## KRONOLOGI KORESPONDENSI PUBLIKASI ARTIKEL PADA JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI DAN BERDAMPAK FAKTOR

| Judul        | : Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Calophyllum inophyllum Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as    |
|--------------|---|
|              | Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl <sub>2</sub> Catalyzed Esterification |
| Jurnal       | : Polymers  |
| Volume       | : 15  |
| No           | :1  |
| Halaman      | : 123 (1-15)  |
| Tahun        | : 2023  |
| Penerbit     | : Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)                                 |
| Penulis      | : Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni,                   |
|              | Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto,   |
| SJR Jurnal   | : 0.726   |
| Quartile     | : Q1  |
| Link Scimago | o: https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=54222&tip=sid                          |

## BUKTI INDEXING JOURNAL Terindeks Scopus (Q1) SJR 0.726

# 1. SCOPUSSource Details: Scopus.comCoverage: from 2009 to Present

scopus.com/sourceid/54222

| Source details   | Tenflack.) Company search ( |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Polymers<br>Gene Ansee   | Gadoon 2011.<br>5.7         |
| Scopus coverage years: from 2009 to 2022<br>Publisher: Multidiscipitrary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)<br>E-ISSN: 2073-4360  | ънгжи<br>0.726              |
| Source type: Journal View all Anamore S Text document dot  | 989-303<br>1,170            |
| CiteScom CiteScom rank & trend Scopus content coverage   |                             |
| Improved CiteScore enethodology<br>Catilours 2021 counts the stations involved in 2028-2021 to articles, review, conference papers, book objectes and data<br>papers published in 2028-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2028-2021. Learn memo > |                             |
| CiteScore 2021 CiteScoreTracker 2022 ©<br>5.7 -  |                             |
| CiteScore rank 2021 ()<br>Cangery Raik Proveide  |                             |
| Municials Science #14,016 #14,016 #14,016  |                             |
| Charactery<br>L General Charactery Http://op   |                             |

#### **Scimago Journal and Country Rank**



#### Polymers 8

| COUNTRY   | SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY  | PUBLICHER       | H-INDEX   |
|---|--|-----------------|---|
| Switzentand<br>Universities and research<br>estitutions in Sectoriand | Chemistry<br>- Chemistry (miscellaneous)<br>Materials Science<br>- Polymers and Plastics | MOPI AQ         | 89  |
| PUBLICATION TYPE  | 155W   | COVERAGE        | INFORMATION   |
| Journalis   | 20734360   | 1969, 2008-2021 | Homepage<br>How to publish in this journal<br>albooker@uni-potadam.de |

#### NCOPE

Polymer Synthesis synthesis of copolymers, block-polymers, polyesters, elastomer, polyolefins, polyamides, polycarbonates, rubber, thermoplastics, thermosels, methods for polymerization, etc. -Polymer Analysis characterization and analysis of polymers, polymeric materials and polymer additives, polymerization mechanism, measurement of molecular weight, size, conformation, structure, properties and behavior of polymers, separation, spectroscopy, and scattering techniques, structure-property-processing relationships. -Polymer Physics: crystalization, theology, swelling, phase separation, viscosity and viscoelasticity, entanglements and crosslinking, mechanical properties, dielectric properties, optical properties, thermal properties, the kinetics of degradation and polymerization, polymers subjected to deformation, flow, and other external fields, polymers at interfaces and in confined spaces. -Polymer Theory and Simulation: numerical simulation for macromolecular systems towards the understanding of underlying physical or physico-chemical mechanisms, modeling of polymer structure and conformation, predictive algorithms of polymers. -Polymer Processing and Performance: thermoforming, compression and transfer molding, rotational molding, extrusion, isjection molding, blow molding, plastic foam molding. -Polymer Applications[..] -Biobased and Biodegradable Polymers[..] -Polymer Recycling[..]-Polymer Composites and Nanocomposites[..]



#### SJR Information: SJR = 0.726 (2021)

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022.



## **Quartile Information**

### Quartile = Q1 (2021) area Polymers and Plastics, Chemistry (Miscellaneous)

| Quartiles             |      |    |
|-----------------------|------|----|
| Polymers and Plastics | 2013 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2014 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2015 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2016 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2017 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2018 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2019 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2020 | Q1 |
| Polymers and Plastics | 2021 | Q1 |

## Quartiles

| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2013 | Q1 |
|---------------------------|------|----|
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2014 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2015 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2016 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2017 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2018 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2019 | Q2 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2020 | Q1 |
| Chemistry (miscellaneous) | 2021 | Q1 |



## 2. Terindeks WoS (Science Citation Index Expanded -SCIE) Impact Factor: 4.967

|  |  | GQH    |
|--|--|--------|
| Science Master Journa  | al List Search Journals Match Manuscript Downloads Help Center Login Create Free A   | ccount |
|  | The power of the Web of Science <sup>®</sup> on your     Dismiss Learn More     mobile device, wherever inspiration strikes.         |        |
| Already have a<br>manuscript?  | Refine Your Search Results   |        |
| ite our Manuscript Natcher to find th<br>sest relevant journalid                                       | # polymers Search Sort By Relevance  | ý *    |
|  | Found 100 results (Page 1) < Share These Results   |        |
| Filters 6 Clear A  | # Exact Match Found  |        |
| Filters D Clear A  | Exact Match Found     POLYMERS   |        |
| Filters <b>B</b> Clear A<br>Web of Science Covenage<br>Open Access                                     | K     Exact Match Found     POLYMERS     Putdistne: MDPI, ST ALBAN-ANLAGE 66, BASEL, SWITZERLAND, CH-4052     ISSN / SISSN 2073-4360 |        |
| Filters Clear A<br>Web of Science Coverage<br>Open Access 3<br>Category                                |  |        |
| Filters <b>B</b> . Clear A<br>Web of Science Coverage<br>Open Access 3<br>Category<br>Country / Region |  |        |
| Filters Coverage Open Access   |  | r page |

| mdpi.com/journal, | /polymers/indexing  |   |                 |
|-------------------|---|---|-----------------|
|                   | Juannyis J. Polyment, J. Indexang & Archiverg   |   | AUDICT<br>4.967 |
|                   | tilatered to Podomens   | Polymers — Indexing & Archiving   | V III           |
|                   | Review für Polymera   | Pulymero is optioned by the honowing databases, and anonyour  |                 |
|                   | Journal Menu  | Indexing & Abstracting Services   |                 |
|                   | Foymers Home     Annis & Scape     Extorus Board     Hewawer Baant     Topical Advisory Panel     Instructions for Authons     Special Issues     Topical Advisory Panel     Soctoos & Collectors     Article Processing Charge     Individing & Authoring     Etithar's Choice Articles     Mast Criter & Verwed     Mast Criter & Verwed     Journal Statutos |   |                 |
|                   | Journal History     Journal Annetti     Society Collaborations.     Continuenzas     Editorial Office  Journal Browser      issue     sociation      former      issue     sociation      Forthcomang Issue   | major:     Mattonal Library of Medicine     PubMed     PMC     OpenAIRE     OSTI (U.S. Department of Energy)     PATENT SCOPE     ProCovert     Safety_LI     With of Science     Safety_LI     Current Contents Physical, Covernant & Earth Sciences |                 |

#### **KRONOLOGI KORESPONDENSI:**

| No | Tanggal          | Aktivitas   |
|----|------------------|---|
| 1  | 29 November 2022 | Submission Artikel melalui website jurnal Polymers (MDPI)                                   |
|    |                  | Dengan judul awal:  |
|    |                  | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed                    |
|    |                  | Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl <sub>2</sub> - |
|    |                  | Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design                                 |
| 2  | 29 November 2022 | Email dari editor bahwa Submission received dan mendapatkan nomer                           |
|    |                  | ID Manuskrip: polymers-2096358  |
| 3  | 1 Desember 2022  | Assistant Editor Assigned. Asisten editor yang bertugas adalah Lionel Lin                   |
| 4  | 2 Desember 2022  | Email dari editor mengenai konfirmasi besaran Article Processing Charge                     |
|    |                  | yang harus dibayarkan apabila artikel diterima dan akan dipublikasikan                      |
| 5  | 9 Desember 2022  | Minor Revision. Email dari Editor yang menginformasikan mengenai hasil                      |
|    |                  | review dari 2 reviewer dengan status minor revision. Diminta melakukan                      |
|    |                  | perbaikan dengan deadline dalam waktu 5 hari.   |
| 6  | 12 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor: Revision reminder. Mengingatkan untuk segera                             |
|    |                  | mengunggah revisi artikel   |
| 7  | 13 Desember 2022 | Mengunggah revisi artikel   |
| 8  | 13 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor berisi konfirmasi bahwa unggahan revisi artikel sudah                     |
|    |                  | diterima pada website   |
| 9  | 15 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor yang menginformasikan bahwa artikel Accepted for                          |
|    |                  | Publication   |
| 10 | 15 Desember 2022 | Email dari MDPI Billing administration menginformasikan mengenai Article                    |
|    |                  | Processing Charge dan deadline pembayaran   |
| 11 | 17 Desember 2022 | Email dari Editor mengenai final proofreading sebelum publikasi dan                         |
|    |                  | permintaan revisi judul   |
| 12 | 19 Desember 2022 | Mengunggah artikel yang telah di-proofreading dan melakukan perubahan                       |
|    |                  | judul artikel menjadi:  |
|    |                  | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Calophyllum inophyllum Oil to Fatty Acid                   |
|    |                  | Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl <sub>2</sub> –Catalyzed          |
|    |                  | Esterification  |
| 13 | 19 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor yang menginformasikan bahwa unggah naskah yang telah di                   |
|    |                  | proof-reading telah diterima  |
| 14 | 27 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor yang menginformasikan bahwa pembayaran Article                            |
|    |                  | Processing Charge telah diterima  |
| 15 | 28 Desember 2022 | Email dari editor yang menginformasikan bahwa artikel <i>published</i> pada Jurnal          |
|    |                  | <i>Polymers</i> Volume 15, No. 1, Tahun 2023, Halaman 123 (1-15) dengan DOI                 |
|    |                  | <u>nttps://doi.org/10.3390/polym15010123</u>  |
|    |                  | Linkartikal   |
|    |                  | LINK di UKEI.<br>https://www.mdpi.com/2072.4260/15/1/122                                    |
|    |                  |   |
|    |                  | <u>Inttps://www.inupi.com/2073-4300/13/1/123</u>  |

#### susy.mdpl.com/user/myprofile

| Home                              |   | CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTÓR DE LA CONTRACTICACIÓN DE LA CONTRACTÓR DE LA CONTRACTICACIÓN DE LA CONTRACTÓR DE | 8585  |                             |                |                 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Mahage Accounts                   |   | Author Dashbo  | ard   |                             |                |                 |
| Change Persword                   |   | Sector Contractor  |   |                             |                | _               |
| Edit Proble                       |   | Papers published (   | last 3 months)  |                             |                | college         |
| # Logaut                          |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
|                                   |   | Manuacript-ID  | Title   | Section/Special Issue/Topic | Status         | Submission Date |
| ~ Submissions Menu                | 0 | polymers-2096358   | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Catophyllum inophyllum Oil to Fatty Acid<br>Enter as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCQ-Catalyzed | S: Polymer Chemistry        | Website online | 2022-11-29      |
| # Submit Manuscript               |   |  | Esterification  |                             |                |                 |
| Display Submitted     Manuscripts |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| # English Editing                 |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| Discount Vouchers                 |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| # invoices                        |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| ELaTex Word Count                 |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| - Reviewers Menu                  | 0 |  |   |                             |                |                 |
| Volunteer Preferences             |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |
|                                   |   |  |   |                             |                |                 |

susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6/618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a6b

| «User Menu                           | Article Information C   | verview  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| # Home                               |                         |  |
| Manage Accounts                      |                         |  |
| Change Password                      | Manager (D              | polymers-2096368   |
| # Edt Profie                         | Station                 | Website online   |
| = Logoit                             | DOI                     | 10.3390/polytri15010123  |
| 0.0008.000                           | Publication Certificate | Download Bublication Certificate (PDE) *   |
| - Submissions Menu                   | 0                       | Described Bannor (PDF)   |
| Contraction of the second            | and the second second   | Abdend 1794  |
| Submit Manuscript                    | websie Links            | Addition High western Hor Western Instruction  |
| <ul> <li>Deplay Submitted</li> </ul> | Article type            | Ande   |
| Tranksh Editori                      | Title                   | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Catophythum inophythum Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based  |
| English Ealing                       |                         | EboxA Haspoter via pucat-capatyted Esteruction   |
| · Lincount vouchers                  | Journal                 | Polymera   |
| a involute                           | Volume                  | 15   |
| <ul> <li>Cases your coom</li> </ul>  | lissue                  | 1  |
|                                      | Section                 | Polymer Chemistry  |
| <ul> <li>Reviewers Menu</li> </ul>   | O Abstract              | The preparation and application of this based plasticizers derived from vegetable pits has gained accessing  |
| Waterbeer Preferences                |                         | attention in the polymer industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditional petroleum-based  |
|                                      |                         | phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is among the prospective alternative plasticizers since it is  |
|                                      |                         | econenary, non-color, biodegradable, row migration, and row carbon toophint, Epoxy prastozer can be<br>synthesized by the encodetion reaction of fatty and ester. In this study, the preparation of fatty and ester as |
|                                      |                         | a green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of free fatty acid (FFA) in high   |
|                                      |                         | acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed OII (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl <sub>2</sub> 2H <sub>2</sub> O catalyst. The   |
|                                      |                         | analysis of the process variables and responses using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface   |
|                                      |                         | model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis demonstrated that the optimum FEA conversion and  |
|                                      |                         | residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: a   |
|                                      |                         | reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.80 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The  |
|                                      |                         | fatty acid ester generated was an intermediate product which can undergo a further epoxidation process to  |
|                                      |                         | produce epoxy prasticizer in polymeric material production   |
|                                      | Kinyvatatita            | Calcolution incolution seed oil SnDs 2HzD fatty and ester resconse surface methodology enoug   |

Dear Editor-in-Chief Polymers

Submission of Manuscript Entitled "Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design " to be Considered for Publication in Polymers

The above matter is referred. On behalf on the other authors, I would like to submit the said manuscript to be considered for publication in Polymers.

The work (5536 words, excluding references) demonstrated the novel study on the preparation of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of FFA in high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The analysis of the process variables and responses using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished.

We confirm that neither the manuscript nor any parts of its content are currently under consideration or published in another journal. All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with its submission to Polymers.

Thanking you in advance for your kind consideration and hope to be hearing a favorable reply from you soon.

Thank you

On behalf of the authors, Dr. Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas Chemical Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang





## Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum in-2 ophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based 3 Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas\*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa and Dhoni 6 Hartanto 7

| 8  | Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Kampus Sekaran,  |
|----|--|
| 9  | Gunungpati, Semarang, 50229 Indonesia; ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id (R.D.K.); hani-       |
| 10 | if.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id (H.P.); nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com (N.D.A); eldinaanisa@gmail.com     |
| 11 | (E.D.N.A); dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id (D.H.)  |
| 12 | * Correspondence: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id  |
| 13 | Abstract: Preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils has gain   |
| 14 | an increasing attention in polymer industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the tradi-       |
| 15 | tional petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is among the prospective al-      |
| 16 | ternative plasticizer since it is ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low car-   |
| 17 | bon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester.   |
| 18 | In this study, preparation of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was per-  |
| 19 | formed via esterification of FFA in high acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil (CSO) using meth-      |
| 20 | anol in the presence of SnCl2.2H2O catalyst. The analysis of the process variables and responses       |
| 21 | using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished.            |
| 22 | It was found that the quadratic model is the most appropriate model for the optimization process.      |
| 23 | The BBD analysis demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were            |
| 24 | 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: reaction temperature      |
| 25 | of 59.36°C, reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester |
|    |  |

duce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

| Citation: To be added by editorial | 27 |
|------------------------------------|----|
| staff during production.           |    |
|                                    | 28 |
| Academic Editor: Firstname Last-   | 29 |
| name                               | 30 |
| Received: date                     |    |
| Accepted: date                     |    |
| Published: date                    | 21 |

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neug? tral with regard to jurisdictionad3 claims in published maps and instig4 tutional affiliations. 35



26

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors8 Submitted for possible open accesso publication under the terms  $and_0$ conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Keywords: Calophyllum inophyllum seed oil; SnCl2.2H2O; fatty acid ester; response surface methodology; epoxy plasticizer

generated was the intermediate product which can further undergo epoxidation process to pro-

#### 1. Introduction

31

36

37

Plasticizer is an important additive in polymer, especially plastic industry. The IUPAC definition of plasticizer is a substance included in a material such as plastic or elastomer to enhance its flexibility, working ability, and distensibility. This function can be executed by decreasing the second order transition temperature or known as the glass transition temperature [1]. Plasticizers are a low molecular weight molecule, sited between the polymer chains and develop secondary bond with the polymer chains. Thus, it will interrupt the hydrogen bond and spread the polymer chains apart, which will improve the polymer properties such as lowering modulus, making the softer mass character of the material, better gas permeability, enhance the degree of crystallinity, and reducing the tension of deformation [2,3]. The demand on the plasticizer notably increases along with the rapid growth of the plastic and polymer industry during the last decade.



To date, the most widely used plasticizers to promote are conventional petroleum-based phthalates, i.e., diisononyl phthalate (DINP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DIBP), n-butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP). Phthalates are applied in many polymer products, especially PVC products. However, utilization of phthalate plasticizers caused a problem recently since they exhibit a negative effect to the human health and environment [4–7]. Besides, they don't have biodegradable and renewable characteristic. Therefore, it is essential to develop a non-toxic, biodegradable, and renewable plasticizer with good performance which can be applied for various polymer products, such as food packaging, consumer good, electrical insulation, and medical products.

Bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils are among the prospective alternative since they have ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint properties. Various types of bio-plasticizers can be produced form vegetable oil raw materials, for instance epoxidized oil (triglyceride) and epoxidized fatty acid esters [1,6,8]. Among numerous bio based plasticizers, epoxidized fatty acid methyl ester, or also known as epoxy fatty acid esters, is favorable for the application as additive material in PVC which is attributable to its benefits, viz., high plasticizing efficiency, renewable characteristic, biodegradability, and economical [9]. Epoxy fatty acid esters have better solubility in the polymeric matrix than the epoxidized oil and offer superior elasticity even though at low temperature [10].

Vegetable oil fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters can be prepared via two different routes, namely transesterification of triglyceride and esterification of free fatty acid. Vegetable oils are mainly composed of triglycerides, which consist there fatty acid units linked to glycerol [11]. Fatty acid esters can be synthesized by transesterification of triglyceride in the oil using short chain alcohol such as methanol over acid or base catalyst [9,12,13]. The nonedible vegetable oil, however, generally contain high free fatty acid (FFA) beside the main triglyceride compound. The high FFA content causes the acidic character of the vegetable oil. FFA is usually unfavorable since it makes bad odor and rancidity of the oil [14]. The standard quality of commercial vegetable oil such as crude palm oil is controlled by the FFA content lower than 5% [15]. In spite of this fact, FFA can be transform to fatty acid ester via esterification reaction using short chain alcohols in the presence of acid catalyst [13,16]. Fatty acid esters synthesized via either triglyceride transesterification or FFA esterification can further undergo epoxidation reaction to produce epoxy fatty acid esters. Fatty acid ester have low viscosity, hence it needs lower organic solvent in the epoxidation reaction [17].

Epoxidation reaction requires fatty acid ester precursors which comprise high content of unsaturated fatty esters [10,17]. Epoxidation is a double bond addition reaction, in which the double bonds are transformed into oxirane [7]. Thus, it involves the formation of oxirane (epoxy) through the reaction between the olefinic double bond compound and the peroxyacids or peracids. Epoxides or oxirane consist of cyclic ethers with reactive 3-membered ring. Peroxyacids in the epoxidation reaction generally yielded via the reaction between acetic acid or formic acid with hydrogen peroxide using strong inorganic acid. It can be also conducted by directly introduced peroxyacid into the reactants mixture. The resulted peroxyacids then convert the double bond into the epoxy. The recent innovation in the area of fatty acid esters conversion to epoxy is the enzymatic reaction technology [18,19].

Several work related to the epoxidation of fatty acid esters sourced from various vegetable oils, such as soybean, linseed oil, rapeseed, castor, grapeseed, avocado, olive, microalgae, RBD palm olein, and sunflower oils [9,17,18,20–22] have been extensively reported. However, synthesis of epoxy fatty acid ester derived from *Calophyllum in-ophyllum* Seed Oil has not been broadly studied. *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO), is a prospective source of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters. *Calophyllum inophyllum* plant, or locally known as nyamplung or tamanu tree or beach mahogany, is originally comes from Indo-Pacific are (Africa, India, South East Asia, Australia, and

Pacific islands) [23]. *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed is an excellent source of vegetable oil with oil content of 65-75% (Akram et al., 2022). Based on our previous investigation, *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) comprises high unsaturated fatty acid. Fatty acids composing CSO are dominantly unsaturated fatty acids (40% oleic acid, 29.94% linoleic acid, and 0.6% arachidic acid) and small portion saturated fatty acid (15.51% palmitic acid and 14.39% stearic acid). CSO is nonedible oil, contain gum and high FFA content of 19.18% [24]. The undesired high FFA content in CSO is potential to be converted to fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester plasticizer through acid catalyzed ester-ification using methanol.

In this work, esterification of FFA present in CSO with methanol using SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O was carried out to produce fatty acid ester as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester. The heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (tin chloride) catalyst was employed to promote the reaction by reason of its superiority. SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O is a low cost Lewis acid catalyst which is tolerant to water, stable, less corrosive, and simple to handle. It is milder than Brønsted acid catalyst but capable to provide high catalytic activity. Lewis acids are compound with lack of electrons which can perform to activate substrate rich in electrons [25,26]. This catalyst also possesses the general advantage of heterogeneous catalyst, specifically the easy separation from the product mixture and reusability [27]. The esterification of FFA in CSO over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O is illustrated in Equation (1).



To optimize the process condition for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol in the presence on SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, a statistical model was applied. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a rigorous technique that can be implemented to asses numerous parameter with a minimum number of experiments. It involves mathematical and statistical procedure to create experimental design which can examine the influences of the independent process variables on the process variable, thus the optimum response can be In the optimization process, a suitable design should be employed. The verified [28]. models that are applicable for the factorial analysis are Box-Behnken Design (BBD), Doehlert Design (DD) and Central Composite Design (CCD). These models can predict the response function to the actual data using the quadratic function [29]. BBD is more efficient and cost-effective than DD and CCD since it has no extreme points and needs less point than the others for the analysis and optimization [30]. The purpose of this work was to determine proper process condition which result in the highest reaction conversion and the lowest residual FFA by using BBD in RSM for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. At the optimum process condition, the highest yield of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy plasticizer was also achieved.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

*Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) was obtained from a local supplier in Central Java, Indonesia. It had acid value and FFA content of 36.542 mg KOH/g oil and 18.39%, respectively. The most dominant fatty acid composing the CSO was oleic acid, which has molecular weight of 282.52 g/mol as reported in our previous work [24]. The other materials used were phosphoric acid, methanol (technical grade, purchased from local chemical store), ethanol p.a. (Merck), SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O or tin(II)chloride catalyst (Merck), KOH p.a. (Merck), oxalic acid p.a. (Merck), distilled water, phenolphthalein solution.

2.2. Methods

145

146

147

148

149 150

151

152

153

154

155

156 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168

169 170

171 172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183 184

185

#### 2.2.1. Esterification Reaction

Prior to the esterification reaction, CSO was degummed using phosphoric acid to remove the phospholipids and mucilaginous gums content [31]. The acid degumming process was performed using the similar method with the previous work [24]. The degummed CSO was then underwent the esterification reaction. Initially, CSO and methanol were weighed to obtain the molar ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30. The CSO was heated until it reached the desired temperature the desired temperature (40°C, 50°C, and 60°C) in three necks flask reactor. At the same time, a certain amount of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O was solved and mixed with methanol in another flask. The SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst employed for the reaction was varied at 1%, 3%, 5%, and 7% w/w of CSO. The mixture of methanol and SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was separately heated up to the similar temperature. Once the targeted temperature was attained, the methanol-SnCl2.2H2O catalyst mixture was introduced into the reactor and it was recorded as the initial time of the esterification reaction. The esterification reaction was conducted for 120 min using a batch reactor which was equipped with a condenser and magnetic stirrer. The high agitation speed of 1000 rpm was applied to enhance the mixing of the solid catalyzed reaction [32–34]. Samples were taken periodically every 10 minutes. The samples were tested to determine the acid value using standard carboxylic-acid-titration techniques [35,36]. According to Kurniati et al. [37], The FFA conversion ( $X_A$ ) at a certain sampling time was determined based on the residual acid value at reaction time t as shown in Equation (2).

$$X_A = \frac{AV_i - AV_t}{AV_i} \ge 100\%$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Where, X<sub>A</sub> is the reaction conversion (%), AV<sub>i</sub> is the initial acid value (t=0), (mg) and AV<sub>t</sub> is the residual acid value at reaction time (mg)

The FFA content was calculated using Equation (3) [38].

$$FFA Content (\%) = \frac{A \times N \times MW}{G \times 1000} \times 100$$
(3)

Where, FFA Content is the reaction conversion (%), A is the volume of KOH (ml), N is the normality of KOH (N), MW is the average molecular weight of the fatty acids (g/mol) and G is the sample weight (g).

