

Image Analysis of Ideal Antropometric Percentage Proportion of Men Artistic Gymnastic Apparatus

1st Ranu Baskoro Aji Putra
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Semarang, Indonesia
ranu_baskora@mail.unnes.ac.id

2nd Harry Pramono
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Semarang, Indonesia
hpramono@mail.unnes.ac.id

3rd Tri Nurharsono
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Semarang, Indonesia
trinurharsono@mail.unnes.ac.id

4th Cahyo Yuwono
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Semarang, Indonesia
Cahyo_yuwono@mail.unnes.ac.i
d

Abstract—The apparatus number gymnastics branch is one that requires the right posture composition to support its best performance. This study is to describe the composition of the ideal posture of artistic gymnastics for male numbers through Image / Image analysis. Descriptive research method with post facto research design using regression analysis techniques, the subjects of the study were world gymnastics athletes champion number tool who competed in the International Gymnastics Championship. which amounted to 150 champion gymnasts each apparatus from various time frames. The instrument used is software (UNG) Real Size Image containing anthropometry image analysis. The results showed that there was a positive correlation r 0.341 medium category between percentage variables anthropometric length proportion (head, neck, arms, togok, limb) world men artistic gymnast towards the final score. The influence of anthropometric percentage variables is 11.6%. and predicted by indicated from the significance level with sig 0.03 < 0.05 yielding formula $Y = 13.54 + 0.28 \text{ head} - 0.029 \text{ neck} - 0.033 \text{ body} - 0.004 \text{ arm} + 0.047 \text{ legs}$. (Head, neck, body, arms, legs are percentages of body size comparison with height). Conclusions. there is a significant anthropometric effect on the performance of gymnastics athletes.

Keywords — *anthropometry, ideal Posture, Gymnast world*

I. INTRODUCTION

Anthropometry is a distinctive characteristic of each individual. The essence of Anthropometry is also a collection of numerical data that shows the size, shape, and strength of humans [1]. Some scientists study anthropometrics, among others, about; Anthropometry is related to nutritional status [2][3], Physical activity is also closely related to anthropometry [4]. Anthropometric relations with appearance and movement skills include soccer athletes[5] basic motion skills[6], swimming athletes [7]gymnastics athletes[8][9] In general it is concluded that there are certain sports that require anthropometric advantages to support optimal movement skills and appearance.

One sport that requires high skills and a variety of movements is artistic exercise, where movement in artistic gymnastics requires the practice of long motion repetitions / exercises to achieve perfection of motion[10][11]. That is what causes the coach takes a long time to score gymnastics

athletes to the peak level of approximately 8 to 10 years or more. In that range, it takes a lot of energy, mind and time to sacrifice. In order for training to be on the right track and get optimal results expected, attention must be paid to all barrier factors. One decisive factor is the identification of gymnasts' talents regarding anthropometrics. Physical factors of gymnasts are unique and can clearly be identified as having high physical characteristics and weighing 30% lower than normal, good posture, coordination and more strength [12]. Even some scientists who examined ideal physical factors for gymnasts mention age 6-8 years and achievement levels have certain anthropometric characteristics, regarding height, limb length and arms [13]. Characteristics of 9-11 year old gymnasts [14] good posture and certain physical characteristics will benefit gymnasts in their appearance.[15] [16].

In Indonesia identification of gymnast talent in some areas, especially Central Java, still uses natural methods commonly called traditional approaches, meaning that in this approach the coach or trainer does not distinguish the quality of prospective athletes. Less gifted gymnast seeds are still maintained to keep practicing until natural selection causes him to be unable to attend training anymore. [17] write that the cause of athletes out of training is not only incompatibility with sports but career development in the sport. Natural selection benefits from the seeds of athletes who are less talented to get health, experience to compete and courage with this gymnastics training. But the weakness of this system is that the coach is not efficient in delivering his athletes as champions, because it requires a long training time.

On the other identification of talent can use Scientific selection. This method looks at and identifies based on coaching theory by paying attention to aspects of forming athletes early on [18]. Scientific selection begins with measurements of anthropometry, posture, physical conditions, genetics, fitness, mental and basic techniques that are truly measurable to obtain superior seeds that are ready to be trained early so that at the peak of appearance they can be achieved at the golden age. The benefits of identifying talent using scientific selection will shorten training time for selected young athletes. To be able to read athlete's giftedness, current standards are needed. These

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The sample of this study was 150 gymnasts who competed in several Artistic gymnastics championships namely Euros AA, Stuttgart TQ, American Cup in 2019. The UNG software application has logical validity and content can measure the length of anthropometrics with a very good level the average value of 9.6 from sports experts

and information technology experts with the reliability of the results of test measurements and retest of 0.89 in the high category.

