





TIMELINE BUKTI KORESPONDENSI AUTHOR


Judul: Scientific Communication Skills Profile of Prospective Science Teachers Based on Sociocultural Aspects

Aktivitas dan Waktu	Bukti Korespondensi
<p>Submit: 7 Mei 2020 - 04:50 AM</p>	
<p>Review: Info masuk Proses Review 8 Mei 2020 – 9:28 AM</p>	
<p>Korespondensi/ membalas info proses review 10 Mei 2020 – 02:12 AM</p>	


Info hasil Review
15 Juni 2020




Revisi dan upload
hasil review
18 Juni 2020




Accepted
19 Juni 2020




Konfirmasi Publish
22 Juni 2020



Publish
30 Juni 2020



LoA
01 Juli 2023





MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392
Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Research, Technology, and Higher Education No. 2/E/KPT/2015
SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q3 SCIMAGOJR
Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.
Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

LETTER OF STATEMENT

No: 27/JPII/R.A./2020

I hereby declare that the article:

Title : **SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS**

authors : 1. Muhamad Taufiq
2. Fathur Rokhman

is **APPROVED** to be published in JPII for June 2020 Issue.

Hereby this statement is made truthfully and to be used accordingly.

Semarang, 22 June 2020

First Author,

Muhamad Taufiq



**MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA**



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392

Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Research, Technology, and Higher Education No. 2/E/KPT/2015
SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q3 SCIMAGOJR

Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.
Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

**DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA**

We the undersigned declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication or submitted elsewhere.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

The first author,

Muhamad Taufiq

SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

by Muhamad Taufiq

Submission date: 17-Jun-2020 10:55AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1345186467

File name: Muhamad_Taufiq_revised_3_cek_turnitin.docx (146.65K)

Word count: 4126

Character count: 24730



SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

DOI: 10.15294/jpii.v6i2.11276

Accepted: . Approved: . Published:

ABSTRACT

One of the most important skills mastered by future science teacher candidates is scientific communication. Meanwhile, the background of sociocultural aspects influences communication which must also have a scientific basis. This research aims to analyse the scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research using a questionnaire as a data collection tool. The population in this research were students of natural science education programs at one of the state universities in the city of Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia, while the sample amounted to 87 students. The results of this study indicate that the sociocultural aspects of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects are specified in two levels, namely Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO). At the ZPD level some 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. Meanwhile, at the MKO level the criteria are intermediate and advance with percentages almost equal to 49% and 51%, respectively. It was concluded that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects was in the intermediate and advance criteria. Students are indicated to experience "vernacular misconceptions" related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of regional languages that are not relevant to scientific languages. Further research needs to be carried out on the identification of scientific misconceptions related to sociocultural factors.

© 2020 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

Keywords: scientific communication skills, sociocultural aspects, prospective science teachers

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century with the dynamics of the disruption era that presents sociocultural and technological transformation in terms of the industrial revolution 4.0 or society 5.0 encourages each individual to possess future special skills better known as 21st Century Skills. Fullan & Langworthy (2013) also in Neghavati (2016), identified key skills for the future in 6C

which included communication, collaboration, creativity, critical thinking, character and connectivity.

Current and future requirement in the field of education include the development of models and media to support the achievement of competencies expected in line with the development of science and technology (Taufiq et al., 2014). Communication skills become one of the skills that must be possessed in the world

*Address Correspondence:
E-mail: muhamadtaufiq@mail.unnes.ac.id

of work including the science teacher profession and scientists. Someone who does not master the key skills of the future will be in an unfavorable position in global competence, and can have difficulty facing challenges and obstacles that arise along with the development of science and technology (Edwards, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2016; Cantor, 2019).

Prospective science teachers in the future must have the competence to communicate well. Communication skills consist of oral, written and nonverbal communication skills (Griffith et al., 2003; Dipalaya et al., 2016; San-Valero et al., 2019). This communication skill can be defined as a skill in conveying or sharing ideas about the knowledge that has been obtained (Kivunja, 2015; Sapriadi et al., 2018). The nature of science itself includes four elements, namely: 1) elements of attitude, 2) process, 3) products and 4) applications that are scientific, must be delivered with scientific communication skills.

According to Levy et al. (2008) indicators of scientific communication skills consist of: (1) identifying the ability to obtain information; (2) can state everyday events in language or symbols; (3) contribute ideas in group work; and (4) explains ideas and tasks in the making of products/ reports; and (5) communicating product results can be in the form of work or reports. The scientific communication skills in this research focused on 1) high-level learning skills that are scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation (Binkley et al., 2012; Kent et al., 2014).

Improving everyone's communication skills in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics and the public is very important for the future (Chen & Simpson, 2015; Najmr et al., 2018; Ntemngwa & Oliver (2018); Price et al., 2019). On the other hand the background of the student environment is influenced by sociocultural conditions making diverse motives and needs, giving rise to a dynamic direction of social change, so that communication in society must also have a scientific basis. Sociocultural is a term related to social and cultural factors, which means traditions, habits, patterns, and beliefs that exist

in a population (Van Steensel, 2006; Routray et al., 2015; Burgoon et al., 2016). Ponterotto (2010) and Suriel & Atwater (2012), state that research in socio-multicultural science education continues to be influenced by regional cultures, ethnicities, regional origins, regional languages, diverse languages, social attitudes, and different styles. According to LaPoint et al. (2010), to promote youth development, it is important to identify and understand sociocultural factors. This is very relevant because of the increasing diversity of social, cultural and technology among youth. Scientific communication needs to regard the sociocultural aspects that are around the environment that want to communicate. The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher is not the same and no mapping has been done before, specifically based on sociocultural aspects. Mapping profiles of students' initial skills in general and specifically scientific communication skills should ideally be identified as early as possible (Dewi et al., 2017; Mercer-Mapstone, & Matthews, 2017; Rootman-le Grange & Retief, 2018) so that is very important for later learning processes can be designed meaningful learning so that students are able to relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures (Goldin et al., 2011; Ifenthaler et al., 2011; Karpicke & Grimaldi, 2012).

The purpose and focus of this research is to analyze the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. Indicator limits on scientific communication skills measured include scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation. Whereas the sociocultural aspect specified refers to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory. This research was conducted to analyze and answer research question: How is the description of the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher students based on sociocultural aspects? This research was limited without making comparisons or connecting with other variables.

METHODS

This research applies a quantitative survey research method, which is a study that uses a standardized questionnaire to collect data about people/ respondents and their preferences, thoughts, and behavior systematically (Bhattacharjee, 2012). The population in this study was students of the Natural Sciences Study Program odd semester 2019 at one of the state universities in the city of Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. Non-probability sampling techniques carried out on the basis of the number or ration that has been determined or called purposive sampling (MacInnis et al., 2018; Rivera, 2019). Consideration of sampling in this research is a subject that is easily found so that it facilitates data collection. In addition, the characteristics of the socio-cultural background of prospective science teacher in this research are spread from various regions in Indonesia. The sample in this research amounted to 87 student of prospective science teachers.

Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research using a questionnaire instrument with Goggle form online media as a data collection method. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: (1) to gather information from respondents about the level of sociocultural aspects; (2) to gather information from respondents about the profile of scientific communication skills. Seven-step process for questionnaires design in this research adapted from Artino et al. (2014), that are (1) conduct literature review; (2) conduct focus groups; (3) synthesize the literature review and focus groups; (4) develop items; (5) conduct expert validation; (6) conduct cognitive review; and (7) conduct pilot testing before before delivering to students. The questionnaire about the level of sociocultural aspects consisted of 10 question items (Examples of questionnaire content/ statement content on indicators of socio-cultural aspects include: Where is your origin region/ city?; The people from whom I come from education have become priorities in both formal and non-formal education and the level of literacy is evenly distributed; My local community language is more often used than the national/ international language, both in spoken

and writing), while the questionnaire about the profile of scientific communication skills consisted of 13 question items (Examples of question/ statement content on indicators of scientific communication skills include: I am familiar searching for online learning resources like e-books, online journals, virtual labs etc.; I voluntarily state my ideas in various ways including explanations in Indonesian and local languages; and Some diagnostic questions to identify students science misconception). Data obtained from the questionnaire were analyzed descriptively quantitatively according to the descriptive statistical methods used in this research, which were interpreted based on certain criteria (Hamdi & Bahrudin, 2015; Loeb et al., 2017) and or limitations based on the theoretical review (Nardi, 2015; Norris et al., 2015).

The logical scheme of the steps carried out in the research methods adapted from Ghina et al. (2017) and relevant to Arsić et al. (2020) can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Logical scheme of the steps taken in the research methodology

The profile of scientific communication skills in this research are categorized into four categories with scoring criteria according to Table 1.

Table 1. Scoring criteria for scientific communication skills profile categories

No	Criteria	Score
1	Basic	13-25
2	Emerging	26-38
3	Intermediate	39-51
4	Advance	52-65

After sorting the data, sociocultural aspects are specified on two levels according to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory of Cognitive Development that are the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO) (Shabani, 2016; Abtahi et al., 2017). The results of scientific communication skills data are represented by six indicators of high-level learning skills, namely scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the recapitulation analysis of questionnaire data responses of this research indicate that the sociocultural aspects (Routray et al., 2015; Burgoon et al., 2016; Gay, 2018) of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects data are specified in two levels, namely Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO). The results of the data analysis showed that the sociocultural aspects of the prospective science teacher who were at the ZPD level were 17%, while those at the MKO level were 83%. These results indicate that the sociocultural aspects of prospective science teacher are far more at the MKO level compared to the ZPD level.

