

## KORESPONDENSI PUBLIKASI JURNAL SINTA 2

# AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS—DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?"

SUBMIT TANGGAL 3 MEI 2023

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a sidebar on the left containing 'Compose', 'Inbox' (258), 'Starred', 'Snoozed', 'Sent', 'Drafts' (82), and 'More'. The main content area displays an email from Trisni Suryarini (my.unnes.id) to Hasan Mukhibad, dated Wednesday, May 3, 4:04 PM. The subject is '[aaaj] Submission Acknowledgement'. The email body reads: 'Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS—DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?" to Accounting Analysis Journal. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site. Manuscript URL: <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/aaaj/authorDashboard/submission/69369> Username: hasanunnes. If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work. Trisni Suryarini'. At the bottom, there are 'Reply' and 'Forward' buttons.

PERMINTAAN REVISI TANGGAL 12 JUNI 2023

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a sidebar on the left containing 'Compose', 'Inbox' (258), 'Starred', 'Snoozed', 'Sent', 'Drafts' (82), and 'More'. The main content area displays an email from Muhammad Ihlashul'amal (my.unnes.id) to Hasan Mukhibad, Bayu Bagas Hapsoro, dated Monday, June 12, 9:31 AM (10 days ago). The subject is '[aaaj] Editor Decision'. The email body reads: 'We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Accounting Analysis Journal, "AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS—DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?". Our decision is to: Request Revisions. Editorial Team [aaaj@mail.unnes.ac.id](mailto:aaaj@mail.unnes.ac.id)'. Below the text, there are '2 Attachments' which have been scanned by Gmail, but the attachment thumbnails are blank.

## CATATAN REVISI

### AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS–DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?

No.	Komentar Reviewer	Tindak lanjut dari Author
1	Sesuaikan dengan Panduan cara mengutip.	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot kuning.
2	Silakan diperbaiki cara mengutip. Sesuaikan dengan panduan	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot kuning.
3	Sesuaikan dengan panduan	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot kuning.
4	Sesuaikan panduan	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot kuning.
5	Sebaiknya tidak menggunakan "this is because"	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot hijau.
6	Jika aspek aspek dari csr lebih dari dua silakan disajikan semua tidak hanya pengungkapan lingkungan dan pengungkapan sosial sehingga memang dapat disimpulkan csr bukan a value driver. Jika hanya dua, maka berilah perbaikan hingga pembaca paham bahwa yang diuji oleh magnanelli adalah aspek lingkungan dan aspek sosial.	Kami telah menambahkan penjelasan ini. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot hijau.
7	Frase keliru environment disclosure	Kami telah merevisinya. Lihat halaman 2 dengan warna sorot biru.
8	Sesuaikan dengan konteks perbankan karena objek penelitian Saudara adalah lembaga keuangan bank. Contoh: perhatikan pada perumusan hipotesis pertama, term "purchases" lebih pas dalam konteks perusahaan yang melakukan proses produksi dan menjual produknya.	Kami menggunakan perusahaan manufaktur sebagai obyek penelitian. Lihat bagian metode atau abstrak bagian metode (halaman 1).
9	Masih ada tumpang tindih antara action tanggung jawab sosial dan lingkungan, dengan pengungkapannya. Sehingga perlu ada penjelasan untuk mengatasi tumpang tindih ini (clear)	Kami telah menambah penjelasan ini. Lihat halaman 3 dengan warna sorot biru.
10	Silakan berikan statement dan rujukan bahwa pasar di Indonesia merupakan pasar persaingan sempurna. Hal ini terkait dengan relevansi pemilihan objek yang diteliti dengan statement Saudara	Kami telah menambah rujukan. Lihat halaman 4 dengan warna sorot biru.
11	Berikan juga rujukan bahwa perusahaan dengan skala besar juga terdampak pandemi tidak hanya skala kecil.	Kami menyampaikan bahwa pandemi covid 19 menyebabkan penurunan penjualan pada perusahaan diberbagai sektor. Lihat halaman 4 dengan sorot warna biru.
12	Pengukuran pandemi covid-19 belum dijelaskan.	Kami telah menambahkannya. Lihat halaman 5 dengan sorot warna biru.
13	Sebaiknya semua controll variables diberikan penjelasan seperti total aset ini	Kami telah menambahkannya. Lihat halaman 5 dengan sorot warna biru.

14	Sebaiknya sebelum muncul di bagian hasil dan pembahasan, sajikan secara lengkap semua regresi data panel yang dilakukan. Silakan sajikan penjelasan tiap model beserta tujuan spesifik dari tujuan tiap model (alasan yang mendasarinya)	Kami telah menambahkannya. Lihat halaman 6 dengan sorot warna biru.
15	Saran: lakukan juga uji chow sebagai analisis tambahan. Untuk menunjukkan bahwa pandemi covid 19 merupakan homologizer dalam model penelitian saudara.	Kami tidak melakukan uji chow karena kami menggunakan regresi data panel dimana dalam uji regresi data panel hanya ada 2 model analisis data: fixed effect dan random effect.  Uji Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test menghasilkan probabilitas kurang dari 0.05 dan mengindikasikan bahwa adanya heterogenitas data antar perusahaan, sehingga model pool atau CE tidak dapat digunakan. Alternatinya adalah FE atau RE.
16	Mengapa disini juga ada z-scoring? Apa yang muncul di bagian hasil dan pembahasan harus didahului dengan penjelasan dibagian sebelumnya. Silakan jelaskan di bagian method dan mengapa saudara juga menguji z-scoring.	Kami telah menambahkannya. Lihat halaman 5 dengan sorot warna biru.
17	Silakan lakukan uji chow sebagai analisis tambahan	Kami tidak melakukan uji chow karena kami menggunakan regresi data panel dimana dalam uji regresi data panel hanya ada 2 model analisis data: fixed effect dan random effect.  Uji Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test menghasilkan probabilitas kurang dari 0.05 dan mengindikasikan bahwa adanya heterogenitas data antar perusahaan, sehingga model pool atau CE tidak dapat digunakan. Alternatinya adalah FE atau RE.
18	Silakan sajikan reasoning dari menguji 4 model	Model 4 kami kembangkan dengan melihat pengaruh masing-masing indikator CSR pada tahun sebelumnya terhadap kinerja. Hal ini karena pengaruh CSR terhadap kinerja memiliki dampak dalam jangka panjang (Magnanelli and Izzo, 2017).
19	Mengapa menguji 4 model silakan disajikan penjelasannya masing-masing (reasoning)	Model 4 kami kembangkan dengan melihat pengaruh masing-masing indikator CSR pada tahun sebelumnya terhadap kinerja. Hal ini karena pengaruh