#### 2.2.1. Optimization Using Box-Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology

The experimental data were used for optimization the operation condition to obtain the lowest FFA content in CSO and the highest reaction conversion using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The simulation was conducted using Design Expert version 13 software. BBD was chosen since it can optimize the parameters effectively with minimum number of experiments and allows analysis of interaction between the parameters. In this study, BBD was performed using total of 15 experimental runs and the center point measurements were repeated three times to accomplish an accurate calculation of the experimental error. The parameter studied as the independent variables in this work were temperature (A), reaction time (B), and catalyst concentration (C). Each parameter was examined at 3 levels, viz. -1 indicated the low level, +1 represented the high level, and 0 was used as the central point to evaluate the experimental error [39]. The independent variables and their levels are presented in Table 1. Furthermore, the design of the randomized response model is shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Independent Variables Range and Level Used in BBD Experimental Design.

| Indonondont Variable       | Eastor | С  | oded Leve   | 1   |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------|----|---|-----|--|--|
| independent variable       | ractor | -1 | Coded Level           -1         0         1           40         50         60           60         90         120 |     |  |  |
| Temperature (°C)           | А      | 40 | 50  | 60  |  |  |
| Reaction Time (min)        | В      | 60 | 90  | 120 |  |  |
| Catalyst Concentration (%) | С      | 3  | 5   | 7   |  |  |

Table 2. Design of the Randomized Response Model.

|     | Factor A    | Factor B             | Factor C               |
|-----|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Run | Temperature | <b>Reaction Time</b> | Catalyst Concentration |
|     | (°C)        | (min)                | (%)                    |
| 1   | 40          | 120                  | 5                      |
| 2   | 40          | 60                   | 5                      |
| 3   | 60          | 90                   | 3                      |
| 4   | 40          | 90                   | 7                      |
| 5   | 60          | 90                   | 7                      |
| 6   | 50          | 120                  | 3                      |
| 7   | 60          | 120                  | 5                      |
| 8   | 50          | 60                   | 7                      |
| 9   | 50          | 90                   | 5                      |
| 10  | 40          | 90                   | 3                      |
| 11  | 60          | 60                   | 5                      |
| 12  | 50          | 60                   | 3                      |
| 13  | 50          | 90                   | 5                      |
| 14  | 50          | 120                  | 7                      |
| 15  | 50          | 90                   | 5                      |

The average magnitude of error between the predicted value and actual value (experimental data) was calculated using Equation 4, in which MAPE is Mean Absolute Percentage Error and n is the number of data.

$$MAPE = \sum \frac{\left|\frac{\text{predicted value - experimental data}}{\text{experimental data}}\right|}{n} \times 100\%$$
(4)

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Effects of the Experimental Variables on the Reaction Conversion

Esterification of high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed oil (CSO) with methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst to transform free fatty acid to fatty acid ester as precursor of bio-based epoxy plasticizer has been conducted in this work. Based on the stoichiometry, one mole FFA requires one mole methanol to precede esterification reaction [40]. However, the Fischer esterification reaction is an equilibrium limited reaction. Hence, a far excess methanol reactant should be introduced to shift the equilibrium towards the product formation [41]. In this work, a fixed CSO to methanol ratio of 1:30 was applied for all the experiments. To intensify the mixing between the reactants and catalyst, the agitation speed was kept at 1000 rpm. The rapid agitation is beneficial to reduce the film thickness between the reactants and promote the mass transfer [41]. The experimental results are demonstrated in Figure 1 and 2.

Figure 1 presents the effect of the catalyst molar ratio on the reaction conversion for the reaction conducted at fixed reaction temperature, molar ratio of CSO and methanol, and reaction time of 60°C, 1:30, and 120 min, respectively. The effect of the catalyst concentration was studied at the range of 1 - 7% w/w CSO. Catalyst offers an altered reaction

187

188 189 190

191

192

193

194

195

204 205

206

route with lower activation energy. Hence, it causes a higher percentage of collisions between the reactants molecule reach the minimum energy to react. It can be observed that the reaction conversion enhanced to 73.75% with increasing catalyst concentration from 1% to 5%. The higher reaction conversion was accomplished on account of the increase amount of active sites available for the reaction [42,43]. Thus, it accelerated the reaction to reach the equilibrium. However, it was revealed that the employment of 7% catalyst didn't further raise the reaction conversion. Yet, the conversion tended to slightly decline to 65.85%. It denotes that the excessive addition of catalyst will not provide the comparative influence on the conversion improvement when the contact process has already arrived at the maximum [44].

6 of 17



**Figure 1.** Effect of the Catalyst Concentration on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst at the Reaction Temperature of 60°C, Molar Ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30, and Reaction Time of 120 min.



**Figure 2.** Effect of the Temperature and Reaction Time on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst at the Molar Ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30 and Catalyst Concentration of 5%.

Figure 2 exhibits the effects of temperature and the reaction time on the reaction conversion for the reaction carried out at fixed catalyst concentration of 5% and molar ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30. The reaction temperature was examined at 40, 50 and  $60^{\circ}$ C and the reaction time was inspected at 0 - 120 min. It was disclosed that the rising of the temperature brought about the extensively higher reaction conversion. Esterification is an endothermic reaction, therefore the reaction rate increased with the temperature (Rani et al., 2020). The rise of the temperature will also improve the translation and the rotation of the reactants molecules and lower the liquid viscosity, which will enhance the diffu-

 sion rate of the reactants to the active sites of the catalyst [44]. The effective mass transfer gives a beneficial impact on the higher total reaction rate and higher reaction conversion. The highest conversion of 73.75% was obtained at 60°C, which was near to the boiling point of the methanol. The further increase of the temperature at the similar atmospheric pressure will not promote the conversion since it will exceed the boiling point, hence part of the methanol in the liquid phase will change to the gas phase. The result was in a good agreement with Handayani et al. [45]. The longer reaction time, the higher conversion was attained. However, the sharp acceleration was shown at the first 10 minutes of the reaction. It was attributed to the high concentration of the reactant at the beginning of the reaction. To determine the optimum process condition which led to the best reaction conversion, analysis using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) in Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also carried out.

#### 3.2. Model Fitting in Box-Behnken Design (BBD)

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) is broadly applied to determine the optimum condition of the variables which results in the desired response. It is also practical for evaluating the effects of independent variables and the interaction between the independent variables [46]. In this work, BBD was employed to examine the effects and interaction of the independent variables (reaction time, reaction temperature, and catalyst concentration) to determine the optimum condition which produced the highest ester yield and lower the FFA content in the esterification of CSO using methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst.

The Box–Behnken response surface design and corresponding response values in this work, including the comparison between the experimental data with the prediction value as well as the errors, are revealed in Table 3. Error is the disparity between the observed and the predictive values, and accordingly, it can be used to evaluate the accuracy of the model. The error values in this study were calculated in term of mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as conveyed in Equation (2). It was revealed that the MAPE of the FFA conversion and the FFA content responses were 2.2704% and 3.3410%. The values of MAPE were far less than 10%, indicating the high correctness of the prediction. Generally, the value of MAPE below 10% designates the high accuracy of prediction, whereas the values of 10-20%, 20-50%, and higher than 50% imply the good, fair, and inaccurate forecasting, respectively [47].

There are various models that are available for the optimization using RSM. In this work, four polynomial models (viz. linear, 2FI or two-factor interaction, quadratic, and cubic) were assessed to decide the most appropriate model suited to the experimental data. The above mentioned models have been extensively studied in the field of biore-sources processing research [24,48]. The evaluation of the models was carried out using two different statistical testing methods, i.e. the sequential model (sum of squares) and the model summary tests. Based on the sequential model sum of squares test (Table 4) and the model summary test (Table 5), it was found that the suggested model to optimize the FFA conversion and the FFA content in the case of CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was the quadratic model. The quadratic model was designated due to the facts that it provided the lowest p value as indicated in Table 4, and in opposition, it shown the highest adjusted R<sup>2</sup> and predicted R<sup>2</sup> as demonstrated in Table 5.

|    |          |         |                        | 1       |          | e              | 1 0     | 1       |                 |
|----|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Ru | Tempera- | Reac-   | Catalyst<br>Concentra- | FFA Con | nversion | Error<br>(MAPF | FFA C   | ontent  | Error<br>(MAPF) |
|    | (°C)     | Time    | tion                   | Experi- | Predic-  | )              | Experi- | Predic- | %               |
|    | Α        | (min)   | (%)                    | ment    | tion     | %              | ment    | tion    |                 |
|    |          | В       | С                      |         |          |                |         |         |                 |
| 1  | 40       | 120     | 5                      | 66.161  | 65.963   | 0.2987         | 6.227   | 6.264   | 0.5862          |
| 2  | 40       | 60      | 5                      | 64.896  | 64.619   | 0.4267         | 6.460   | 6.511   | 0.7895          |
| 3  | 60       | 90      | 3                      | 46.237  | 44.695   | 3.3348         | 9.894   | 10.178  | 2.8704          |
| 4  | 40       | 90      | 7                      | 52.878  | 54.420   | 2.9160         | 8.672   | 8.388   | 3.2749          |
| 5  | 60       | 90      | 7                      | 65.528  | 66.595   | 1.6289         | 6.344   | 6.148   | 3.0974          |
| 6  | 50       | 120     | 3                      | 44.023  | 45.288   | 2.8735         | 10.301  | 10.068  | 2.2619          |
| 7  | 60       | 120     | 5                      | 73.751  | 74.028   | 0.3755         | 4.831   | 4.780   | 1.0557          |
| 8  | 50       | 60      | 7                      | 62.682  | 61.417   | 2.0181         | 6.867   | 7.100   | 3.3930          |
| 9  | 50       | 90      | 5                      | 63.631  | 65.634   | 2.0181         | 6.693   | 6.324   | 5.5132          |
| 10 | 40       | 90      | 3                      | 42.125  | 41.058   | 2.5339         | 10.650  | 10.847  | 1.8451          |
| 11 | 60       | 60      | 5                      | 72.170  | 72.368   | 0.2738         | 5.122   | 5.086   | 0.7126          |
| 12 | 50       | 60      | 3                      | 41.809  | 43.153   | 3.2153         | 10.709  | 10.462  | 2.3111          |
| 13 | 50       | 90      | 5                      | 69.640  | 65.634   | 5.7524         | 5.587   | 6.324   | 13.196          |
|    |          |         |                        |         |          |                |         |         | 7               |
| 14 | 50       | 120     | 7                      | 63.631  | 62.287   | 2.1125         | 6.693   | 6.941   | 3.6979          |
| 15 | 50       | 90      | 5                      | 63.631  | 65.634   | 3.1478         | 6.693   | 6.324   | 5.5087          |
|    |          | MAPE (% | ()<br>()               |         |          | 2.2704         |         |         | 3.3410          |

Table 3. The Box–Behnken Response Surface Design and Corresponding Response Values.

Table 4. Sequential Model (Sum of Squares) Test.

| Component   | Sum of Square | Degree of Freedom      | Mean Square       | F-value | p-value | Remarks   |  |
|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------|--|
| Sequential (Sum of Square) for the FFA Conversion |               |                        |                   |         |         |           |  |
| Mean  | 53138.62      | 1                      | 53138.62          |         |         |           |  |
| Linear  | 751.26        | 3                      | 250.42            | 2.87    | 0.09    |           |  |
| 2FI   | 18.65         | 3                      | 6.22              | 0.05    | 0.98    |           |  |
| Quadratic   | 903.67        | 3                      | 301.22            | 39.48   | 0.0007  | Suggested |  |
| Cubic   | 14.08         | 3                      | 4.69              | 0.39    | 0.7758  | Aliased   |  |
| Residual  | 24.07         | 2                      | 12.04             |         |         |           |  |
| Total   | 54850.36      | 15                     | 3656.69           |         |         |           |  |
|   | Sequ          | uential (Sum of Square | e) for the FFA Co | ontent  |         |           |  |
| Mean  | 832.43        | 1                      | 832.43            |         |         |           |  |
| Linear  | 25.44         | 3                      | 8.48              | 2.87    | 0.09    |           |  |
| 2FI   | 0.63          | 3                      | 0.21              | 0.05    | 0.98    |           |  |
| Quadratic   | 30.60         | 3                      | 10.20             | 39.44   | 0.0007  | Suggested |  |
| Cubic   | 0.48          | 3                      | 0.16              | 0.39    | 0.7756  | Aliased   |  |
| Residual  | 0.82          | 2                      | 0.41              |         |         |           |  |
| Total   | 890.40        | 15                     | 59.36             |         |         |           |  |

| Component | Standard<br>Deviation | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | Predicted R <sup>2</sup> | Press   | Remarks   |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|
|           |                       | Model          | Summary for the F       | FA Conversion            |         |           |
| Linear    | 9.34                  | 0.44           | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 1921.57 |           |
| 2FI       | 10.85                 | 0.45           | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 4446.52 |           |
| Quadratic | 2.76                  | 0.98           | 0.94                    | 0.84                     | 279.43  | Suggested |
| Cubic     | 3.47                  | 0.99           | 0.90                    |                          | *       | Aliased   |
|           |                       | Mode           | el Summary for the      | FFA Content              |         |           |
| Linear    | 1.72                  | 0.44           | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 65.07   |           |
| 2FI       | 2.00                  | 0.45           | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 150.57  |           |
| Quadratic | 0.51                  | 0.98           | 0.94                    | 0.84                     | 9.47    | Suggested |
| Cubic     | 0.64                  | 0.99           | 0.90                    |                          | *       | Aliased   |

Table 5. Model Summary Test.

The empirical correlation of the variables and the response based on the quadratic model resulted from the BBD can be stated in the form as second order polynomial equation. The general equation for the second order polynomial regression model is written in Equation (5).

$$Y = \beta o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i X_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i i X_{i}^{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j>1}^{k} (\beta i j X_{i}^{2})$$
(5)

Y indicating the predicted response,  $\beta o$  is a constant,  $\beta i$  is a coefficient for the linear,  $\beta ii$  is the coefficient for the quadratic, and  $\beta ij$  is the interactive coefficient adalah [28,49]. Thus, the definitive equations for the FFA conversion and FFA content are revealed in the Equation (6) and (7), respectively.

FFA Conversion (%) = 3.47466 - 1.29512 A - 0.457250 B + 37.23375 C + 0.000263 AB + 0.106725 AC - 0.005271 BC + 0.011331 A<sup>2</sup> + (6) 0.002753 B<sup>2</sup> - 3.76878 C<sup>2</sup>

#### FFA Content (%) =

| 17.746 - 0.238292 A - 0.4084117 B + 6.85158 C + | 0.000048 AB +                 | (7) |
|---|-------------------------------|-----|
| $0.19650  AC - 0.000975  BC + 0.002084  A^2 +$  | $0.000507 B^2 - 0.693521 C^2$ |     |

Where A, B and C is the temperature (°C), reaction time (min) and catalyst concentration (%) respectively.

#### 3.3. Statistical Analysis Using ANOVA

The quadratic model as the most appropriate model was thenceforth analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the actual data to the different models based on their associated p-values is displayed in Table 6 and 7. Table 6 shows the statistical analysis using ANOVA to predict the FFA conversion in the esterification of CSO. The significance of each constant and the intensity of interaction were proved by the p-value. The influences lower than 0.05 is significant [48]. It can be observed that the F value were 24.37 at the p-value < 0.05, denoting that the model was significant. In this investigation, it was discovered that the affecting variables were two linear coefficients (A and C), and one quadratic coefficient (C<sup>2</sup>). It implies that the temperature (A) and catalyst concentration (C) were significant to the model, but the reaction time (B) was insignificant. The adeq precission value is the measurement of the ratio of the signal against the interference, in which the expected ratio is > 4. Table 6 demonstrates that the adeq precission was 14.6107, revealing that the model was significant [50]. The lack of fit was 14.08 at p-value of 0.78, which was determined significant. It can be suggested that the model is proper for the prediction of the FFA conversion.

| dict the FF2                 | A Conversion. |    |             |         |         |             |
|------------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Source                       | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | p-Value |             |
| Model                        | 1673.58       | 9  | 185.95      | 24.37   | 0.00    | Significant |
| A Temperature (°C)           | 125.03        | 1  | 125.03      | 16.39   | 0.01    |             |
| B Reaction Time (min)        | 4.51          | 1  | 4.51        | 0.59    | 0.48    |             |
| C Catalyst Concentration (%) | 621.72        | 1  | 621.72      | 81.48   | 0.00    |             |
| AB                           | 0.03          | 1  | 0.03        | 0.003   | 0.96    |             |
| AC                           | 18.22         | 1  | 18.22       | 2.39    | 0.18    |             |
| BC                           | 0.40          | 1  | 0.40        | 0.05    | 0.83    |             |
| A <sup>2</sup>               | 4.74          | 1  | 4.74        | 0.62    | 0.47    |             |
| B <sup>2</sup>               | 22.66         | 1  | 22.66       | 2.97    | 0.15    |             |
| $C^2$                        | 839.11        | 1  | 839.11      | 109.97  | 0.00    |             |
| Residual                     | 38.15         | 5  | 7.63        |         |         |             |
| Lack of Fit                  | 14.08         | 3  | 14.08       | 0.39    | 0.78    | Not Signif- |
| Pure Error                   | 24.08         | 2  | 12.04       |         |         | icant       |
| <i>Cor Total</i>             | 1711.73       | 14 |             |         |         |             |
| Adeq Precision               | 14.62         |    |             |         |         |             |
| R <sup>2</sup>               | 0.98          |    |             |         |         |             |

**Table 6.** Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Conversion.

315

316

**Table 7.** Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Content.

| Source                      | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | p-Value |             |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Model                       | 56.67         | 9  | 6.30        | 24.35   | 0.00    | Significant |
| $X_1$                       | 4.23          | 1  | 4.23        | 16.36   | 0.01    |             |
| X2                          | 0.15          | 1  | 0.15        | 0.59    | 0.48    |             |
| X3                          | 21.05         | 1  | 21.05       | 81.41   | 0.00    |             |
| X12                         | 0.00          | 1  | 0.00        | 0.00    | 0.96    |             |
| X13                         | 0.62          | 1  | 0.62        | 2.39    | 0.18    |             |
| X23                         | 0.01          | 1  | 0.01        | 0.05    | 0.83    |             |
| X1 <sup>2</sup>             | 0.16          | 1  | 0.16        | 0.62    | 0.47    |             |
| X2 <sup>2</sup>             | 0.77          | 1  | 0.77        | 2.97    | 0.15    |             |
| X <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup> | 28.41         | 1  | 28.41       | 109.88  | 0.00    |             |
| Residual                    | 1.29          | 5  | 0.26        |         |         |             |
| Lack of Fit                 | 0.48          | 3  | 0.16        | 0.39    | 0.78    | Not         |
|                             |               |    |             |         |         | Significant |
| Pure Error                  | 0.82          | 2  | 0.41        |         |         |             |
| Cor Total                   | 57.96         | 14 |             |         |         |             |
| R <sup>2</sup>              | 0.98          |    |             |         |         |             |
| Adeq                        | 14.61         |    |             |         |         |             |
| Precision                   |               |    |             |         |         |             |

319 320

321

322

323

The ANOVA regression model to predict the left over FFA content after the esterification reaction of CSO can be observed in Table 7. The experimental data were analyzed using ANOVA and the significant regression coefficient was determined based on the p-value, in which p-value < 0.05 denotes that the model is significant. The value of adeq

325

326 327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339 340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350 351

352

353 354 precision is the magnitude of the ratio of the signal to the disturbance, wherein the desirable value is > 4 [50,51]. This model showed the adeq precision of 14.6107, indicating that the model is accurate.

#### 3.4. Optimization of the Process Variables Using BBD

Optimization of the process variables to obtained the targeted response variables was performed using quadratic model of BBD. Primarily, the influences of the process variables such as temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration to the response variables viz. the reaction conversion and FFA content in the CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst were investigated using BBD in RSM. Based on the model selected, analysis on the main effect and the interaction of the process variables to the response variable using 3D RSM was carried out. The resulted 3D graphs were developed from one constant variable (derived from the midpoint) and varying two other variables. Therefore, the effect of each process variable to the response variable can be identified.

Figure 3 and Figure 4 disclose that the reaction conversion increased and the FFA content decreased with the temperature up to 60°C, respectively. Intensification of the catalyst concentration from 3% to 5% enhanced the reaction conversion and diminished the FFA content considerably. It was due to the increase number of the reactant molecules which were activated by the carbonyl polarization due to the higher amount of Sn<sup>+2</sup> catalyst. Hence, the nucleophilic attack by methanol can occur more frequently and effectively, leading to the higher reaction conversion. Oppositely, the left over FFA content was reduced [52]. There are various proposed mechanisms concerning the carbonyl group activation by tin catalyst, yet the carbonyl polarization will be auspicious when attacked by the hydroxyl group [53]. However, the further addition of the catalyst from 5% to 7% didn't provide meaningful effect on improving the reaction conversion and lessening the FFA content. As a matter of fact, it can be observed that the employment of 7% catalyst increase the FFA content. Marso et al. [54] described that the excessive amount of the catalyst utilization beyond the optimum concentration could form the emulsion which increased the viscosity and thus hindered the contact between CSO and methanol. Consequently, it lowered the reaction conversion. Hence, the residual FFA in the oil was higher.



**Figure 3.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of the Process Variables on the Reaction Conversion. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.

361

362

363

364 365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373 374

375

376 377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387 388



**Figure 4.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of Process Variables on the FFA Content in after the Undergoing the Esterification Reaction. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.

In this study, Deringger method was utilized to optimize the reaction conversion and the reduction of FFA content via CSO esterification over SnCl2.2H2O catalyst. Derringer method is a popular desirability function-based approach to solve the problem comprising a simultaneous optimization of several response variables. Derringer and Suich [55] modified the previous Harrington's procedure by converting the response into desirability function [56]. The values of desirability functions are between 0 and 1. Mathematically, the general approach is to convert each response into an individual desirability function (d) that varies over the range  $0 \le d \le 1$  [57]. The value of 0 implies that the factors present unfavorable response. On the other hand, the value of 1 relates to the optimal condition of the examined factors and the responses are at their targets. This approach simplifies the multivariate optimization. Due to its simplicity and flexibility, Derringer desirability function has been broadly applied in multiple responses optimization to find out the independent variables condition which brings about the optimal values of the response variables [58]. Based on the optimization process, Figure 5 reveals that the optimum reaction conversion and FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, which were achieved at the following operation condition: reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, reaction time of 117.8 min, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The value of desirability obtained was 1, indicating the optimal condition of the studied parameters. This result was slightly lower than the similar reaction which was conducted using sulfuric acid catalyst at the reaction temperature, catalyst loading, and reaction time of 59.09°C, 1.98% g/g CSO, and 119.95 minutes, respectively, resulting in the reaction conversion of 78.27% and the FFA content of 4%. Despite this slight lower conversion, the application of heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst is greatly preferable to the sulfuric acid catalyst since it is more environmental friendly, reusable, less corrosive, and easy in handling and separation. The result of this work offers a green alternative of synthesizing renewable bio based fatty ester from CSO as precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer.