According to Vygotsky's theory, a person who is at the ZPD level for a particular task can almost do the task independently, but still needs the help of others to carry out the task successfully. At this level social influence, especially instructions from

someone is very important for cognitive development. At the MKO level a person learns through social interaction that includes collaborative and cooperative dialogue with someone who is more skilled in the tasks they are trying to learn. According to Schilhab & Esbensen (2019), sociocultural aspects also determine cognitive processes. In this case, the results of the sociocultural aspects of prospective teacher in general at the MKO level are supposed to strongly support cognitive development including scientific communication. However, at the MKO level of 10 items examined in the sociocultural aspects, there were 2 items whose average score was not as expected, that are on social attitudes, especially gender equality and the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing the frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means something to many people who are not experts with local characteristics, has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions".

The sociocultural aspects at both the ZPD and MKO levels were analyzed in terms of the scientific communication skills. The results of the analysis of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Profile of Scientific Communication Skills Based on Sociocultural Aspects

Based on the data in Figure 2, the scientific communication profile of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are in the intermediate and advance criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level the

criteria are intermediate and advance with almost 13 same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Based on the results of this analysis the two levels of sociocultural aspects both ZPD and MKO although on the same criteria are intermediate and advance, but at the MKO level the percentage of advance criteria is higher. These results are in line with Vygotsky's concept of scaffolding. Scaffolding refers to the temporary support given to students where by others who are more expert so that it allows students to carry out tasks until the time they can do this task independently. Scaffolding requires a change in the quality and quantity of support given to students during teaching sessions. MKO adjusts the level of guidance to fit the level of student performance. For new tasks, MKO can use direct instruction. As students become more accustomed to the task and become more skilled at it, MKO can then provide less guidance. Consistent with Ahmad et al. (2019), the need for transformation in education will inevitably occur even if they themselves are not ready. Sociocultural theory recognizes that knowledge is a construction between individuals or between members of groups of people. Sociocultural theories can be adopted throughout the information literacy research process and also in the curriculum design process (Wang et al., 2011).

The findings show that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects at the majority of the ZPD level on the intermediate criteria, while the MKO level is balanced on the intermediate and advance criteria. However, based on analysis each indicator of scientific communication skills used in 2 is research, that are: (1) taking scientific information; (2) scientific reading; (3) listening and observing, (4) scientific writing; (5) information representation; and (6) 8 owledge presentation. Profiles on the indicator of scientific writing and knowledge presentation are still on emerging criteria, in add 8 on to those on intermediate criteria.

Scientific communication skills indicator of scientific writing the average score is 48%, students gave responses indicated science misconceptions, especially in writing and reading 10 mbolic languages. This finding is correlated with the results of the analysis of students' sociocultural aspects related to the use of various languages used in daily and written communication. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means

something to many people who are not experts with regional characteristics, has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions". Scientific communication skills indicator of knowledge presentation, students give a response that they do not or do not understand the rules of preparing slides for research report presentations, publication of scientific articles and portfolios. Scientific presentation skills are closely related to self-confidence and continuous experience (Earp & Trafimow, 2015). Students feel unconfident and need more practice to develop knowledge presentations and understanding the rules of preparing presentation slides for research reports, publishing scientific articles and portfolios.

The findings of th 15 research provide new information about the importance of mapping scientific communication skills profiles of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. This knowledge is very useful in the preparation of meaningful learning designs so that students are able to develop scientific communication skills optimally and are also able to relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures and also minimize or reduce the occurrence of science misconceptions, especially "vernacular misconceptions".

CONCLUSION

The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects is in the intermediate and advance criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level the criteria are intermediate and advance with almost the same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Students are indicated to experience "vernacular misconceptions" related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular that is not relevant to scientific languages. This reveals that scientific communication skills of students in learning science necessary to be optimized and further explored in terms of sociocultural aspects with scientific communication skills.

REFERENCES

- Abtahi, Y., Graven, M., & Lerman, S. (2017). Conceptualising the more knowledgeable other within a multi-directional ZPD. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *96*(3), 275-287.
- Ahmad, S. A., Yoke, S. K., Yunus, R. M., & Amin, J. M. (2019). Exploring Lecturers' Readiness for 21st Century Education in Malaysian Higher Learning Institutions. *European Journal of Teaching and Education*, *1*(1), 15-29.
- Arsić, M., Jovanović, Z., Tomić, R., Tomović, N., Arsić, S., & Bodolo, I. (2020). Impact of Logistics Capacity on Economic Sustainability of SMEs. *Sustainability*, *12*(5), 1911.
- Artino Jr, A. R., La Rochelle, J. S., Dezee, K. J., & Gehlbach, H. (2014). Developing questionnaires for educational research: AMEE Guide No. 87. *Medical teacher*, *36*(6), 463-474.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). *Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices*. Text books Collection 3. University of South Florida. http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks/3.
- Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). *Defining twenty-first century skills*. In *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills* (pp. 17-66). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Burgoon, J. K., Guerrero, L. K., & Floyd, K. (2016). *Nonverbal communication*. Routledge.
- Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context1. *Applied Developmental Science*, *23*(4), 307-337.
- Chen, P. D., & Simpson, P. A. (2015). Does personality matter? Applying Holland's Typology to analyze students' self-selection into science, technology, engineering, and mathematics majors. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *86*(5), 725-750.
- Dipalaya, T., Susilo, H., & Corebima, A. D. (2016). Pengaruh Strategi Pembelajaran PDEODE (Predict-Discuss-Explain-Observe-Discuss-Explain) Pada Kemampuan Akademik Berbeda Terhadap Keterampilan Komunikasi Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, *1*(9), 1713-1720.
- Dewi, I. N., Poedjiastoeti, S., & Prahani, B. K. (2017). Elsii learning model based local wisdom to improve students' problem solving skills and scientific communication. *International Journal of Education and Research*, *5*(1), 107-118.
- Earp, B. D., & Trafimow, D. (2015). Replication, falsification, and the crisis of confidence in social psychology. *Frontiers in psychology*, *6*, 621.
- Edwards, M. (2013). *Future positive: International cooperation in the 21st century*. Routledge.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2013). *Towards a new end: New pedagogies for deep learning*. Seattle, Washington: Collaborative Impact.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Ghina, A., Simatupang, T. M., & Gustomo, A. (2017). The relevancy of graduates' competencies to the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education: A case study at SBM ITB-Indonesia. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, *20*(1), 1-24.
- Goldin, G. A., Epstein, Y. M., Schorr, R. Y., & Warner, L. B. (2011). Beliefs and engagement structures: Behind the affective dimension of mathematical learning. *ZDM*, *43*(4), 547.
- Griffith, C. H., Wilson, J. F., Langer, S., & Haist, S. A. (2003). House staff nonverbal communication skills and standardized patient satisfaction. *Journal of general internal medicine*, *18*(3), 170-174.
- Hamdi, A. S., & Bahrudin, E. (2015). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif aplikasi dalam pendidikan*. Deepublish.
- Ifenthaler, D., Masduki, I., & Seel, N. M. (2011). The mystery of cognitive structure and how we can detect it: tracking the development of cognitive structures over time. *Instructional Science*, *39*(1), 41-61.
- Karpicke, J. D., & Grimaldi, P. J. (2012). Retrieval-based learning: A perspective for enhancing meaningful learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, *24*(3), 401-418.
- Kent, S., Wanzek, J., Petscher, Y., Al Otaiba, S., & Kim, Y. S. (2014). Writing fluency and quality in kindergarten and first grade: The role of attention, reading, transcription, and oral language. *Reading and writing*, *27*(7), 1163-1188.
- Kivunja, C. (2015). Exploring the Pedagogical Meaning and Implications of the 4Cs "Super Skills" for the 21st Century through Bruner's 5E Lenses of Knowledge Construction to Improve Pedagogies of the New Learning Paradigm. *Creative Education*, *6*(2), 224-239.
- LaPoint V., Butty JA.M., Danzy C., Small C. (2010). *Sociocultural Factors*. In: Claus-Ehlers C.S. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Cross-Cultural School Psychology*. Springer, Boston, MA.
- Levy O S, Eylon B S and Scherz Z. (2008) Teaching communication skills in science: Tracing teacher change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *24* (2), 462-477.
- Loeb, S., Dynarski, S., McFarland, D., Morris, P., Reardon, S., & Reber, S. (2017). *Descriptive Analysis in Education: A Guide for Researchers*. NCEE 2017-4023. National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- MacInnis, B., Krosnick, J. A., Ho, A. S., & Cho, M. J. (2018). The accuracy of measurements with probability and nonprobability survey samples: Replication and extension. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *82*(4), 707-744.
- Mercer-Mapstone, L. D., & Matthews, K. E. (2017). Student perceptions of communication skills in undergraduate science at an Australian research-intensive university. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, *42*(1), 98-114.
- Najmr, S., Chae, J., Greenberg, M. L., Bowman, C., Harkavy, I., & Maeyer, J. R. (2018). A service-learning chemistry course as a model to improve undergraduate scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *95*(4), 528-534.