		CSR terhadap kinerja memiliki dampak dalam jangka panjang (Magnanelli and Izzo, 2017).
20	<p>interaksi antara variabel independen dengan dependen dapat dilihat ketika koefisien kedua variabel berpengaruh signifikan dan koefisien interaksi kedua variabel berpengaruh signifikan. Jika variabel independen tidak signifikan maka akan muncul pertanyaan interaksi kedua variabel apakah berpengaruh signifikan padahal variabel independen tidak berpengaruh signifikan?</p> <p>Oleh karena itu, silakan rujuk penelitian terdahulu yang menguji model dengan hasil penelitian seperti apa yang Saudara peroleh. Atau saudara dapat membuat model menjadi lebih sederhana</p>	<p>Hasil uji CSRD tidak memiliki pengaruh pada z-score.</p> <p>Namun ketika CSRD diinteraksikan dengan COVID hasilnya berpengaruh.</p> <p>Logikanya, kehadiran COVID menyebabkan CSRD memiliki pengaruh pada z-score.</p> <p>Kami mengikuti cara (Safiullah, 2020) dalam menguji efek interaksi.</p>
21	Silakan tekankan atau fokus pada industri perbankan.	<p>Kami menggunakan perusahaan manufaktur sebagai obyek penelitian. Lihat bagian metode atau abstrak bagian metode (halaman 1).</p>

## References

Magnanelli, B. S. and Izzo, M. F. (2017) ‘Corporate social performance and cost of debt: The relationship’, *Social Responsibility Journal*, 13(2), pp. 250–265. doi: 10.1108/SRJ-06-2016-0103.

Safiullah, M. (2020) ‘Bank governance and crisis-period efficiency: A multinational study on Islamic and conventional banks’, *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 62(March). doi: 10.1016/j.pacfin.2020.101350.

## CATATAN ARTIKEL YANG TELAH DI REVISI

# **AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS—DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?**

**Hasan Mukhibad<sup>1</sup>, Bayu Bagas Hapsoro**

Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia.

<sup>1</sup>Email: hasanmukhibad@mail.unnes.ac.id

## **ABSTRACT**

**Purpose:** Regulators, the public and researchers emphasize the business world to increase awareness of economic, environmental, and social issues as corporate social responsibility (CSR). However, the economic consequences of CSR are still being debated. This study proves the role of CSR disclosure on financial soundness and the role of the coronavirus disease (covid-19 pandemic) in the relationship between the two.

**Methods:** The research sample is a manufacturing company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, with observations from 2015 to 2021. Data analysis uses panel data regression. The results showed that CSR disclosure does not affect financial soundness, but the interaction between CSR and covid-19 pandemic negatively affects financial soundness. Therefore, covid-19 pandemic plays a major role in the extent to which CSR affects financial soundness. We will conduct regression analysis with other indicators of financial soundness to assess the robustness of our findings.

**Novelty:** This study contributes to the expansion of the prior literature in two important ways. First, we use the financial soundness consequences of CSR disclosures. This study provided evidence of covid-19's effect on CSR disclosures.

**Keywords:** customer loyalty, environmental concern, risk, covid-19 pandemic

## **INTRODUCTION**

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a major concern for all three researchers, the businesspeople, and the government. The company's operations have a negative impact on the environment and, in the long run, will affect business continuity. From the perspective of legitimacy, companies must conduct business according to firm acquire legitimacy by conforming to societal norms and values, such as ethical standards, legal requirements, and environmental responsibilities. They also acquire legitimacy by engaging in social and environmental initiatives that benefit the community and the environment.

To increase corporate awareness of CSR issues, the Government of Indonesia has required companies to allocate a portion of their profits to CSR activities. This regulation is Law number 40 of 2007, which mandates that companies allocate 2% of their net profit to social and environmental activities. In addition, companies in Indonesia are required to disclose their CSR activities in their

annual reports, as mandated by the Financial Services Authority (OJK) Regulation No. 51/POJK.03/2017. However, implementing these two regulations still needs to be improved. CSR research in Indonesia reports that companies in Indonesia have low CSR disclosure (see Nasih et al., 2022; Palupi, 2023; Sunarsih & Nurhikmah, 2017; Ikhsan et al., 2021; Cahyonowati & Darsono, 2013; Mahmudah et al., 2023). This low CSR disclosure is still an ongoing debate about its economic consequences (Zhou et al., 2021). That is, can companies "do well by doing good" (Zhou et al., 2021).

Researchers use various approaches to examine the economic consequences of awareness of CSR issues. The first approach proves the profitability consequences of the awareness of CSR issues (Xue et al., 2023; Alshurafat et al., 2022; Buchanan et al., 2018; Wang & Qian, 2011; Brammer & Millington, 2005). The awareness of CSR issues helps companies acquire sociopolitical legitimacy, acquire positive stakeholder responses and gain political access, positively influencing the company's financial performance (Alshurafat et al., 2022; Wang & Qian, 2011). However, Xue et al. (2023) found voluntary and mandatory CSR disclosure to have a negative effect on firm profitability. The negative impact of CSR on profitability because companies invest in CSR activities for the long term, so companies will reduce their profits to attract long-term investors (Nguyen et al., 2020).

Second, researchers document the role of CSR in reducing financing costs (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017; El Ghouli et al., 2011; Goss & Roberts, 2011). Familiar researchers conclude that CSR reduces the cost of debt (El Ghouli et al., 2011) because CSR increases investor confidence (Chen et al., 2022). Increased investor confidence makes it easy for investors to invest in companies with high CSR performance and further reduces the cost of debt. Other scholars consider it shows a positive relationship between CSR and the cost of debt because CSR activities use some of the company owner's rights and increase risk (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017b).