**Figure 5.** Optimization of Reaction Conversion and FFA Content using BBD Quadratic Model in RSM.

#### 5. Conclusions

Esterification of FFA in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst has been conducted as an alternative way to produce fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer. In this investigation, the interactive and individual effect from three experimental variables (temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration) on reaction conversion and residual free fatty acid (FFA) content were studied by employing Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) technique. The quadratic model in BBD was selected for the optimization of the reaction conversion and the decreasing of FFA content. The BBD analysis showed that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, attained at the following process condition: reaction temperature of 59.36oC, reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated is subsequently ready for the further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.D.K.; methodology, R.D.K. and H.P.; software, H.P.; validation, R.D.K. and H.P.; formal analysis, N.D.A and E.D.N.A.; investigation, R.D.K.; resources, R.D.K.; data curation, R.D.K. and D.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.D.K., N.D.A., E.D.N.A.; writing—review and editing, R.D.K., H.P. and D.H.; visualization, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; supervision, R.D.K. and H.P.; project administration, R.D.K.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

**Funding:** Financial support from the Research and Community Service (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 is highly acknowledged

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: In this section, you can acknowledge any support given which is not covered by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support, or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

- Vieira, M.G.A.; Da Silva, M.A.; Dos Santos, L.O.; Beppu, M.M. Natural-Based Plasticizers and Biopolymer Films: A Review.
   *Eur. Polym. J.* 2011, 47, 254–263, doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2010.12.011.
- Junthip, J.; Chaipalee, N.; Sangsorn, Y.; Maspornpat, C.; Jitcharoen, J.; Limrungruengrat, S.; Chotchuangchutchaval, T.;
   Martwong, E.; Sukhawipat, N. The Use of New Waste-Based Plasticizer Made from Modified Used Palm Oil for
   Non-Glutinous Thermoplastic Starch Foam. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2022, *14*, 3997, doi:10.3390/polym14193997.
- Janik, W.; Ledniowska, K.; Nowotarski, M.; Kudła, S.; Knapczyk-Korczak, J.; Stachewicz, U.; Nowakowska-Bogdan, E.; 427 3 Sabura, E.; Nosal-Kovalenko, H.; Turczyn, R.; et al. Chitosan-Based Films with Alternative Eco-Friendly Plasticizers: 428 Properties Stability. 2023, 429 Preparation, Physicochemical and Carbohydr. Polym. 301, 120277, doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.120277. 430
- Edwards, L.; McCray, N.L.; VanNoy, B.N.; Yau, A.; Geller, R.J.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Zota, A.R. Phthalate and Novel
   Plasticizer Concentrations in Food Items from U.S. Fast Food Chains: A Preliminary Analysis. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 2022, 32, 366–373, doi:10.1038/s41370-021-00392-8.
- Kim, D.Y.; Chun, S.H.; Jung, Y.; Mohamed, D.F.M.S.; Kim, H.S.; Kang, D.Y.; An, J.W.; Park, S.Y.; Kwon, H.W.; Kwon, J.H.
  Phthalate Plasticizers in Children's Products and Estimation of Exposure: Importance of Migration Rate. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 1–14, doi:10.3390/ijerph17228582.
- 437 6. Jia, P.; Xia, H.; Tang, K.; Zhou, Y. Plasticizers Derived from Biomass Resources: A Short Review. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2018, 10,
  438 1303, doi:10.3390/polym10121303.
- Hosney, H.; Nadiem, B.; Ashour, I.; Mustafa, I.; El-Shibiny, A. Epoxidized Vegetable Oil and Bio-Based Materials as PVC
  Plasticizer. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2018, 135, 1–12, doi:10.1002/app.46270.
- Bai, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, D.; Zhao, X. Conversion of Fatty Acid Methyl Ester to Epoxy Plasticizer by Auto-Catalyzed in Situ
   Formation of Performic Acid: Kinetic Modeling and Application of the Model. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 259, 120791,
   doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120791.
- Pan, S.; Hou, D.; Yang, G.; Xie, Q.; Yan, S.; Zeng, Q.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Fan, H. Epoxidized Methyl Ricinoleate
   Bio-Plasticizer with a Pendant Acetate Ester for PVC Artificial Material: Circumventing Existing Limit on Achievable
   Migration Resistance. J. Leather Sci. Eng. 2019, 1, 1–10, doi:10.1186/s42825-019-0006-8.
- Galli, F.; Nucci, S.; Pirola, C.; Bianchi, C.L. Epoxy Methyl Soyate as Bio-Plasticizer: Two Different Preparation Strategies.
   *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 2014, *37*, 601–606, doi:10.3303/CET1437101.
- Ergashev, I.M.; Mamirzayev, M.A.; Sedalova, I.S.; Suyunov, S. Study Of Triglycerides in Vegetable Oils by Gas
  Chromatography. *Asian J. Phys. Chem. Math.* 2022, *5*, 93–96.
- 451 12. Schuchardt, U.; Sercheli, R.; Matheus, R. Transesterification of Vegetable Oils : A Review. J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 1998, 9, 199–
  452 210.
- 453 13. Simpen, I.; Winaya, I.S.; Subagia, I.G.A.; Suyasa, I.B. Solid Catalyst in Esterification and Transesterification Reactions for
  454 Biodiesel Production: A Review. *Int. J. Eng. Emerg. Technol.* 2020, *5*, 168–174.
- 455 14. Di Pietro, M.E.; Mannu, A.; Mele, A. NMR Determination of Free Fatty Acids in Vegetable Oils. *Processes* 2020, *8*, 410,
  456 doi:10.3390/PR8040410.
- Edyson, E.; Murgianto, F.; Ardiyanto, A.; Astuti, E.J.; Ahmad, M.P. Preprocessing Factors Affected Free Fatty Acid Content
  in Crude Palm Oil Quality. *J. Ilmu Pertan. Indones.* 2022, *27*, 177–181, doi:10.18343/jipi.27.2.177.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Ratrianti, N.; Purnamasari, I.; Budiman, A. Kinetics Study of Jatropha Oil Esterification with
  Ethanol in the Presence of Tin (II) Chloride Catalyst for Biodiesel Production. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2017, 1788,
  doi:10.1063/1.4968339.
- 462 17. Hidalgo, P.; Álvarez, S.; Hunter, R.; Sánchez, A. Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Algae Biomass to
  463 Develop Sustainable Bio-Based Epoxy Resins. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2020, *12*, 1–14, doi:10.3390/polym12102313.

- Sustaita-Rodríguez, A.; Ramos-Sánchez, V.H.; Camacho-Dávila, A.A.; Zaragoza-Galán, G.; Espinoza-Hicks, J.C.;
   Chávez-Flores, D. Lipase Catalyzed Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Unsaturated Vegetable Oils in
   Absence of Carboxylic Acid. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2018, *12*, 1–7, doi:10.1186/s13065-018-0409-2.
- Meng, Y.; Taddeo, F.; Aguilera, A.F.; Cai, X.; Russo, V.; Tolvanen, P.; Leveneur, S. The Lord of the Chemical Rings:
  Catalytic Synthesis of Important Industrial Epoxide Compounds. *Catalysts* 2021, *11*, 765, doi:10.3390/catal11070765.
- Benaniba, M.T.; Benaniba, M.T.; Belhaneche-Bensemra, N.; Gelbard, G. Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic
  Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange Resin by Various Processes Polymer Recycling View Project Miscible Polymer Blends
  View Project Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange Resin by Various Processes. *Energy Educ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 71–82.
- Ifa, L.; Syarif, T.; Sabara, Z.; Nurjannah, N.; Munira, M.; Aryani, F. Study on the Kinetics of Epoxidation Reaction of RBD
  Palm Olein. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2018, 175, 012035, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/175/1/012035.
- 475 22. Musik, M.; Janus, E.; Pełech, R.; Sałaciński, Ł. Effective Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters with Hydrogen Peroxide
  476 by the Catalytic System H3pw12o40/ Quaternary Phosphonium Salts. *Catalysts* 2021, *11*, 1058, doi:10.3390/catal11091058.
- Ginigini, J.; Lecellier, G.J.; Nicolas, M.; Nour, M.; Hnawia, E.; Lebouvier, N.; Herbette, G.; Lockhart, P.; Raharivelomanana,
  P. Chemodiversity of Calophyllum Inophyllum L. Oil Bioactive Components Related to Their Specific Geographical
  Distribution in the South Pacific Region. *Peer* **2019**, 2019, 6896, doi:10.7717/peerj.6896.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Putri, R.D.A.; Triwibowo, B.; Kurnita, S.C.F.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Veny, H.; Hamzah,
  F.; Rodhi, M.N.M. Optimisation of Free Fatty Acid Removal in Nyamplung Seed Oil (Callophyllum Inophyllum I.) Using
  Response Surface Methodology Analysis. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 29, 2605–2623, doi:10.47836/PJST.29.4.20.
- 483 25. Ferreira, A.B.; Lemos Cardoso, A.; da Silva, M.J. Tin-Catalyzed Esterification and Transesterification Reactions: A Review.
  484 *ISRN Renew. Energy* 2012, 2012, 1–13, doi:10.5402/2012/142857.
- 26. Da Silva, M.J.; Cardoso, A.L. Assessing the Activity of Solid-Suported SnCl2 Catalysts on the Oleic Acid Esterification for
   Biodiesel Production. J. Thermodyn. Catal. 2016, 7, 1000173, doi:10.4172/2157-7544.1000173.
- 487 27. Sert, E.; Atalay, F.S. Application of Green Catalysts for the Esterification of Benzoic Acid with Different Alcohols. *Celal* 488 *Bayar Üniversitesi Fen Bilim. Derg.* 2017, *13*, 907–912, doi:10.18466/cbayarfbe.370364.
- Polat, S.; Sayan, P. Application of Response Surface Methodology with a Box–Behnken Design for Struvite Precipitation.
   *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2019, 30, 2396–2407, doi:10.1016/j.apt.2019.07.022.
- 29. Czyrski, A.; Jarzebski, H. Response Surface Methodology as a Useful Tool for Evaluation of the Recovery of the
   Fluoroquinolones from Plasma-the Study on Applicability of Box-Behnken Design, Central Composite Design and
   Doehlert Design. *Processes* 2020, *8*, 473, doi:10.3390/PR8040473.
- 30. Riswanto, F.D.O.; Rohman, A.; Pramono, S.; Martono, S. Application of Response Surface Methodology as Mathematical
  and Statistical Tools in Natural Product Research. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2019, 9, 125–133, doi:10.7324/JAPS.2019.91018.
- Sharma, Y.C.; Yadav, M.; Upadhyay, S.N. Latest Advances in Degumming Feedstock Oils for Large-Scale Biodiesel
   Production. *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining* 2019, *13*, 174–191, doi:10.1002/bbb.1937.
- 498 32. Camacho, J.N.; Romero, R.; Galván Muciño, G.E.; Martínez-Vargas, S.L.; Pérez-Alonso, C.; Natividad, R. Kinetic Modeling
  499 of Canola Oil Transesterification Catalyzed by Quicklime. *J. Appl. Res. Technol.* 2018, 16, 446–454,
  500 doi:10.22201/icat.16656423.2018.16.6.743.
- S1 33. Yu, H.; Niu, S.; Lu, C.; Wei, W.; Zhang, X. Biodiesel Synthesis by Transesterification Using Coal-Based Solid Acid Catalyst.
   IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 2021, 634, 012041, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/634/1/012041.
- Ho, W.W.S.; Ng, H.K.; Gan, S. Biodiesel Synthesis from Refined Palm Oil Using a Calcium Oxide Impregnated Ash-Based
   Catalyst: Parametric, Kinetics, and Product Characterization Studies. *Catalysts* 2022, 12, 706, doi:10.3390/catal12070706.
- 505 35. Banchero, M.; Gozzelino, G. A Simple Pseudo-Homogeneous Reversible Kinetic Model for the Esterification of Different

506 Fatty Acids with Methanol in the Presence of Amberlyst-15. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 11071843, doi:10.3390/en11071843.

- Intarat, N.; Somnuk, K.; Theppaya, T.; Prateepchaikul, G. Acid Value Reduction Process in Mixed Crude Palm Oil by Using
   Low-Grade Ethanol. *Adv. Mater. Res.* 2014, *1025–1026*, 677–682, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.1025-1026.677.
- Kurniati, S.; Soeparman, S.; Yuwono, S.S.; Hakim, L. Characteristics and Potential of Nyamplung (Calophyllum Inophyllum L.) Seed Oil from Kebumen, Central Java, as a Biodiesel Feedstock. *Int. Res. J. Adv. Eng. Sci.* 2018, *3*, 148–152.
- 51138.Kusumaningtyas, R.D.R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Pratama, B.R.B.R.; Prasetya, D.; Hisyam, A. Esterification of Non-Edible Oil512Mixture in Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study. J. Phys. Sci. 2018, 29,
- 513 212–226, doi:10.21315/jps2018.29.s2.17.
- Mohan, S.K.; Viruthagiri, T.; Arunkumar, C. Statistical Optimization of Process Parameters for the Production of Tannase
  by Aspergillus Flavus under Submerged Fermentation. *3 Biotech* 2014, *4*, 159–166, doi:10.1007/s13205-013-0139-z.
- 40. Ding, J.; Xia, Z.; Lu, J. Esterification and Deacidification of a Waste Cooking Oil (TAN 68.81 Mg KOH/g) for Biodiesel
  517 Production. *Energies* 2012, *5*, 2683–2691, doi:10.3390/en5082683.
- Khan, Z.; Javed, F.; Shamair, Z.; Hafeez, A.; Fazal, T.; Aslam, A.; Zimmerman, W.B.; Rehman, F. Current Developments in
  Esterification Reaction: A Review on Process and Parameters. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2021, 103, 80–101,
  doi:10.1016/j.jiec.2021.07.018.
- 42. Ngadi, N.; Ma, L.N.; Alias, H.; Johari, A.; Rahman, R.A.; Mohamad, M. Production of Biodiesel from Waste Cooking Oil via
  Ultrasonic-Assisted Catalytic System. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* 2014, 699, 552–557, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.699.552.
- Khudsange, C.R.; Wasewar, K.L. Process Intensification of Esterification Reaction for the Production of Propyl Butyrate by
   Pervaporation. *Resour. Technol.* 2017, *3*, 88–93, doi:10.1016/j.reffit.2016.12.012.
- Nindya, C.C.S.; Anggara, D.R.; Nuryoto; Teguh, K. Esterification Glycerol (by Product in Biodiesel Production) with Oleic
   Acid Using Mordenite Natural Zeolite as Catalyst: Study of Reaction Temperature and Catalyst Loading Effect. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 909, 012001, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/909/1/012001.
- Handayani, P.A.; Wulansarie, R.; Husaen, P.; Ulfayanti, I.M. ESTERIFICATION OF NYAMPLUNG (Calophyllum
   Inophyllum) OIL WITH IONIC LIQUID CATALYST OF BMIMHSO4 AND MICROWAVES-ASSISTED. J. Bahan Alam
   *Terbarukan* 2018, 7, 59–63, doi:10.15294/jbat.v7i1.11407.
- Ahmadian-Kouchaksaraie, Z.; Niazmand, R. Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction of Antioxidants from Crocus Sativus
   Petals of Saffron Industry Residues: Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2017, 121, 19–31,
   doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2016.11.008.
- 47. Montaño Moreno, J.J.; Palmer Pol, A.; Sesé Abad, A.; Cajal Blasco, B. Using the R-MAPE Index as a Resistant Measure of
   Forecast Accuracy. *Psicothema* 2013, 25, 500–506, doi:10.7334/psicothema2013.23.
- Ahmad, A.; Rehman, M.U.; Wali, A.F.; El-Serehy, H.A.; Al-Misned, F.A.; Maodaa, S.N.; Aljawdah, H.M.; Mir, T.M.; Ahmad,
  P. Box–Behnken Response Surface Design of Polysaccharide Extraction from Rhododendron Arboreum and the Evaluation
  of Its Antioxidant Potential. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 3835, doi:10.3390/molecules25173835.
- Jyoti, G.; Keshav, A.; Anandkumar, J. Optimization of Esterification of Acrylic Acid and Ethanol by Box-Behnken Design of
   Response Surface Methodology. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2019, *26*, 89–94.
- 50. Mia, M. Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of MQL Assisted End Milling Characteristics Based on RSM and
   Taguchi Method. *Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed.* 2018, 121, 249–260, doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2018.02.017.
- 543 51. Variyana, Y.; Muchammad, R.S.C.; Mahfud, M. Box-Behnken Design for the Optimization Using Solvent-Free Microwave
   544 Gravity Extraction of Garlic Oil from Allium Sativum L. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 673, 012005,
   545 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/673/1/012005.
- 52. Cardoso, A.L.; Neves, S.C.G.; da Silva, M.J. Esterification of Oleic Acid for Biodiesel Production Catalyzed by SnCl2: A
   547 Kinetic Investigation. *Energies* 2008, 1, 79–92, doi:10.3390/en1020079.

- 53. Da Silva, M.J.; Julio, A.A.; Dos Santos, K.T. Sn(II)-Catalyzed β-Citronellol Esterification: A Brønsted Acid-Free Process for
   549 Synthesis of Fragrances at Room Temperature. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 1261–1266, doi:10.1039/c4cy01069h.
- 550 54. Marso, T.M.M.; Kalpage, C.S.; Udugala-Ganehenege, M.Y. Metal Modified Graphene Oxide Composite Catalyst for the 551 Production of Biodiesel via Pre-Esterification of Calophyllum Inophyllum Oil. *Fuel* **2017**, *199*, 47–64, 552 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.01.004.
- 55. Derringer, G.; Suich, R. Simultaneous Optimization of Several Response Variables. J. Qual. Technol. 1980, 12, 214–219,
   bit doi:10.1080/00224065.1980.11980968.
- 555 56. Park, S.H.; Park, J.O. Simultaneous Optimization of Multiple Responses Using. In *Quality Improvement Through Statistical* 556 *Methods.*; Abraham, B., Ed.; Springer Science: New York, 1998; pp. 299–300.
- 57. Aziz, N.A.; AS, N.A.; Noraziman, S. Modified Desirability Function For Optimization of Multiple Responses Nasuhar. J.
   558 Math. Comput. Sci. 2018, 1, 39–54.
- 58. Amdoun, R.; Khelifi, L.; Khelifi-Slaoui, M.; Amroune, S.; Asch, M.; Assaf-ducrocq, C.; Gontier, E. The Desirability
  Optimization Methodology; a Tool to Predict Two Antagonist Responses in Biotechnological Systems: Case of Biomass
  Growth and Hyoscyamine Content in Elicited Datura Starmonium Hairy Roots. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.* 2018, 16, 11–19,
  doi:10.21859/ijb.1339.



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

## [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Submission Received

Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com> Reply-To: polymers@mdpi.com

To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Thank you very much for uploading the following manuscript to the MDPI submission system. One of our editors will be in touch with you soon.

Journal name: Polymers Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id

You can follow progress of your manuscript at the following link (login required): https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

The following points were confirmed during submission:

1. Polymers is an open access journal with publishing fees of 2400 CHF for an accepted paper (see https://www.mdpi.com/about/apc/ for details). This manuscript, if accepted, will be published under an open access Creative Commons CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), and I agree to pay the Article Processing Charges as described on the journal webpage (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/apc). See https://www.mdpi.com/about/openaccess for more information about open access publishing.

Please note that you may be entitled to a discount if you have previously received a discount code or if your institute is participating in the MDPI Institutional Open Access Program (IOAP), for more information see <a href="https://www.mdpi.com/about/ioap">https://www.mdpi.com/about/ioap</a>. If you have been granted any other special discounts for your submission, please contact the Polymers editorial office.

2. I understand that:

a. If previously published material is reproduced in my manuscript, I will provide proof that I have obtained the necessary copyright permission. (Please refer to the Rights & Permissions website: https://www.mdpi.com/authors/rights).

b. My manuscript is submitted on the understanding that it has not been published in or submitted to another peer-reviewed journal. Exceptions to this rule are papers containing material disclosed at conferences. I confirm that I will inform the journal editorial office if this is the case for my manuscript. I confirm that all authors are familiar with and agree with submission of the contents of the manuscript. The journal editorial office reserves the right to contact all authors to confirm this in case of doubt. I will provide email addresses for all authors and an institutional e-mail

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=0413b13f30&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1750818525530692732&simpl=msg-f%3A1750818... 1/2

Tue, Nov 29, 2022 at 3:30 PM

address for at least one of the co-authors, and specify the name, address and e-mail for invoicing purposes.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Polymers editorial office at polymers@mdpi.com

Kind regards, Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com Tel. +41 61 683 77 34 Fax: +41 61 302 89 18

\*\*\* This is an automatically generated email \*\*\*



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

## [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Assistant Editor Assigned

#### Lionel Lin lionel.lin@mdpi.com>

Thu, Dec 1, 2022 at 11:11 AM

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Lionel Lin <lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Your paper has been assigned to Lionel Lin, who will be your main point of contact as your paper is processed further.

Journal: Polymers

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto

Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id

You can find it here: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

Best regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

## [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Article Processing Charge Confirmation

#### Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Thank you very much for submitting your manuscript to Polymers:

Journal name: Polymers Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry

We confirm that, if accepted for publication, the following Article Processing Charges (APC), 2400 CHF, will apply to your article:

Journal APC: 2400 CHF Total APC: 2400 CHF

Please confirm that the APC is correct at the below link: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/apc info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

Please note that our invoice amount is fixed in Swiss Francs (CHF). If you need to pay in another currency, please note that the exchange rate of the invoice is fixed only when the editor confirms the invoice amount to the billing department.

Please also check and confirm that the below information for the invoice address is correct:

Name: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas Address: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas Universitas Negeri Semarang Chemical Engineering Department Gd. E 1 Lt. 2 Faculty of Engineering UNNES Kampus Sekaran Gunungpati 50229 Semarang Indonesia E-Mail: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id

You may be entitled to a discount if you have previously received a discount code. Please note that reviewer vouchers must be applied before acceptance for publication. Vouchers cannot be applied once an APC invoice has been issued. IOAP discounts can be combined with other available discounts (e.g., reviewer vouchers, discounts offered by the Editorial Office); Please note that only one discount through an IOAP scheme is permitted per paper. If you need to add any discount or replace the current discount with another type of

Fri, Dec 2, 2022 at 3:11 PM

discount, please contact the Polymers Editorial Office as soon as possible.

Please confirm that you support open access publishing, which allows unlimited access to your published paper and that you will pay the Article Processing Charge if your manuscript is accepted.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation. I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

## [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Minor Revisions

#### Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Thank you again for your manuscript submission:

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358

Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry

Your manuscript has been reviewed by experts in the field. Please find your manuscript with the referee reports at this link: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

(I) Please revise your manuscript according to the referees' comments and upload the revised file within 5 days.

(II) Please use the version of your manuscript found at the above link for your revisions.

(III) Please check that all references are relevant to the contents of the manuscript.

(IV) Any revisions made to the manuscript should be marked up using the "Track Changes" function if you are using MS Word/LaTeX, such that changes can be easily viewed by the editors and reviewers.

(V) Please provide a short cover letter detailing your changes for the editors' and referees' approval.

If one of the referees has suggested that your manuscript should undergo extensive English revisions, please address this issue during revision. We propose that you use one of the editing services listed at https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english or have your manuscript checked by a native English-speaking colleague.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding the revision of your manuscript or if you need more time. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland Fri, Dec 9, 2022 at 9:25 PM

E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id My Profile (/user/edit) Logout Submit (/user/manuscripts/upload)

(https://susy.mdpi.com) Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/)

Topics (https://www.mdpi.com/topics) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines)

Author Services (https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english)

Initiatives About (htt

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about)

∨User Menu 🕑

| Home   | Journal   | I Polymers (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers) (ISSN 2073-<br>4360)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Manage   | Manuscript<br>ID  | polymers-2096358   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts<br>(/user/manage_a  | ccounts) Type   | Article  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change<br>Password<br>(/user/chgpwd)   | Title   | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Calophyllum inophyllum Oil to<br>Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via<br>SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification (https://www.mdpi.com/2073-<br>4360/15/1/123)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Edit Profile<br>(/user/edit)   | Authors   | Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas * , Haniif Prasetiawan , Nanda Dwi<br>Anggraeni , Elva Dianis Novi Anisa , Dhoni Hartanto  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logout<br>(/user/logout)   | Section   | Polymer Chemistry<br>(https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer_Che<br>mistry)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Submissions<br/>Menu</li> <li>Submit</li> <li>Manuscript</li> <li>(/user/manuscript</li> <li>Display</li> <li>Submitted</li> <li>Manuscripts</li> <li>(/user/manuscripts</li> <li>(/user/pre_englist</li> <li>Discount</li> <li>Vouchers</li> <li>(/user/manuscripts</li> </ul> | Abstract<br>s/upload)<br>s/status)<br>n_article/status) | Preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived<br>from vegetable oils has gain an increasing attention in polymer<br>industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditional<br>petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is<br>among the prospective alternative plasticizer since it is<br>ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low<br>carbon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the<br>epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, preparation<br>of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer<br>was performed via esterification of FFA in high acidic<br>Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the<br>presence of SnCl2.2H2O catalyst. The analysis of the process<br>variables and responses using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of<br>Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished.<br>It was found that the quadratic model is the most appropriate<br>model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| (/user/discount_v  | oucher)   | demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: reaction temperature of 59.36°C,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| LaTex Word<br>Count<br>(/user/get/latex_w  | /ord_count)   | reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated was the intermediate product which can further undergo epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.   |  |  |  |  |  |

✓ ReviewersMenu**∂** 

Volunteer

Preferences

(/volunteer\_reviewer\_inuthers' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1)

The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the

database. You can safely close this window.

 $\checkmark$ 

Thank you very much for your encouraging review. Hopefully, this work can significantly contribute to the development of green bio-based polymeric material synthesis

Author's Report Notes (/user/review/displayFile/33645463/1esw0VFK? Notes File file=author-coverletter&report=25093714)

#### **Review Report Form**

| English   | () English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible      |
|-----------|---|
| language  | () Extensive editing of English language and style required   |
| and style | () Moderate English changes required                          |
|           | (x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check     |
|           | required  |
|           | () I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language |
|           | and style   |

|  | Yes | Can be<br>improved | Must be<br>improved | Not<br>applicable |
|--|-----|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Are all the cited references relevant to the research?                                   | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Is the research design appropriate?  | (x) | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )               |
| Are the methods adequately described?  | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ()                |
| Are the results clearly presented?   | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results?  | (x) | ( )                | ( )                 | ()                |
|  |     |                    |                     |                   |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors The paper entitled *"Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum in- 2 ophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based 3 Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis 4 Using Box Behnken Design" describes the preparation of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer as well as a study of optimization of the process conditions. The optimization process is very important to save materials, time and additional costs. In this manuscript the optimization process for fatty acid ester production is described in detail and is well designed and argued.* 

I would have a small suggestion: the chemical reaction and its related explanations from the Introduction should be moved to Results and Discussions. Also equation 1 should be named Scheme 1 because it is a chemical reaction.