- Nardi, P. M. (2015). *Doing survey research*. Routledge.
- Neghavati, A. (2016). Core Skills Training in a Teacher Training Programme. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, **232**, 617-622.
- Norris, J. M., Plonsky, L., Ross, S. J., & Schoonen, R. (2015). Guidelines for reporting quantitative methods and results in primary research. *Language Learning*, **65**(2), 470-476.
- Ntemngwa, C., & Oliver, S. (2018). The implementation of integrated science technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) instruction using robotics in the middle school science classroom. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, **6**(1), 12-40.
- Nurulwati, N., Veloo, A., & Ali, R. M. (2014). Suatu tinjauan tentang jenis-jenis dan penyebab miskonsepsi fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)*, **2**(1), 87-95.
- Rivera, J. D. (2019). When attaining the best sample is out of reach: Nonprobability alternatives when engaging in public administration research. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, **25**(3), 314-342.
- Sapriadi, S., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Malik, A., Safitri, D., Lisdiani, S. A. S., & Hermita, N. (2018). Optimizing students' scientific communication skills through higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing*, **1013**(1), 012050.
- Schilhab, T. S., & Esbensen, G. L. (2019). Socio-cultural influences on situated cognition in nature. *Frontiers in psychology*, **10**, 980.
- Price, C. A., Kares, F., Segovia, G., & Loyd, A. B. (2019). Staff matter: Gender differences in science, technology, engineering or math (STEM) career interest development in adolescent youth. *Applied developmental science*, **23**(3), 239-254.
- Ponterotto, J. G. (2010). Qualitative research in multicultural psychology: Philosophical underpinnings, popular approaches, and ethical considerations. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, **16**(4), 581.
- Rootman-le Grange, I., & Retief, L. (2018). Action research: integrating chemistry and scientific communication to foster cumulative knowledge building and scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, **95**(8), 1284-1290.
- Routray, P., Schmidt, W. P., Boisson, S., Clasen, T., & Jenkins, M. W. (2015). Socio-cultural and behavioural factors constraining latrine adoption in rural coastal Odisha: an exploratory qualitative study. *BMC public health*, **15**(1), 880.
- San-Valero, P., Robles, A., Ruano, M. V., Martí, N., Cháfer, A., & Badia, J. D. (2019). Workshops of innovation in chemical engineering to train communication skills in science and technology. *Education for Chemical Engineers*, **26**, 114-121.
- Shabani, K. (2016). Applications of Vygotsky's sociocultural approach for teachers' professional development. *Cogent education*, **3**(1), 1252177.
- Suriel, R. L., & Atwater, M. M. (2012). From the contribution to the action approach: White teachers' experiences influencing the development of multicultural science curricula. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, **49**(10), 1271-1295.
- Taufiq, M., Dewi, N. R., & Widiyatmoko, A. (2014). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran IPA Terpadu Berkarakter Peduli Lingkungan Tema "Konservasi" Berpendekatan Science-Edutainment. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, **3**(2), 123-129.
- Van Steensel, R. (2006). Relations between socio-cultural factors, the home literacy environment and children's literacy development in the first years of primary education. *Journal of Research in Reading*, **29**(4), 367-382.
- Wang, L., Bruce, C., & Hughes, H. (2011). Sociocultural theories and their application in information literacy research and education. *Australian Academic & Research Libraries*, **42**(4), 296-308.
- Wijaya, E. Y., Sudjimat, D. A., Nyoto, A., & Malang, U. N. (2016). Transformasi pendidikan abad 21 sebagai tuntutan pengembangan sumber daya manusia di era global. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika*, **1**(26), 263-278.

SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Ornit Spektor-Levy, Bat-Sheva Eylon, Zahava Scherz. "Teaching communication skills in science: Tracing teacher change", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2008

Publication

1%

2

E A Patriot, A Suhandi, D T Chandra. "Optimize scientific communication skills on work and energy concept with implementation of interactive conceptual instruction and multi representation approach", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

1%

3

Lilly Briggs, Nancy Trautmann, Tina Phillips. "Exploring challenges and lessons learned in cross-cultural environmental education research", Evaluation and Program Planning, 2019

Publication

1%

"Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning",

4

Springer Science and Business Media LLC,
2012

Publication

1%

5

Jose Mercado. "Chapter 376 School-to-Work",
Springer Nature, 2010

Publication

1%

6

Zahava Scherz, Ornit Spektor-Levy, Bat Sheva
Eylon. "Chapter 19 "Scientific Communication":
An Instructional Program for High-Order
Learning Skills and Its Impact on Students'
Performance", Springer Science and Business
Media LLC, 2005

Publication

1%

7

Qurratun Khairina, Nofri Yuhelman, Jumriana
Rahayuningsih. "Efek kooperatif-talking chips
terintegrasi video pembelajaran pada hasil
belajar siswa", JIPVA (Jurnal Pendidikan IPA
Veteran), 2019

Publication

1%

8

M Alpusari, E A Mulyani, Z H Putra, A
Widyanthi, N Hermita. "Identifying Students'
Scientific Communication Skills on Vertebrata
Organs", Journal of Physics: Conference Series,
2019

Publication

<1%

9

Siti Anisatur Rofiqah, Widayanti, Ahmad Rozaqi.
"Thinking Aloud Pair Problem Solving (TAPPS)

<1%

Method: The Effect of Understanding Physics Concepts and Communication in High Schools in Indonesia", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

10

M. Rahmad, Dina Syaflita, M. Nor, Metty Susanti. "Needs Analysis of Air Quality Detection Tool in Project Based Learning", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

<1%

11

Garland L. Crawford, Kathryn D. Kloepper, John J. Meyers, Richard H. Singiser. "Communicating Chemistry: An Introduction", American Chemical Society (ACS), 2019

Publication

<1%

12

Taufiq Hidayat, Endang Susilaningsih, Kurniawan dan Cepi. "The Effectiveness of Enrichment Test Instruments Design to Measure Students' Creative Thinking Skills and Problem-Solving", Thinking Skills and Creativity, 2018

Publication

<1%

13

A Malik, A Setiawan, A Suhandi, A Permanasari, A Samsudin, Y Dirgantara, H Y Suhendi, I M Sari, N Hermita. "The development of higher order thinking laboratory (hotlab) model related to heat transfer topic", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

<1%

14

Mathematics Education in the Digital Era, 2016.

Publication

<1%

15

Ilse Rootman-le Grange, Liezel Retief. "Action Research: Integrating Chemistry and Scientific Communication To Foster Cumulative Knowledge Building and Scientific Communication Skills", Journal of Chemical Education, 2018

Publication

<1%

16

"Information Literacy: Key to an Inclusive Society", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016

Publication

<1%

17

Anthony R. Artino, Jeffrey S. La Rochelle, Kent J. Dezee, Hunter Gehlbach. "Developing questionnaires for educational research: AMEE Guide No. 87", Medical Teacher, 2014

Publication

<1%

18

Shirly Avargil, Zehavit Kohen, Yehudit Judy Dori. "Trends and Perceptions of Choosing Chemistry as a Major and a Career", Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 2020

Publication

<1%

19

"Developmental Perspectives in Written Language and Literacy", John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2017

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On



SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

M. Taufiq¹, F. Rokhman²

¹ Department of Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

² Department of Indonesian Language, Faculty of Language and Art, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

DOI: 10.15294/jpii.v6i2.11276

Accepted: May 7th, 2020. Approved: May 30th, 2020. Published: June 15th, 2020.

ABSTRACT

One of the most important skills mastered by future science teacher candidates is scientific communication. The students' environmental background is influenced by sociocultural conditions making diverse motives and needs, giving rise to a dynamic direction of social change, so that communication in society must also have a scientific basis. This research aims to analyse the scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research using a questionnaire as a data collection tool. The population in this research were students of natural science education programs at one of the state universities in the city of Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia, while the sample amounted to 87 students. The results of this study indicate that the sociocultural aspects of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects data are specified in two levels, namely Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO). At the ZPD level some 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. Meanwhile, at the MKO level the criteria are intermediate and advance with percentages almost equal to 49% and 51%, respectively. It was concluded that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects was in the intermediate and advance criteria. Students are indicated to experience "vernacular misconceptions" related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of regional languages that are not relevant to scientific languages. Further research needs to be carried out on the identification of scientific misconceptions related to sociocultural factors.

© 2020 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

Keywords: scientific communication skills, sociocultural aspects, prospective science teachers

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century with the dynamics of the disruption era that presents sociocultural and technological transformation in terms of the industrial revolution 4.0 or society 5.0 encourages each individual to possess future special skills better known as 21st Century Skills. Fullan & Langworthy (2013) also in Neghavati (2016), identified key skills for the future in 6C which included communication, collaboration,

creativity, critical thinking, character and connectivity.

Current and future requirement in the field of education include the development of models and media to support the achievement of competencies expected in line with the development of science and technology (Taufiq et al., 2014). Communication skills become one of the skills that must be possessed in the world of work including the science teacher profession and scientists. Someone who does not master the key

Commented [U1]: INTRODUCTION should:

- contain urgency (importance) to research
- contain a carrying capacity in the form of supporting data and facts
- contain a preliminary study as a basis for the importance of the research conducted
- contain a GAP ANALYSIS Departing from the preliminary study, analysis of published articles formulated in the Gap analysis
- GAP ANALYSIS refers to articles published in various internationally reputable journals to emphasize the novelty of research.
- clear limitation of research objectives

skills of the future will be in an unfavorable position in global competence, and can have difficulty facing challenges and obstacles that arise along with the development of science and technology (Edwards, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2017; Cantor, 2019).

Prospective science teachers in the future must have the competence to communicate well. Communication skills consist of oral, written and nonverbal communication skills (Griffith et al., 2003; Dipalaya et al., 2016; San-Valero et al., 2019). This communication skill can be defined as a skill in conveying or sharing ideas about the knowledge that has been obtained (Kivunja, 2015; Sapriadil et al., 2018). The nature of science itself includes four elements, namely: 1) elements of attitude, 2) process, 3) products and 4) applications that are scientific, must be delivered with scientific communication skills.

According to Levy et al. (2008) indicators of scientific communication skills consist of: (1) identifying the ability to obtain information; (2) can state everyday events in language or symbols; (3) contribute ideas in group work; and (4) explains ideas and tasks in the making of products/ reports; and (5) communicating product results can be in the form of work or reports. The scientific communication skills in this research focused on six high-level learning skills that are scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation (Binkley et al., 2012; Kent et al., 2014).

