
BUKTI KORESPONDENSI JURNAL JUDUL:

DETERMINANT FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE MUZAKKI TO PAY PROFESSIONAL ZAKAT TERBIT PADA JURNAL SHARE: JURNAL EKONOMI DAN KEUANGAN ISLAM (SINTA 2)

Proses submit



Our Responses to the Reviewer's Comments on Our Paper Entitled: "Determinant Factors Affecting The Intention of *Muzakki* To Pay Professional Zakat"

No.	Reviewer's Comments	Our Response
1.	Title should be revised	We have changed the title according to the reviewer's suggestion. See our detailed justification in pages 1, which is written in yellow colour.
2.	Abstract is not systematically written	We have made abstracts with systematic research objectives, methods, and research results. Number of words of abstract are 222 (see pages 1). The revision made was written in yellow colour.
3.	Introduction should be enhanced - such as, why the study selected Pati Regency as the population, while there are many other places have no such investigated studies on the issue.	We have given a reason why Pati Regency is the object of research. We have added the relevant argument in page 2 (see the yellow colour).
4.	Literature review should be started with the endogenous variables and hypotheses should be developed based on the objectives of the study. The major shortcoming of the paper was that the estimated SEM model was not developed based on theories and relevant existing empirical studies. The proposed research model should be presented in this section	We have changed the presentation of all the hypotheses we developed in accordance with the objectives of this study. We have added the theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) and The Extended Theory of Planned Behavior (ETPB) (see pages 3-4). Many thanks for the very constructive comments. In addition, we have added some literature to strengthen the hypothesis developed. (see the yellow color at pages 5-9)
5.	Research method - population should be mention, why sample size 73? How was it determined? It is too small sample size, for SEM analysis it needs at least 5 times number of indicators. The definitions of operationalized variables should be provided. Why those indicators were selected to measure variables? Were the indicators adopted, adapted, or newly introduced by the author(s)? The estimated SEM equations should be provided.	,

6.	Conclusion should be enhanced	We have changed the analysis and conclusions to enhanced the analysis (see pages 17-19 with yellow colour).
5.	We really appreciate and thanks for the constructive comments forwarded by the referee of the paper. We have revised thoroughly the paper based on the referee's suggestion. We have also added some relevant additional references and we had listed in the reference list accordingly. We believe that by incorporating the referee's comments, it has totally improved the quality of the paper.	