In conclusion, I recommend the manuscript publication in Polymers journal.
Date of this 09 Dec 2022 13:42:25 review

© 1996-2023 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)



ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id My Profile (/user/edit) Logout Submit (/user/manuscripts/upload)

(https://susy.mdpi.com) Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/)

Topics (https://www.mdpi.com/topics) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines)

Author Services (https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english)

Initiatives About (htt

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about)

∨User Menu 🕑

| Home                                     | Journal            | Polymers (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers) (ISSN 2073-<br>4360)  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Manage                                   | Manuscript<br>ID   | polymers-2096358   |  |  |  |
| (/user/manage_a                          | accounts) Type     | Article  |  |  |  |
| Change<br>Password<br>(/user/chgpwd)     | Title              | Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Calophyllum inophyllum Oil to<br>Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via<br>SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification (https://www.mdpi.com/2073-<br>4360/15/1/123)   |  |  |  |
| Edit Profile<br>(/user/edit)             | Authors            | Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas * , Haniif Prasetiawan , Nanda Dwi<br>Anggraeni , Elva Dianis Novi Anisa , Dhoni Hartanto  |  |  |  |
| Logout<br>(/user/logout)                 | Section            | Polymer Chemistry<br>(https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer_Che<br>mistry)  |  |  |  |
| ∽Submissions<br>Menu ͡͡                  | Abstract           | Preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived<br>from vegetable oils has gain an increasing attention in polymer   |  |  |  |
| Submit<br>Manuscript<br>(/user/manuscrip | its/upload)        | industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditiona<br>petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is<br>among the prospective alternative plasticizer since it is<br>ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low  |  |  |  |
| Display<br>Submitted<br>Manuscripts      |                    | carbon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the<br>epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, preparation<br>of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer<br>was performed via esterification of FFA in high acidic |  |  |  |
| (/user/manuscrip                         | ots/status)        | Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the  |  |  |  |
| English Editing<br>(/user/pre_englis     | sh article/status) | variables and responses using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of  |  |  |  |
| Discount                                 |                    | Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished.<br>It was found that the guadratic model is the most appropriate   |  |  |  |
| Vouchers                                 |                    | model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis   |  |  |  |
| (/user/discount_                         | voucher)           | demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual  |  |  |  |
| Invoices                                 |                    | FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: reaction temperature of 59 $36^{\circ}$ C  |  |  |  |
| (/user/invoices)                         |                    | reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of   |  |  |  |
| LaTex Word                               |                    | 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated was the intermediate   |  |  |  |
| Count                                    |                    | product which can further undergo epoxidation process to<br>produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production   |  |  |  |
| (/user/get/latex_                        | wora_count)        |  |  |  |  |

✓ ReviewersMenu**∂** 

Volunteer

Preferences

(/volunteer\_reviewer\_Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2)

The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the

database. You can safely close this window.



Thank you very much for your constructive review and positive recommendation. We appreciate to be given this opportunity.

Author's Report Notes (/user/review/displayFile/33676068/Dnaq87RZ? Notes File file=author-coverletter&report=25116997)

#### **Review Report Form**

| English   | <ul><li>English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible</li></ul> |
|-----------|---|
| language  | () Extensive editing of English language and style required             |
| and style | () Moderate English changes required                                    |
|           | (x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check               |
|           | required  |
|           | () I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language           |

() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

|  | Yes | Can be<br>improved | Must be<br>improved | Not<br>applicable |
|--|-----|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | (x) | ()                 | ()                  | ( )               |
| Are all the cited references relevant to the research?                                   | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Is the research design appropriate?  | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Are the methods adequately described?  | (x) | ( )                | ()                  | ( )               |
| Are the results clearly presented?   | (x) | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )               |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results?  | (x) | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )               |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors The article "Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum in-ophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design" by Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas et al. follows the classic model for this type of material (Research Article) comprising four parts: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, and Conclusions. The four major components of the article are presented coherently and tightly linked. The list of bibliographic references is adequate; the documentation is appropriate regarding the titles consulted.

In my opinion, the article presented is excellent. The introduction is perfect. All the work developed in the manuscript is described and given understandably, translated into a logical text, and the relationship between the different parts is perceptible and understandable. In my opinion, this work is undoubtedly progress in the studied subject.

I have no objection to the publication of this manuscript in Food Chemistry.

 $\checkmark$ 

However, I would recommend addressing the following comments:

- Lines 19 – Please put the meaning of "FFA".

- Figure 2 - Authors should place the °C symbol in the temperature values.

Submission 29 November 2022 Date Date of this 07 Dec 2022 14:03:21 review

© 1996-2023 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)





# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Revision Reminder

#### Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

A reminder that we are looking forward to receiving your revised manuscript soon.

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry

May we kindly ask you to update us on the progress of your revisions? If you have finished your revisions, please upload the revised version together with your responses to the reviewers as soon as possible.

You can find your manuscript and review reports at this link: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

Thank you in advance for your kind cooperation and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

#### MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers Mon, Dec 12, 2022 at 3:28 PM

# **RESPONSE TO REVIEWER**

### **REVIEWER 1**

| No | <b>Reviewer Comment</b>  | Response  |
|----|--|---|
| 1  | The paper entitled "Conversion of Free Fatty<br>Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum in- 2<br>ophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as<br>Precursor of Bio-based 3 Epoxy Plasticizer<br>via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification:<br>Analysis 4 Using Box Behnken Design"<br>describes the preparation of fatty acid ester<br>as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer<br>as well as a study of optimization of the<br>process conditions. The optimization<br>process is very important to save materials,<br>time and additional costs. In this manuscript<br>the optimization process for fatty acid ester<br>production is described in detail and is well<br>designed and argued. | Thank you very much for your encouraging<br>review. Hopefully, this work can<br>significantly contribute to the development<br>of green bio-based polymeric material<br>synthesis.                  |
| 2  | I would have a small suggestion: the<br>chemical reaction and its related<br>explanations from the Introduction should<br>be moved to Results and Discussions.   | Thank you for your suggestion. We have<br>moved the chemical reaction from<br>Introduction Section to Result and<br>Discussion (Page 5, Subsection 3.1) as<br>suggested.                            |
| 4  | Also equation 1 should be named Scheme 1 because it is a chemical reaction.  | Thank you for your suggestion. We have<br>changed the name Equation 1 to Figure 1<br>(When we checked the manuscript template,<br>the common name used for Scheme in<br>Polymer journal is Figure). |
| 5  | In conclusion, I recommend the manuscript publication in Polymers journal.   | Thank you for your positive recommendation. We appreciate for being given this opportunity  |

# **REVIEWER 2**

| No | <b>Reviewer Comment</b>   | Response   |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | The article "Conversion of Free Fatty Acid<br>in High Acidic Calophyllum in-ophyllum<br>Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor<br>of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–<br>Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using<br>Box Behnken Design" by Ratna Dewi<br>Kusumaningtyas et al. follows the classic<br>model for this type of material (Research<br>Article) comprising four parts:<br>Introduction, Materials and Methods,<br>Results and Discussion, and Conclusions.<br>The four major components of the article<br>are presented coherently and tightly linked.<br>The list of bibliographic references is<br>adequate; the documentation is appropriate<br>regarding the titles consulted.<br>In my opinion, the article presented is<br>excellent. The introduction is perfect. All<br>the work developed in the manuscript is<br>described and given understandably,<br>translated into a logical text, and the<br>relationship between the different parts is<br>perceptible and understandable. In my<br>opinion, this work is undoubtedly progress<br>in the studied subject.<br>I have no objection to the publication of<br>this manuscript in Food Chemistry. | Thank you very much for your constructive<br>review and positive recommendation. We<br>appreciate to be given this opportunity.  |
| 2  | However, I would recommend addressing<br>the following comments:<br>- Lines 19 – Please put the meaning of<br>"FFA".  | Thank you for the review. We have add the meaning of FFA on text (Lines 19, Abstract Section):<br>"Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, preparation of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of free fatty acid (FFA) in high acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl <sub>2</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O catalyst." |

| 3 | - Figure 2 - Authors should place the °C | Thank you for your correction. We have  |
|---|--|---|
|   | symbol in the temperature values.        | added the °C symbol in the temperature values in Figure 2 (the current name is Figure   |
|   |  | 3).   |
|   |  | For your information, we also changed the<br>name of Figure 2 to Figure 3 due to the<br>additional figure in the beginning of the<br>manuscript which should be named Figure 1.<br>Thus, it changed the number of the |
|   |  | subsequent figures.   |



Article

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

# MDPI

# Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum in ophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas\*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa and Dhoni
 Hartanto

Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang, 50229 Indonesia; ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id (R.D.K.); haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id (H.P.); nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com (N.D.A); eldinaanisa@gmail.com (E.D.N.A); dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id (D.H.)

\* Correspondence: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id

**Abstract:** Preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils has gain an increasing attention in polymer industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditional petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is among the prospective alternative plasticizer since it is ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, preparation of fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of <u>free fatty acid (FFA)</u> in high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The analysis of the process variables and responses using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished. It was found that the quadratic model is the most appropriate model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: reaction temperature of 59.36°C, reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated was the intermediate product which can further undergo epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Citation: To be added by editorial 27 staff during production. 28

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-29 name 30 Received: date Accepted: date 31

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neug2 tral with regard to jurisdictionag3 claims in published maps and instig4 tutional affiliations. 35



Keywords: Calophyllum inophyllum seed oil; SnCl2.2H2O; fatty acid ester; response surface methodology; epoxy plasticizer

#### 1. Introduction

Plasticizer is an important additive in polymer, especially plastic industry. The IUPAC definition of plasticizer is a substance included in a material such as plastic or elastomer to enhance its flexibility, working ability, and distensibility. This function can be executed by decreasing the second order transition temperature or known as the glass transition temperature [1]. Plasticizers are a low molecular weight molecule, sited between the polymer chains and develop secondary bond with the polymer chains. Thus, it will interrupt the hydrogen bond and spread the polymer chains apart, which will improve the polymer properties such as lowering modulus, making the softer mass character of the material, better gas permeability, enhance the degree of crystallinity, and reducing the tension of deformation [2,3]. The demand on the plasticizer notably increases along with the rapid growth of the plastic and polymer industry during the last decade.

Polymers 2022, 14, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

36

To date, the most widely used plasticizers to promote are conventional petroleum-based phthalates, i.e., diisononyl phthalate (DINP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DIBP), n-butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP). Phthalates are applied in many polymer products, especially PVC products. However, utilization of phthalate plasticizers caused a problem recently since they exhibit a negative effect to the human health and environment [4–7]. Besides, they don't have biodegradable and renewable characteristic. Therefore, it is essential to develop a non-toxic, biodegradable, and renewable plasticizer with good performance which can be applied for various polymer products, such as food packaging, consumer good, electrical insulation, and medical products.

Bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils are among the prospective alternative since they have ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint properties. Various types of bio-plasticizers can be produced form vegetable oil raw materials, for instance epoxidized oil (triglyceride) and epoxidized fatty acid esters [1,6,8]. Among numerous bio based plasticizers, epoxidized fatty acid methyl ester, or also known as epoxy fatty acid esters, is favorable for the application as additive material in PVC which is attributable to its benefits, viz., high plasticizing efficiency, renewable characteristic, biodegradability, and economical [9]. Epoxy fatty acid esters have better solubility in the polymeric matrix than the epoxidized oil and offer superior elasticity even though at low temperature [10].

Vegetable oil fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters can be prepared via two different routes, namely transesterification of triglyceride and esterification of free fatty acid. Vegetable oils are mainly composed of triglycerides, which consist there fatty acid units linked to glycerol [11]. Fatty acid esters can be synthesized by transesterification of triglyceride in the oil using short chain alcohol such as methanol over acid or base catalyst [9,12,13]. The nonedible vegetable oils, however, generally contain high free fatty acid (FFA) beside the main triglyceride compound. The high FFA content causes the acidic character of the vegetable oil. FFA is usually unfavorable since it makes bad odor and rancidity of the oil [14]. The standard quality of commercial vegetable oil such as crude palm oil is controlled by the FFA content lower than 5% [15]. In spite of this fact, FFA can be transformed to fatty acid ester via esterification reaction using short chain alcohols in the presence of acid catalyst [13,16]. Fatty acid esters synthesized via either triglyceride transesterification or FFA esterification can further undergo epoxidation reaction to produce epoxy fatty acid esters. Fatty acid ester have low viscosity, hence it needs lower organic solvent in the epoxidation reaction [17].

Epoxidation reaction requires fatty acid ester precursors which comprise high content of unsaturated fatty esters [10,17]. Epoxidation is a double bond addition reaction, in which the double bonds are transformed into oxirane [7]. Thus, it involves the formation of oxirane (epoxy) through the reaction between the olefinic double bond compound and the peroxyacids or peracids. Epoxides or oxiranes consist of cyclic ethers with reactive 3-membered ring. Peroxyacids in the epoxidation reaction <u>are</u> generally yielded via the reaction between acetic acid or formic acid with hydrogen peroxide using strong inorganic acid. It can be also conducted by directly <u>introduced-introducing</u> peroxyacid into the reactants mixture. The resulted peroxyacids then convert the double bond into the epoxy. The recent innovation in the area of fatty acid esters conversion to epoxy is the enzymatic reaction technology [18,19].

Several work related to the epoxidation of fatty acid esters sourced from various vegetable oils, such as soybean, linseed oil, rapeseed, castor, grapeseed, avocado, olive, microalgae, RBD palm olein, and sunflower oils [9,17,18,20–22] have been extensively reported. However, synthesis of epoxy fatty acid ester derived from *Calophyllum in-ophyllum* Seed Oil has not been broadly studied. *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO), is a prospective source of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters. *Calophyllum inophyllum* plant, or locally known as nyamplung or tamanu tree or beach mahogany, is originally comes from Indo-Pacific area (Africa, India, South East Asia, Australia, and

Pacific islands) [23]. *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed is an excellent source of vegetable oil with oil content of 65-75% [24](<u>Akram et al., 2022</u>). Based on our previous investigation, *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) comprises high unsaturated fatty acid. Fatty acids composing CSO are dominantly unsaturated fatty acids (40% oleic acid, 29.94% linoleic acid, and 0.6% arachidic acid) and small portion saturated fatty acid (15.51% palmitic acid and 14.39% stearic acid). CSO is nonedible oil, containing gum and high FFA content of 19.18% [25]. The undesired high FFA content in CSO is potential to be converted to fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester plasticizer through acid catalyzed esterification using methanol.

In this work, esterification of FFA present in CSO with methanol using SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O was carried out to produce fatty acid ester as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester. The heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O (tin chloride) catalyst was employed to promote the reaction by reason of its superiority. SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O is a low cost Lewis acid catalyst which is tolerant to water, stable, less corrosive, and simple to handle. It is milder than Brønsted acid catalyst but capable to provide high catalytic activity. Lewis acids are compound with lack of electrons which can perform to activate substrate rich in electrons [26,27]. This catalyst also possesses the general advantage of heterogeneous catalyst, specifically the easy separation from the product mixture and reusability [28]. The esterification of FFA in CSO over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O is illustrated in Equation (1).

| R-C_OH + C                  | H <sub>3</sub> OH<br>SnCl <sub>2</sub> .21 | H <sub>2</sub> O Catalyst | 0<br>∥<br>H₃O−C−R + | H <sub>2</sub> O |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Free Fatty Acid Me<br>(FEA) | ethanol                                    | 1                         | Methyl Ester        | Water            |

To optimize the process condition for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol in the presence on SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, a statistical model was applied. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a rigorous technique that can be implemented to asses numerous parameter with a minimum number of experiments. It involves mathematical and statistical procedure to create experimental design which can examine the influences of the independent process variables on the process-response variable, thus the optimum re--In the optimization process, a suitable design should sponse can be verified [29]. be employed. The models that are applicable for the factorial analysis are Box-Behnken Design (BBD), Doehlert Design (DD) and Central Composite Design (CCD). These models can predict the response function to the actual data using the quadratic function [30]. BBD is more efficient and cost-effective than DD and CCD since it has no extreme points and needs less point than the others for the analysis and optimization [31]. The purpose of this work was to determine proper process condition which result in the highest reaction conversion and the lowest residual FFA by using BBD in RSM for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. At the optimum process condition, the highest yield of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy plasticizer was also achieved.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

*Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) was obtained from a local supplier in Central Java, Indonesia. It had acid value and FFA content of 36.542 mg KOH/g oil and 18.39%, respectively. The most dominant fatty acid composing the CSO was oleic acid, which has molecular weight of 282.52 g/mol as reported in our previous work [25]. The other materials used were phosphoric acid, methanol (technical grade, purchased from local chemical store), ethanol p.a. (Merck), SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O or tin(II)chloride catalyst (Merck), KOH p.a. (Merck), oxalic acid p.a. (Merck), distilled water, and phenolphthalein solution.

2.2. Methods

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Text 1

(1)

144

145

146 147

148

149 150

151

152 153

154

155

156 157

158

159 160

161

162 163

164

165

166

167

168

169 170

171

172 173

174

175 176

177

178 179

180

181 182

183

184 185

Prior to the esterification reaction, CSO was degummed using phosphoric acid to remove the phospholipids and mucilaginous gums content [32]. The acid degumming process was performed using the similar method with the previous work [25]. The degummed CSO was then underwent the esterification reaction. Initially, CSO and methanol were weighed to obtain the molar ratio of CSO and methanol of 1.30. The CSO was heated until it reached the desired temperature the desired temperature (40°C, 50°C, and 60°C) in three necks flask reactor. At the same time, a certain amount of SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O was solved and mixed with methanol in another flask. The SnCl<sub>2</sub>,2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst employed for the reaction was varied at 1%, 3%, 5%, and 7% w/w of CSO. The mixture of methanol and SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was separately heated up to the similar temperature. Once the targeted temperature was attained, the methanol-SnCl2.2H2O catalyst mixture was introduced into the reactor and it was recorded as the initial time of the esterification reaction. The esterification reaction was conducted for 120 min using a batch reactor which was equipped with a condenser and magnetic stirrer. The high agitation speed of 1000 rpm was applied to enhance the mixing of the solid catalyzed reaction [33-35]. Samples were taken periodically every 10 minutes. The samples were tested to determine the acid value using standard carboxylic-acid-titration techniques [36,37]. According to Kurniati et al. [38], The FFA conversion (XA) at a certain sampling time was determined based on the residual acid value at reaction time t as shown in Equation (21).

$$X_A = \frac{AV_i - AV_t}{AV_i} \ge 100\%$$
(21)

Where,  $X_A$  is the reaction conversion (%),  $AV_i$  is the initial acid value (t=0), (mg) and  $AV_t$  is the residual acid value at reaction time (mg)

The FFA content was calculated using Equation (32) [39].

$$FFA Content (\%) = \frac{A \times N \times MW}{G \times 1000} \times 100$$
(32)

Where, FFA Content is the reaction conversion (%), A is the volume of KOH (ml), N is the normality of KOH (N), MW is the average molecular weight of the fatty acids (g/mol) and G is the sample weight (g).

#### 2.2.1. Optimization Using Box-Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology

The experimental data were used for optimization the operation condition to obtain the lowest FFA content in CSO and the highest reaction conversion using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The simulation was conducted using Design Expert version 13 software. BBD was chosen since it can optimize the parameters effectively with minimum number of experiments and allows analysis of interaction between the parameters. In this study, BBD was performed using total of 15 experimental runs and the center point measurements were repeated three times to accomplish an accurate calculation of the experimental error. The parameter studied as the independent variables in this work were temperature (A), reaction time (B), and catalyst concentration (C). Each parameter was examined at 3 levels, viz. -1 indicated the low level, +1 represented the high level, and 0 was used as the central point to evaluate the experimental error [40]. The independent variables and their levels are presented in Table 1. Furthermore, the design of the randomized response model is shown in Table 2. Table 1. Independent Variables Range and Level Used in BBD Experimental Design.

| In domon domt Variable     | Leston | Co | Coded Level | 1   |
|----------------------------|--------|----|-------------|-----|
| Independent variable       | ractor | -1 | 0           | 1   |
| Temperature (°C)           | А      | 40 | 50          | 60  |
| Reaction Time (min)        | В      | 60 | 90          | 120 |
| Catalyst Concentration (%) | С      | 3  | 5           | 7   |

Table 2. Design of the Randomized Response Model.

|     | Factor A    | Factor B      | Factor C               |
|-----|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Run | Temperature | Reaction Time | Catalyst Concentration |
|     | (°C)        | (min)         | (%)                    |
| 1   | 40          | 120           | 5                      |
| 2   | 40          | 60            | 5                      |
| 3   | 60          | 90            | 3                      |
| 4   | 40          | 90            | 7                      |
| 5   | 60          | 90            | 7                      |
| 6   | 50          | 120           | 3                      |
| 7   | 60          | 120           | 5                      |
| 8   | 50          | 60            | 7                      |
| 9   | 50          | 90            | 5                      |
| 10  | 40          | 90            | 3                      |
| 11  | 60          | 60            | 5                      |
| 12  | 50          | 60            | 3                      |
| 13  | 50          | 90            | 5                      |
| 14  | 50          | 120           | 7                      |
| 15  | 50          | 90            | 5                      |

The average magnitude of error between the predicted value and actual value (experimental data) was calculated using Equation 43, in which MAPE is Mean Absolute Percentage Error and n is the number of data.

$$MAPE = \sum \frac{\left|\frac{predicted \ value - experimental \ data}{experimental \ data}\right|}{n} \ x \ 100\%$$
(43)

#### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effects of the Experimental Variables on the Reaction Conversion

Esterification of high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed oil (CSO) with methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst to transform free fatty acid (<u>FFA</u>) to fatty acid ester as precursor of bio-based epoxy plasticizer has been conducted in this work. The esterification reaction of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O is illustrated in Figure 1.

| R−C <sup>0</sup> +       | СН₃ОН    | $\xrightarrow{SnCl_2.2H_2O \ Catalyst}$ | 0<br>∥<br>CH3O−C−R + | $H_2O$ |
|--------------------------|----------|---|----------------------|--------|
| Free Fatty Acid<br>(FFA) | Methanol |   | Methyl Ester         | Water  |

Figure 1. Esterification of FFA with Methanol in the Presence of SnCl2.2H2O Catalyst,

Based on the stoichiometry, one mole FFA requires one mole methanol to precede esterification reaction [41]. However, the Fischer esterification reaction is an equilibrium limited reaction. Hence, a far excess methanol reactant should be introduced to shift the equilibrium towards the product formation [42]. In this work, a fixed CSO to methanol Formatted: Not Highlight

| Formatted: Font: Bold  |
|--|
| Formatted: Not Highlight   |
| Formatted: Font: Bold  |
| Formatted:<br>MDPI_5.1_figure_caption,<br>Indent: First line: 0 cm |

186

199 200

201

202 203

188 189

190

204 205

206 207

208

209 210

211

212 213

214

215

216

217

218

219 220

221

222

ratio of 1:30 was applied for all the experiments. To intensify the mixing between the reactants and catalyst, the agitation speed was kept at 1000 rpm. The rapid agitation is beneficial to reduce the film thickness between the reactants and promote the mass transfer [42]. The experimental results are demonstrated in Figure  $\frac{1-2}{2}$  and  $\frac{23}{2}$ .

Figure <u>1–2</u> presents the effect of the catalyst molar ratio on the reaction conversion for the reaction conducted at fixed reaction temperature, molar ratio of CSO and methanol, and reaction time of 60°C, 1:30, and 120 min, respectively. The effect of the catalyst concentration was studied at the range of 1 - 7% w/w CSO. Catalyst offers an altered reaction route with lower activation energy. Hence, it causes a higher percentage of collisions between the reactants molecule reach the minimum energy to react. It can be observed that the reaction conversion enhanced to 73.75% with increasing catalyst concentration from 1% to 5%. The higher reaction conversion was accomplished on account of the increase amount of active sites available for the reaction [43,44]. Thus, it accelerated the reaction to reach the equilibrium. However, it was revealed that the employment of 7% catalyst didn't further raise the reaction conversion. Yet, the conversion tended to slightly decline to 65.85%. It denotes that the excessive addition of catalyst will not provide the comparative influence on the conversion improvement when the contact process has already arrived at the maximum [45].



**Figure 12.** Effect of the Catalyst Concentration on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst at the Reaction Temperature of 60°C, Molar Ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30, and Reaction Time of 120 min.

Formatted: Font: Bold



7 of 18

#### Formatted: Font: Bold

**Figure 23.** Effect of the Temperature and Reaction Time on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl2.2H2O Catalyst at the Molar Ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30 and Catalyst Concentration of 5%.

Figure 2-3 exhibits the effects of temperature and the reaction time on the reaction conversion for the reaction carried out at fixed catalyst concentration of 5% and molar ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30. The reaction temperature was examined at 40, 50 and 60°C and the reaction time was inspected at 0 – 120 min. It was disclosed that the rising of the temperature brought about the extensively higher reaction conversion. Esterification is an endothermic reaction, therefore the reaction rate increased with the temperature [46](Rani et al., 2020). The rise of the temperature will also improve the translation and the rotation of the reactants molecules and lower the liquid viscosity, which will enhance the diffusion rate of the reactants to the active sites of the catalyst [45]. The effective mass transfer gives a beneficial impact on the higher total reaction rate and higher reaction conversion. The highest conversion of 73.75% was obtained at 60°C, which was near to the boiling point of the methanol. The further increase of the temperature at the similar atmospheric pressure will not promote the conversion since it will exceed the boiling point, hence part of the methanol in the liquid phase will change to the gas phase. The result was in a good agreement with Handayani et al. [47]. The longer reaction time, the

higher conversion was attained. However, the sharp acceleration was shown at the first 10 minutes of the reaction. It was attributed to the high concentration of the reactant at the beginning of the reaction. To determine the optimum process condition which led to the best reaction conversion, analysis using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) in Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also carried out.

#### 3.2. Model Fitting in Box-Behnken Design (BBD)

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) is broadly applied to determine the optimum condition of the variables which results in the desired response. It is also practical for evaluating the effects of independent variables and the interaction between the independent variables [48]. In this work, BBD was employed to examine the effects and interaction of the independent variables (reaction time, reaction temperature, and catalyst concentration) to determine the optimum condition which produced the highest ester yield and <u>the lower-lowest the FFA</u> content in the esterification of CSO using methanol over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst.