Improving everyone's communication skills in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics and the public is very important for the future (Chen & Simpson, 2015; Najam et al., 2018; Ntemngwa & Oliver (2018); Price et al., 2019). On the other hand the background of the student environment is influenced by sociocultural conditions making diverse motives and needs, giving rise to a dynamic direction of social change, so that communication in society must also have a scientific basis. Sociocultural is a term related to social and cultural factors, which means traditions, habits, patterns, and beliefs that exist in a population (Van Steensel, 2006; Routray et al., 2015; Burgoon et al., 2016). Ponterotto (2010) and Suriel & Atwater (2012), state that research

in socio-multicultural science education continues to be influenced by regional cultures, ethnicities, regional origins, regional languages, diverse languages, social attitudes, and different lifestyles. According to LaPoint et al. (2010), to promote youth development, it is important to identify and understand sociocultural factors. This is very relevant because of the increasing diversity of social, cultural and technology among youth. Scientific communication needs to regard the sociocultural aspects that are around the environment that want to communicate. The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher is not the same and no mapping has been done before, specifically based on sociocultural aspects. Mapping profiles of students' initial skills in general and specifically scientific communication skills should ideally be identified as early as possible (Dewi et al., 2017; Mercer-Mapstone, & Matthews, 2017; Rootman-le Grange & Retief, 2018) so that later learning processes can be designed meaningful learning so that students are able to relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures (Goldin et al., 2011; Ifenthaler et al., 2011; Karpicke & Grimaldi, 2012).

The purpose and focus of this research is to analyze the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. Indicator limits on scientific communication skills measured include scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation. Whereas the sociocultural aspect specified refers to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory. This research was conducted only to describe and analyze the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher students based on sociocultural aspects without making comparisons or connecting with other variables.

METHODS

This research applies a quantitative survey research method, which is a study that uses a standardized questionnaire to collect data about

Commented [U2]: Check the year again. It's different with the one in the References

Commented [U3]: Cantor et al., Check it in the References

Commented [U4]: METHODS should

- contain detailed research stages
- Each stage is explained and analyzed by what method
- Data analysis must be with clear references
- The research instruments used were elaborated to the data analysis technique
- It is hoped that there will be a modification in the stages of research from sources referred by the researcher

people/ respondents and their preferences, thoughts, and behavior systematically (Bhattacharjee, 2012). The population in this study was students of the Natural Sciences Study Program odd semester 2019 at one of the state universities in the city of Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. The sample in this study amounted to 87 students.

Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research using a questionnaire instrument with Goggle form online media as a data collection method. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: (1) to gather information from respondents about the level of sociocultural aspects; (2) to gather information from respondents about the profile of scientific communication skills. The questionnaire about the level of sociocultural aspects consisted of 10 question items, while the questionnaire about the profile of scientific communication skills consisted of 13 question items. Data obtained from the questionnaire were analyzed descriptively quantitatively according to the statistical methods used in this research, which were interpreted based on certain criteria (Hamdi & Bahrudin, 2015; Loeb et al., 2017) and or limitations based on the theoretical review (Nardi, 2015; Norris et al., 2015).

The profile of scientific communication skills in this study are categorized into four categories with scoring criteria according to Table 1.

Table 1. Scoring criteria for scientific communication skills profile categories

No	Criteria	Score
1	Basic	13-25
2	Emerging	26-38
3	Intermediate	39-51
4	Advance	52-65

The logical scheme of the steps carried out in the research methodology can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Logical scheme of the steps taken in the research methodology

After sorting the data, sociocultural aspects are specified on two levels according to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory of Cognitive Development that are the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO) (Shabani, 2016; Abtahi et al., 2017). The results of scientific communication skills data are represented by six indicators of high-level learning skills, namely scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this research indicate that the sociocultural aspects of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects data are specified in two levels, namely Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More

Knowledgeable Other (MKO). The results of the data analysis showed that the sociocultural aspects of the prospective science teacher who were at the ZPD level were 17%, while those at the MKO level were 83%. These results indicate that the sociocultural aspects of prospective science teacher are far more at the MKO level compared to the ZPD level.

According to Vygotsky's theory, a person who is at the ZPD level for a particular task can almost do the task independently, but still needs the help of others to carry out the task successfully. At this level social influence, especially instructions from someone is very important for cognitive development. At the MKO level a person learns through social interaction that includes collaborative and cooperative dialogue with someone who is more skilled in the tasks they are trying to learn. According to Schilhab & Esbensen (2019), sociocultural aspects also determine cognitive processes. In this case, the results of the sociocultural aspects of prospective teacher in general at the MKO level are supposed to strongly support cognitive development including scientific communication. However, at the MKO level of 10 items examined in the sociocultural aspects, there were 2 items whose average score was not as expected, that are on social attitudes, especially gender equality and the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing the frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means something to many people who are not experts with local characteristics, has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions".

The sociocultural aspects at both the ZPD and MKO levels were analyzed in terms of the scientific communication skills. The results of the analysis of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Profile of Scientific Communication Skills Based on Sociocultural Aspects

Based on the data in Figure 2, the scientific communication profile of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are in the intermediate and advance criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level the criteria are intermediate and advance with almost the same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Based on the results of this analysis the two levels of sociocultural aspects both ZPD and MKO although on the same criteria are intermediate and advance, but at the MKO level the percentage of advance criteria is higher. These results are in line with Vygotsky's concept of scaffolding. Scaffolding refers to the temporary support given to students where by others who are more expert so that it allows students to carry out tasks until the time they can do this task independently. Scaffolding requires a change in the quality and quantity of support given to students during teaching sessions. MKO adjusts the level of guidance to fit the level of student performance. For new tasks, MKO can use direct instruction. As students become more accustomed to the task and become more skilled at it, MKO can then provide less guidance. Consistent with Ahmad et al. (2018), the need for transformation in education will inevitably occur even if they themselves are not ready. Sociocultural theory recognizes that knowledge is a construction between individuals or between members of groups of people. Sociocultural theories can be adopted throughout the information literacy research process and also in the curriculum design process (Wang et al., 2011).

Commented [U5]: The information of the figure must be translated into english

Commented [U6]: Please check the year again, in the References it is written in 2019

The findings show that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects at the majority of the ZPD level on the intermediate criteria, while the MKO level is balanced on the intermediate and advance criteria. However, based on analysis each indicator of scientific communication skills used in this research, that are: (1) taking scientific information; (2) scientific reading; (3) listening and observing, (4) scientific writing; (5) information representation; and (6) knowledge presentation. Profiles on the indicator of scientific writing and knowledge presentation are still on emerging criteria, in addition to those on intermediate criteria.

Scientific communication skills indicator of scientific writing the average score is 48%, students gave responses indicated science misconceptions, especially in writing and reading symbolic languages. This finding is correlated with the results of the analysis of students' sociocultural aspects related to the use of various languages used in daily and written communication. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means something to many people who are not experts with regional characteristics, has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions". Scientific communication skills indicator of knowledge presentation, students give a response that they do not or do not understand the rules of preparing slides for research report presentations, publication of scientific articles and portfolios. Scientific presentation skills are closely related to self-confidence and continuous experience (Earp & Trafimow, 2015). Students feel unconfident and need more practice to develop knowledge presentations and understanding the rules of preparing presentation slides for research reports, publishing scientific articles and portfolios.

The findings of this research provide new information about the importance of mapping scientific communication skills profiles of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. This knowledge is very useful in the preparation of meaningful learning designs so that students are able to develop scientific communication skills optimally and are also able to relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures and also minimize or reduce the occurrence of science misconceptions, especially "vernacular

misconceptions"

CONCLUSION

The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects is in the intermediate and advance criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level the criteria are intermediate and advance with almost the same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Students are indicated to experience "vernacular misconceptions" related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular that is not relevant to scientific languages. This reveals that scientific communication skills of students in learning science necessary to be optimized and further explored in terms of sociocultural aspects with scientific communication skills.

REFERENCES

- Abtahi, Y., Graven, M., & Lerman, S. (2017). Conceptualising the more knowledgeable other within a multi-directional ZPD. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *96*(3), 275-287.
- Ahmad, S. A., Yoke, S. K., Yunus, R. M., & Amin, J. M. (2019). Exploring Lecturers' Readiness for 21st Century Education in Malaysian Higher Learning Institutions. *European Journal of Teaching and Education*, *1*(1), 15-29.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). *Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices*. Text books Collection 3. University of South Florida. http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks/3.
- Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining twenty-first century skills. In *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills* (pp. 17-66). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Burgoon, J. K., Guerrero, L. K., & Floyd, K. (2016). *Nonverbal communication*. Routledge.
- Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2019). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context1. *Applied Developmental Science*, *23*(4), 307-337.
- Chen, P. D., & Simpson, P. A. (2015). Does personality matter? Applying Holland's Typology to analyze students' self-selection into science, technology, engineering, and mathematics majors. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *86*(5), 725-750.
- Dipalaya, T., Susilo, H., & Corebima, A. D. (2016). Pengaruh Strategi Pembelajaran PDEODE (Predict-Discuss-Explain-Observe-Discuss-Explain) Pada

Commented [U7]: 1. Please provide at least 30 references which 80% of them are taken from the last 10 years (>2010) articles of no-predatory journals, written in accordance with the APA Standard. You may go to Google Scholar and find the right format for APA Style provided.

