guards who act as supervisors.

3) Disposition

Disposition is the character and characteristics of the implementer. If the implementer has a good disposition, then he will run the policy as well as what is desired by policy makers. The characters possessed by the implementer in this study vary or vary, but the diversity of character actually becomes a good combination in making a policy or implementing a policy.

4) Structure of Bureaucracy

The organizational structure in charge of implementing the policy has a significant influence on the implementation of the policy. One of the important structural aspects of every organization is the existence of standard operating procedures (SOPs). SOP is a guideline for every implementer in acting. Organizational structure that is too long will tend to weaken supervision and lead to *red-tape*, which is a complex and complex bureaucratic procedure. This in turn causes organizational activities to be inflexible (Edward III, 1980; 1). Ali Masyhar said that the policy-making at the University had been adjusted by the existing SOP, the mechanism for hearing students who had committed violations was already in the attachment to Law Number 44 Year 2018. From Edward's theory, researchers then associate with data from interviews with policy makers. These obstacles were pointed out by Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board as well as the UNNES Rector's legal staff, where Ali Masyhar's statement was also approved by the Rector of UNNES as the policy maker.

2. Communication obstacle

Based on information from Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff, one of the obstacles in the implementation of policy making for Campus Life Ethics is the verbal delivery constraints what they want to regulate, namely the obstacles that they want to say (verbal obstacles) that they want to regulate same conveyed to the inside of a post.

A. Human Resource Constraints

The second obstacle is problem in Human Resources. Ali Masyhar explained that for Human Resources there were no obstacles because they were complete, starting from the chairman, deputy, secretary, and member, which meant here was the Ethics Board of Semarang State University, so there are no obstacles in Human Resources.

B. Disposition Constraints

One of the obstacles to implementing policies is the disposition constraint, which is the difference in terms of the character of the policy maker. In the interview Ali Masyhar as the Ethics Board and Expert Staff of the Chancellor of the Law explained that differences of opinion and character are reasonable, instead they can become a color in policy making, so that it does not become an obstacle, instead it makes color in policy making.

C. Bureaucratic Constraints

Bureaucratic constraints are closely related to SOPs in making any legal product or in this case policy. Ali Masyar as the Ethics Board and the Rector of Legal Expert Staff in the interview explained that all policies have been adjusted to the existing SOP.'

3. External constraints Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law, Semarang State University

External constraints are obstacles faced by policy makers in implementing policies. External constraints are closely related to the still occurrence of violations committed by students. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors.

1. Internal factors

Internal factors violations committed by students are factors that arise from within the student. Baidhowi explained that indeed the violation was due to the Human Resources (HR) of the students themselves, the enthusiasm of knowledge, then the human resources of the education staff. Influences the occurrence of violations. Khirul Mustaqim (FH UNNES Student, 2014) added that the internal factor of habitual violations committed by the students themselves, for parker violations could be due to rush factors. Anisyah (Chair of the 2019 UNNES KM DPM KM Department of Indonesian Language and Literature, 2015) stated that the external factor for violations was an apathetic student culture factor.

2. External Factors

External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside the student's self. The meaning is external factors that cause students to violate the Ethics of Campus Life. M.Reza Wahyu Artura Putra as the 2017 Faculty of Law student of UNNES stated that the external factor for violations was the lack of deep socialization. While Setyo Sri Prihatin as a 2016 UNNES FH Student also added that the external factor for violations was the absence of supervisors and strict sanctions. According to Setyo sanctions for violations are less severe. In addition, other factors, namely interference from outside parties or in this case are parties that are not students or community of Semarang State University, are obstacles in the implementation of the Campus Life Ethics policy, in accordance with a statement from Baidhowi that other triggers for violations are related to factors facilities provided by the campus.

CONCLUSION

This research concluded that the Urgency of Normative Ethical Policy on Campus Life at the Faculty of Law is that the existence of a Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty is quite a guideline for students living on the Faculty of Law campus. It's just that in its implementation there is still a need for in-depth socialization and strict sanctions in its implementation. The Urgency of Sociological Policy on the Ethics of Campus Life in the Faculty of Law, namely the participation of the UNNES community, or in this case, all students, lecturers, education personnel and all leaders or officials of UNNES are still lacking primarily as students, due to their ignorance and lack of understanding of this regulation. . Students really need this as forming good student character so that in life on and off campus is also good. The Empirical Urgency of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law is the importance of in-depth socialization related to Campus Life Ethics. Data in the field shows that there are still some Faculty of Law students who commit violations of student ethics such as smoking in the campus area, cheating, wearing t-shirts and sandals during lectures, parking is not in place. Even though the rules regarding the ethics of campus life already existed but were not given enough attention and heeded by UNNES and FH UNNES students because of their ignorance and student misunderstanding regarding the Rector's Regulation.