The Box–Behnken response surface design and corresponding response values in this work, including the comparison between the experimental data with the prediction value as well as the errors, are revealed in Table 3. Error is the disparity between the observed and the predictive values, and accordingly, it can be used to evaluate the accuracy of the model. The error values in this study were calculated in term of mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as conveyed in Equation (23). It was revealed that the MAPE of the FFA conversion and the FFA content responses were 2.2704% and 3.3410%. The values of MAPE were far less than 10%, indicating the high correctness of the prediction. Generally, the value of MAPE below 10% designates the high accuracy of prediction, whereas the values of 10-20%, 20-50%, and higher than 50% imply the good, fair, and inaccurate forecasting, respectively [49].

There are various models that are available for the optimization using RSM. In this work, four polynomial models (viz. linear, 2FI or two-factor interaction, quadratic, and cubic) were assessed to decide the most appropriate model suited to the experimental data. The above mentioned models have been extensively studied in the field of biore-sources processing research [25,50]. The evaluation of the models was carried out using two different statistical testing methods, i.e. the sequential model (sum of squares) and the model summary tests. Based on the sequential model sum of squares test (Table 4) and the model summary test (Table 5), it was found that the suggested model to optimize the FFA conversion and the FFA content in the case of CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was the quadratic model. The quadratic model was designated due to the facts that it provided the lowest p value as indicated in Table 4, and in opposition, it shown the highest adjusted R<sup>2</sup> and predicted R<sup>2</sup> as demonstrated in Table 5.

| Ru<br>n | u Tempera- | Cempera- Reac-<br>ture tion | Catalyst<br>Concentra- | FFA Cor | FFA Conversion |        | FFA Content |         | Error<br>(MAPE) |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
|         | (°C)       | Time<br>(min)               | tion<br>(%)            | Experi- | Predic-        | ) %    | Experi-     | Predic- | %               |
|         |            | В                           | C                      | mem     | uon            | 70     | ment        | uon     |                 |
| 1       | 40         | 120                         | 5                      | 66.161  | 65.963         | 0.2987 | 6.227       | 6.264   | 0.5862          |
| 2       | 40         | 60                          | 5                      | 64.896  | 64.619         | 0.4267 | 6.460       | 6.511   | 0.7895          |
| 3       | 60         | 90                          | 3                      | 46.237  | 44.695         | 3.3348 | 9.894       | 10.178  | 2.8704          |
| 4       | 40         | 90                          | 7                      | 52.878  | 54.420         | 2.9160 | 8.672       | 8.388   | 3.2749          |
| 5       | 60         | 90                          | 7                      | 65.528  | 66.595         | 1.6289 | 6.344       | 6.148   | 3.0974          |
| 6       | 50         | 120                         | 3                      | 44.023  | 45.288         | 2.8735 | 10.301      | 10.068  | 2.2619          |
| 7       | 60         | 120                         | 5                      | 73.751  | 74.028         | 0.3755 | 4.831       | 4.780   | 1.0557          |
| 8       | 50         | 60                          | 7                      | 62.682  | 61.417         | 2.0181 | 6.867       | 7.100   | 3.3930          |
| 9       | 50         | 90                          | 5                      | 63.631  | 65.634         | 2.0181 | 6.693       | 6.324   | 5.5132          |
| 10      | 40         | 90                          | 3                      | 42.125  | 41.058         | 2.5339 | 10.650      | 10.847  | 1.8451          |
| 11      | 60         | 60                          | 5                      | 72.170  | 72.368         | 0.2738 | 5.122       | 5.086   | 0.7126          |
| 12      | 50         | 60                          | 3                      | 41.809  | 43.153         | 3.2153 | 10.709      | 10.462  | 2.3111          |
| 13      | 50         | 90                          | 5                      | 69.640  | 65.634         | 5.7524 | 5.587       | 6.324   | 13.196          |
|         |            |                             |                        |         |                |        |             |         | 7               |
| 14      | 50         | 120                         | 7                      | 63.631  | 62.287         | 2.1125 | 6.693       | 6.941   | 3.6979          |
| 15      | 50         | 90                          | 5                      | 63.631  | 65.634         | 3.1478 | 6.693       | 6.324   | 5.5087          |
|         |            | MAPE (%                     | 6)                     |         |                | 2.2704 |             |         | 3.3410          |

| ~ | υ | ~ |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | o | / |
|   |   |   |

#### Table 4. Sequential Model (Sum of Squares) Test.

| Component   | Sum of Square | Degree of Freedom | Mean Square | F-value | p-value | Remarks   |  |  |  |
|---|---------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Sequential (Sum of Square) for the FFA Conversion |               |                   |             |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Mean 53138.62                                     |               | 1                 | 53138.62    |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Linear  | 751.26        | 3                 | 250.42      | 2.87    | 0.09    |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI   | 18.65         | 3                 | 6.22        | 0.05    | 0.98    |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic   | 903.67        | 3                 | 301.22      | 39.48   | 0.0007  | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic   | 14.08         | 3                 | 4.69        | 0.39    | 0.7758  | Aliased   |  |  |  |
| Residual 24.07                                    |               | 2                 | 12.04       |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Total   | 54850.36      | 15                | 3656.69     |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Sequential (Sum of Square) for the FFA Content    |               |                   |             |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 832.43        | 1                 | 832.43      |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Linear 25.44                                      |               | 3                 | 8.48        | 2.87    | 0.09    |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI   | 0.63          | 3                 | 0.21        | 0.05    | 0.98    |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic   | 30.60         | 3                 | 10.20       | 39.44   | 0.0007  | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic   | 0.48          | 3                 | 0.16        | 0.39    | 0.7756  | Aliased   |  |  |  |
| Residual  | 0.82          | 2                 | 0.41        |         |         |           |  |  |  |
| Total   | 890.40        | 15                | 59.36       |         |         |           |  |  |  |

| Table 5. Model Summary Test. |                                   |                |                         |                          |         |           |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|--|--|
| Component                    | Standard                          | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | Predicted R <sup>2</sup> | Press   | Remarks   |  |  |
|                              | Deviation                         |                |                         |                          |         |           |  |  |
|                              |                                   | Model          | Summary for the H       | FFA Conversion           |         |           |  |  |
| Linear                       | 9.34                              | 0.44           | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 1921.57 |           |  |  |
| 2FI                          | 10.85                             | 0.45           | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 4446.52 |           |  |  |
| Quadratic                    | 2.76                              | 0.98           | 0.94                    | 0.84                     | 279.43  | Suggested |  |  |
| Cubic                        | 3.47                              | 0.99           | 0.90                    |                          | *       | Aliased   |  |  |
|                              | Model Summary for the FFA Content |                |                         |                          |         |           |  |  |
| Linear                       | 1.72                              | 0.44           | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 65.07   |           |  |  |
| 2FI                          | 2.00                              | 0.45           | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 150.57  |           |  |  |
| Quadratic                    | 0.51                              | 0.98           | 0.94                    | 0.84                     | 9.47    | Suggested |  |  |
| Cubic                        | 0.64                              | 0.99           | 0.90                    |                          | *       | Aliased   |  |  |

The empirical correlation of the variables and the response based on the quadratic model resulted from the BBD can be stated in the form as second order polynomial equation. The general equation for the second order polynomial regression model is written in Equation (54).

$$Y = \beta o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i X i) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i i X i^{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j>1}^{k} (\beta i j X i X j)$$
(54)

Y indicating the predicted response,  $\beta o$  is a constant,  $\beta i$  is a coefficient for the linear,  $\beta ii$  is the coefficient for the quadratic, and  $\beta ij$  is the interactive coefficient adalah [29,51]. Thus, the definitive equations for the FFA conversion and FFA content are revealed in the Equation (65) and (76), respectively.

 $\begin{array}{ll} FFA\ Conversion\ (\%) = 3.47466 - 1.29512\ A - 0.457250\ B + 37.23375\ C + \\ 0.000263\ AB + 0.106725\ AC - 0.005271\ BC + \\ 0.011331\ A^2 + \\ 0.002753\ B^2 - 3.76878\ C^2 \end{array}$ 

FFA Content (%) =

 $\begin{array}{ll} 17.746 - 0.238292 \ A - 0.4084117 \ B + 6.85158 \ C + & 0.000048 \ AB + & (76) \\ 0.19650 \ AC - 0.000975 \ BC + 0.002084 \ A^2 + & 0.000507 \ B^2 - 0.693521 \ C^2 \end{array}$ 

Where A, B and C is the temperature (°C), reaction time (min) and catalyst concentration (%) respectively.

#### 3.3. Statistical Analysis Using ANOVA

The quadratic model as the most appropriate model was thenceforth analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the actual data to the different models based on their associated p-values is displayed in Table 6 and 7. Table 6 shows the statistical analysis using ANOVA to predict the FFA conversion in the esterification of CSO. The significance of each constant and the intensity of interaction were proved by the p-value. The influences lower than 0.05 is significant [50]. It can be observed that the F value were 24.37 at the p-value < 0.05, denoting that the model was significant. In this investigation, it was discovered that the affecting variables were two linear coefficients (A and C), and one quadratic coefficient (C<sup>2</sup>). It implies that the temperature (A) and catalyst concentration (C) were significant to the model, but the reaction time (B) was insignificant. The adeq precission value is the measurement of the ratio of the signal against the interference, in which the expected ratio is > 4. Table 6 demonstrates that the adeq precission was 14.6107, revealing that the model was significant. It can be suggested that the model is proper for the prediction of the FFA conversion.

| Source                       | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | p-Value |             |
|------------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Model                        | 1673.58       | 9  | 185.95      | 24.37   | 0.00    | Significant |
| A Temperature (°C)           | 125.03        | 1  | 125.03      | 16.39   | 0.01    |             |
| B Reaction Time (min)        | 4.51          | 1  | 4.51        | 0.59    | 0.48    |             |
| C Catalyst Concentration (%) | 621.72        | 1  | 621.72      | 81.48   | 0.00    |             |
| AB                           | 0.03          | 1  | 0.03        | 0.003   | 0.96    |             |
| AC                           | 18.22         | 1  | 18.22       | 2.39    | 0.18    |             |
| BC                           | 0.40          | 1  | 0.40        | 0.05    | 0.83    |             |
| A <sup>2</sup>               | 4.74          | 1  | 4.74        | 0.62    | 0.47    |             |
| B <sup>2</sup>               | 22.66         | 1  | 22.66       | 2.97    | 0.15    |             |
| $C^2$                        | 839.11        | 1  | 839.11      | 109.97  | 0.00    |             |
| Residual                     | 38.15         | 5  | 7.63        |         |         |             |
| Lack of Fit                  | 14.08         | 3  | 14.08       | 0.39    | 0.78    | Not Signif- |
|                              |               |    |             |         |         | icant       |
| Pure Error                   | 24.08         | 2  | 12.04       |         |         |             |
| Cor Total                    | 1711.73       | 14 |             |         |         |             |
| Adeq Precision               | 14.62         |    |             |         |         |             |
| R <sup>2</sup>               | 0.98          |    |             |         |         |             |

 
 Table 6. Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Conversion.

 
 Table 7. Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Content.

| Source                      | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | p-Value |             |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Model                       | 56.67         | 9  | 6.30        | 24.35   | 0.00    | Significant |
| $X_1$                       | 4.23          | 1  | 4.23        | 16.36   | 0.01    |             |
| X2                          | 0.15          | 1  | 0.15        | 0.59    | 0.48    |             |
| X3                          | 21.05         | 1  | 21.05       | 81.41   | 0.00    |             |
| X12                         | 0.00          | 1  | 0.00        | 0.00    | 0.96    |             |
| X13                         | 0.62          | 1  | 0.62        | 2.39    | 0.18    |             |
| X23                         | 0.01          | 1  | 0.01        | 0.05    | 0.83    |             |
| X1 <sup>2</sup>             | 0.16          | 1  | 0.16        | 0.62    | 0.47    |             |
| $X_{2^2}$                   | 0.77          | 1  | 0.77        | 2.97    | 0.15    |             |
| X <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup> | 28.41         | 1  | 28.41       | 109.88  | 0.00    |             |
| Residual                    | 1.29          | 5  | 0.26        |         |         |             |
| Lack of Fit                 | 0.48          | 3  | 0.16        | 0.39    | 0.78    | Not         |
|                             |               |    |             |         |         | Significant |
| Pure Error                  | 0.82          | 2  | 0.41        |         |         |             |
| Cor Total                   | 57.96         | 14 |             |         |         |             |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$              | 0.98          |    |             |         |         |             |
| Adeq                        | 14.61         |    |             |         |         |             |
| Precision                   |               |    |             |         |         |             |

The ANOVA regression model to predict the left over FFA content after the esterification reaction of CSO can be observed in Table 7. The experimental data were analyzed using ANOVA and the significant regression coefficient was determined based on the p-value, in which p-value < 0.05 denotes that the model is significant. The value of adeq

precision is the magnitude of the ratio of the signal to the disturbance, wherein the desirable value is > 4 [52,53]. This model showed the adeq precision of 14.6107, indicating that the model is accurate.

#### 3.4. Optimization of the Process Variables Using BBD

Optimization of the process variables to obtained the targeted response variables was performed using quadratic model of BBD. Primarily, the influences of the process variables such as temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration to the response variables viz. the reaction conversion and FFA content in the CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst were investigated using BBD in RSM. Based on the model selected, analysis on the main effect and the interaction of the process variables to the response variable using 3D RSM was carried out. The resulted 3D graphs were developed from one constant variable (derived from the midpoint) and varying two other variables. Therefore, the effect of each process variable to the response variable can be identified.

Figure 3-4 and Figure 4-5 disclose that the reaction conversion increased and the FFA content decreased with the temperature up to 60°C, respectively. Intensification of the catalyst concentration from 3% to 5% enhanced the reaction conversion and diminished the FFA content considerably. It was due to the increase number of the reactant molecules which were activated by the carbonyl polarization due to the higher amount of Sn<sup>+2</sup> catalyst. Hence, the nucleophilic attack by methanol can occur more frequently and effectively, leading to the higher reaction conversion. Oppositely, the left over FFA content was reduced [54]. There are various proposed mechanisms concerning the carbonyl group activation by tin catalyst, yet the carbonyl polarization will be auspicious when attacked by the hydroxyl group [55]. However, the further addition of the catalyst from 5% to 7% didn't provide meaningful effect on improving the reaction conversion and lessening the FFA content. As a matter of fact, it can be observed that the employment of 7% catalyst increase the FFA content. Marso et al. [56] described that the excessive amount of the catalyst utilization beyond the optimum concentration could form the emulsion which increased the viscosity and thus hindered the contact between CSO and methanol. Consequently, it lowered the reaction conversion. Hence, the residual FFA in the oil was higher.



**Figure 34**. Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of the Process Variables on the Reaction Conversion. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50  $^{\circ}$ C.

361 362

363



**Figure 45**. Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of Process Variables on the FFA Content in after the Undergoing the Esterification Reaction. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.

In this study, Deringger method was utilized to optimize the reaction conversion and the reduction of FFA content via CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. Derringer method is a popular desirability function-based approach to solve the problem comprising a simultaneous optimization of several response variables. Derringer and Suich [57] modified the previous Harrington's procedure by converting the response into desirability function [58]. The values of desirability functions are between 0 and 1. Mathematically, the general approach is to convert each response into an individual desirability function (d) that varies over the range  $0 \le d \le 1$  [59]. The value of 0 implies that the factors present unfavorable response. On the other hand, the value of 1 relates to the optimal condition of the examined factors and the responses are at their targets. This approach simplifies the multivariate optimization. Due to its simplicity and flexibility, Derringer desirability function has been broadly applied in multiple responses optimization to find out the independent variables condition which brings about the optimal values of the response variables [60]. Based on the optimization process, Figure 5-6 reveals that the optimum reaction conversion and FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, which were achieved at the following operation condition: reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, reaction time of 117.8 min, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The value of desirability obtained was 1, indicating the optimal condition of the studied parameters. This result was slightly lower than the similar reaction which was conducted using sulfuric acid catalyst at the reaction temperature, catalyst loading, and reaction time of 59.09°C, 1.98% g/g CSO, and 119.95 minutes, respectively, resulting in the reaction conversion of 78.27% and the FFA content of 4%[25]. Despite this slight lower conversion, the application of heterogeneous SnCl2.2H2O catalyst is greatly preferable to the sulfuric acid catalyst since it is more environmental friendly, reusable, less corrosive, and easy in handling and separation. The result of this work offers a green alternative of synthesizing renewable bio based fatty ester from CSO as precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer.

13 of 18



**Figure 56**. Optimization of Reaction Conversion and FFA Content using BBD Quadratic Model in RSM.

#### 5. Conclusions

Esterification of FFA in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst has been conducted as an alternative way to produce fatty acid ester as green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer. In this investigation, the interactive and individual effect from three experimental variables (temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration) on reaction conversion and residual free fatty acid (FFA) content were studied by employing Box-Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) technique. The quadratic model in BBD was selected for the optimization of the reaction conversion and residual FFA content. The BBD analysis showed that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, attained at the following process condition: reaction temperature of 59.36oC, reaction time of 117.80 minutes, and catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated is subsequently ready for the further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.D.K.; methodology, R.D.K. and H.P.; software, H.P.; validation, R.D.K. and H.P.; formal analysis, N.D.A and E.D.N.A.; investigation, R.D.K.; resources, R.D.K.; data curation, R.D.K. and D.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.D.K., N.D.A., E.D.N.A.; writing—review and editing, R.D.K., H.P. and D.H.; visualization, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; supervision, R.D.K. and H.P.; project administration, R.D.K.; funding acquisition, ¥<u>R.</u>¥<u>D.K.</u> All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

**Funding:** Financial support from the Research and Community Service <u>Institute</u> (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 is highly acknowledged

#### Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank to the Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 for the funding. In this section, you can acknowledge any support given which is not covered by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support, or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

14 of 18

| 427 |       | <b>Conflicts of Interest:</b> The authors declare no conflict of interest.   |
|-----|-------|--|
| 428 | Refer | rences   |
| 429 |       |  |
| 430 | 1.    | Vieira, M.G.A.; Da Silva, M.A.; Dos Santos, L.O.; Beppu, M.M. Natural-Based Plasticizers and Biopolymer Films: A Review.   |
| 431 |       | Eur. Polym. J. 2011, 47, 254–263, doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2010.12.011.   |
| 432 | 2.    | Junthip, J.; Chaipalee, N.; Sangsorn, Y.; Maspornpat, C.; Jitcharoen, J.; Limrungruengrat, S.; Chotchuangchutchaval, T.;   |
| 433 |       | Martwong, E.; Sukhawipat, N. The Use of New Waste-Based Plasticizer Made from Modified Used Palm Oil for   |
| 434 |       | Non-Glutinous Thermoplastic Starch Foam. Polymers (Basel). 2022, 14, 3997, doi:10.3390/polym14193997.  |
| 435 | 3.    | Janik, W.; Ledniowska, K.; Nowotarski, M.; Kudła, S.; Knapczyk-Korczak, J.; Stachewicz, U.; Nowakowska-Bogdan, E.;   |
| 436 |       | Sabura, E.; Nosal-Kovalenko, H.; Turczyn, R.; et al. Chitosan-Based Films with Alternative Eco-Friendly Plasticizers:  |
| 437 |       | Preparation, Physicochemical Properties and Stability. Carbohydr. Polym. 2023, 301, 120277,  |
| 438 |       | doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.120277.   |
| 439 | 4.    | Edwards, L.; McCray, N.L.; VanNoy, B.N.; Yau, A.; Geller, R.J.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Zota, A.R. Phthalate and Novel  |
| 440 |       | Plasticizer Concentrations in Food Items from U.S. Fast Food Chains: A Preliminary Analysis. J. Expo. Sci. Environ.  |
| 441 |       | <i>Epidemiol.</i> <b>2022</b> , <i>32</i> , 366–373, doi:10.1038/s41370-021-00392-8.   |
| 442 | 5.    | Kim, D.Y.; Chun, S.H.; Jung, Y.; Mohamed, D.F.M.S.; Kim, H.S.; Kang, D.Y.; An, J.W.; Park, S.Y.; Kwon, H.W.; Kwon, J.H.  |
| 443 |       | Phthalate Plasticizers in Children's Products and Estimation of Exposure: Importance of Migration Rate. Int. J. Environ. Res.  |
| 444 |       | <i>Public Health</i> <b>2020</b> , <i>17</i> , 1–14, doi:10.3390/ijerph17228582.   |
| 445 | 6.    | Jia, P.; Xia, H.; Tang, K.; Zhou, Y. Plasticizers Derived from Biomass Resources: A Short Review. Polymers (Basel). 2018, 10,  |
| 446 |       | 1303, doi:10.3390/polym10121303.   |
| 447 | 7.    | Hosney, H.; Nadiem, B.; Ashour, I.; Mustafa, I.; El-Shibiny, A. Epoxidized Vegetable Oil and Bio-Based Materials as PVC  |
| 448 |       | Plasticizer. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2018, 135, 1–12, doi:10.1002/app.46270.  |
| 449 | 8.    | Bai, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, D.; Zhao, X. Conversion of Fatty Acid Methyl Ester to Epoxy Plasticizer by Auto-Catalyzed in Situ  |
| 450 |       | Formation of Performic Acid: Kinetic Modeling and Application of the Model. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 259, 120791,   |
| 451 |       | doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120791.   |
| 452 | 9.    | Pan, S.; Hou, D.; Yang, G.; Xie, Q.; Yan, S.; Zeng, Q.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Fan, H. Epoxidized Methyl Ricinoleate  |
| 453 |       | Bio-Plasticizer with a Pendant Acetate Ester for PVC Artificial Material: Circumventing Existing Limit on Achievable   |
| 454 | 10    | Migration Resistance. J. Leather Sci. Eng. 2019, 1, 1–10, doi:10.1186/s42825-019-0006-8.   |
| 455 | 10.   | Galii, F.; Nucci, S.; Pirola, C.; Bianchi, C.L. Epoxy Methyl Soyate as Bio-Plasticizer: Two Different Preparation Strategies.  |
| 456 | 11    | Chem. Eng. Trans. 2014, 37, 601–606, doi:10.3303/CE1143/101.   |
| 457 | 11.   | Ergasnev, I.M., Manurzayev, M.A., Sedalova, I.S., Suyunov, S. Study Of Highydendes in vegetable Ons by Gas   |
| 450 | 10    | Chromatography. Asian J. Phys. Chem. Math. 2022, 5, 75–70.   |
| 459 | 12.   | Schuchardt, U., Serchen, K., Matheus, K. Transesternication of Vegetable Ons. : A Keview. J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 1996, 9, 199–   |
| 400 | 13    | Simpon L. Winaya I.S. Subagia I.C.A. Suvasa I.B. Solid Catalyst in Exterification and Transastarification Reactions for  |
| 401 | 15.   | Biodiasal Production: A Roviou Int I Fug Emerg Technol 2020 5 168-174  |
| 463 | 14    | Di Pietro M E · Mannu A · Male A NMR Determination of Free Fatty Acids in Vegetable Oils Processes <b>2020</b> 8 410   |
| 464 | 14.   | doi:10.3390/PR8040410  |
| 465 | 15.   | Edvson, E.; Murgianto, F.; Ardivanto, A.; Astuti, E.I.; Ahmad, M.P. Preprocessing Factors Affected Free Fatty Acid Content   |
| 466 |       | in Crude Palm Oil Quality. J. Ilmu Pertan. Indones. <b>2022</b> . 27. 177–181. doi:10.18343/iipi.27.2.177  |
| 467 | 16.   | Kusumaningtvas, R.D.: Ratrianti, N.: Purnamasari, I.: Budiman, A. Kinetics Study of Jatropha Oil Esterification with   |
|     |       | of the state of th |

468 Ethanol in the Presence of Tin (II) Chloride Catalyst for Biodiesel Production. AIP Conf. Proc. 2017, 1788,

469 doi:10.1063/1.4968339.