2. For books, please refer to the original/primary book reference no matter the date.

3. All of the listed references must be cited in the body of the article, and vice versa.

- Kemampuan Akademik Berbeda Terhadap Keterampilan Komunikasi Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, 1(9), 1713-1720.
- Dewi, I. N., Poedjiastoeti, S., & Prahani, B. K. (2017). Elsi learning model based local wisdom to improve students' problem solving skills and scientific communication. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 5(1), 107-118.
- Earp, B. D., & Trafimow, D. (2015). Replication, falsification, and the crisis of confidence in social psychology. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6, 621.
- Edwards, M. (2013). Future positive: International cooperation in the 21st century. Routledge.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2013). Towards a new end: New pedagogies for deep learning. Seattle, Washington: Collaborative Impact.
- Goldin, G. A., Epstein, Y. M., Schorr, R. Y., & Warner, L. B. (2011). Beliefs and engagement structures: Behind the affective dimension of mathematical learning. *ZDM*, 43(4), 547.
- Griffith, C. H., Wilson, J. F., Langer, S., & Haist, S. A. (2003). House staff nonverbal communication skills and standardized patient satisfaction. *Journal of general internal medicine*, 18(3), 170-174.
- Hamdi, A. S., & Bahrudin, E. (2015). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif aplikasi dalam pendidikan*. Deepublish.
- Ifenthaler, D., Masduki, I., & Seel, N. M. (2011). The mystery of cognitive structure and how we can detect it: tracking the development of cognitive structures over time. *Instructional Science*, 39(1), 41-61.
- Karpicke, J. D., & Grimaldi, P. J. (2012). Retrieval-based learning: A perspective for enhancing meaningful learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24(3), 401-418.
- Kent, S., Wanzek, J., Petscher, Y., Al Otaiba, S., & Kim, Y. S. (2014). Writing fluency and quality in kindergarten and first grade: The role of attention, reading, transcription, and oral language. *Reading and writing*, 27(7), 1163-1188.
- Kivunja, C. (2015). Exploring the Pedagogical Meaning and Implications of the 4Cs "Super Skills" for the 21st Century through Bruner's SE Lenses of Knowledge Construction to Improve Pedagogies of the New Learning Paradigm. *Creative Education*, 6(2), 224-239.
- LaPoint V., Butty JA.M., Danzy C., Small C. (2010). *Sociocultural Factors*. In: Clauss-Ehlers C.S. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Cross-Cultural School Psychology*. Springer, Boston, MA.
- Levy O S, Eylon B S and Scherz Z. (2008) Teaching communication skills in science: Tracing teacher change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24 (2), 462-477.
- Loeb, S., Dynarski, S., McFarland, D., Morris, P., Reardon, S., & Reber, S. (2017). *Descriptive Analysis in Education: A Guide for Researchers*. NCEE 2017-4023. National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Mercer-Mapstone, L. D., & Matthews, K. E. (2017). Student perceptions of communication skills in undergraduate science at an Australian research-intensive university. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42(1), 98-114.
- Najmi, S., Chac, J., Greenberg, M. L., Bowman, C., Harkavy, I., & Maeyer, J. R. (2018). A service-learning chemistry course as a model to improve undergraduate scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(4), 528-534.
- Nardi, P. M. (2015). *Doing survey research*. Routledge.
- Neghavati, A. (2016). Core Skills Training in a Teacher Training Programme. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 232, 617-622.
- Norris, J. M., Plonsky, L., Ross, S. J., & Schoonen, R. (2015). Guidelines for reporting quantitative methods and results in primary research. *Language Learning*, 65(2), 470-476.
- Ntemngwa, C., & Oliver, S. (2018). The implementation of integrated science technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) instruction using robotics in the middle school science classroom. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 6(1), 12-40.
- Nurulwati, N., Veloo, A., & Ali, R. M. (2014). Suatu tinjauan tentang jenis-jenis dan penyebab miskonsepsi fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)*, 2(1), 87-95.
- Sapriadil, S., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Malik, A., Safitri, D., Lisdiani, S. A. S., & Hermita, N. (2018). Optimizing students' scientific communication skills through higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing*, 1013(1), 012050.
- Schilhab, T. S., & Esbensen, G. L. (2019). Socio-cultural influences on situated cognition in nature. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 980.
- Price, C. A., Kares, F., Segovia, G., & Loyd, A. B. (2019). Staff matter: Gender differences in science, technology, engineering or math (STEM) career interest development in adolescent youth. *Applied developmental science*, 23(3), 239-254.
- Ponterotto, J. G. (2010). Qualitative research in multicultural psychology: Philosophical underpinnings, popular approaches, and ethical considerations. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 16(4), 581.
- Rootman-le Grange, I., & Retief, L. (2018). Action research: integrating chemistry and scientific communication to foster cumulative knowledge building and scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(8), 1284-1290.
- Routray, P., Schmidt, W. P., Boisson, S., Clasen, T., & Jenkins, M. W. (2015). Socio-cultural and behavioural factors constraining latrine adoption in rural coastal Odisha: an exploratory qualitative study. *BMC public health*, 15(1), 880.
- San-Valero, P., Robles, A., Ruano, M. V., Martí, N., Cháfer, A., & Badia, J. D. (2019). Workshops of innovation in chemical engineering to train communication skills in science and technology. *Education for Chemical Engineers*, 26, 114-121.
- Shabani, K. (2016). Applications of Vygotsky's sociocultural approach for teachers' professional development.

Commented [U8]: Najamr or Najmr?

Cogent education, 3(1), 1252177.

Suriel, R. L., & Atwater, M. M. (2012). From the contribution to the action approach: White teachers' experiences influencing the development of multicultural science curricula. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(10), 1271-1295.

Taufiq, M., Dewi, N. R., & Widiyatmoko, A. (2014). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran IPA Terpadu Berkarakter Peduli Lingkungan Tema "Konservasi" Berpendekatan Science-Edutainment. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 3(2), 123-129.

Van Steensel, R. (2006). Relations between socio-cultural factors, the home literacy environment and children's literacy development in the first years of primary education. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 29(4), 367-382.

Wang, L., Bruce, C., & Hughes, H. (2011). Sociocultural theories and their application in information literacy research and education. *Australian Academic & Research Libraries*, 42(4), 296-308.

Wijaya, E. Y., Sudjimat, D. A., Nyoto, A., & Malang, U. N. (2016). Transformasi pendidikan abad 21 sebagai tuntutan pengembangan sumber daya manusia di era global. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika* (Vol. 1, No. 26, pp. 263-278).

Paper title:

SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS

Parts of review	Guidelines	Yes	Partly	No	Reviewer's note for improvement	Author's responds (highlight of revision)
Title	• Does the subject matter fit within the scope of journal?	√				
	• Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect its contents?	√				
Abstract	• Does the abstract contain informative, including Background, Methods, Results and Conclusion?		√			
Back-ground	• Is the background informative and sufficient (include the background problem and objectives)?		√			
	• Is research question of the study clear and understandable?		√			
	• Does the rationale of the study clearly explained using relevant literature?		√			
	• Is the "aim" of the manuscript clear and understandable?		√			
Methods	• Is the methodology chosen suitable to the nature of the topic studied?		√			
	• Is the methodology of the research described clearly?(including study design, location, subjects, data collection, data analysis)		√			
	• Is there adequate information about the data collection tools used? (only for empirical studies)		√			
	• Are the validity and reliability of data collection tools established? (only for empirical studies)		√			
	• Are the data collection tools suitable		√			

	for the methodology of the study? (only for empirical studies)					
Results & Discussion	• Are the tables, graphs and pictures understandable, well presented and numbered consecutively?		√			
	• Do the data analysis and the interpretation appropriate to the problem and answer the objectives?		√			
	• Does the “discussion” section of the manuscript adequately relate to the current and relevant literature?		√			
	• Are the findings discussed adequately considering the research question(s), sub-question(s) or hypothesis?		√			
Conclusion	• Is the conclusion clear and in the form of a narration instead of pointers?		√			
	• Isn't the conclusion a summary and consistent between problems, objectives and conclusion?		√			
References	• Do the references and citations match?		√			
	• Are the writing of references correct?		√			
Quality Criteria	• Do the title, problem, objectives, methods and conclusion are in line? Is it well organized?		√			
	• The quality of the language is satisfactory		√			
	• The work relevant and novel		√			
	• Are there strong consistencies among the parts of the manuscript? (introduction, methods, results and discussion, and conclusion)		√			



SEMARANG LANGUAGE CONSULTANT

Website: <http://slconsultant.id>, E-mail: slanguageconsultant@gmail.com

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Semarang Language Consultant hereby states that the following article

title : **SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE
SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS**

authors : M. Taufiq, F. Rokhman

has been accordingly proofread for proper English Language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style. Some necessary revisions are also made for the betterment of this article.

This Letter of Statement should be properly used as necessary.

Semarang, 18 June 2020

Director



Nina Setyaningsih, S.S., M.Hum



**MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCES
IN COLLABORATION WITH PERKUMPULAN PENDIDIK IPA INDONESIA
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA**



p-ISSN: 2339-1286; e-ISSN: 2089-4392

Nationally Accredited Decree of The Minister of Research, Technology, and Higher Education No. 2/E/KPT/2015
SCOPUS INDEXED AND Q3 SCIMAGOJR

Secretariat: D5 Building, First Floor, UNNES Campus in Sekaran Gunungpati Semarang, Indonesia, Postal Code 50229.
Phone (+6224) 70805795, Fax. (+6224) 8508005, E-mail: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id, Website: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>

**DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY
JURNAL PENDIDIKAN IPA INDONESIA**

We the undersigned declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication or submitted elsewhere.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

The first author,

Muhamad Taufiq

**SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION SKILLS PROFILE OF PROSPECTIVE SCIENCE TEACHERS BASED ON SOCIOCULTURAL ASPECTS****M. Taufiq¹ and F. Rokhman²**¹Department of Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia²Department of Indonesian Language, Faculty of Language and Art, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia**DOI: 10.15294/jpii.v9i2.24366**Accepted: May 7th 2020. Approved: Xth 2020. Published: June 30th 2020**ABSTRACT**

One of the essential skills for future science teacher candidates is scientific communication, while the background of sociocultural aspects influences communication which must have a scientific basis. This research aims to analyse the scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research, using a questionnaire as a data collection tool. The population in this research were students of natural science education programs at one of the state universities in Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia, while the samples are 87 students. The results of this research indicate that the sociocultural aspects of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects are specified in two levels, which are Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO). At the ZPD level, 67% of the students are in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While at the MKO level, the criteria are intermediate and advanced, with percentages almost equal to 49% and 51%, respectively. It was concluded that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects was in the intermediate and advanced criteria. Students are indicated to experience “vernacular misconceptions” related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of regional languages that are not relevant to scientific languages. Further research needs to be carried out on the identification of scientific misconceptions related to sociocultural aspects.