The third approach is to prove lower risk consequences from CSR (Chen et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2021; Bannier et al., 2022; Shih et al., 2021; Do, 2022). Extensive CSR disclosure increases company information to stakeholders, including investors. This information disclosure reduces investor information asymmetry, increases investor confidence in the company, and further reduces asset price volatility (Chen et al., 2022). This causes CSR disclosure to have a negative effect on risk (Zhou et al., 2021; Shih et al., 2021; Do, 2022). Magnanelli & Izzo (2017) tested aspects of CSR (consists of environmental, and social aspects) on risk and found that the environment disclosure had a negative effect on risk. However, social disclosure increases the risk (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017b). Thus, CSR is not a value driver with an impact on the firm's risk profile (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017b).

Scholars who prove the economic consequences of CSR disclosure produce inconsistent results and cause CSR to emerge as one of the most important areas of research (Wu et al., 2022). For this reason, we tested the economic consequences of CSR disclosure with a different design, namely

financial soundness. We argue that CSR disclosure improves the company's reputation for investors and customers (Chen et al., 2022; Servaes & Tamayo, 2013; Brammer & Millington, 2005). A good company reputation will increase customer loyalty, and purchases, and improve financial soundness. The study of the economic consequences of CSR disclosure on the financial soundness of companies in Indonesia has been limited to research by previous researchers. Previous researchers put more emphasis on risk consequences (Palupi, 2023), firm values (Mahmudah et al., 2023; Isnalita & Narsa, 2017), and market risk (Saraswati et al., 2021) from CSR disclosure. Thus, this research contributes to previous literature through the financial soundness consequences of CSR disclosures.

Second, previous research which proves the economic consequences of CSR disclosures uses companies in developed countries as research objects (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017; Xue et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2022; Suganda & Kim, 2023; Dai et al., 2019; Hao et al., 2018; Servaes & Tamayo, 2013). This study uses a sample of companies in developing countries which developed and developing countries have different cultures, including responses to the importance of companies that care about the environment. These different settings allow different results from previous researchers.

Third, we use the observation period of the year the covid-19 pandemic occurred. This pandemic can increase company awareness of social, environmental, and economic issues. However, the covid-19 pandemic has also caused a decline in sales because of limitations on the government taking policies to limit community activities. In the study of CSR, it is assumed that organizations carry out CSR activities and report their CSR activities in an annual report or CSR disclosure. Furthermore, this research expands on previous references by providing evidence of the influence of the covid-19 pandemic on the financial soundness consequences of the CSR disclosures.

The next section of this paper is the theoretical background and hypothesis development. In this section, the paper writes the legitimacy theory and logical background of the relationship between CSR disclosure and the company's financial soundness. The second section presents the theory and hypothesis. The third section presents the methods, concerning samples, methods of measuring variables, and data analysis. The fourth section presents the results of research and discussion, and the last section presents conclusions, theoretical and practical recommendations, and research limitations.

This study links CSR disclosure with financial soundness because the financial soundness of a firm is driven by the company's fundamental performance, such as Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) and depends on the company's capital structure (Horta et al., 2012). The main benefit of corporate disclosure is to reduce information asymmetry between companies and stakeholders (Xue et al., 2023). CSR disclosure facilitates monitoring of managers by stakeholders outside the company, such as investors, creditors, consumers, and debtors. On the company's concern for economic, environmental, and social issues. The extent of company performance information obtained by

stakeholders increases managerial decision-making, and leads to more efficient company investments (Xue et al., 2023). Thus, CSR disclosure will increase investor interest in investing in the company and will further reduce the cost of debt (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017; El Ghouli et al., 2011; Goss & Roberts, 2011).

In the legitimacy theory approach, companies will express their concern for social, economic, and environmental issues to acquire legitimacy from society, trust from investors, and improve reputation and consumer loyalty (Araújo et al., 2023; Servera-francés et al., 2019; Sindhu & Arif, 2017; Lee et al., 2017). In a perfectly competitive market, consumers can choose the product they want to meet their needs. In a perfect market, consumers can choose a variety of products available on the market, including the opportunity to choose products provided by producers who care about social, economic and environmental issues (green products) (Zhang & Dong, 2020; Joshi & Rahman, 2015). This consumer decision led to an increase in the company's sales and profitability. Thus, companies with high CSR disclosure have a positive impact on financial soundness.

H1: CSR disclosure has a positive effect on financial soundness.

The covid-19 pandemic that hit all countries caused a decline in the country's economic performance. The pandemic covid 19 caused increases and uncertainties, security prices and reduced trust, caused financial markets to fall to their lowest point since the 2008–2009 global financial crisis (Makki & Alqahtani, 2023). The covid-19 pandemic makes it difficult for companies to maintain sales and reduces the company's fundamental performance (Verhoef et al., 2023; Alsamhi et al., 2022; Harel, 2021). Thus, the covid-19 pandemic has caused a decrease in company performance (Makki & Alqahtani, 2023; Alsamhi et al., 2022).

The impact of the covid-19 pandemic on the relationship between CSR disclosure and financial soundness is that the decline in macroeconomic performance because of the covid-19 pandemic has caused social, economic, and environmental problems to increase. This increase in problems increases public pressure on companies to participate in solving social, economic, and environmental problems. The company also experienced downward pressure on sales and fundamental performance. Company participation in addressing social, economic and environmental problems requires costs, and reduces the rights of company owners (Zhou et al., 2021) so this company involvement increases the decline in company financial performance and further reduces financial soundness.

H2: The Covid-19 pandemic has caused CSR disclosure to have a negative effect on financial soundness.

## **RESEARCH METHODS**



The object of this research is a manufacturing company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). This object was chosen for manufacturing companies because manufacturing companies have a bigger environmental impact than other companies. IDX data shows that there are 178 manufacturing companies listed on the IDX. However, out of 178 companies, only 23 firms consistently issued sustainability reports during the year of observation (2015-2021). With this method, 161 firm years are obtained.

Financial soundness is measured by the formula:

$$Z - \text{score\_ROA}_{t,i} = \frac{\text{ROA}_{t,i} + \frac{\sum \text{Equity}_{t,i}}{\sum \text{Assets}_{t,i}}}{\sigma \text{ROA}_{t,i}}$$

ROA<sub>t,i</sub> is the ratio of net profit to total assets in year t and company i. σROA is the standard deviation of ROA for the last three years of observation. A higher Z-score indicates that the banks have higher financial soundness (Mukhibad et al., 2022; Khalil & Slimene, 2021; Louhichi et al., 2019). Another alternative in measuring the z-score is based on ROE (Mokni et al., 2016).

$$Z - \text{score\_ROE}_{t,i} = \frac{\text{ROE}_{t,i} + \frac{\sum \text{Equity}_{t,i}}{\sum \text{Assets}_{t,i}}}{\sigma \text{ROE}_{t,i}}$$

This research uses z-score\_ROE as a robustness test.