- Hidalgo, P.; Álvarez, S.; Hunter, R.; Sánchez, A. Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Algae Biomass to
  Develop Sustainable Bio-Based Epoxy Resins. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2020, *12*, 1–14, doi:10.3390/polym12102313.
- Sustaita-Rodríguez, A.; Ramos-Sánchez, V.H.; Camacho-Dávila, A.A.; Zaragoza-Galán, G.; Espinoza-Hicks, J.C.;
   Chávez-Flores, D. Lipase Catalyzed Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Unsaturated Vegetable Oils in
   Absence of Carboxylic Acid. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2018, *12*, 1–7, doi:10.1186/s13065-018-0409-2.
- Meng, Y.; Taddeo, F.; Aguilera, A.F.; Cai, X.; Russo, V.; Tolvanen, P.; Leveneur, S. The Lord of the Chemical Rings:
  Catalytic Synthesis of Important Industrial Epoxide Compounds. *Catalysts* 2021, *11*, 765, doi:10.3390/catal11070765.
- Benaniba, M.T.; Benaniba, M.T.; Belhaneche-Bensemra, N.; Gelbard, G. Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic
  Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange Resin by Various Processes Polymer Recycling View Project Miscible Polymer Blends
  View Project Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange . *Energy Educ. Sci. Technol.*2008, 71–82.
- Ifa, L.; Syarif, T.; Sabara, Z.; Nurjannah, N.; Munira, M.; Aryani, F. Study on the Kinetics of Epoxidation Reaction of RBD
  Palm Olein. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2018, 175, 012035, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/175/1/012035.
- 483 22. Musik, M.; Janus, E.; Pełech, R.; Sałaciński, Ł. Effective Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters with Hydrogen Peroxide
  484 by the Catalytic System H3pw12o40/ Quaternary Phosphonium Salts. *Catalysts* 2021, *11*, 1058, doi:10.3390/catal11091058.
- 485 23. Ginigini, J.; Lecellier, G.J.; Nicolas, M.; Nour, M.; Hnawia, E.; Lebouvier, N.; Herbette, G.; Lockhart, P.; Raharivelomanana,
  486 P. Chemodiversity of Calophyllum Inophyllum L. Oil Bioactive Components Related to Their Specific Geographical
  487 Distribution in the South Pacific Region. *PeerJ* 2019, 2019, 6896, doi:10.7717/peerj.6896.
- Akram, F.; Haq, I. ul; Raja, S.I.; Mir, A.S.; Qureshi, S.S.; Aqeel, A.; Shah, F.I. Current Trends in Biodiesel Production
  Technologies and Future Progressions: A Possible Displacement of the Petro-Diesel. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2022, 370, 133479,
  doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133479.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Putri, R.D.A.; Triwibowo, B.; Kurnita, S.C.F.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Veny, H.; Hamzah,
   F.; Rodhi, M.N.M. Optimisation of Free Fatty Acid Removal in Nyamplung Seed Oil (Callophyllum Inophyllum I.) Using
   Response Surface Methodology Analysis. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 29, 2605–2623, doi:10.47836/PJST.29.4.20.
- Ferreira, A.B.; Lemos Cardoso, A.; da Silva, M.J. Tin-Catalyzed Esterification and Transesterification Reactions: A Review.
   *ISRN Renew. Energy* 2012, 2012, 1–13, doi:10.5402/2012/142857.
- Da Silva, M.J.; Cardoso, A.L. Assessing the Activity of Solid-Suported SnCl2 Catalysts on the Oleic Acid Esterification for
   Biodiesel Production. J. Thermodyn. Catal. 2016, 7, 1000173, doi:10.4172/2157-7544.1000173.
- 498 28. Sert, E.; Atalay, F.S. Application of Green Catalysts for the Esterification of Benzoic Acid with Different Alcohols. *Celal* 499 *Bayar Üniversitesi Fen Bilim. Derg.* 2017, 13, 907–912, doi:10.18466/cbayarfbe.370364.
- Polat, S.; Sayan, P. Application of Response Surface Methodology with a Box–Behnken Design for Struvite Precipitation.
   *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2019, 30, 2396–2407, doi:10.1016/j.apt.2019.07.022.
- Solution 2010
   Solution
- Riswanto, F.D.O.; Rohman, A.; Pramono, S.; Martono, S. Application of Response Surface Methodology as Mathematical
   and Statistical Tools in Natural Product Research. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2019, 9, 125–133, doi:10.7324/JAPS.2019.91018.
- Sharma, Y.C.; Yadav, M.; Upadhyay, S.N. Latest Advances in Degumming Feedstock Oils for Large-Scale Biodiesel
   Production. *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining* 2019, 13, 174–191, doi:10.1002/bbb.1937.
- Song 33. Camacho, J.N.; Romero, R.; Galván Muciño, G.E.; Martínez-Vargas, S.L.; Pérez-Alonso, C.; Natividad, R. Kinetic Modeling
   of Canola Oil Transesterification Catalyzed by Quicklime. J. Appl. Res. Technol. 2018, 16, 446–454,

34. 512

doi:10.22201/icat.16656423.2018.16.6.743. 511

- Yu, H.; Niu, S.; Lu, C.; Wei, W.; Zhang, X. Biodiesel Synthesis by Transesterification Using Coal-Based Solid Acid Catalyst. 513 IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 2021, 634, 012041, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/634/1/012041. Ho, W.W.S.; Ng, H.K.; Gan, S. Biodiesel Synthesis from Refined Palm Oil Using a Calcium Oxide Impregnated Ash-Based 514 35. Catalyst: Parametric, Kinetics, and Product Characterization Studies. Catalysts 2022, 12, 706, doi:10.3390/catal12070706. 515 Banchero, M.; Gozzelino, G. A Simple Pseudo-Homogeneous Reversible Kinetic Model for the Esterification of Different 516 36. Fatty Acids with Methanol in the Presence of Amberlyst-15. Energies 2018, 11, 11071843, doi:10.3390/en11071843. 517 Intarat, N.; Somnuk, K.; Theppaya, T.; Prateepchaikul, G. Acid Value Reduction Process in Mixed Crude Palm Oil by Using 37. 518 519 Low-Grade Ethanol. Adv. Mater. Res. 2014, 1025-1026, 677-682, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.1025-1026.677. 38. Kurniati, S.; Soeparman, S.; Yuwono, S.S.; Hakim, L. Characteristics and Potential of Nyamplung ( Calophyllum 520 Inophyllum L.) Seed Oil from Kebumen, Central Java, as a Biodiesel Feedstock. Int. Res. J. Adv. Eng. Sci. 2018, 3, 148-152. 521 Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Pratama, B.R.; Prasetya, D.; Hisyam, A. Esterification of Non-Edible Oil Mixture in 522 39. Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study. J. Phys. Sci. 2018, 29, 212-226, 523 doi:10.21315/jps2018.29.s2.17. 524 525 40. Mohan, S.K.; Viruthagiri, T.; Arunkumar, C. Statistical Optimization of Process Parameters for the Production of Tannase by Aspergillus Flavus under Submerged Fermentation. 3 Biotech 2014, 4, 159-166, doi:10.1007/s13205-013-0139-z. 526 527 41. Ding, J.; Xia, Z.; Lu, J. Esterification and Deacidification of a Waste Cooking Oil (TAN 68.81 Mg KOH/g) for Biodiesel Production. Energies 2012, 5, 2683-2691, doi:10.3390/en5082683. 528 Khan, Z.; Javed, F.; Shamair, Z.; Hafeez, A.; Fazal, T.; Aslam, A.; Zimmerman, W.B.; Rehman, F. Current Developments in 529 42. 530 Esterification Reaction: A Review on Process and Parameters. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2021, 103, 80-101, 531 doi:10.1016/i.jiec.2021.07.018. Ngadi, N.; Ma, L.N.; Alias, H.; Johari, A.; Rahman, R.A.; Mohamad, M. Production of Biodiesel from Waste Cooking Oil via 43. 532 533 Ultrasonic-Assisted Catalytic System. Appl. Mech. Mater. 2014, 699, 552-557, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.699.552. Khudsange, C.R.; Wasewar, K.L. Process Intensification of Esterification Reaction for the Production of Propyl Butyrate by 534 44 Pervaporation. Resour. Technol. 2017, 3, 88-93, doi:10.1016/j.reffit.2016.12.012. 535 Nindya, C.C.S.; Anggara, D.R.; Nuryoto; Teguh, K. Esterification Glycerol (by Product in Biodiesel Production) with Oleic 536 45. Acid Using Mordenite Natural Zeolite as Catalyst: Study of Reaction Temperature and Catalyst Loading Effect. IOP Conf. 537 Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2020, 909, 012001, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/909/1/012001. 538 Rani, K.N.P.; Neeharika, T.S.V.R.; Vardhan, G.H.; Kumar, T.P.; Devi, B.L.A.P. The Kinetics of the Esterification of Free Fatty 539 46. Acids in Jatropha Oil Using Glycerol Based Solid Acid Catalyst. Eur. J. Sustain. Dev. Res. 2020, 4, 1-11, 540 doi:10.29333/ejosdr/7594. 541 Handayani, P.A.; Wulansarie, R.; Husaen, P.; Ulfayanti, I.M. ESTERIFICATION OF NYAMPLUNG (Calophyllum 542 47. Inophyllum) OIL WITH IONIC LIQUID CATALYST OF BMIMHSO4 AND MICROWAVES-ASSISTED. J. Bahan Alam 543 Terbarukan 2018, 7, 59-63, doi:10.15294/jbat.v7i1.11407. 544
- 48. Ahmadian-Kouchaksaraie, Z.; Niazmand, R. Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction of Antioxidants from Crocus Sativus 545 Petals of Saffron Industry Residues: Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2017, 121, 19–31, 546 547 doi:10.1016/i.supflu.2016.11.008
- Montaño Moreno, J.J.; Palmer Pol, A.; Sesé Abad, A.; Cajal Blasco, B. Using the R-MAPE Index as a Resistant Measure of 548 49. Forecast Accuracy. Psicothema 2013, 25, 500-506, doi:10.7334/psicothema2013.23. 549
- Ahmad, A.; Rehman, M.U.; Wali, A.F.; El-Serehy, H.A.; Al-Misned, F.A.; Maodaa, S.N.; Aljawdah, H.M.; Mir, T.M.; Ahmad, 550 50. P. Box-Behnken Response Surface Design of Polysaccharide Extraction from Rhododendron Arboreum and the Evaluation 551 of Its Antioxidant Potential. Molecules 2020, 25, 3835, doi:10.3390/molecules25173835. 552

- 553 51. Jyoti, G.; Keshav, A.; Anandkumar, J. Optimization of Esterification of Acrylic Acid and Ethanol by Box-Behnken Design of
   554 Response Surface Methodology. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2019, *26*, 89–94.
- 555 52. Mia, M. Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of MQL Assisted End Milling Characteristics Based on RSM and 556 Taguchi Method. *Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed.* **2018**, *121*, 249–260, doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2018.02.017.
- 53. Variyana, Y.; Muchammad, R.S.C.; Mahfud, M. Box-Behnken Design for the Optimization Using Solvent-Free Microwave
  Gravity Extraction of Garlic Oil from Allium Sativum L. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 673, 012005,
  doi:10.1088/1757-899X/673/1/012005.
- 560 54. Cardoso, A.L.; Neves, S.C.G.; da Silva, M.J. Esterification of Oleic Acid for Biodiesel Production Catalyzed by SnCl2: A
   561 Kinetic Investigation. *Energies* 2008, 1, 79–92, doi:10.3390/en1020079.
- 55. Da Silva, M.J.; Julio, A.A.; Dos Santos, K.T. Sn(II)-Catalyzed β-Citronellol Esterification: A Brønsted Acid-Free Process for
   Synthesis of Fragrances at Room Temperature. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 1261–1266, doi:10.1039/c4cy01069h.
- 56. Marso, T.M.M.; Kalpage, C.S.; Udugala-Ganehenege, M.Y. Metal Modified Graphene Oxide Composite Catalyst for the
   565 Production of Biodiesel via Pre-Esterification of Calophyllum Inophyllum Oil. *Fuel* 2017, 199, 47–64,
   566 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.01.004.
- 567 57. Derringer, G.; Suich, R. Simultaneous Optimization of Several Response Variables. J. Qual. Technol. 1980, 12, 214–219,
   568 doi:10.1080/00224065.1980.11980968.
- 58. Park, S.H.; Park, J.O. Simultaneous Optimization of Multiple Responses Using. In *Quality Improvement Through Statistical* 570 *Methods.*; Abraham, B., Ed.; Springer Science: New York, 1998; pp. 299–300.
- 571 59. Aziz, N.A.; AS, N.A.; Noraziman, S. Modified Desirability Function For Optimization of Multiple Responses Nasuhar. J.
   572 Math. Comput. Sci. 2018, 1, 39–54.
- Amdoun, R.; Khelifi, L.; Khelifi-Slaoui, M.; Amroune, S.; Asch, M.; Assaf-ducrocq, C.; Gontier, E. The Desirability
  Optimization Methodology; a Tool to Predict Two Antagonist Responses in Biotechnological Systems: Case of Biomass
  Growth and Hyoscyamine Content in Elicited Datura Starmonium Hairy Roots. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.* 2018, 16, 11–19,
  doi:10.21859/ijb.1339.



# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Revised Version Received

Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Tue, Dec 13, 2022 at 5:13 PM

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Thank you very much for providing the revised version of your paper:

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

We will continue processing your paper and will keep you informed about the status of your submission.

Kind regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers



## [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Accepted for Publication

 Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>
 Thu, Dec 15, 2022 at 8:48 AM

 Reply-To: Lionel Lin <lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

 To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

 Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni

 <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto

 <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>, Lionel Lin <lionel.lin@mdpi.com>

 Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

 Congratulations on the acceptance of your manuscript, and thank you for submitting your work to Polymers:

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

We will now edit and finalize your paper, which will then be returned to you for your approval. Within the next couple of days, an invoice concerning the article processing charge (APC) for publication in this open access journal will be sent by email from the Editorial Office in Basel, Switzerland.

If, however, extensive English edits are required to your manuscript, we will need to return the paper requesting improvements throughout.

We encourage you to set up your profile at SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as other academic contributions.

We also invite you to contribute to Encyclopedia (https://encyclopedia.pub), a scholarly platform providing accurate information about the latest research results. You can adapt parts of your paper to provide valuable reference information, via Encyclopedia, for others both within the field and beyond.

Kind regards, Mr. Lionel Lin E-Mail: lionel.lin@mdpi.com

--MDPI Office

MDPI Polymers Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: polymers@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers



# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - APC Invoice

MDPI Billing <billing@mdpi.com>

Reply-To: yuxin.zhou@mdpi.com To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Lionel Lin <lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Billing Dpt <billing@mdpi.com>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>, Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Please find attached the invoice for your recently accepted paper. Follow this link to adjust the currency, change the address, or add comments, as necessary: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscript/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b/invoice/2034587.

For immediate payment by credit card, visit https://payment.mdpi.com/2034587.

If you would like to use a different method of payment, click here: https://www.mdpi.com/about/payment. Please include the invoice ID (polymers-2096358) as reference in any transaction.

APC invoice amount: 2400.00 CHF Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry,

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry

We will publish your accepted paper in open access format immediately upon receipt of the article processing charge (APC) and completion of the editing process.

If you encounter any problems revising the invoice or cannot access the link, please contact invoices@mdpi.com

Only official emails sent by MDPI (@mdpi.com) are valid. Please check that the sender's email is affiliated with @mdpi.com. We do not authorise any third party to provide email services. MDPI is the sole service provider, and therefore cannot be held liable for actions performed by any third party.

Thank you very much for your support of open access publishing.

Kind regards, Ms. Yuxin Zhou Financial Assistant

MDPI St. Alban-Anlage 66 4052 Basel, Switzerland Tel. +41 61 683 77 34

Please check our Billing FAQ: https://www.mdpi.com/about/apc\_faq

Invoice\_MDPI\_polymers-2096358\_2400.00CHF.pdf



# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Final Proofreading Before Publication

#### Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

Sat, Dec 17, 2022 at 9:00 AM

Reply-To: Lionel Lin lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com> To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id> Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>, greta.zhao@mdpi.com, Lionel Lin lionel.lin@mdpi.com>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

We invite you to proofread your manuscript to ensure that this is the final version that can be published and confirm that you will require no further changes:

At MDPI, we believe in the fast dissemination of sound, valid scientific knowledge. Once accepted for publication, we aim to ensure that research is published as soon as possible.

Please upload the final proofread version of your manuscript within 24 hours, and please remember that we are able to be flexible with this timeframe should you alert us. If you need more time, please inform the Assistant Editor of the expected date that you will be able to return the proofread version.

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358

Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer Chemistry

Please read the following instructions carefully before proofreading:

1) Download the manuscript from the link provided at the end of this message and upload the final proofed version via the second link. If you experience any difficulties, please contact the Polymers Editorial Office.

2) Please use Microsoft Word's built-in track changes function to highlight any changes you make, or send a comprehensive list of changes in a separate document. Note that this is the \*last chance\* to make textual changes to the manuscript. Some style and formatting changes may have been made by the production team, please do not revert these changes.

3) All authors must agree to the final version. Check carefully that authors' names and affiliations are correct, and that funding sources are correctly acknowledged. Incorrect author names or affiliations are picked up by indexing databases, such as the Web of Science or PubMed, and can be difficult to correct.

After proofreading, final production will be carried out. Note that changes to the position of figures and tables may occur during the final steps. Changes can be made to a paper published online only at the discretion of the Editorial Office.

#### Please download the final version of your paper for proofreading here:

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/proof/file/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

and upload here:

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

This manuscript includes supplementary materials, which you can find at the second link, above. Please note that citations and references in Supplementary files are permitted provided that they also appear in the reference list of the main text. Please ensure that you proofread your supplementary materials and upload them together with the manuscript.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards, Ms. Greta Zhao Special Issue Editor Polymers Editorial Office

Submit to Polymers https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload?journal=polymers

Polymers is recruiting Topical Advisory Panel Member See details and apply for the position at: https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/topical\_advisory\_panel\_application



Article



# Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box–Behnken Design

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa and Dhoni Hartanto

Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang 50229, Indonesia; haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id (H.P.); nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com (N.D.A.); eldinaanisa@gmail.com (E.D.N.A.); dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id (D.H.)

Abstract: The preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils has

\* Correspondence: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id

Citation: Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Anisa, E.D.N.; Hartanto, D. Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box– Behnken Design. Polymers 2022, 14, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

#### Academic Editor(s):

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). gained increasing attention in the polymer industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditional petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is among the prospective alternative plasticizers since it is ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, the preparation of fatty acid ester as a green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of free fatty acid (FFA) in high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The analysis of the process variables and responses using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished. It was found that the quadratic model is the most appropriate model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.80 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated was an intermediate product which can undergo a further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Keywords: Calophyllum inophyllum seed oil; SnCl2.2H2O; fatty acid ester; response surface methodology; epoxy plasticizer

#### 1. Introduction

Plasticizer is an important additive in polymer, especially in the plastic industry. The IUPAC definition of plasticizer is a substance included in a material such as plastic or elastomer to enhance its flexibility, working ability, and distensibility. This function can be executed by decreasing the second order transition temperature, also known as the glass transition temperature [1]. Plasticizers are low molecular weight molecules sited between the polymer chains that develop a secondary bond with the polymer chains. Thus, they interrupt the hydrogen bond and spread the polymer chains apart, which improves the polymer properties in ways such as lowering the modulus, making the mass character of the material softer, providing better gas permeability, enhancing the degree of crystallinity, and reducing the tension of deformation [2,3]. The demand for plasticizer has notably increased along with the rapid growth of the plastic and polymer industry during the last decade.

**Comment [M1]:** Please reply and confirm all comments and do not delete them.

Comment [SO2]: Attn: Title altered.

**Comment [WU3]:** Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification

**Comment [M4]:** Please carefully check the accuracy of names and affiliations.

**Comment [WU5]:** Done and confirmed

**Comment [M6]:** Please confirm if this part can be moved in front of University.

**Comment [WU7]:** Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang 50229

**Comment [M8]:** Please check all author names carefully.

**Comment [WU9]:** Done and confirmed

**Comment [SO10]:** Please check that intended meaning has been retained.

Comment [WU11]: We agree.

Polymers 2022, 14, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

To date, the most widely used plasticizers are conventional petroleum-based phthalates, i.e., diisononyl phthalate (DINP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DIBP), and n-butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP). Phthalates are applied in many polymer products, especially PVC products. However, utilization of phthalate plasticizers has caused problems recently, since they exhibit a negative effect on human health and the environment [4–7]. Besides, they do not have biodegradable and renewable characteristic. Therefore, it is essential to develop a non-toxic, biodegradable, and renewable plasticizer with good performance which can be used in various polymer products, such as food packaging, consumer goods, electrical insulation, and medical products.

Bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils are among the prospective alternative since they have ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint properties. Various types of bio-plasticizers can be produced from vegetable oil raw materials such as, for instance, epoxidized oil (triglyceride) and epoxidized fatty acid esters [1,6,8]. Among numerous bio based plasticizers, epoxidized fatty acid methyl ester, also known as epoxy fatty acid ester, is favorable for application as an additive material in PVC, which is attributable to its benefits, viz., high plasticizing efficiency, renewability, biodegradability, and cost-effectiveness [9]. Epoxy fatty acid esters have better solubility in the polymeric matrix than epoxidized oil and offer superior elasticity even at low temperatures [10].

Vegetable oil fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters can be prepared via two different routes, namely the transesterification of triglyceride and the esterification of free fatty acid. Vegetable oils are mainly composed of triglycerides, which consist of fatty acid units linked to glycerol [11]. Fatty acid esters can be synthesized by transesterification of the triglyceride in the oil using a short chain alcohol such as methanol over an acid or base catalyst [9,12,13]. The nonedible vegetable oils, however, generally contain high free fatty acid (FFA) in addition to the main triglyceride compound. The high FFA content causes the acidic character of the vegetable oil. FFA is usually unfavorable since it has bad odor and makes the oil rancid [14]. The standard quality of commercial vegetable oil such as crude palm oil is required to have an FFA content lower than 5% [15]. In spite of this fact, FFA can be transformed to fatty acid ester via an esterification reaction using short chain alcohols in the presence of an acid catalyst [13,16]. Fatty acid esters synthesized via either triglyceride transesterification or FFA esterification can further undergo an epoxidation reaction to produce epoxy fatty acid esters. Fatty acid esters have a low viscosity; hence they need lower organic solvent in the epoxidation reaction [17].

The epoxidation reaction requires fatty acid ester precursors which comprise a high content of unsaturated fatty esters [10,17]. Epoxidation is a double bond addition reaction, in which the double bonds are transformed into oxirane [7]. Thus, it involves the formation of oxirane (epoxy) through the reaction between the olefinic double bond compound and the peroxyacids or peracids. Epoxides or oxiranes consist of cyclic ethers with a reactive 3-membered ring. Peroxyacids in the epoxidation reaction are generally yielded via the reaction between acetic acid or formic acid with hydrogen peroxide using a strong inorganic acid. It can be also conducted by directly introducing peroxyacid into the reactants mixture. The resulting peroxyacids then convert the double bond into the epoxy. A recent innovation in the area of fatty acid esters conversion to epoxy is enzymatic reaction technology [18,19].

Several works related to the epoxidation of fatty acid esters sourced from various vegetable oils, such as soybean, linseed, rapeseed, castor, grapeseed, avocado, olive, microalgae, RBD palm olein, and sunflower oils [9,17,18,20–22] have been extensively reported. However, the synthesis of an epoxy fatty acid ester derived from *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil has not been broadly studied. *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) is a prospective source of fatty acid esters as precursors of epoxy fatty acid esters. The *Calophyllum inophyllum* plant, locally known as the nyamplung or tamanu tree or beach

mahogany, originally comes from Indo-Pacific area (Africa, India, South East Asia, Australia, and Pacific islands) [23]. The *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed is an excellent source of vegetable oil with oil content of 65–75% [24]. Based on our previous investigation, *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) comprises high unsaturated fatty acid. The fatty acids composing CSO are predominantly unsaturated fatty acids (40% oleic acid, 29.94% linoleic acid, and 0.6% arachidic acid) with small portion saturated fatty acid (15.51% palmitic acid and 14.39% stearic acid). CSO is a nonedible oil, containing gum and high FFA content of 19.18% [25]. The undesired high FFA content in CSO has the potential to be converted to fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester plasticizer through acid catalyzed esterification using methanol.

In this work, the esterification of the FFA present in CSO with methanol using SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> was carried out to produce fatty acid ester as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester. The heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2</sub>2H<sub>2</sub>O (tin chloride) catalyst was employed to promote the reaction by reason of its superiority. SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> is a low cost Lewis acid catalyst which is tolerant to water, stable, minimally corrosive, and simple to handle. It is milder than Brønsted acid catalyst but capable of providing high catalytic activity. Lewis acids are compounds with lack of electrons which can perform to activate substrate rich in electrons [26,27]. This catalyst also possesses the general advantages of heterogeneous catalyst, specifically easy separation from the product mixture and reusability [28].

To optimize the process condition for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol in the presence on SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, a statistical model was applied. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a rigorous technique that can be implemented to assess numerous parameters with a minimum number of experiments. It involves a mathematical and statistical procedure to create an experimental design which can examine the influences of the independent process variables on the response variable, thus allowing the optimum response to be verified [29]. In the optimization process, a suitable design should be employed. The models that are applicable for the factorial analysis are Box-Behnken Design (BBD), Doehlert Design (DD) and Central Composite Design (CCD). These models can predict the response function to the actual data using the quadratic function [30]. BBD is more efficient and cost-effective than DD and CCD since it has no extreme points and needs fewer points than the others for the analysis and optimization [31]. The purpose of this work was to determine the proper process condition which results in the highest reaction conversion and the lowest residual FFA by using BBD in RSM for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. At the optimum process condition, the highest yield of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy plasticizer was also achieved.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

*Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) was obtained from a local supplier in Central Java, Indonesia. It had an acid value and FFA content of 36.542 mg KOH/g oil and 18.39%, respectively. The most dominant fatty acid composing the CSO was oleic acid, which has a molecular weight of 282.52 g/mol as reported in our previous work [25]. The other materials used were phosphoric acid, methanol (technical grade, purchased from local chemical store), ethanol p.a. (Merck), SnCl2.2H2O or tin(II)chloride catalyst (Merck), KOH p.a. (Merck), oxalic acid p.a. (Merck), distilled water, and phenolphthalein solution.