The following are the details of the men’s artistic gymnast anthropometrics on the entire number of instruments recorded on the images recorded on the channel YouTube.Com is as follows:

TABLE I. DESCRIPTIVE ANTHROPOMETRIC STATISTICS FOR ALL SAMPLES OF WORLD GYMNASTS.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Normalitas Sig. f	Homogenitas sig
High (Cm)	150	147.00	186.00	166.4133	6.28003	0,12	0.263
Head (presentage)	150	14.00	30.00	22.3867	2.74604	0.192	0.57
Neck (presentage)	150	4.00	14.00	7.9800	2.05126	0.02	0.24
Body (presentage)	150	36.00	57.00	43.9533	3.14810	0.08	0.464
Leg (presentage)	150	78.00	110.00	92.2133	5.12212	0.453	0.276
upper arm (presentage)	150	17.00	38.00	26.7600	4.50008	0.014	0.01
Forearm (presentage)	150	18.00	31.00	25.0133	1.97633	0.02	0.214
Thigh (presentage)	150	42.00	69.00	49.3267	3.81360	0.177	0.493
Calf (presentage)	150	29.00	47.00	37.2667	3.40877	0.277	0.739
Valid N (listwise)	150						

In the table above, it can be seen that gymnasts have certain characteristics where the average height of 166.4 cm where the criteria for moderate height while normality and homogeneity if the significance is more than 0.05 can be said to be normal and homogeneous. Percentage of body parts with height is seen that is very closely related to the effect with 96% read while the relationship with the value is only seen 11.6% of its effect to be seen and can be predicted as follows:

The anthropometric effect on each Apparatus on values shows a positive relationship. Each ideal form of gymnast number Apparatus has an influence between 19% to 68% of the appearance shown by the value. However, based on table 3 below, anthropometrics at apparatus is not a good prediction of value because it shows all the qualifications of sig. F > 0.05.

TABLE II. TEST OF ANTHROPOMETRIC EFFECTS ON HEIGHT AND VALUE

Y	N	R	R square	Sig. F	Predicts
Height	150	0.985	0.96	0.00	$Y = 9.120 + 1.316 \text{ head} + 1.341 \text{ neck} + 1.552 \text{ body} + 1.634 \text{ limb} + 0.098 \text{ upperarm} + 0.042 \text{ forearm}$
Value	150	0.341	0.116	0.03	$Y = 13.54 + 0.28 \text{ head} - 0.029 \text{ neck} - 0.033 \text{ body} - 0.004 \text{ arm} + 0.047 \text{ limb}$

TABLE III. ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC EFFECTS ON APPEARANCE OF ATHLETES TOOL.

Apparatus	Amount Gymnast	R	Rsquare	Sig.F
Floor	32	0.444	0.197	0.684
Pommel Horse	26	0.553	0.284	0.698
Pararel Bar	27	0.273	0.284	0.578
High Bar	26	0.678	0.460	0.145
Rings	23	0.671	0.450	0.266
Vaulting Table	16	0.827	0.683	0.209

The percentage of the length of the body members of the world gymnast to height varies with each instrument in the sixth artistic. This shows that the proportion of gymnasts in each Apparatus is different. In line with opinion that in some morphology gymnasts have similarity characteristics and

differences that influence their movements. Shown in table 4 below:

TABLE IV. DESCRIPTION OF PERCENTAGE OF PROPORTION OF BODY MEMBERS TO WORLD GYMNAST BODY HEIGHT EACH APPARATUS

	Floor		Pommel Horse		Pararell Bar		High bar		Rings		Vaulting Table	
	Mean	Std. Dv	Mean	Std. Dv	Mean	Std. Dv	Mean	Std. Dv	Mean	Std. Dv	Mean	Std. Dv
High (Cm)	166.0	7.52	168.	5.37	166.85	4.73	168.92	5.52	164.69	5.02	162.12	7.71
Head (presentage)	14.67	.912	14.23	1.24	13.28	1.65	11.95	1.38	12.34	1.56	13.62	.98
Neck (presentage)	4.32	1.07	4.69	1.11	5.05	1.32	5.50	1.09	4.71	1.37	4.26	.944
Body (presentage)	54.36	3.20	55.36	2.27	55.33	1.60	57.25	3.24	55.48	2.50	52.80	3.31
Leg (presentage)	26.53	1.79	26	2.61	26.33	2.48	26.60	1.43	25.95	1.91	26.31	2.09
upper arm (presentage)	17.31	2.33	14.67	1.37	16.34	1.81	15.66	2.33	12.29	1.43	16.99	1.75
Forearm (presentage)	15.00	1.01	14.23	1.24	15.03	1.24	14.85	1.31	15.17	.93	15.19	1.23
Thigh (presentage)	29.60	2.77	29.77	1.99	29.25	1.85	30.33	1.80	29.60	2.513	28.10	2.2
Calf (presentage)	22.30	2.15	22.33	1.79	22.77	1.89	21.81	1.8	22.70	2.37	21.70	2.28
Value	14.430	.267	14.63	.412	14.67	.30	14.28	.26	14.60	.332	14.72	.25
Valid N (listwise)	32		26		27		26		23		16	