© 2020 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

Keywords: scientific communication skills; sociocultural aspects; prospective science teachers

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century with the dynamics of the disruption era that presents sociocultural and technological transformation in terms of the industrial revolution 4.0 or society 5.0 encourages each individual to possess future special skills better known as 21st Century Skills. Fullan & Langworthy (2013) in Neghavati (2016) identified critical skills for the future in 6C, which included communication, collaboration, creativity, critical thinking, character and connectivity.

The current and future requirement in the field of education include the development of models and media to support the achievement of competencies expected in line with the development of science and technology (Taufiq et al., 2014). Communication skills become one of the skills that must be possessed in the world of work, including the science teacher and scientists. Someone who does not master the critical skills of the future will be in an unfavourable position in global competence and have difficulty facing challenges and obstacles that arise along with the development of science and technology (Edwards, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2016; Cantor, 2018).

*Correspondence Address

E-mail: muhamadtaufiq@mail.unnes.ac.id

Prospective science teachers in the future must have the competence to communicate well. Communication skills consist of verbal, written and nonverbal communication skills (Dipalaya et al., 2016; San-Valero et al., 2019). This communication skill can be defined as a skill in conveying or sharing ideas about the knowledge that has been obtained (Kivunja, 2015; Sapriadil et al., 2018). The nature of science itself includes four elements, namely: 1) elements of attitude, 2) process, 3) products and 4) applications that are scientific, must be delivered with scientific communication skills.

Scientific communication skills consist of: (1) understanding of others' key ideas, (2) valuing others' perspectives, (3) developing active assertions, and (4) developing shared understanding (Chung et al., 2014). Moreover, the scientific communication skills in this research focused on six high-level learning skills that are scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation (Binkley et al., 2012; Kent et al., 2014).

Improving everyone's communication skills in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics and the public is very important for the future (Chen & Simpson, 2015; Najmr et al., 2018; Ntemngwa & Oliver, 2018; Price et al., 2019). On the other hand, the background of the student environment is influenced by sociocultural conditions making diverse motives and needs, giving rise to a dynamic direction of social change, so that communication in society must also have a scientific basis. Sociocultural is a term related to social and cultural factors, which means traditions, habits, patterns, and beliefs that exist in a population (Routray et al., 2015; Burgoon et al., 2016). Ponterotto (2010) and Suriel & Atwater (2012), stated that research in sociomulticultural science education continues to be influenced by regional cultures, ethnicities, regional origins, regional languages, diverse languages, social attitudes, and different lifestyles. According to Clauss-Ehlers (2010), to promote youth development, it is crucial to identify and understand sociocultural factors. It is very relevant because of the increasing diversity of social, cultural and technology among youth. Scientific communication needs to regard the sociocultural aspects that are around the environment that want to communicate. The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher is not the same, and no mapping has been done before, based on sociocultural aspects specifically. Mapping profiles of students' fundamental skills

in general and specifically scientific communication skills should ideally be identified as early as possible (Dewi et al., 2017; Mercer-Mapstone, & Matthews, 2017; Rootman-le Grange & Retief, 2018). That is very important for later learning processes can be designed meaningful learning so that students can relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures (Goldin et al., 2011; Ifenthaler et al., 2011; Karpicke & Grimaldi, 2012).

The purpose of this research is to analyse the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on socio-cultural aspects. Indicator limits on scientific communication skills measured include scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation whereas the sociocultural aspect specified refers to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory. This research was conducted to analyse and answer the research question: How is the description of the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher students based on sociocultural aspects? This research was limited without making comparisons or connecting with other variables.

METHODS

This research applies a quantitative survey research method, a study that uses a standardised questionnaire to collect data about people/respondents and their preferences, thoughts, and behaviour systematically (Bhattacharjee, 2012). The population in this study was students of the Natural Sciences Study Program odd semester 2019 at one of the state universities in Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. Non-probability sampling techniques carried out based on the number or ration that has been determined or called purposive sampling (MacInnis et al., 2018; Rivera, 2019). Consideration of sampling in this research is a subject that is easily found so that it facilitates data collection. Besides, the characteristics of the sociocultural background of a prospective science teacher in this research are spread from various regions in Indonesia. The samples in this research are 87 students of prospective science teachers.

Quantitative survey research methods applied in this research using a questionnaire instrument with Goggle form online media as a data collection method. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: (1) to gather information from respondents about the level of sociocultural aspects; (2) to gather information from respondents about the profile of scientific communicati-

on skills. A seven-step process for questionnaires design in this research adapted from Artino et al. (2014) that are (1) conduct a literature review; (2) conduct focus groups; (3) synthesise the literature review and focus groups; (4) develop items; (5) conduct expert validation; (6) conduct the cognitive review; and (7) conduct pilot testing before delivering to students. The questionnaire about the level of sociocultural aspects consisted of 10 question items. The questionnaire about the profile of scientific communication skills consisted of 13 question items. Data obtained from the questionnaire were analysed descriptively quantitatively according to the descriptive statistical methods used in this research, which were interpreted based on specific criteria (Hamdi & Bahrudin, 2015; Loeb et al., 2017) and or limitations based on the theoretical review (Nardi, 2015; Norris et al., 2015).

The profile of scientific communication skills in this study is categorised into four categories with scoring criteria according to Table 1.

Table 1. Scoring Criteria for Scientific Communication Skills Profile Categories

No	Criteria	Score
1	Basic	13-25
2	Emerging	26-38
3	Intermediate	39-51
4	Advanced	52-65

The logical scheme of the steps carried out in the research methods adapted from Ghina et al. (2017) and relevant to Arsić et al. (2020) can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Logical Scheme of the Steps Taken in the Research Methodology

After sorting the data, sociocultural aspects are specified on two levels according to Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory of Cognitive Development that is the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO) (Shabani, 2016; Abtahi et al., 2017). The

results of scientific communication skills data are represented by six indicators of high-level learning skills, namely scientific information retrieval, scientific reading, listening and observing, scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge presentation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the recapitulation analysis of questionnaire data responses of this research indicate that the sociocultural aspects (Routray et al., 2015; Burgoon et al., 2016; Gay, 2018) of students vary with the characteristics of the area of origin, regional languages, different languages, regional cultures, and different social attitudes. The sociocultural aspects data are specified in two levels, namely Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and More Knowledgeable Other (MKO). The results of the data analysis showed that the sociocultural aspects of the prospective science teacher who were at the ZPD level were 17%, while those at the MKO level were 83%. These results indicate that the sociocultural aspects of prospective science teacher are far more at the MKO level compared to the ZPD level.

According to Vygotsky's theory, a person who is at the ZPD level for a particular task can almost do the task independently, but still needs the help of others to carry out the task successfully. At this level of social influence, especially instructions from someone, is very important for cognitive development. At the MKO level, a person learns through social interaction that includes collaborative and coopera-

tive dialogue with someone more skilled in the tasks they are trying to learn. According to Schilhab & Esbensen (2019), sociocultural aspects also determine cognitive processes. In this case, the results of the sociocultural aspects of a prospective teacher in general at the MKO level are supposed to support cognitive development including scientific communication actively. However, at the MKO level of 10 items examined in the sociocultural aspects, there were two items whose average score was not as expected, that are on social attitudes, especially gender equality and the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing the frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means something to many people who are not experts with local characteristics has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions".

The sociocultural aspects at both the ZPD and MKO levels were analysed in terms of the scientific communication skills. The results of the analysis of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Profile of Scientific Communication Skills Based on Sociocultural Aspects

Based on the data in Figure 2, the scientific communication profile of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects are in the intermediate and advance criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level, the criteria are intermediate and advance with almost the same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Based on the results of this analysis the two levels of sociocultural aspects both ZPD and MKO although on the same criteria are intermediate and advance, but at the MKO level, the percentage of advance criteria is higher. These results are in line with Vygotsky's concept of scaffolding. Scaffolding refers to the tempora-

ry support given to students whereby others who are more expert so that it allows students to carry out tasks until the time they can do this task independently. Scaffolding requires a change in the quality and quantity of support given to students during teaching sessions. MKO adjusts the level of guidance to fit the level of student performance. For new tasks, MKO can use direct instruction. As students become more accustomed to the task and become more skilled at it, MKO can then provide less guidance. Consistent with Ahmad et al. (2019), the need for transformation in education will inevitably occur even if they are not ready. The sociocultural theory recognises that knowledge is a construction between indivi-

duals or between members of groups of people. Sociocultural theories can be adopted throughout the information literacy research process and also in the curriculum design process (Wang et al., 2011).

The findings show that the profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects at the majority of the ZPD level on the intermediate criteria. In contrast, the MKO level is balanced on the intermediate and advance criteria. However, based on analysis each indicator of scientific communication skills used in this research, that is: (1) taking scientific information; (2) scientific reading; (3) listening and observing (4) scientific writing; (5) information representation; and (6) knowledge presentation. Profiles on the indicator of scientific writing and knowledge presentation are still on emerging criteria, in addition to those on intermediate criteria.