Internal constraints Campus Life Ethics Policy Implementation in the Faculty of Law based on the interview above, shows that the internal constraints of policy implementation Campus Life Ethics in the Faculty of Law of Semarang are communication problems are difficulties in verbal delivery of speech into text. (1) External Constraints: Implementation of Campus Life Ethics Policy at the Faculty of Law. There are several factors of student abuse. Researchers divide it into 2 factors, namely internal and external factors. (2) Internal factors: Internal factors of violations committed by students are factors that arise from within these students. Based on the results of this study, the researchers made conclusions about the constraints of internal factors faced by the Ethics Policy Makers of the Campus Life of Semarang State University, namely: 1) The character or character of the students themselves, 2) Student habits, 3) Factors of apathetic student culture. (3) External Factors: External factors occurring violations against students are factors outside themselves students. Based on the results of this study, the researcher made a conclusion about the external constraints faced by the Ethics Policy Maker at the Semarang State University, namely: 1) Facilities from the campus that are felt by students to be incomplete, 2) Lack of in-depth supervision of deviant student behavior (ranging from minor violations to being allowed), 3) Lack of socialization about clear and real sanctions to students, so that students do not have fear when committing violations, 4) There is interference from outside parties, those

who make the atmosphere worse, those who are not members of the UNNES community.

REFERENCES

- Alam, L. (2016). Internalisasi Nilai-Nilai Pendidikan Islam dalam Perguruan Tinggi Umum Melalui Lembaga Dakwah Kampus. *Istawa: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 1(2), 113.
- Atamimi, A., & Hamid, S. (1990). *Peranan Keputusan Presiden Republik Indonesia dalam Penyelenggaraan Pemerintahan Negara; Suatu Studi Analisis Mengenai Keputusan Presiden yang berfungsi Pengaturan dalam Kurun Waktu Pelita I-Pelita IV*, Disertasi Ilmu Hukum Fakultas Pascasarjana Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Dunn, W.N. (2003). *Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Edward III George C. (1980). *Implementing Public Policy*. Washington: Congressional Quarterly Press.
- Fajar, M., & Yulianto, A. (2010). *Dualisme Penelitian Hukum Normatif & Empiris*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Farida, M. (1998). *Ilmu Perundang-Undangan*, Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Hidayat, N. (2017). Rujukan dan Aplikasi Sistem Hukum Indonesia Berdasarkan Pasal 1 Ayat (3) UUD 1945 Pasca Amandemen Ke Tiga. *UIR Law Review*, 1(2), 191-200.
- Istighfarani, D. (2015). *Peningkatan Hasil Belajar IPS Melalui Model Mind Mapping Menggunakan Media Jarum Perjuangan Di Kelas V SD Negeri Sokawera*. FKIP, Universitas Muhamadiyyah Purwokerto.
- Jensen, C. et al. (2018). Policy implementation in the era of accelerating projectification: Synthesizing Matland's conflict-ambiguity model and research on. *Public Policy and Administration*, 33(4), 449.
- Nuraisyah, N. (2016). Penerapan Nilai-Nilai Akhlak Dalam Peraturan Dan Hukum Formal (Studi Terhadap Kode Etik Mahasiswa STAIN Bukittinggi Tahun 2014). *Alhurriyah: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 1(1),
- Nurhardianto, F. (2015). Sistem Hukum dan Posisi Hukum Indonesia. *Jurnal TAPIS*, 11(1).
- Panduan Akademik Universitas Negeri Semarang Tahun 2018
- Patton, M.Q. (2006). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.

Laws and Regulation

- Rector Regulation Semarang State University Number 44 of 2018 concerning Ethics and Rules of Order of Semarang State University Students [Peraturan Rektor Universitas Negeri Semarang Nomor 44 Tahun 2018 tentang Etika dan Tata Tertib Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Semarang].
- Law Number 12 of 2011 concerning the establishment of Laws and Regulations [Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2011 tentang pembentukan Peraturan Perundang-undangan].
- Law Number 12 of 2012 concerning Higher Education [Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pendidikan Tinggi].
- Regulation of the Minister of Research and Technology and Higher Education Number 49 Year 2016 Regarding the Statute of Semarang State University [Peraturan Menteri Ristek Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 49 Tahun 2016 Tentang Statuta Universitas Negeri Semarang].

QUOTE

In law a man is guilty when he
violates the rights of others.
In ethics he is guilty if he only
thinks of doing so.

Immanuel Kant