CSR disclosure (CSRSD) is measured based on the Global Reporting Initiative standard (GRI) indicators (Ates, 2023). Of all GRI indicators, this study uses three aspects of performance, namely economic (Eco\_Disc) using 9 items, environment (Inv\_Disc) consisting of 34 items and social (Soc\_Disc) consisting of 16 items (Rodrigues & Borges, 2015). To measure CSRSD, this study uses content analysis by checking the availability of information about GRI items in annual reports and sustainability reports published by companies. Score 1 for each item that is disclosed and vice versa score zero for companies that do not disclose. The CSRSD score is calculated by the ratio of the disclosure score to all GRI items. Following Mukhibad & Setiawan (2022) and Mukhibad et al. (2022), covid-19 pandemic variable (COVID) is measured by a dummy where the year of observation of the measured period of COVID is given a score of 1, and 0 otherwise.

The control variables in this study comprise four variables based on previous research findings that these four variables tend to have a consistent effect on financial soundness. The first variable is the ratio of current liabilities to all debts (current liabilities ratio-CLR). Pecking order refers to managers' preferences for funding sources to cover their financing needs (Guizani, 2020). So, based on pecking order theory, the structure of capital affects the company's financial performance (Nenu & Vintil, 2018). The second control variable is profitability, as measured by profit margin (PM). The PM

is measured by the ratio of gross profit divided by net sales. The third control variable is capital structure as measured by the equity-to-assets ratio (ETA). Following Nazir et al. (2021), short- and long-term debt have negative and significant impacts on profitability. The fourth control variable is total assets as measured by the natural logarithm of total assets. The amount of assets is used as a control variable because it is based on the learning-by-doing hypothesis that large companies are experienced in managing companies and increasing investors and subsequently increasing financial soundness (Mollah et al., 2021).

Research data was analyzed using panel data analysis. In this test, the Langgrang test and Hausman test will be conducted to determine the recommended analysis method between random effects and fixed effects. The classic assumption test includes multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation tests. The multicollinearity test is performed by testing the correlation between the independent variables and the variance inflation factor (VIF). The Wooldridge test is used to detect autocorrelation in the model, and the Wald test is used to detect the existence of heteroscedasticity problems in the model.

The following equation is research equation:

$$Z\text{-score}_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CSR}_{i,t,c} + \text{control}_{i,t,c} + \varepsilon \quad \text{Model 1}$$

$$Z\text{-score}_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CSR}_{i,t,c} + \beta_2 \text{COVID}_{i,t,c} + \beta_3 \text{CSR} * \text{Cov}_{i,t,c} + \text{control}_{i,t,c} + \varepsilon \quad \text{Model 2}$$

Following (Magnanelli & Izzo, 2017a) that CSR disclosure usually produce effects not immediately but in the long period, this research consider a lag time effect of one year between the CSR disclosure on corporate performance. Based on this argument, we develop these models.

$$Z\text{-score}_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CSR}_{i,t-1,c} + \text{control}_{i,t,c} + \varepsilon \quad \text{Model 3}$$

$$Z\text{-score}_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CSR}_{i,t-1,c} + \beta_2 \text{COVID}_{i,t,c} + \beta_3 \text{CSR} * \text{Cov}_{i,t,c} + \text{control}_{i,t,c} + \varepsilon \quad \text{Model 4}$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### a. Descriptive analysis

Table 1 describes that the average CSR score is 0.278, the minimum score is 0.120 and the maximum score is 0.974. The average research sample reveals a CSR of 27.8%. Of the three items, the disclosure score is 29.8%. The lowest disclosure score on the economic aspect (Eco\_Disc) is 26.5%.

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

Variables	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
z-scoreroa	161	43.313	58.486	-1.160	495.510
z-scoreroe	161	10.195	13.759	0.120	106.000
CSR	161	0.278	0.117	0.078	0.974
Eco_Disc	161	0.265	0.133	0	0.846

Env_Disc	161	0.298	0.166	0	1
Soc_Disc	161	0.268	0.121	0	1
CLR	161	0.640	0.286	-1.522	1.162
PM	161	11.198	10.746	-22.350	49.380
ETA	161	55.219	17.549	10.963	92.926
SIZE	161	21.293	3.673	12.483	26.587
Covid	161	0.286	0.453	0.000	1.000

Table 2 presents the correlation scores between variables. The largest correlation score is 0.29 (SIZE and ROA). The correlation between variables is lower than 0.8 and shows that there is no serious correlation in the model and shows that there is no multicollinearity problem in the model. The VIF test confirms this conclusion because all modes produce a mean VIF of less than 5.

Table 2. Matrix Correlation

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Z-score_ROA	1	1						
CCSRD	2	-0.2038	1					
CLR	3	0.1121	0.0672	1				
PM	4	-0.2308	0.216	0.2292	1			
ETA	5	0.0963	-0.186	0.1775	0.2048	1		
SIZE	6	0.2978	0.1348	0.2762	0.1739	0.0228	1	
Covid	7	0.1003	0.2244	-0.1243	-0.0834	-0.0414	0.026	1

The results of the autocorrelation test using the Wooldridge test on all models yield a probability  $<0.05$  and indicate autocorrelation problems in the model. The Modified Wald test is used to test the heteroscedasticity problem and produces a probability of all models less than 0.05 (Table 3) and indicates that there is a heteroscedasticity problem in all models.

The Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test and the Hausman test were used to selecting the analysis model between RE and FE. Test on all models (Table 3) produces a probability of less than 0.05. The results of this test recommend using FE for data analysis.

## b. Regression Analysis Test

Table 3 presents the results of the model test using FE. The results of the model 1 test show that CSRD produces a coefficient of -0.847 and a probability of more than 0.10 and indicates that CSRD has no effect on financial soundness. The results of the CSRD lag 1 test produce a probability of more than 0.10 and show that the current CSRD and the previous year have no effect on financial soundness.