Scientific communication skills indicator of scientific writing the average score is 48%, students gave responses indicated science misconceptions, especially in writing and reading symbolic languages. This finding is correlated with the results of the analysis of students' sociocultural aspects related to the use of various languages used in daily and written communication. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages or vernacular. The use of words or language that means something to many people who are not experts with regional characteristics has the opportunity to have meaning that is very different from a scientific point of view (Nurulwati et al. 2014) and potentially to cause science misconception called "vernacular misconceptions". Scientific communication skills indicator of knowledge presentation, students give a response that they do not or do not understand the rules of preparing slides for research report presentations, the publication of scientific articles and portfolios. Scientific presentation skills are closely related to self-confidence and continuous experience (Earp & Trafimow, 2015). Students feel unconfident and need more practice to develop knowledge presentations and understanding the rules of preparing presentation slides for research reports, publishing scientific articles and portfolios.

The findings of this research provide new information about the importance of mapping scientific communication skills profiles of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects. This knowledge is beneficial in the preparation of meaningful learning designs so that students can develop scientific communication

skills optimally and are also able to relate new information to relevant concepts contained in their cognitive structures and also minimise or reduce the occurrence of science misconceptions, especially "vernacular misconceptions".

CONCLUSION

The profile of scientific communication skills of prospective science teacher based on sociocultural aspects is in the intermediate and advanced criteria. The ZPD level is identified 67% in the intermediate criteria and 33% in the advanced criteria. While the MKO level, the criteria are intermediate and advance with almost the same percentage of 49% and 51%, respectively. Students are indicated to experience "vernacular misconceptions" related to the sociocultural aspects of the use of various languages used in daily communication and writing. The frequency is dominated by the use of local languages, or vernacular that is not relevant to scientific languages; this reveals that scientific communication skills of students in learning science necessary to be optimised and further explored in terms of sociocultural aspects with scientific communication skills.

REFERENCES

- Abtahi, Y., Graven, M., & Lerman, S. (2017). Conceptualising the more knowledgeable other within a multi-directional ZPD. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 96(3), 275-287.
- Ahmad, S. A., Yoke, S. K., Yunus, R. M., & Amin, J. M. (2019). Exploring Lecturers' Readiness for 21st Century Education in Malaysian Higher Learning Institutions. *European Journal of Teaching and Education*, 1(1), 15-29.
- Arsić, M., Jovanović, Z., Tomić, R., Tomović, N., Arsić, S., & Bodolo, I. (2020). Impact of Logistics Capacity on Economic Sustainability of SMEs. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1911.
- Artino Jr, A. R., La Rochelle, J. S., Dezee, K. J., & Gehlbach, H. (2014). Developing questionnaires for educational research: AMEE Guide No. 87. *Medical teacher*, 36(6), 463-474.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices.
- Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining twenty-first century skills. In *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills* (pp. 17-66). Springer, Dordrecht.

- Burgoon, J. K., Guerrero, L. K., & Manusov, V. (2016). *Nonverbal communication*. Routledge.
- Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2018). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science*.
- Chen, P. D., & Simpson, P. A. (2015). Does personality matter? Applying Holland's Typology to analyse students' self-selection into science, technology, engineering, and mathematics majors. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 86(5), 725-750.
- Chung, Y., Yoo, J., Kim, S. W., Lee, H., & Zeidler, D. L. (2016). Enhancing Students' communication Skills In The Science Classroom Through Socioscientific Issues. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 1-27.
- Clauss-Ehlers, C. S. (Ed.). (2010). *Encyclopedia of cross-cultural school psychology*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dewi, I. N., Poedjiastoeti, S., & Prahani, B. K. (2017). Elsii learning model based local wisdom to improve students' problem solving skills and scientific communication. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 5(1), 107-118.
- Dipalaya, T., Susilo, H., & Corebima, A. D. (2016). Pengaruh Strategi Pembelajaran PDEODE (Predict-Discuss-Explain-Observe-Discuss-Explain) Pada Kemampuan Akademik Berbeda Terhadap Keterampilan Komunikasi Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, 1(9), 1713-1720.
- Earp, B. D., & Trafimow, D. (2015). Replication, falsification, and the crisis of confidence in social psychology. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6, 621.
- Edwards, M. (2013). *Future positive: International co-operation in the 21st century*. Routledge.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2013). *Towards a new end: New pedagogies for deep learning*. Seattle, Washington: Collaborative Impact.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Ghina, A., Simatupang, T. M., & Gustomo, A. (2017). The relevancy of graduates' competencies to the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education: A case study at SBM ITB-Indonesia. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*.
- Goldin, G. A., Epstein, Y. M., Schorr, R. Y., & Warner, L. B. (2011). Beliefs and engagement structures: Behind the affective dimension of mathematical learning. *ZDM*, 43(4), 547.
- Hamdi, A. S., & Bahrudin, E. (2015). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif aplikasi dalam pendidikan*. Deepublish.
- Ifenthaler, D., Masduki, I., & Seel, N. M. (2011). The mystery of cognitive structure and how we can detect it: tracking the development of cognitive structures over time. *Instructional Science*, 39(1), 41-61.
- Karpicke, J. D., & Grimaldi, P. J. (2012). Retrieval-based learning: A perspective for enhancing meaningful learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24(3), 401-418.
- Kent, S., Wanzek, J., Petscher, Y., Al Otaiba, S., & Kim, Y. S. (2014). Writing fluency and quality in kindergarten and first grade: The role of attention, reading, transcription, and oral language. *Reading and writing*, 27(7), 1163-1188.
- Kivunja, C. (2015). Exploring the Pedagogical Meaning and Implications of the 4Cs" Super Skills" for the 21st Century through Bruner's 5E Lenses of Knowledge Construction to Improve Pedagogies of the New Learning Paradigm. *Creative Education*.
- Loeb, S., Dynarski, S., McFarland, D., Morris, P., Reardon, S., & Reber, S. (2017). Descriptive Analysis in Education: A Guide for Researchers. NCEE 2017-4023. *National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance*.
- MacInnis, B., Krosnick, J. A., Ho, A. S., & Cho, M. J. (2018). The accuracy of measurements with probability and nonprobability survey samples: Replication and extension. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 82(4), 707-744.
- Mercer-Mapstone, L. D., & Matthews, K. E. (2017). Student perceptions of communication skills in undergraduate science at an Australian research-intensive university. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42(1), 98-114.
- Najmr, S., Chae, J., Greenberg, M. L., Bowman, C., Harkavy, I., & Maeyer, J. R. (2018). A service-learning chemistry course as a model to improve undergraduate scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(4), 528-534.
- Nardi, P. M. (2015). *Doing survey research*. Routledge.
- Neghavati, A. (2016). Core Skills Training in a Teacher Training Programme. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 232, 617-622.
- Norris, J. M., Plonsky, L., Ross, S. J., & Schoonen, R. (2015). Guidelines for reporting quantitative

- methods and results in primary research. *Language Learning*, 65(2), 470-476.
- Ntemngwa, C., & Oliver, S. (2018). The implementation of integrated science technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) instruction using robotics in the middle school science classroom. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 6(1), 12-40.
- Nurulwati, N., Veloo, A., & Ali, R. M. (2014). Suatu tinjauan tentang jenis-jenis dan penyebab miskonsepsi fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)*, 2(1), 87-95.
- Ponterotto, J. G. (2010). Qualitative research in multicultural psychology: Philosophical underpinnings, popular approaches, and ethical considerations. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 16(4), 581.
- Price, C. A., Kares, F., Segovia, G., & Loyd, A. B. (2019). Staff matter: Gender differences in science, technology, engineering or math (STEM) career interest development in adolescent youth. *Applied developmental science*, 23(3), 239-254.
- Rivera, J. D. (2019). When attaining the best sample is out of reach: Nonprobability alternatives when engaging in public administration research. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 25(3), 314-342.
- Rootman-le Grange, I., & Retief, L. (2018). Action research: integrating chemistry and scientific communication to foster cumulative knowledge building and scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(8), 1284-1290.
- Routray, P., Schmidt, W. P., Boisson, S., Clasen, T., & Jenkins, M. W. (2015). Sociocultural and behavioural factors constraining latrine adoption in rural coastal Odisha: an exploratory qualitative study. *BMC public health*, 15(1), 880.
- San-Valero, P., Robles, A., Ruano, M. V., Martí, N., Cháfer, A., & Badia, J. D. (2019). Workshops of innovation in chemical engineering to train communication skills in science and technology. *Education for Chemical Engineers*, 26, 114-121.
- Sapriadi, S., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Malik, A., Safitri, D., Lisdiani, S. A. S., & Hermita, N. (2018, May). Optimising students' scientific communication skills through higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL). In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1013, No. 1, p. 012050). IOP Publishing.
- Schilhaf, T. S., & Esbensen, G. L. (2019). Sociocultural influences on situated cognition in nature. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 980.
- Shabani, K. (2016). Applications of Vygotsky's sociocultural approach for teachers' professional development. *Cogent education*, 3(1), 1252177.
- Suriel, R. L., & Atwater, M. M. (2012). From the contribution to the action approach: White teachers' experiences influencing the development of multicultural science curricula. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(10), 1271-1295.
- Taufiq, M., Dewi, N. R., & Widiyatmoko, A. (2014). Pengembangan media pembelajaran ipa terpadu berkarakter peduli lingkungan tema "konservasi" berpendekatan science-edutainment. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 3(2), 122575.
- Wang, L., Bruce, C., & Hughes, H. (2011). Sociocultural theories and their application in information literacy research and education. *Australian Academic & Research Libraries*, 42(4), 296-308.
- Wijaya, E. Y., Sudjimat, D. A., Nyoto, A., & Malang, U. N. (2016). Transformasi pendidikan abad 21 sebagai tuntutan pengembangan sumber daya manusia di era global. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika* (Vol. 1, No. 26, pp. 263-278).