The dominant pattern of motion in each apparatus in artistic gymnastics varies greatly based on the characteristics of the gymnastics Apparatus. [24] The dominant flooring apparatus involving the legs and feet will be different from the pommel horse apparatus which involves a lot of the shoulder and arm for its circular motion. Paracetristic anthropometric gymnasts on certain Apparatus numbers will lead to efficient and effective movements.[25][26]

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study answer the following:

- That there is a positive correlation r 0.341 medium category between percentage variables anthropometric length proportion (head, neck, arms, togok, limb) Men world gymnasts towards the final score. The influence of anthropometric variables is 11.6%. The results can be predicted by showing the significance level with sig 0.03 <0.05.
- That the proportion of gymnast body size is ideal for Apparatus number specialists varies but can be described the characteristics of certain anthropometric percentages as shown in table 4 above.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. H. Roberta Zulvi Surya., Siti Wardah, “Penggunaan Data Antropometri dalam Evaluasi Ergonomi Pada Tempat Duduk Penumpang Speed Boat Rute Tembilahan - Kuala Enok Kab . Indragiri Hilir Riau (The Use of Anthropometric Data in the Evaluation of Ergonomics in Speed Boat Passenger Seating Tembilahan Route - Kuala Enok Kab. Indragiri Hilir Riau),” *Malikussaleh Ind. Eng. J. Vol.2 No.1 4-8 ISSN 2302 934X*, vol. 2, no. Fakultas Teknik dan Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Islam Indragiri, Tembilahan, Riau, pp. 4–8, 2013.
- [2] I. Anggraeni, “Klasifikasi Status Gizi Balita berdasarkan Indeks Antropometri(BB/U) Menggunakan Jaringan Saraf Tiruan (Toddler Nutritional Status Classification based on Anthropometric Index (BB / U) Using Artificial Neural Networks),” Universitas Gunadarma, 2014.
- [3] D. Indarti and A. Kartini, “Hubungan Status Gizi Dengan Kejadian Anemia Pada Remaja Putri (Relationship of Nutritional Status with the Occurrence of Anemia in Young Women),” *Progr. Stud. Ilmu Gizi Fak. Kedokt. Univ. Diponegoro*, 2014.
- [4] C. K. Mulyadi, Fransisca, K. M. Pramudya, Kevin, M. Lenardi, and S. Sukmaniah, “Hubungan Antropometri, Aktivitas Fisik, dan Pengetahuan Gizi dengan Asupan Energi dan Komposisi Makronutrien pada Remaja (Relationship of Anthropometry, Physical Activity, and Nutrition Knowledge with Energy Intake and Macronutrient Composition in Adolescents, "E J. Kedokt. Indonesia., 2013.),” *E J. Kedokt. Indones.*, 2013.
- [5] Fakhrollah, “Analisis Antropometrik Atlet Sepakbola Universitas Serambi Mekkah Banda Aceh (Anthropometric Analysis of Soccer Athlete at Serambi Mekkah University, Banda Aceh),” *penjaskesrek*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2017.
- [6] D. P. Cliff, A. D. Okely, L. M. Smith, and K. McKeen, “Relationships between Fundamental Movement Skills and Objectively Measured Physical Activity in Preschool Children,” *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.*, 2009.
- [7] D. Sajber, M. Peric, M. Spasic, N. Zenic, and D. Sekulic, “Sport-specific and anthropometric predictors of synchronised swimming performance,” *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport*, 2013.
- [8] B. Mkaouer, S. Hammoudi-Nassib, S. Amara, and H. Chaabène,

- “Evaluating the physical and basic gymnastics skills assessment for talent identification in men’s artistic gymnastics proposed by the International Gymnastics Federation.” *Biol. Sport*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 383–392, 2018.
- [9] B. Vandorpe *et al.*, “The value of a non-sport-specific motor test battery in predicting performance in young female gymnasts,” *J. Sports Sci.*, 2012.
- [10] Chernenko S, “Effectiveness of junior form pupils’ training of gymnastic exercises in different modes of their fulfillment,” *Pedagog. Psychol. medical-biological Probl. Phys. Train. Sport.*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 65–74, 2015.