By dividing the aspects of performance, including economic, environmental, and social performance, the results of the model 2 test show that only environmental performance has a

probability of less than 0.1 and a coefficient score of -1.855. These results indicate that environmental performance has a negative influence on financial soundness. However, using CSRD performance lag 1, model 4 shows that last year's economic, environmental, and social disclosures have no effect on financial soundness. This finding shows that the disclosure environment only has a negative effect on financial soundness in the current year.

Table 3. Regression Analysis Test

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.
	Model 1		Mode 2	
CSR	-0.847	0.801	-	-
Eco_Disc	-	-	-0.058	0.854
Env_Disc	-	-	-1.855*	0.928
Soc_Disc	-	-	0.683	1.163
CSR_Lag1	-	-	-	-
Eco_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-
Env_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-
Soc_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-
CLR	-1.141**	0.507	-1.213**	0.551
PM	0.015*	0.007	0.014**	0.007
ETA	0.017*	0.009	0.017*	0.009
SIZE	0.558	0.356	0.629*	0.338
covid	0.030	0.170	0.115	0.156
_cons	-8.795	7.242	-10.127	6.832
VIF (Mean)	1.15		1.39	
Modified Wald test (P-Value)	0.0000		0.0000	
Wooldridge test (P-Value)	0.0001		0.0002	
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test (P-Value)	0.000		0.000	
Hausman (P-Value)	0.0012		0.0122	
Prob > F	0.0008		0.0065	
R-Sq	0.1398		0.1794	

We present scores of coefficients and robust standard error. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels, respectively

### **c. Moderating Effects**

Table 4 presents the results of the model test using RE. The results of the model 5 test show that the CSRD moderating variable during the covid-19 pandemic produced a coefficient of -0.653 and a probability of less than 0.10. These results show that covid-19 pandemic increases the negative effect of CSRD on financial soundness. The results of the CSRD lag 1 test during a pandemic (model 7) produce a coefficient of -0.556 and a probability of less than 0.05. The results of the study indicated that Covid-19 increased the negative effect of the previous year's CSRD on financial soundness.

Models 6 and 8 result from testing the effect of covid-19 pandemic on the relationship between economic, environmental, and social performance in the current and previous years with financial soundness. The results of the model 6 test show that the covid-19 pandemic moderating variable and the disclosure environment have a coefficient score of 1.027 and a probability of less than 0.05. These results indicate that covid-19 pandemic is also able to increase the positive influence of the disclosure environment in the current year on financial soundness. Model 8 shows that the moderating variable for the covid-19 pandemic and disclosure environment has a coefficient score of 1.144 and a probability of less than 0.05. These results indicate that covid-19 pandemic could also increase the positive influence of the disclosure environment in the previous year on financial soundness. Test models 6 and 8 show that Covid-19 strengthens the positive influence of the disclosure environment in the current year and the previous year on financial soundness.

Table 4. Regression Analysis Test for the Moderation Effect of Covid-19 pandemic

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.
	Model 5		Model 6		Model 7		Model 8	
CSRD	-0.191	0.928	-	-	-	-	-	-
CSRD*Cov	-0.653**	0.232	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eco_Disc*Cov	-	-	-0.460	0.492	-	-	-	-
Env_Disc*Cov	-	-	1.027*	0.570	-	-	-	-
Soc_Disc*Cov	-	-	-1.391	0.830	-	-	-	-
CSRD_Lag1	-	-	-	-	-0.216	0.712	-	-
CSRD_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-0.556**	0.208	-	-
Eco_Disc_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.707	0.510
Env_Disc_L1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.144**	0.477
Soc_Disc_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.293	1.005
CLR	-1.185**	0.490	-1.209**	0.493	-1.184**	0.465	-1.185**	0.493
PM	0.008	0.009	0.004	0.008	0.011	0.008	0.009	0.008
ETA	0.013	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.012	0.008	0.010	0.009
SIZE	0.426	0.343	0.378	0.317	0.436	0.339	0.434	0.336
covid	-0.188	0.181	-0.170	0.156	-0.157	0.175	-0.184	0.171
_cons	-5.752	6.993	-4.455	6.494	-5.974	6.997	-5.833	6.899
VIF (Mean)		1.21		1.16		1.16		4.77
Modified Wald test		0.000		0.0000		0.000		0.0000
Wooldridge test		0.001		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test		0.000		0.0000		0.000		0.0000
Hausman		0.0017		0.0000		0.0000		0.0166
Prob > F		0.0000		0.0001		0.0001		0.0003
R-Sq		0.1904		0.1888		0.1838		0.2258

We present scores of coefficients and robust standard error. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels, respectively

#### **d. Discussion**

The results of this study (Table 4) provide empirical evidence that CSRD has no effect on a company's economic performance, especially financial soundness. These results are consistent with research findings from Alharbi et al. (2023) which found no effect of CSR on firm value. These results support the argument that in developing countries, consumer choices to use products are not based on company concern for social, environmental, and economic issues. Consumers have alternatives in determining product choices available on the market, including the opportunity to choose green products (Zhang & Dong, 2020; Joshi & Rahman, 2015). However, these products are expensive because of high production costs. This causes consumers in developing countries to find it difficult to choose this product, and the impact of CSRD does not increase the company's economic benefits. This is different from developed countries where consumer product choices are based on companies that have a concern for social, environmental and economic issues (Shen & Studies, 2015; Xue et al., 2023; Alshurafat et al., 2022; Buchanan et al., 2018; Wang & Qian, 2011; Brammer & Millington, 2005).

However, by testing each CSR performance indicator (Model 2), we find that the disclosure environment has a negative effect on the z-score. A low z-score shows that the company has low financial soundness. We report that the company's concern for the environment in the current year reduces the company's economic consequences (financial soundness). These results support the findings Smith et al. (2007) and Crisóstomo et al. (2011) that corporate environmental disclosures have a negative effect on financial accounting performance. These results have been reported by Xue et al. (2023) that voluntary and mandatory CSR disclosure has a negative effect on company profitability. This is because companies invest in CSR activities for the long term, so companies will reduce their profits to attract long-term investors (Nguyen et al., 2020).

The covid-19 moderation test (Table 5) on the effect of CSRD on financial soundness shows that covid-19 causes CSRD to have a negative effect on financial soundness (model 7). The Covid-19 pandemic made it difficult for companies to maintain sales and reduced the company's fundamental performance (Alsamhi et al., 2022) and profitability (Makki & Alqahtani, 2023; Alsamhi et al., 2022). The company's financial pressure is increasing along with demands from regulators that companies have concern for social, economic, and environmental issues. Thus, the covid-19 pandemic caused CSRD to reduce financial soundness.