#### 2.2. Methods

#### 2.2.1. Esterification Reaction

Prior to the esterification reaction, the CSO was degummed using phosphoric acid to remove the phospholipids and mucilaginous gums content [32]. The acid degumming process was performed using a similar method to the previous work [25]. The degummed CSO was then underwent the esterification reaction. Initially, the CSO and

**Comment [M12]:** Please confirm if this should be center dot. Same as below.

Comment [WU13]: confirmed

methanol were weighed to obtain a molar ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30. The CSO was heated until it reached the desired temperature (40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C) in a three necks flask reactor. At the same time, a certain amount of SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> was solved and mixed with methanol in another flask. The SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> catalyst employed for the reaction was varied at 1%, 3%, 5%, and 7% *w/w* of CSO. The mixture of methanol and SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> catalyst was separately heated up to the similar temperature. Once the targeted temperature was attained, the methanol-SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> catalyst mixture was introduced into the reactor, and this was recorded as the initial time of the esterification reaction. The esterification reaction was conducted for 120 min using a batch reactor which was equipped with a condenser and magnetic stirrer. The high agitation speed of 1000 rpm was applied to enhance the mixing of the solid catalyzed reaction [33–35]. Samples were taken periodically every 10 min. The samples were tested to determine the acid value using standard carboxylic-acid-titration techniques [36,37]. According to Kurniati et al. [38], The FFA conversion (*X*<sub>4</sub>) at a certain sampling time was determined based on the residual acid value at reaction time t as shown in Equation (1).

$$X_A = \frac{AV_i - AV_t}{AV_i} \times 100\%$$

where  $X_A$  is the reaction conversion (%),  $AV_i$  is the initial acid value (t = 0) (mg), and  $AV_i$  is the residual acid value at reaction time (mg).

The FFA content was calculated using Equation (2) [39].

$$FFA Content (\%) = \frac{A \times N \times MW}{G \times 1000} \times 100$$

where *FFA* Content is the reaction conversion (%), *A* is the volume of KOH (ml), *N* is the normality of KOH (N), *MW* is the average molecular weight of the fatty acids (g/mol), and *G* is the sample weight (g).

#### 2.2.2. Optimization Using Box–Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology

The experimental data were used for the optimization of the operation condition to obtain the lowest FFA content in the CSO and the highest reaction conversion using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The simulation was conducted using Design Expert version 13 software. BBD was chosen since it can optimize the parameters effectively with the minimum number of experiments and allows analysis of the interactions between the parameters. In this study, BBD was performed using a total of 15 experimental runs, and the center point measurements were repeated three times to accomplish an accurate calculation of the experimental error. The parameters studied as the independent variables in this work were temperature (A), reaction time (B), and catalyst concentration (C). Each parameter was examined at 3 levels, viz., -1 indicated the low level, +1 represented the high level, and 0 was used as the central point to evaluate the experimental error [40]. The independent variables and their levels are presented in Table 1. Furthermore, the design of the randomized response model is shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Independent Variables Range and Level Used in BBD Experimental Design.

| Indonondont Variable       | Factor | (  | Coded Level |     |  |
|----------------------------|--------|----|-------------|-----|--|
| independent variable       | ractor | -1 | 0           | 1   |  |
| Temperature (°C)           | А      | 40 | 50          | 60  |  |
| Reaction Time (min)        | В      | 60 | 90          | 120 |  |
| Catalyst Concentration (%) | С      | 3  | 5           | 7   |  |

**Comment [M14]:** We revised the letter "x" into a multiplication sign ("x" U+00D7). Please confirm.

**Comment [WU15]:** We confirm and agree

**Comment [M16]:** We revised the letter "x" into a multiplication sign ("x" U+00D7). Please confirm.

**Comment [WU17]:** We confirm and agree

**Comment [M18]:** We modified section number. Please confirm.

**Comment [WU19]:** We confirm and agree

(1)

(2)
| C                              |  |   |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Factor A Tempera-<br>ture (°C) | Factor B<br>Reaction Time<br>(min)   | Factor C<br>Catalyst Concentration (%)  |
| 40                             | 120  | 5   |
| 40                             | 60   | 5   |
| 60                             | 90   | 3   |
| 40                             | 90   | 7   |
| 60                             | 90   | 7   |
| 50                             | 120  | 3   |
| 60                             | 120  | 5   |
| 50                             | 60   | 7   |
| 50                             | 90   | 5   |
| 40                             | 90   | 3   |
| 60                             | 60   | 5   |
| 50                             | 60   | 3   |
| 50                             | 90   | 5   |
| 50                             | 120  | 7   |
| 50                             | 90   | 5   |
|                                | 40           40           40           60           40           60           50           60           50           60           50           60           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50           50 | Factor A Temperature (°C)         Factor B<br>Reaction Time<br>(min)           40         120           40         60           60         90           40         90           60         90           40         120           40         60           60         90           60         90           50         120           60         90           50         60           50         90           40         90           60         60           50         90           60         60           50         90           60         60           50         90           50         90           50         90           50         90           50         90           50         90           50         90 |

| Calala. | 2  | Decian | ofthe  | Dand | amigad | Deer |       | Mad  | 11 |
|---------|----|--------|--------|------|--------|------|-------|------|----|
| able    | ۷. | Design | or the | Nanu | onnzeu | res  | ponse | wiou | er |

The average magnitude of error between the predicted value and actual value (experimental data) was calculated using Equation (3), in which MAPE is Mean Absolute Percentage Error and n is the number of data points.

$$MAPE = \sum \frac{\left|\frac{predicted \ value - experimental \ data}{experimental \ data}\right|}{n} \times 100\%$$

#### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effects of the Experimental Variables on the Reaction Conversion

The esterification of high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed oil (CSO) with methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> catalyst to transform free fatty acid (FFA) to fatty acid ester as precursor of bio-based epoxy plasticizer has been conducted in this work. The esterification reaction of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> is illustrated in Figure 1.

| R-C_OH +                 | CH3OH    | SnCl₂.2H₂O Catalyst<br>← | 0<br>∥<br>CH3O−C−R + | H <sub>2</sub> O |  |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| Free Fatty Acid<br>(FFA) | Methanol |                          | Methyl Ester         | Water            |  |

Figure 1. Esterification of FFA with Methanol in the Presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst.

Based on the stoichiometry, one mole FFA requires one mole methanol to precede the esterification reaction [41]. However, the Fischer esterification reaction is an equilibrium limited reaction. Thus, a great excess of methanol reactant should be introduced to shift the equilibrium towards the product formation [42]. In this work, a fixed CSO to methanol ratio of 1:30 was applied for all the experiments. To intensify the mixing between the reactants and catalyst, the agitation speed was kept at 1000 rpm. The rapid agitation is beneficial to reduce the film thickness between the reactants and promote the mass transfer [42]. The experimental results are demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3.

(3)

**Comment [M20]:** We revised the letter "x" into a multiplication sign ("×" U+00D7). Please confirm.

**Comment [WU21]:** We confirm and agree

Figure 2 presents the effect of the catalyst molar ratio on the reaction conversion for the reaction conducted at a fixed reaction temperature, molar ratio of CSO and methanol, and reaction time of 60 °C, 1:30, and 120 min, respectively. The effect of the catalyst concentration was studied at the range of 1–7% w/w CSO. Catalyst offers an altered reaction route with lower activation energy. Hence, it causes a higher percentage of collisions between the reactants' molecules when they reach the minimum energy to react. It can be observed that the reaction conversion was enhanced to 73.75% with an increase in catalyst concentration from 1% to 5%. The higher reaction conversion was accomplished on account of the increased number of active sites available for the reaction [43,44]. Thus, it accelerated the reaction to reach the equilibrium. However, it was revealed that the employment of 7% catalyst did not further raise the reaction conversion. Instead, the conversion tended to slightly decline to 65.85%. This means that the excessive addition of catalyst will not provide a comparative influence on the conversion improvement when the contact process has already arrived at the maximum [45].



**Figure 2.** Effect of the Catalyst Concentration on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl2.2H2O Catalyst at the Reaction Temperature of 60 °C, Molar Ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30, and Reaction Time of 120 min.

#### 6 of 16

**Comment [SO22]:** Please check that intended meaning has been retained.

**Comment [WU23]:** We agree with your suggested sentence.



**Figure 3.** Effect of the Temperature and Reaction Time on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over <u>SnCl2.2H2O</u> Catalyst at the Molar Ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30 and Catalyst Concentration of 5%.

Figure 3 exhibits the effects of the temperature and the reaction time on the reaction conversion for the reaction carried out at a fixed catalyst concentration of 5% and molar ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30. The reaction temperature was examined at 40, 50 and 60  $^{\circ}$ C and the reaction time was inspected at 0–120 min. It was disclosed that the rising of the temperature brought about the extensively higher reaction conversion. Esterification is an endothermic reaction; therefore the reaction rate increased with the temperature [46]. A rise in the temperature will also improve the translation and the rotation of the reactants' molecules and lower the liquid viscosity, which will enhance the diffusion rate of the reactants to the active sites of the catalyst [45]. The effective mass transfer has a beneficial impact on the higher total reaction rate and higher reaction conversion. The highest conversion of 73.75% was obtained at 60 °C, which was near to the boiling point of the methanol. A further increase in the temperature at a similar atmospheric pressure will not promote the conversion since it will exceed the boiling point, and hence part of the methanol in the liquid phase will change to the gas phase. The result was in a good agreement with Handayani et al. [47]. The longer the reaction time, the higher the conversion that was attained. However, a sharp acceleration was shown in the first 10 min of the reaction. It was attributed to the high concentration of the reactant at the beginning of the reaction. To determine the optimum process condition which led to the best reaction conversion, analysis using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) in Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also carried out.

#### 3.2. Model Fitting in Box-Behnken Design (BBD)

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) is broadly applied to determine the optimum condition of the variables which results in the desired response. It is also practical for evaluating the effects of the independent variables and the interaction between the independent variables [48]. In this work, BBD was employed to examine the effects and interactions of the independent variables (reaction time, reaction temperature, and catalyst concentration) to determine the optimum condition which produced the highest ester yield and the lowest FFA content in the esterification of CSO using methanol over SnCl2.2H2O catalyst.

The Box–Behnken response surface design and corresponding response values in this work, including the comparison between the experimental data and the prediction value as well as the errors, are revealed in Table 3. Error is the disparity between the observed and the predictive values, and, accordingly, it can be used to evaluate the accuracy of the model. The error values in this study were calculated in term of mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as conveyed in Equation (3). It was revealed that the MAPE of the FFA conversion and the FFA content responses were 2.2704% and 3.3410%. The values of MAPE were far less than 10%, indicating the high correctness of the prediction. Generally, values of MAPE below 10% designate a high accuracy of prediction, whereas the values of 10–20%, 20–50%, and higher than 50% imply good, fair, and inaccurate forecasting, respectively [49].

There are various models that are available for the optimization using RSM. In this work, four polynomial models (viz., linear, 2FI or two-factor interaction, quadratic, and cubic) were assessed to decide the most appropriate model to suit the experimental data. The above mentioned models have been extensively studied in the field of bioresources processing research [25,50]. The evaluation of the models was carried out using two different statistical testing methods, i.e., the sequential model (sum of squares) and the model summary tests. Based on the sequential model sum of squares test (Table 4) and the model summary test (Table 5), it was found that the suggested model to optimize the FFA conversion and the FFA content in the case of CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was the quadratic model. The quadratic model was designated due to the facts that it provided the lowest p value as indicated in Table 4, and, at the same time, it shown the highest adjusted R<sup>2</sup> and predicted R<sup>2</sup> as demonstrated in Table 5.

Table 3. The Box-Behnken Response Surface Design and Corresponding Response Values.

| Run | Tempera-<br>ture | Reaction<br>Time (min) | Catalyst Concen-<br>tration | FFA Cor   | nversion<br>% | Error<br>(MAPE) | FFA C<br>(* | Content<br>%) | Error<br>(MAPE) |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
|     | (°C)<br>A        | В                      | (%)<br>C                    | Experimen | t Prediction  | %               | Experimen   | t Prediction  | %               |
| 1   | 40               | 120                    | 5                           | 66.161    | 65.963        | 0.2987          | 6.227       | 6.264         | 0.5862          |
| 2   | 40               | 60                     | 5                           | 64.896    | 64.619        | 0.4267          | 6.460       | 6.511         | 0.7895          |
| 3   | 60               | 90                     | 3                           | 46.237    | 44.695        | 3.3348          | 9.894       | 10.178        | 2.8704          |
| 4   | 40               | 90                     | 7                           | 52.878    | 54.420        | 2.9160          | 8.672       | 8.388         | 3.2749          |
| 5   | 60               | 90                     | 7                           | 65.528    | 66.595        | 1.6289          | 6.344       | 6.148         | 3.0974          |
| 6   | 50               | 120                    | 3                           | 44.023    | 45.288        | 2.8735          | 10.301      | 10.068        | 2.2619          |
| 7   | 60               | 120                    | 5                           | 73.751    | 74.028        | 0.3755          | 4.831       | 4.780         | 1.0557          |
| 8   | 50               | 60                     | 7                           | 62.682    | 61.417        | 2.0181          | 6.867       | 7.100         | 3.3930          |
| 9   | 50               | 90                     | 5                           | 63.631    | 65.634        | 2.0181          | 6.693       | 6.324         | 5.5132          |
| 10  | 40               | 90                     | 3                           | 42.125    | 41.058        | 2.5339          | 10.650      | 10.847        | 1.8451          |
| 11  | 60               | 60                     | 5                           | 72.170    | 72.368        | 0.2738          | 5.122       | 5.086         | 0.7126          |
| 12  | 50               | 60                     | 3                           | 41.809    | 43.153        | 3.2153          | 10.709      | 10.462        | 2.3111          |
| 13  | 50               | 90                     | 5                           | 69.640    | 65.634        | 5.7524          | 5.587       | 6.324         | 13.1967         |
| 14  | 50               | 120                    | 7                           | 63.631    | 62.287        | 2.1125          | 6.693       | 6.941         | 3.6979          |
| 15  | 50               | 90                     | 5                           | 63.631    | 65.634        | 3.1478          | 6.693       | 6.324         | 5.5087          |
|     |                  | MAPE (                 | %)                          |           |               | 2.2704          |             |               | 3.3410          |

Table 4. Sequential Model (Sum of Squares) Test.

| Component | Sum of Square | Degree of Freedom       | Mean Square       | F-Value | <i>p</i> -Value | Remarks |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
|           | Sec           | uential (Sum of Square) | for the FFA Conve | rsion   |                 |         |
| Mean      | 53138.62      | 1                       | 53138.62          |         |                 |         |
| Linear    | 751.26        | 3                       | 250.42            | 2.87    | 0.09            |         |

| 2FI                    | 18.65               | 3                      | 6.22                | 0.05               | 0.98                |           |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Quadratic              | <mark>903.67</mark> | <mark>3</mark>         | <mark>301.22</mark> | <mark>39.48</mark> | <mark>0.0007</mark> | Suggested |
| Cubic                  | 14.08               | 3                      | 4.69                | 0.39               | 0.7758              | Aliased   |
| Residual               | 24.07               | 2                      | 12.04               |                    |                     |           |
| Total                  | 54850.36            | 15                     | 3656.69             |                    |                     |           |
|                        | Se                  | quential (Sum of Squar | e) for the FFA Cont | ent                |                     |           |
| Mean                   | 832.43              | 1                      | 832.43              |                    |                     |           |
| Linear                 | 25.44               | 3                      | 8.48                | 2.87               | 0.09                |           |
| 2FI                    | 0.63                | 3                      | 0.21                | 0.05               | 0.98                |           |
| <mark>Quadratic</mark> | <mark>30.60</mark>  | <mark>3</mark>         | <mark>10.20</mark>  | <mark>39.44</mark> | <mark>0.0007</mark> | Suggested |
| Cubic                  | 0.48                | 3                      | 0.16                | 0.39               | 0.7756              | Aliased   |
| Residual               | 0.82                | 2                      | 0.41                |                    |                     |           |
| Total                  | 890.40              | 15                     | 59.36               |                    |                     |           |

| Table 5. Model                       | l Summary Te          | est.              |                         |                          |                     |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Component                            | Standard<br>Deviation | R <sup>2</sup>    | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | Predicted R <sup>2</sup> | Press               | Remarks                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model Summary for the FFA Conversion |                       |                   |                         |                          |                     |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear                               | 9.34                  | 0.44              | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 1921.57             |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2FI                                  | 10.85                 | 0.45              | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 4446.52             |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <mark>Quadratic</mark>               | <mark>2.76</mark>     | <mark>0.98</mark> | <mark>0.94</mark>       | <mark>0.84</mark>        | <mark>279.43</mark> | <mark>Suggested</mark> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cubic                                | 3.47                  | 0.99              | 0.90                    |                          | *                   | Aliased                |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      |                       | Model             | Summary for th          | e FFA Content            |                     |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linear                               | 1.72                  | 0.44              | 0.29                    | -0.12                    | 65.07               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2FI                                  | 2.00                  | 0.45              | 0.04                    | -1.59                    | 150.57              |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <mark>Quadratic</mark>               | <mark>0.51</mark>     | <mark>0.98</mark> | <mark>0.94</mark>       | <mark>0.84</mark>        | <mark>9.47</mark>   | <mark>Suggested</mark> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cubic                                | 0.64                  | 0.99              | 0.90                    |                          | *                   | Aliased                |  |  |  |  |  |

The empirical correlation of the variables and the response based on the quadratic model resulting from the BBD can be stated in the form of a second order polynomial equation. The general equation for the second order polynomial regression model is written in Equation (4).

$$Y = \beta o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i i X_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j>1}^{k} (\beta i j X_i X_j)$$
(4)

Y indicates the predicted response,  $\beta o$  is a constant,  $\beta i$  is a coefficient for the linear,  $\beta ii$  is the coefficient for the quadratic, and  $\beta ij$  is the interactive coefficient [29,51]. Thus, the definitive equations for the FFA conversion and FFA content are revealed in Equations (5) and (6), respectively.

FFA Content (%) =

 $17.746 - 0.238292 A - 0.4084117 B + 6.85158 C + 0.19650 AC - 0.000975 BC + 0.002084 A^2 +$ 

7 B + 6.85158 C + 0.000048 AB + 0.000507 B<sup>2</sup> - 0.693521 C<sup>2</sup>

where *A*, *B*, and *C* are the temperature (  $^{\circ}$ C), reaction time (min), and catalyst concentration (%), respectively.

3.3. Statistical Analysis Using ANOVA

# 9 of 16

Comment [M24]: Please confirm if the bold is unnecessary and can be removed. The following highlights are the same.

**Comment [WU25]:** Yes, you can remove the bold format and changed to regular style.

**Comment [M26]:** Please add explanation for \* in table caption or table footer.

**Comment [WU27]:** \* means not defined

**Comment [M28]:** Please confirm if βi should be italic in equation and text. Same as below.

**Comment [WU29]:** We confirm that the variable should be in italic style.

(6)

The quadratic model as the most appropriate model was thenceforth analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the actual data to the different models based on their associated p-values is displayed in Tables 6 and 7. Table 6 shows the statistical analysis using ANOVA to predict the FFA conversion in the esterification of CSO. The significance of each constant and the intensity of interaction were proved by the p-value. Influences lower than 0.05 are significant [50]. It can be observed that the F value was 24.37 at the *p*-value < 0.05, denoting that the model was significant. In this investigation, it was discovered that the affecting variables were two linear coefficients (A and C) and one quadratic coefficient (C<sup>2</sup>). This implies that the temperature (A) and catalyst concentration (C) were significant to the model, but the reaction time (B) was insignificant. The adeq precission value is the measurement of the ratio of the signal against the interference, in which the expected ratio is >4. Table 6 demonstrates that the adeq precission was 14.6107, revealing that the model was significant. It can be suggested that the model is suitable for the prediction of the FFA conversion.

 
 Table 6. Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Conversion.

| Source                       | <mark>Sum of Square</mark> | <mark>DF</mark> | <mark>Mean Square</mark> | <mark>F Value</mark> | <mark>p-Value</mark> |                 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Model                        | 1673.58                    | 9               | 185.95                   | 24.37                | 0.00                 | Significant     |
| A Temperature ( °C)          | 125.03                     | 1               | 125.03                   | 16.39                | 0.01                 | -               |
| B Reaction Time (min)        | 4.51                       | 1               | 4.51                     | 0.59                 | 0.48                 |                 |
| C Catalyst Concentration (%) | 621.72                     | 1               | 621.72                   | 81.48                | 0.00                 |                 |
| AB                           | 0.03                       | 1               | 0.03                     | 0.003                | 0.96                 |                 |
| AC                           | 18.22                      | 1               | 18.22                    | 2.39                 | 0.18                 |                 |
| BC                           | 0.40                       | 1               | 0.40                     | 0.05                 | 0.83                 |                 |
| $A^2$                        | 4.74                       | 1               | 4.74                     | 0.62                 | 0.47                 |                 |
| $B^2$                        | 22.66                      | 1               | 22.66                    | 2.97                 | 0.15                 |                 |
| $C^2$                        | 839.11                     | 1               | 839.11                   | 109.97               | 0.00                 |                 |
| Residual                     | 38.15                      | 5               | 7.63                     |                      |                      |                 |
| Lack of Fit                  | 14.08                      | 3               | 14.08                    | 0.39                 | 0.78                 | Not Significant |
| <mark>Pure Error</mark>      | 24.08                      | 2               | 12.04                    |                      |                      |                 |
| Cor Total                    | 1711.73                    | 14              |                          |                      |                      |                 |
| Adeq Precision               | 14.62                      |                 |                          |                      |                      |                 |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$               | 0.98                       |                 |                          |                      |                      |                 |

 
 Table 7. Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Content.

| <mark>Source</mark>        | <mark>Sum of Square</mark> | <mark>DF</mark> | <mark>Mean Square</mark> | <mark>F Value</mark> | <mark>p-Value</mark> |              |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Model                      | 56.67                      | 9               | 6.30                     | 24.35                | 0.00                 | Significant  |
| $X_1$                      | 4.23                       | 1               | 4.23                     | 16.36                | 0.01                 |              |
| X2                         | 0.15                       | 1               | 0.15                     | 0.59                 | 0.48                 |              |
| X3                         | 21.05                      | 1               | 21.05                    | 81.41                | 0.00                 |              |
| X12                        | 0.00                       | 1               | 0.00                     | 0.00                 | 0.96                 |              |
| X13                        | 0.62                       | 1               | 0.62                     | 2.39                 | 0.18                 |              |
| X23                        | 0.01                       | 1               | 0.01                     | 0.05                 | 0.83                 |              |
| $X_{1^2}$                  | 0.16                       | 1               | 0.16                     | 0.62                 | 0.47                 |              |
| $X_{2^{2}}$                | 0.77                       | 1               | 0.77                     | 2.97                 | 0.15                 |              |
| X <sub>3<sup>2</sup></sub> | 28.41                      | 1               | 28.41                    | 109.88               | 0.00                 |              |
| <mark>Residual</mark>      | 1.29                       | 5               | 0.26                     |                      |                      |              |
| Lack of Fit                | 0.48                       | 3               | 0.16                     | 0.39                 | 0.78                 | Not Signifi- |

**Comment [M30]:** Please confirm if the italics is unnecessary and can be removed. The following highlights are the same

**Comment [WU31]:** We confirm that the italics is unnecessary

**Comment [M32]:** Please confirm if the italics is unnecessary and can be removed. The following highlights are the same

**Comment [WU33]:** We confirm that the italics is unnecessary

10 of 16

|                         |                        |    |      | cant |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----|------|------|
| <mark>Pure Error</mark> | 0.82                   | 2  | 0.41 |      |
| <mark>Cor Total</mark>  | 57.96                  | 14 |      |      |
| R <sup>2</sup>          | 0.98                   |    |      |      |
| Adeq Precis             | <mark>ion</mark> 14.61 |    |      |      |

The use of the ANOVA regression model to predict the left over FFA content after the esterification reaction of CSO can be observed in Table 7. The experimental data were analyzed using ANOVA, and the significant regression coefficient was determined based on the p-value, in which a *p*-value < 0.05 denotes that the model is significant. The value of adeq precision is the magnitude of the ratio of the signal to the disturbance, wherein the desirable value is >4 [52,53]. This model showed the adeq precision of 14.6107, indicating that the model is accurate.

#### 3.4. Optimization of the Process Variables Using BBD

The optimization of the process variables to obtain the targeted response variables was performed using a quadratic model of BBD. Primarily, the influences of the process variables, such as temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration, to the response variables, viz., the reaction conversion and the FFA content in the CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2.2H2O</sub> catalyst, were investigated using BBD in RSM. Based on the model selected, analysis of the main effect and the interaction of the process variables to the response variable using 3D RSM was carried out. The resulting 3D graphs were developed from maintaining one constant variable (derived from the midpoint) and varying two other variables. Therefore, the effect of each process variable on the response variable can be identified.

Figures 4 and 5 disclose that the reaction conversion increased and the FFA content decreased with the temperature up to 60 °C, respectively. The intensification of the catalyst concentration from 3% to 5% enhanced the reaction conversion and diminished the FFA content considerably. This was due to the increased number of reactant molecules which were activated by the carbonyl polarization due to the higher amount of Sn<sup>+2</sup> catalyst. Hence, the nucleophilic attack by methanol could occur more frequently and effectively, leading to the higher reaction conversion. Oppositely, the leftover FFA content was reduced [54]. There are various proposed mechanisms concerning the carbonyl group activation by tin catalyst, yet the carbonyl polarization will be auspicious when attacked by the hydroxyl group [55]. However, the further increase of the catalyst from 5% to 7% did not provide a meaningful effect in terms of improving the reaction conversion and lessening the FFA content. As a matter of fact, it can be observed that the employment of 7% catalyst increased the FFA content. Marso et al. [56] described how an excessive utilization of the catalyst beyond the optimum concentration could form an emulsion which increased the viscosity and thus hindered the contact between the CSO and the methanol. Consequently, it lowered the reaction conversion. Hence, the residual FFA in the oil was higher.



**Figure 4.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of the Process Variables on the Reaction Conversion. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.



**Figure 5.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of Process Variables on the FFA Content in after the Undergoing the Esterification Reaction. (a) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (b) Reaction Time of 90 min; (c) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.