Bukti Korespondensi Author

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a search bar containing 'jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id'. The email is titled 'Update: Manuscript Review' and is from 'Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id>'. The content of the email reads: 'Dear Authors, We are pleased to inform that the reviewer has uploaded the review results of your article. Please check your OJS account for the newest review results. We are looking forward to your revision **not later than May 11, 2020**. Thank you. Best regards, JPPII Team'. Below the email content, there is a logo for 'Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia' and a link to the journal's website. The taskbar at the bottom shows several open files: '5. M. Taufiq.pdf', 'M. Taufiq_revised.pdf', 'Letter of Statemen...pdf', 'proof payment_M...jpeg', and 'Declaration of Ori...pdf'.

The screenshot shows the OJS submission page for article 24366. The page is titled 'Manuscript Update - muhamad: x #24366 Summary'. The URL is 'journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii/author/submission/24366'. On the left side, there is a navigation menu with links: 'Editorial Policies', 'Focus & Scope', 'Ethics Statement', 'Abstracting/Indexing', 'Editorial Board', 'Peer Reviewer', 'Guide for Authors', 'Online Submission Here', 'Scopus Citation Analysis', and 'Contact Us'. Below the menu, it says 'User: You are logged in as... muhamadtaufiq' with links for 'My Journals' and 'My Profile'. The main content area shows the following details: 'Authors: M. Taufiq, F. Rokhman', 'Title: Scientific Communication Skills Profile of Prospective Science Teachers Based on Sociocultural Aspects', 'Original file: 24366-60414-1-SM.docx (2020-05-07)', 'Supp. files: 24366-62236-1-SP.pdf (2020-06-18), 24366-62237-1-SP.pdf (2020-06-18), 24366-62238-1-SP.docx (2020-06-18), 24366-62413-1-SP.pdf (2020-06-22), 24366-62414-1-SP.pdf (2020-06-22), 24366-62415-1-SP.pdf (2020-06-22)', 'Submitter: Muhamad Taufiq', 'Date submitted: May 7, 2020 - 04:50 AM', 'Section: Articles', and 'Editor: Arif Widiyatmoko, PhD in Edu'. The taskbar at the bottom shows the same set of files as the first screenshot.

Updater: Manuscript Review - mu x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxwHNMZRnTXqtVxbLWKVIMGBNXP8

Gmail

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

17 of 39

Muhamad Taufiq <muhamadtaufiq@mail.unnes.ac.id>
to Jurnal

Sun, May 10, 2020, 2:12 AM

Dear JPPII Team,

Thank you for the review results of my article. In the following, I have sent (by this email) also uploaded (in the OJS on editor decision menu) a revision of my article.

Best regards,
Muhamad Taufiq, S.Pd., M.Pd.
 Department of Integrated Sciences,
 Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
 Universitas Negeri Semarang
 Address: Gedung D5 Lantai 1 Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati Semarang,
 Central Java, Indonesia 50229
 Mobile No. (+62) 856-470-222-01

5. M. Taufiq.pdf | M. Taufiq_revised.pdf | Letter of Statemen...pdf | proof payment_M...jpeg | Declaration of Ori...pdf

Type here to search

27°C Kabut 10:52 10/05/2022

Letter of Acceptance - muhamad x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxwJWXczQwBJKsTxvntHfjKdPih

Gmail

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

10 of 39

Letter of Acceptance

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id>
to me

Wed, Jul 1, 2020, 12:01 PM

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to send the LoA of your manuscript and your payment receipt. It has been a pleasure to work with you. Thank you very much.

Best regards,
 JPPII Team

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Number 2/E/KPT/2015

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education) [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2009-4392] published a scientific paper on the results of the study and review of the literature in the sphere of natural science education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. This journal in collaborate with *Perkumpulan Pendidik IPA Indonesia (PPII)* / Indonesian Society for Science Educators

This journal has been indexed in [Google Scholar](#), [DOI](#), [EBSCO](#), [SCOPUS](#)

M. Taufiq_revised.pdf | Letter of Statemen...pdf | proof payment_M...jpeg | Declaration of Ori...pdf

Type here to search

27°C Kabut 10:49 10/05/2022

Manuscript Update - muhamadt: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxwHNqjDcrMBNsNcmIHjXcPFDv

Gmail

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

Compose

Inbox 2,979

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 65

More

Labels: Data Taufiq (6), Pekerti 2017 (15), PTK Kab Batang, Tugas Mahasiswa (55)

Manuscript Update

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id> to me

Mon, Jun 15, 2020, 8:39 AM

Dear Author,

Your manuscript which you submitted to JPPI, has been reviewed.

We are pleased to announce that your article is being considered by JPPI Editors for further publication. At this stage, there are two aspects required from you:

- 1) You should make sure you do proof-reading effectively in order to produce a quality publication in JPPI. You must do proofreading and editing on SELECT (Semarang Language Consultant) (<http://sliconsultant.id>). Please indicate that you sent this article on JPPI while submitting your article to SELECT.
- 2) We encourage you to upload the similarity report of your article on your OJS account as a supplementary file. We are going to accept the article having a $\leq 20\%$ similarity rate. Please be aware of using trusted plagiarism/similarity checker applications such as Grammarly, Turnitin Premium, or others. Please avoid using the free application to make the results accountable. For your information, SELECT also provides this service. Thus, you may ask to have your manuscript checked by submitting it to the website.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia. The Editors of the JPPI are looking forward to your continued contributions

5. M. Taufiq.pdf | M. Taufiq_revised.pdf | Letter of Statemen...pdf | proof payment_M...jpeg | Declaration of Ori...pdf | Show all

Type here to search | 27°C Kabut | 10:52 10/05/2022

Manuscript Update - muhamadt: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxwHNqMhbNdTbnDqmmJbhgfmXfm

Gmail

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

Compose

Inbox 2,979

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 65

More

Labels: Data Taufiq (6), Pekerti 2017 (15), PTK Kab Batang, Tugas Mahasiswa (55)

Manuscript Update

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id> to me

Jun 19, 2020, 10:13 AM

Dear Author,

Congratulations. Your article has been chosen to publish in JPPI June 2020 Issue. We hereby attach three files consisting of your latest article, letter of statement, and declaration of originality.

We encourage you to:

- 1) Read carefully the latest article that has been through our final review, if there is any correction, this is the last chance to fix it, make a note on the pdf file and send back to us if you have any correction,
- 2) Read carefully the declaration of originality and letter of statement, sign the letters, and upload it on your OJS account as the supplementary file;
- 3) Upload the Turnitin report on your OJS account as the supplementary file.

The publication fee, amounting to Rp 2.750.000,00 could be made to:

Bank Name: BNI
Bank Code: 009
Bank Address: Sekaran, Gunungpati, Kota Semarang
Account Number: 0031410331
Recipient Name: RPL 026 BLU UNNES Untuk OPS P Biaya Layanan Pendidikan
Subject: JPPI June 2020 Publication Fee

Type here to search | 27°C Kabut | 10:46 10/05/2022

Manuscript Update - muhamadt: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxvHNqMhbNdTBnDqmmJbhgzfmXfm

Gmail

Compose

Inbox 2,979

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 65

More

LABELS +

Data Taufiq 6

Pekerti 2017 15

PTK Kab Batang

Tugas Mahasiswa 55

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

12 of 39

Muhamad Taufiq <muhamadttaufiq@mail.unnes.ac.id>
to Jurnal

Jun 22, 2020, 11:52 AM

Dear JPPI Team

Hereby, I send my confirmation

1. my latest revised article,
2. letter of statement,
3. declaration of originality, and
4. the proof of payment

thank you very much

Muhamad Taufiq, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Department of Integrated Sciences,
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Address: Gedung D5 Lantai 1 Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati Semarang,
Central Java, Indonesia 50229
Mobile No. (+62) 856-470-222-01

Type here to search

27°C Kabut 10:47 10/05/2022

Letter of Acceptance - muhamad: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgwxvJWXczQwBJKsTxvntHfjKdPih

Gmail

Compose

Inbox 2,979

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 65

More

LABELS +

Data Taufiq 6

Pekerti 2017 15

PTK Kab Batang

Tugas Mahasiswa 55

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

10 of 39

Letter of Acceptance

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id>
to me

Wed, Jul 1, 2020, 12:01 PM

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to send the LoA of your manuscript and your payment receipt.
It has been a pleasure to work with you.
Thank you very much.

Best regards,
JPPI Team

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Number 2/E/KPT/2015

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education) [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2009-4392] published a scientific paper on the results of the study and review of the literature in the sphere of natural science education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. This journal in collaborate with *Perkumpulan Pendidik IPA Indonesia (PPI) / Indonesian Society for Science Educators*

This journal has been indexed in [Google Scholar](#), [DOI](#), [EBSCO](#), [SCOPUS](#)

M. Taufiq_revised.pdf

Letter of Statemen...pdf

proof payment_M...jpeg

Declaration of Ori...pdf

Show all

Type here to search

27°C Kabut 10:49 10/05/2022

Manuscript Update - muhamadt: x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jpii%40mail.unnes.ac.id/FMfcgxwHNqMhbNdTBnDqmmJbhzgfmXfm

Gmail

Compose

Inbox 2,979

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 65

More

LABELS +

- Data Taufiq 6
- Pekerti 2017 15
- PTK Kab Batang
- Tugas Mahasiswa 55

Search: jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Active

UNNES

12 of 39

Muhamad Taufiq <muhamadttaufiq@mail.unnes.ac.id>
to Jurnal

Jun 22, 2020, 11:52 AM

Dear JPII Team

Hereby, I send my confirmation

1. my latest revised article,
2. letter of statement,
3. declaration of originality, and
4. the proof of payment

thank you very much

Muhamad Taufiq, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Department of Integrated Sciences,
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Address: Gedung D5 Lantai 1 Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati Semarang,
Central Java, Indonesia 50229
Mobile No. (+62) 856-470-222-01

Type here to search

27°C Kabut 10:47 10/05/2022