The Covid-19 pandemic moderation test on the relationship between each social, economic, and environmental performance on financial soundness (Model 8) shows that the covid-19 pandemic only has a positive effect on environmental performance on financial soundness. The covid-19 pandemic caused a decline in company performance. However, during the covid-19 pandemic, companies that had environmental concerns led to an increase in reputation and loyalty and



subsequently increased financial soundness in the current year and in the following years. These results are consistent with Almustafa et al. (2023) report on the negative impact of the covid-19 pandemic on firm performance and the positive impact of the crisis on firm risk. Jin et al. (2022) report that the covid-19 pandemic had a negative impact on the Chinese company's financial performance, and slack resources offset this adverse effect. The covid-19 pandemic has caused public awareness to protect the environment. This means that people are encouraged to use products from manufacturers that have high environmental performance. Thus, the covid-19 pandemic increases the positive influence of environmental performance on financial soundness.

#### **e. Robustness Test**

Following Safiullah & Shamsuddin (2019), another alternative to measuring the financial soundness is to divide ROA and CAR divided by the standard deviation of ROA. The test results of models 1 and 2 in Table 5 and Table 6 corroborate the results of the model 4 test. Using other indicators to measure financial soundness. Our results show that CSRD has no effect on financial soundness. This robustness test strengthens the results of the main research test.

Table 5. Regression Analysis Test for Robustness

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.
	Model 9		Model 10		Model 11		Model 12	
CSR	-0.571	0.686	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eco_Disc	-	-	1.179	0.875	-	-	-	-
Env_Disc	-	-	-1.834**	0.776	-	-	-	-
Soc_Disc	-	-	0.106	0.747	-	-	-	-
CSR_Lag1	-	-	-	-	-0.401	0.645	-	-
Eco_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.817	0.514
Env_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.575	0.711
Soc_Disc_Lag1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.944	1.394
CLR	-0.748	0.410	-0.728	0.403	-0.784	0.369	-0.859	0.454
PM	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.007	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010
ETA	0.022	0.008	0.021	0.008	0.021	0.009	0.023	0.010
SIZE	0.747	0.365	0.828	0.320	0.759	0.379	0.723	0.364
Covid	0.098	0.135	0.154	0.106	0.048	0.106	0.045	0.103
_cons	-14.842	7.623	-16.519	6.711	-15.054	8.028	-	7.761
							14.306	
VIF (Mean)		1.15		1.39		1.10		1.33
Modified Wald test		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Wooldridge test		0.0000		0.0001		0.0000		0.0001
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Hausman		0.0000		0.0001		0.0000		0.0000
Prob > F		0.0024		0.0080		0.0022		0.0050
R-Sq		0.1479		0.2049		0.1473		0.1659

We present scores of coefficients and robust standard error. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels, respectively

Table 6. Robustness Test for Moderation Effect of Covid-19 pandemic

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.
--	-------	------------------	-------	------------------	-------	------------------	-------	------------------

	Model 13		Model 14		Model 15		Model 16	
CSR	-0.013	0.904	-	-	-	-	-	-
CSR*Cov	-0.555**	0.249	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eco_Disc*Cov	-	-	-0.961*	0.518	-	-	-	-
Env_Disc*Cov	-	-	0.960	0.585	-	-	-	-
Soc_Disc*Cov	-	-	-0.706	0.691	-	-	-	-
CSR_Lag1	-	-	-	-	0.198	0.659	-	-
CSR_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-0.570	0.222	-	-
Eco_Disc_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.427	0.481
Env_Disc_L1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.581	0.416
Soc_Disc_Lag1*Cov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.852	0.814
CLR	-0.765	0.390	-0.726	0.364	-0.752	0.362	-0.749	0.378
PM	0.004	0.010	0.001	0.007	0.005	0.009	0.005	0.009
ETA	0.019	0.009	0.015	0.008	0.018	0.008	0.017	0.009
SIZE	0.640	0.394	0.590	0.368	0.623	0.386	0.632	0.401
Covid	-0.089	0.167	-0.059	0.104	-0.066	0.116	-0.078	0.112
_cons	-12.385	8.296	-11.133	7.815	-12.081	8.208	-12.144	8.474
VIF (Mean)		1.22		4.80		1.16		3.89
Modified Wald test		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Wooldridge test		0.0000		0.0000		0.0001		0.0000
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Hausman		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000		0.0000
Prob > F		0.0005		0.0023		0.0002		0.0013
R-Sq		0.1944		0.2367		0.2011		0.2299

We present scores of coefficients and robust standard error. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels, respectively

## CONCLUSION

This study aims to prove the effect of CSRD on the economic consequence of companies, specifically financial soundness. Moreover, this study provides empirical evidence on how the interaction between CSRD, and covid-19 pandemic affects financial soundness. The results showed that CSRD does not affect the financial soundness. By testing the previous year's CSRD, we also found that CSRD did not affect financial soundness. However, by regressing each CSRD indicator (social, environmental, economics disclosure), we find that environmental disclosure for the current year and the previous year has a negative affects financial soundness. Pressure from the public for companies to have environmental awareness causes companies to have to increase investment spending on environmental activities for the long term, resulting in a decrease in financial performance and financial soundness.

The results of the interaction test between CSR and covid-19 pandemic on financial performance show that covid-19 pandemic causing CSRD has a negative relationship with financial soundness. This finding extends previous studies that the covid-19 pandemic plays a major role in the extent to which CSR negatively affects the financial soundness of firms.

Based on these findings, we recommend regulators reduce incentives for companies to have concern for social, environmental, and economic (CSR) issues without considering the level of consumer awareness of the importance of CSR. Consumers with CSR concerns will find it challenging to consume green products because they make product choices based on price. These impacts decreasing the financial soundness of the firm. Moreover, during the covid-19 pandemic, the company experienced a decline in performance and the pressure on CSR investment added to its burden to maintain its financial performance.

This study only uses the manufacturing sector as a research sample because of the limitations of companies that publish sustainability reports. We suggest using a broader sample across both types of countries; developed, developing, and low-income countries.

## REFERENCES

- Alharbi, K. M., Hassan, N. T., & Hussein, R. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Reporting Quality. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(4), 1973–1985.  
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/isl/120420>
- Almustafa, H., Nguyen, Q. K., Liu, J., & Dang, V. C. (2023). The impact of COVID-19 on firm risk and performance in MENA countries: Does national governance quality matter? *PLoS ONE*, 18(2 February), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281148>
- Alsamhi, M. H., Al-Ofairi, F. A., Farhan, N. H. S., Al-ahdal, W. M., & Siddiqui, A. (2022). Impact

- of Covid-2019 on firms' performance: Empirical evidence from India. *Cogent Business and Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2044593>
- Alshurafat, H., Ananzeh, H., Al-Hazaima, H., & Shbail, M. O. Al. (2022). Do different dimensions of corporate social responsibility disclosure have different economic consequence : multi-approaches for pro fi tability examination. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journa*, 33(1), 240–263. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-06-2022-0082>
- Araújo, J., Pereira, I. V., & Santos, J. D. (2023). The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Image and Brand Equity and Its Impact on Consumer Satisfaction. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13050118>
- Ates, S. (2023). The credibility of corporate social responsibility reports : evidence from the energy sector in emerging markets. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 19(4), 756–773. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-04-2021-0149>
- Bannier, C. E., Bofinger, Y., & Rock, B. (2022). Corporate social responsibility and credit risk. *Finance Research Letters*, 44(April 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102052>
- Brammer, S., & Millington, A. (2005). Corporate reputation and philanthropy: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 61(1), 29–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-005-7443-4>
- Buchanan, B., Cao, C. X., & Chen, C. (2018). Corporate social responsibility, firm value, and influential institutional ownership. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 52(November 2017), 73–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.07.004>
- Cahyonowati, N., & Darsono, D. (2013). Trend and Determinants of CSR Disclosure in Indonesia: A Response of the Act No.40 (2007). *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 5(1), 67–78.
- Chen, F., Huang, Z. xiong, Wang, F., & Xie, Z. (2022). Can corporate social responsibility disclosure alleviate asset price volatility? Evidence from China. *Economic Modelling*, 116(July), 105985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2022.105985>
- Crisóstomo, V. L., De Souza Freire, F., & De Vasconcellos, F. C. (2011). Corporate social responsibility, firm value and financial performance in Brazil. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 7(2), 295–309. <https://doi.org/10.1108/174711111111141549>
- Dai, J., Lu, C., & Qi, J. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility disclosure and stock price crash risk: Evidence from China. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020448>
- Do, T. K. (2022). Corporate social responsibility and default risk: International evidence. *Finance Research Letters*, 44(August 2020), 102063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102063>
- El Ghou, S., Guedhami, O., Kwok, C. C. Y., & Mishra, D. R. (2011). Does corporate social responsibility affect the cost of capital? *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35(9), 2388–2406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.02.007>

- Goss, A., & Roberts, G. S. (2011). The impact of corporate social responsibility on the cost of bank loans. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35(7), 1794–1810.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2010.12.002>
- Guizani, M. (2020). Testing the pecking order theory of capital structure: the case of Islamic financing modes. *Future Business Journal*, 6(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-020-00042-9>
- Hao, D. Y., Qi, G. Y., & Wang, J. (2018). Corporate social responsibility, internal controls, and stock price crash risk: The Chinese stock market. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(5).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051675>
- Harel, R. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 on Small Businesses ' Performance and Innovation. *Global Business Review*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509211039145>
- Horta, I. M., Camanho, A. S., & Moreira Da Costa, J. (2012). Performance assessment of construction companies: A study of factors promoting financial soundness and innovation in the industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 137(1), 84–93.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.015>
- Ikhsan, A., Nurlaila, N., Suprasto, H. B., & Batubara, F. Y. (2021). Determinant of The Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Evidence of Indonesian Manufacturing Companies. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 16(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.24843/jiab.2021.v16.i01.p05>
- Isnailita, & Narsa, I. M. (2017). CSR Disclosure, Customer Loyalty, and Firm Values (Study at Mining Company Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange). *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 2(2), 8–14. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-2017-02-02-B002>
- Jin, L., Choi, J. H., Kim, S., & Cho, K. (2022). Slack Resources, Corporate Performance, and COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192114354>
- Joshi, Y., & Rahman, Z. (2015). Factors Affecting Green Purchase Behaviour and Future Research Directions. In *International Strategic Management Review* (Vol. 3, Issues 1–2). Holy Spirit University of Kaslik. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ism.2015.04.001>
- Khalil, A., & Slimene, I. Ben. (2021). Financial soundness of Islamic banks: does the structure of the board of directors matter? *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 21(7), 1393–1415.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-06-2020-0237>
- Lee, C.-Y., Chang, W.-C., & Lee, H.-C. (2017). An Investigation Of The Effects Of Corporate Social Responsibility On Corporate Reputation And Customer Loyalty – Evidence From The Taiwan Non-Life Insurance Industry. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 13(2), 355–369.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-01-2016-0006>

- Louhichi, A., Louati, S., & Boujelbene, Y. (2019). Market-power, stability and risk-taking: an analysis surrounding the riba-free banking. *Review of Accounting and Finance*, 18(1), 2–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAF-07-2016-0114>
- Magnanelli, B. S., & Izzo, M. F. (2017a). Corporate social performance and cost of debt: The relationship. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 13(2), 250–265. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-06-2016-0103>
- Magnanelli, B. S., & Izzo, M. F. (2017b). Corporate Social Performance And Cost Of Debt: The Relationship. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 13(2), 250–265. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-06-2016-0103>
- Mahmudah, H., Yustina, A. I., Dewi, C. N., & Sutopo, B. (2023). Voluntary disclosure and firm value: Evidence from Indonesia. *Cogent Business and Management*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2182625>
- Makki, A. A., & Alqahtani, A. Y. (2023). Capturing the Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic Outbreak on the Financial Performance Disparities in the Energy Sector: A Hybrid MCDM-Based Evaluation Approach. *Economies*, 11(2), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11020061>
- Mokni, R. B. S., Rajhi, M. T., & Rachdi, H. (2016). Bank risk-taking in the MENA region: A comparison between Islamic banks and conventional banks. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 43(12), 1367–1385. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-03-2015-0050>
- Mollah, S., Liljebloom, E., & Mobarek, A. (2021). Heterogeneity in independent non-executive directors' attributes and risk-taking in large banks. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 70(August), 102074. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102074>
- Mukhibad, H., & Setiawan, D. (2022). Shariah supervisory board attributes and corporate risk-taking in Islamic banks. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2158607>
- Mukhibad, H., Setiawan, D., Aryani, Y. A., & Falikhatun. (2022). Women in the Boardroom and Financial Soundness-Study at Islamic Banks in Southeast. *Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Business and Economics (ICBE 2022)*, 1, 153–165. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-066-4\\_14](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-066-4_14)
- Mukhibad, H., Yudo Jayanto, P., Suryarini, T., & Bagas Hapsoro, B. (2022). Corporate governance and Islamic bank accountability based on disclosure—a study on Islamic banks in Indonesia. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2080151>
- Nasih, M., Anridho, N., Rahayu, N. K., & Nowland, J. (2022). CEO masculinity and CSR disclosure: evidence from Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 8(2), 157–169. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-04-2022-0119>

- Nazir, A., Azam, M., & Khalid, M. U. (2021). Debt financing and firm performance : empirical evidence from the Pakistan Stock Exchange. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(3), 324–334. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-03-2019-0019>
- Nenu, E. A., & Vintil, G. (2018). *The Impact of Capital Structure on Risk and Firm Performance : Empirical Evidence for the Bucharest Stock Exchange Listed Companies. 1952.* <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs6020041>
- Nguyen, P. A., Kecskés, A., & Mansi, S. (2020). Does corporate social responsibility create shareholder value? The importance of long-term investors. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.09.013>
- Palupi, A. (2023). Does CSR Affects Earnings Announcements? *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 12(1), 205–215.
- Rodrigues, P., & Borges, A. P. (2015). Corporate social responsibility and its impact in consumer decision-making. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 11(4), 690–701. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-02-2014-0026>
- Safiullah, M., & Shamsuddin, A. (2019). Risk-adjusted efficiency and corporate governance: Evidence from Islamic and conventional banks. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 55(February 2018), 105–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.08.009>
- Saraswati, E., Lestari, A. P., & Sagitaputri, A. (2021). Does CSR Disclosure Improve Firm's Access To Finance And Reduce Firm Risk? *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 11(1), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v11i1.14961>
- Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2013). The impact of corporate social responsibility on firm value: The role of customer awareness. *Management Science*, 59(5), 1045–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1120.1630>
- Servera-francés, D., Piqueras-tomás, L., & Servera-franc, D. (2019). The effects of corporate social responsibility on consumer loyalty through consumer perceived value loyalty through consumer perceived value. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 32(1), 66–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2018.1547202>
- Shen, D., & Studies, I. (2015). Environmental Sustainability and Economic Development : A World View. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 6(6), 60–81.
- Shih, Y. C., Wang, Y., Zhong, R., & Ma, Y. M. (2021). Corporate environmental responsibility and default risk: Evidence from China. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 68(May), 101596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2021.101596>
- Sindhu, M. I., & Arif, M. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility and Loyalty: Intervening Influence of Customer Satisfaction and Trust. *Cogent Business and Management*, 4(1).



<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2017.1396655>

- Smith, M., Yahya, K., & Marzuki Amiruddin, A. (2007). Environmental disclosure and performance reporting in Malaysia. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 15(2), 185–199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/13217340710823387>
- Suganda, T. R., & Kim, J. (2023). An Empirical Study on the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Default Risk: Evidence in Korea. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(4).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043644>
- Sunarsih, U., & Nurhikmah, N. (2017). Determinant of The Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure. *Etikonomi*, 16(2), 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.15408/etk.v16i2.5236>
- Verhoef, P. C., Noordhoff, C. S., & Sloot, L. (2023). Reflections and predictions on effects of COVID-19 pandemic on retailing. *Journal of Service Management*, 34(2), 274–293.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-09-2021-0343>
- Wang, & Qian. (2011). Corporate Philanthropy and Corporate Financial Performance : The Roles Of Stakeholder Response and Political Access. *Academy of Management*, 54(6), 1159–1181.
- Wu, D., Cheng, H., Luo, C., & Han, L. (2022). Does government initiated corporate social responsibility lower the default risk? Evidence from the targeted poverty alleviation campaign in China. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 76(November), 101881.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2022.101881>
- Xue, S., Chang, Q., & Xu, J. (2023). The effect of voluntary and mandatory corporate social responsibility disclosure on firm profitability: Evidence from China. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 77(December 2022), 101919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2022.101919>
- Zhang, X., & Dong, F. (2020). Why do consumers make green purchase decisions? Insights from a systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186607>
- Zhou, F., Zhu, J., Qi, Y., Yang, J., & An, Y. (2021). Multi-dimensional corporate social responsibilities and stock price crash risk: Evidence from China. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 78(August 2020), 101928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2021.101928>

## PEMBERITAHUAN ARTIKEL TELAH ACCEPTED TANGGAL 19 JUNI 2023

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a sidebar on the left containing navigation options like Compose, Mail, Chat, Spaces, and Meet. The main content area displays an email titled "[aa] Editor Decision" from Muhammad Ihlashul'amal via my.unnes.id, dated Mon, Jun 19, 6:41AM (3 days ago). The email body contains the following text:

Hasan Mukhibad, Bayu Bagas Hapsoro:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Accounting Analysis Journal, "AWARENESS OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL ISSUES AND FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS--DOES THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC MATTER?".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Muhammad Ihlashul'amal  
[amal@mail.unnes.ac.id](mailto:amal@mail.unnes.ac.id)

At the bottom of the email, there are buttons for Reply, Reply all, and Forward.

## PEMBERITAHUAN ARTIKEL TELAH ACCEPTED TANGGAL 22 JUNI 2023

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a sidebar on the left containing navigation options like Compose, Mail, Chat, Spaces, and Meet. The main content area displays an email titled "[aa] Editor Decision" from Kuat Waluyo Jati via my.unnes.id, dated 5:45 PM (11 minutes ago). The email body contains the following text:

Hasan Mukhibad, Bayu Bagas Hapsoro:

The editing of your submission, "Awareness of Economic, Environmental, and Social Issues and Financial Soundness--Does The Covid-19 Pandemic Matter?," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/aa/authorDashboard/submission/69369>

AAJ Editorial

At the bottom of the email, there are buttons for Reply, Reply all, and Forward.