In this study, the Derringer method was utilized to optimize the reaction conversion and the reduction of FFA content via CSO esterification over SnCl2.2H2O catalyst. The Derringer method is a popular desirability function-based approach to solving a problem comprising a simultaneous optimization of several response variables. Derringer and Suich [57] modified the previous Harrington's procedure by converting the response into a desirability function [58]. The values of desirability functions are between 0 and 1. Mathematically, the general approach is to convert each response into an individual desirability function (d) that varies over the range  $0 \le d \le 1$  [59]. The value of 0 implies that the factors present unfavorable response. On the other hand, the value of 1 relates to the optimal condition of the examined factors and indicates that the responses are at their targets. This approach simplifies the multivariate optimization. Due to its simplicity and flexibility, the Derringer desirability function has been broadly applied in multiple responses optimization to find out the independent variables condition which brings about the optimal values of the response variables [60]. Based on the optimization process, Figure 6 reveals that the optimum reaction conversion and FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, which were achieved at the following operation condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.8 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The value of desirability obtained was 1, indicating the optimal condition of the studied parameters. This result was slightly lower than that for the similar reaction which

12 of 16

was conducted using sulfuric acid catalyst at the reaction temperature, catalyst loading, and reaction time of 59.09 °C, 1.98% g/g CSO, and 119.95 min, respectively, resulting in the reaction conversion of 78.27% and the FFA content of 4% [25]. Despite this slight lower conversion, the application of heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2.2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst is greatly preferable to the sulfuric acid catalyst since it is more environmentally friendly, reusable, less corrosive, and easier in handling and separation. The result of this work offers a green alternative of synthesizing renewable bio based fatty ester from CSO as precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer.



Figure 6. Optimization of Reaction Conversion and FFA Content using BBD Quadratic Model in RSM.

#### 4. Conclusions

The esterification of FFA in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst has been conducted as an alternative way to produce fatty acid ester as a green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer. In this investigation, the interactive and individual effects from three experimental variables (temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration) on reaction conversion and residual free fatty acid (FFA) content were studied by employing the Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) technique. The quadratic model in BBD was selected for the optimization of the reaction conversion and the decreasing of the FFA content. The BBD analysis showed that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, attained at the following process condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.80 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated is subsequently ready for the further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.D.K.; methodology, R.D.K. and H.P.; software, H.P.; validation, R.D.K. and H.P.; formal analysis, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; investigation, R.D.K.; resources, R.D.K.; data curation, R.D.K. and D.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.D.K., N.D.A., E.D.N.A.; writing—review and editing, R.D.K., H.P. and D.H.; visualization, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; supervision, R.D.K. and H.P.; project administration, R.D.K.; funding acquisition, R.D.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

**Comment [M34]:** We modified section number. Please confirm.

**Comment [WU35]:** We agree and confirmed.

Funding: Financial support from the Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 is highly acknowledged

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank to the Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 for the funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1. Vieira, M.G.A.; Da Silva, M.A.; Dos Santos, L.O.; Beppu, M.M. Natural-Based Plasticizers and Biopolymer Films: A Review. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2011**, *47*, 254–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2010.12.011.
- Junthip, J.; Chaipalee, N.; Sangsorn, Y.; Maspornpat, C.; Jitcharoen, J.; Limrungruengrat, S.; Chotchuangchutchaval, T.; Martwong, E.; Sukhawipat, N. The Use of New Waste-Based Plasticizer Made from Modified Used Palm Oil for Non-Glutinous Thermoplastic Starch Foam. *Polymers* 2022, *14*, 3997. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14193997.
- Janik, W.; Ledniowska, K.; Nowotarski, M.; Kudła, S.; Knapczyk-Korczak, J.; Stachewicz, U.; Nowakowska-Bogdan, E.; Sabura, E.; Nosal-Kovalenko, H.; Turczyn, R.; et al. Chitosan-Based Films with Alternative Eco-Friendly Plasticizers: Preparation, Physicochemical Properties and Stability. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2023, 301, 120277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.120277.
- Edwards, L.; McCray, N.L.; VanNoy, B.N.; Yau, A.; Geller, R.J.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Zota, A.R. Phthalate and Novel Plasticizer Concentrations in Food Items from U.S. Fast Food Chains: A Preliminary Analysis. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 2022, 32, 366–373. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-021-00392-8.
- Kim, D.Y.; Chun, S.H.; Jung, Y.; Mohamed, D.F.M.S.; Kim, H.S.; Kang, D.Y.; An, J.W.; Park, S.Y.; Kwon, H.W.; Kwon, J.H. Phthalate Plasticizers in Children's Products and Estimation of Exposure: Importance of Migration Rate. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, *17*, 8582, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228582.
- Jia, P.; Xia, H.; Tang, K.; Zhou, Y. Plasticizers Derived from Biomass Resources: A Short Review. Polymers 2018, 10, 1303. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10121303.
- Hosney, H.; Nadiem, B.; Ashour, I.; Mustafa, I.; El-Shibiny, A. Epoxidized Vegetable Oil and Bio-Based Materials as PVC Plasticizer. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2018, 135, 46270. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.46270.
- Bai, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, D.; Zhao, X. Conversion of Fatty Acid Methyl Ester to Epoxy Plasticizer by Auto-Catalyzed in Situ Formation of Performic Acid: Kinetic Modeling and Application of the Model. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 259, 120791. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120791.
- Pan, S.; Hou, D.; Yang, G.; Xie, Q.; Yan, S.; Zeng, Q.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Fan, H. Epoxidized Methyl Ricinoleate Bio-Plasticizer with a Pendant Acetate Ester for PVC Artificial Material: Circumventing Existing Limit on Achievable Migration Resistance. J. Leather Sci. Eng. 2019, 1, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42825-019-0006-8.
- Galli, F.; Nucci, S.; Pirola, C.; Bianchi, C.L. Epoxy Methyl Soyate as Bio-Plasticizer: Two Different Preparation Strategies. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 2014, *37*, 601–606. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1437101.
- 11. Ergashev, I.M.; Mamirzayev, M.A.; Sedalova, I.S.; Suyunov, S. Study Of Triglycerides in Vegetable Oils by Gas Chromatography. *Asian J. Phys. Chem. Math.* **2022**, *5*, 93–96.
- 12. Schuchardt, U.; Sercheli, R.; Matheus, R. Transesterification of Vegetable Oils: A Review. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 1998, 9, 199–210.
- Simpen, I.; Winaya, I.S.; Subagia, I.G.A.; Suyasa, I.B. Solid Catalyst in Esterification and Transesterification Reactions for Biodiesel Production: A Review. Int. J. Eng. Emerg. Technol. 2020, 5, 168–174.
- 14. Di Pietro, M.E.; Mannu, A.; Mele, A. NMR Determination of Free Fatty Acids in Vegetable Oils. *Processes* 2020, *8*, 410. https://doi.org/10.3390/PR8040410.
- 15. Edyson, E.; Murgianto, F.; Ardiyanto, A.; Astuti, E.J.; Ahmad, M.P. Preprocessing Factors Affected Free Fatty Acid Content in Crude Palm Oil Quality. *J. Ilmu Pertan. Indones.* **2022**, *27*, 177–181. https://doi.org/10.18343/jipi.27.2.177.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Ratrianti, N.; Purnamasari, I.; Budiman, A. Kinetics Study of Jatropha Oil Esterification with Ethanol in the Presence of Tin (II) Chloride Catalyst for Biodiesel Production. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2017, 1788, 030086. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4968339.
- Hidalgo, P.; Ålvarez, S.; Hunter, R.; Sánchez, A. Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Algae Biomass to Develop Sustainable Bio-Based Epoxy Resins. *Polymers* 2020, *12*, 2313. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12102313.
- Sustaita-Rodríguez, A.; Ramos-Sánchez, V.H.; Camacho-Dávila, A.A.; Zaragoza-Galán, G.; Espinoza-Hicks, J.C.; Chávez-Flores, D. Lipase Catalyzed Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Unsaturated Vegetable Oils in Absence of Carboxylic Acid. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2018, *12*, 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-018-0409-2.
- Meng, Y.; Taddeo, F.; Aguilera, A.F.; Cai, X.; Russo, V.; Tolvanen, P.; Leveneur, S. The Lord of the Chemical Rings: Catalytic Synthesis of Important Industrial Epoxide Compounds. *Catalysts* 2021, *11*, 765. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal11070765.

**Comment [M36]:** Information regarding the funder and the funding number should be provided. Please check the accuracy of funding data and any other information carefully.

**Comment [WU37]:** It has been double checked and the information is correct.

Comment [M38]: In this section, you should add the Institutional Review Board Statement and approval number, if relevant to your study. You might choose to exclude this statement if the study did not require ethical approval. Please note that the Editorial Office might ask you for further information. Please add "The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of NAME OF INSTITUTE (protocol code XXX and date of approval)." for

**Comment [WU39]:** Not applicable

**Comment [M40]:** Please ensure that all individuals included in this section have consented to the acknowledgement.

Comment [WU41]: Confirmed

**Comment [M42]:** Newly added page number. Please confirm. Same below.

**Comment [WU43]:** Confirmed and agree

14 of 16

- Benaniba, M.T.; Benaniba, M.T.; Belhaneche-Bensemra, N.; Gelbard, G. Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange Resin by Various Processes Polymer Recycling View Project Miscible Polymer Blends View Project Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange. *Energy Educ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 21, 71–82.
- Ifa, L.; Syarif, T.; Sabara, Z.; Nurjannah, N.; Munira, M.; Aryani, F. Study on the Kinetics of Epoxidation Reaction of RBD Palm Olein. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2018, 175, 012035. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/175/1/012035.
- 22. Musik, M.; Janus, E.; Pełech, R.; Sałaciński, Ł. Effective Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters with Hydrogen Peroxide by the Catalytic System H3pw12o40/ Quaternary Phosphonium Salts. *Catalysts* **2021**, *11*, 1058. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal11091058.
- Ginigini, J.; Lecellier, G.J.; Nicolas, M.; Nour, M.; Hnawia, E.; Lebouvier, N.; Herbette, G.; Lockhart, P.; Raharivelomanana, P. Chemodiversity of Calophyllum Inophyllum L. Oil Bioactive Components Related to Their Specific Geographical Distribution in the South Pacific Region. *PeerJ* 2019, 2019, 6896. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6896.
- Akram, F.; Haq, I. ul; Raja, S.I.; Mir, A.S.; Qureshi, S.S.; Aqeel, A.; Shah, F.I. Current Trends in Biodiesel Production Technologies and Future Progressions: A Possible Displacement of the Petro-Diesel. J. Clean. Prod. 2022, 370, 133479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133479.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Putri, R.D.A.; Triwibowo, B.; Kurnita, S.C.F.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Veny, H.; Hamzah, F.; Rodhi, M.N.M. Optimisation of Free Fatty Acid Removal in Nyamplung Seed Oil (Callophyllum Inophyllum 1.) Using Response Surface Methodology Analysis. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 29, 2605–2623. https://doi.org/10.47836/PJST.29.4.20.
- Ferreira, A.B.; Lemos Cardoso, A.; da Silva, M.J. Tin-Catalyzed Esterification and Transesterification Reactions: A Review. ISRN Renew. Energy 2012, 2012, 142857. https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/142857.
- Da Silva, M.J.; Cardoso, A.L. Assessing the Activity of Solid-Suported SnCl2 Catalysts on the Oleic Acid Esterification for Biodiesel Production. J. Thermodyn. Catal. 2016, 7, 1000173. https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7544.1000173.
- Sert, E.; Atalay, F.S. Application of Green Catalysts for the Esterification of Benzoic Acid with Different Alcohols. *Celal Bayar Universitesi Fen Bilim. Derg.* 2017, 13, 907–912. https://doi.org/10.18466/cbayarfbe.370364.
- 29. Polat, S.; Sayan, P. Application of Response Surface Methodology with a Box–Behnken Design for Struvite Precipitation. *Adv. Powder Technol.* **2019**, *30*, 2396–2407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2019.07.022.
- Czyrski, A.; Jarzebski, H. Response Surface Methodology as a Useful Tool for Evaluation of the Recovery of the Fluoroquinolones from Plasma-the Study on Applicability of Box-Behnken Design, Central Composite Design and Doehlert Design. *Processes* 2020, *8*, 473. https://doi.org/10.3390/PR8040473.
- 31. Riswanto, F.D.O.; Rohman, A.; Pramono, S.; Martono, S. Application of Response Surface Methodology as Mathematical and Statistical Tools in Natural Product Research. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. **2019**, 9, 125–133. https://doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.2019.91018.
- Sharma, Y.C.; Yadav, M.; Upadhyay, S.N. Latest Advances in Degumming Feedstock Oils for Large-Scale Biodiesel Production. *Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefining* 2019, 13, 174–191. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1937.
- Camacho, J.N.; Romero, R.; Galván Muciño, G.E.; Martínez-Vargas, S.L.; Pérez-Alonso, C.; Natividad, R. Kinetic Modeling of Canola Oil Transesterification Catalyzed by Quicklime. J. Appl. Res. Technol. 2018, 16, 446–454. https://doi.org/10.22201/icat.16656423.2018.16.6.743.
- Yu, H.; Niu, S.; Lu, C.; Wei, W.; Zhang, X. Biodiesel Synthesis by Transesterification Using Coal-Based Solid Acid Catalyst. IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 2021, 634, 012041. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/634/1/012041.
- Ho, W.W.S.; Ng, H.K.; Gan, S. Biodiesel Synthesis from Refined Palm Oil Using a Calcium Oxide Impregnated Ash-Based Catalyst: Parametric, Kinetics, and Product Characterization Studies. *Catalysts* 2022, 12, 706. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal12070706.
- 36. Banchero, M.; Gozzelino, G. A Simple Pseudo-Homogeneous Reversible Kinetic Model for the Esterification of Different Fatty Acids with Methanol in the Presence of Amberlyst-15. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 11071843. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11071843.
- Intarat, N.; Somnuk, K.; Theppaya, T.; Prateepchaikul, G. Acid Value Reduction Process in Mixed Crude Palm Oil by Using Low-Grade Ethanol. Adv. Mater. Res. 2014, 1025–1026, 677–682. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.1025-1026.677.
- Kurniati, S.; Soeparman, S.; Yuwono, S.S.; Hakim, L. Characteristics and Potential of Nyamplung (Calophyllum Inophyllum L.) Seed Oil from Kebumen , Central Java, as a Biodiesel Feedstock. *Int. Res. J. Adv. Eng. Sci.* 2018, 3, 148–152.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Pratama, B.R.; Prasetya, D.; Hisyam, A. Esterification of Non-Edible Oil Mixture in Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study. J. Phys. Sci. 2018, 29, 212–226. https://doi.org/10.21315/jps2018.29.s2.17.
- 40. Mohan, S.K.; Viruthagiri, T.; Arunkumar, C. Statistical Optimization of Process Parameters for the Production of Tannase by Aspergillus Flavus under Submerged Fermentation. *3 Biotech* **2014**, *4*, 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-013-0139-z.
- Ding, J.; Xia, Z.; Lu, J. Esterification and Deacidification of a Waste Cooking Oil (TAN 68.81 Mg KOH/g) for Biodiesel Production. *Energies* 2012, 5, 2683–2691. https://doi.org/10.3390/en5082683.
- Khan, Z.; Javed, F.; Shamair, Z.; Hafeez, A.; Fazal, T.; Aslam, A.; Zimmerman, W.B.; Rehman, F. Current Developments in Esterification Reaction: A Review on Process and Parameters. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2021, 103, 80–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2021.07.018.
- 43. Ngadi, N.; Ma, L.N.; Alias, H.; Johari, A.; Rahman, R.A.; Mohamad, M. Production of Biodiesel from Waste Cooking Oil via Ultrasonic-Assisted Catalytic System. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, 699, 552–557. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.699.552.

15 of 16

**Comment [M44]:** Newly added volume number. Please confirm.

**Comment [WU45]:** Confirmed and agree

- Khudsange, C.R.; Wasewar, K.L. Process Intensification of Esterification Reaction for the Production of Propyl Butyrate by Pervaporation. Resour. Technol. 2017, 3, 88–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reffit.2016.12.012.
- Nindya, C.C.S.; Anggara, D.R.; Nuryoto; Teguh, K. Esterification Glycerol (by Product in Biodiesel Production) with Oleic Acid Using Mordenite Natural Zeolite as Catalyst: Study of Reaction Temperature and Catalyst Loading Effect. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 909, 012001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/909/1/012001.
- Rani, K.N.P.; Neeharika, T.S.V.R.; Vardhan, G.H.; Kumar, T.P.; Devi, B.L.A.P. The Kinetics of the Esterification of Free Fatty Acids in Jatropha Oil Using Glycerol Based Solid Acid Catalyst. *Eur. J. Sustain. Dev. Res.* 2020, 4, em0116. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejosdr/7594.
- Handayani, P.A.; Wulansarie, R.; Husaen, P.; Ulfayanti, I.M. Esterification of Nyamplung (Calophyllum Inophyllum) Oil With Ionic Liquid Catalyst of Bmimhso4 and Microwaves-Assisted. J. Bahan Alam Terbarukan 2018, 7, 59–63. https://doi.org/10.15294/jbat.v7i1.11407.
- Ahmadian-Kouchaksaraie, Z.; Niazmand, R. Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction of Antioxidants from Crocus Sativus Petals of Saffron Industry Residues: Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2017, 121, 19–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2016.11.008.
- Montaño Moreno, J.J.; Palmer Pol, A.; Sesé Abad, A.; Cajal Blasco, B. Using the R-MAPE Index as a Resistant Measure of Forecast Accuracy. *Psicothema* 2013, 25, 500–506. https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2013.23.
- Ahmad, A.; Rehman, M.U.; Wali, A.F.; El-Serehy, H.A.; Al-Misned, F.A.; Maodaa, S.N.; Aljawdah, H.M.; Mir, T.M.; Ahmad, P. Box–Behnken Response Surface Design of Polysaccharide Extraction from Rhododendron Arboreum and the Evaluation of Its Antioxidant Potential. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 3835. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25173835.
- Jyoti, G.; Keshav, A.; Anandkumar, J. Optimization of Esterification of Acrylic Acid and Ethanol by Box-Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2019, 26, 89–94.
- Mia, M. Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of MQL Assisted End Milling Characteristics Based on RSM and Taguchi Method. Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 2018, 121, 249–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2018.02.017.
- Variyana, Y.; Muchammad, R.S.C.; Mahfud, M. Box-Behnken Design for the Optimization Using Solvent-Free Microwave Gravity Extraction of Garlic Oil from Allium Sativum L. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 673, 012005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/673/1/012005.
- 54. Cardoso, A.L.; Neves, S.C.G.; da Silva, M.J. Esterification of Oleic Acid for Biodiesel Production Catalyzed by SnCl2: A Kinetic Investigation. *Energies* **2008**, *1*, 79–92. https://doi.org/10.3390/en1020079.
- Da Silva, M.J.; Julio, A.A.; Dos Santos, K.T. Sn(II)-Catalyzed β-Citronellol Esterification: A Brønsted Acid-Free Process for Synthesis of Fragrances at Room Temperature. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 1261–1266. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cy01069h.
- Marso, T.M.M.; Kalpage, C.S.; Udugala-Ganehenege, M.Y. Metal Modified Graphene Oxide Composite Catalyst for the Production of Biodiesel via Pre-Esterification of Calophyllum Inophyllum Oil. *Fuel* 2017, 199, 47–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.01.004.
- 57. Derringer, G.; Suich, R. Simultaneous Optimization of Several Response Variables. J. Qual. Technol. 1980, 12, 214–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224065.1980.11980968.
- Park, S.H.; Park, J.O. Simultaneous Optimization of Multiple Responses Using. In *Quality Improvement Through Statistical Methods*; Abraham, B., Ed.; Springer Science: New York, NY, USA, 1998; pp. 299–300.
- 59. Aziz, N.A.; AS, N.A.; Noraziman, S. Modified Desirability Function For Optimization of Multiple Responses Nasuhar. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2018, 1, 39–54.
- Andoun, R.; Khelifi, L.; Khelifi-Slaoui, M.; Amroune, S.; Asch, M.; Assaf-ducrocq, C.; Gontier, E. The Desirability Optimization Methodology; a Tool to Predict Two Antagonist Responses in Biotechnological Systems: Case of Biomass Growth and Hyoscyamine Content in Elicited Datura Starmonium Hairy Roots. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.* 2018, 16, 11–19. https://doi.org/10.21859/ijb.1339.

**Comment [M46]:** We added the location of the publisher. Please confirm.

**Comment [WU47]:** Confirmed and agree

16 of 16



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Manuscript Resubmitted

 Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>
 Mon, Dec 19, 2022 at 10:17 AM

 Reply-To: Lionel Lin <lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

 To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

 Cc: Haniif Prasetiawan <haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni

 <nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com>, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa <eldinaanisa@gmail.com>, Dhoni Hartanto

 <dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Thank you very much for resubmitting the modified version of the following manuscript:

Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in High Acidic Calophyllum inophyllum Seed Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification: Analysis Using Box Behnken Design Authors: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa, Dhoni Hartanto Received: 29 November 2022 E-mails: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id Submitted to section: Polymer Chemistry, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/sections/Polymer\_Chemistry https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

A member of the editorial office will be in touch with you soon regarding progress of the manuscript.

Kind regards, Polymers Editorial Office Postfach, CH-4020 Basel, Switzerland Office: St. Alban-Anlage 66, CH-4052 Basel Tel. +41 61 683 77 34 (office) E-mail: polymers@mdpi.com https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/

\*\*\* This is an automatically generated email \*\*\*



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358 - Payment Confirmation polymers-2096358

Cc: Lionel Lin lionel.lin@mdpi.com>, Billing Dept <billing@mdpi.com>, Polymers Editorial Office <polymers@mdpi.com>

MDPI Billing <billing@mdpi.com>

Tue, Dec 27, 2022 at 7:40 PM

Dear Dr. Kusumaningtyas,

Reply-To: MDPI Billing <billing@mdpi.com>

Many thanks for your support of open access publishing. Please find below the APC payment confirmation for the following article:

To: Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Payment of Invoice: polymers-2096358 Amount Received: 2400.00 CHF Date Received: 27 December 2022

**Payer Information** 

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas Universitas Negeri Semarang Chemical Engineering Department Gd. E 1 Lt. 2 Faculty of Engineering UNNES Kampus Sekaran Gunungpati 50229 Semarang Indonesia

For your convenience, the payment confirmation has been attached to this message as a PDF.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Kind regards, MDPI Billing Team

MDPI St. Alban-Anlage 66 4052 Basel, Switzerland Tel. +41 61 683 77 35; Fax +41 61 302 89 18 E-mail Accounting: billing@mdpi.com http://www.mdpi.com/ https://www.mdpi.com/

Disclaimer: The information and files contained in this message are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

receipt\_MDPI\_polymers-2096358.pdf 26K



Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas <ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id>

# [Polymers] Manuscript ID: polymers-2096358; doi: 10.3390/polym15010123. Paper has been published.

# polymers@mdpi.com <polymers@mdpi.com>

Wed, Dec 28, 2022 at 2:47 PM

Reply-To: lionel.lin@mdpi.com, polymers@mdpi.com To: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id, haniif.prasetiawan@mail.unnes.ac.id, nandadwianggraeni@gmail.com, eldinaanisa@gmail.com, dhoni.hartanto@mail.unnes.ac.id

Cc: billing@mdpi.com, website@mdpi.com, polymers@mdpi.com, dennie.pei@mdpi.com, lionel.lin@mdpi.com

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your article "Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in Calophyllum inophyllum Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl2–Catalyzed Esterification" has been published in Polymers and is available online:

Website: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/15/1/123 PDF Version: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/15/1/123/pdf The meta data of your article, the manuscript files and a publication certificate are available here (only available to corresponding authors after login): https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ha5fa308c26da

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review\_info/f6f618d3ba5fa308c26da7ac46f99a8b

Please take a moment to check that everything is correct. You can reply to this email if there is a problem. If any errors are noticed, please note that all authors must follow MDPI's policy on updating published papers, found here: https://www.mdpi.com/ethics#16.

To encourage open scientific discussions and increase the visibility of published articles, MDPI recently implemented interactive commenting and recommendation functionalities on all article webpages (side bar on the right). We encourage you to forward the article link to your colleagues and peers.

We encourage you to set up your profile at www.SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as your other academic contributions. Please also feel free to send us feedback on the platform that we can improve it quickly and make it useful for scientific communities.

You can also share the paper on various social networks by clicking the links on the article webpage. Alternatively, our Editorial Office can post an announcement of your article on our Twitter channel, please send us a text of up to 200 characters with spaces. Please note that our service Scitations.net will automatically notify authors cited in your article. For further paper promotion guidelines, please refer to the following link: https://www.mdpi.com/authors/promoting.

We would be happy to keep you updated about new issue releases of polymers. Please enter your e-mail address in the box at https://www.mdpi.com/journal/polymers/toc-alert/ to receive notifications. After issue release, a version of your paper including the issue cover will be available to download from the article abstract page.

To order high quality reprints of your article in quantities of 25-1000, visit: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/15/1/123/reprints

We support the multidisciplinary preprint platform /Preprints/, which permanently archives full text documents and datasets of working papers in all subject areas. Posting on the platform is entirely free of charge, and full details can be viewed at http://www.preprints.org. 1/3/23, 12:10 PM

We are dedicated to providing an outstanding publishing service, and we invite you to complete our author satisfaction survey https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/authorfeedbackmdpi. The survey contains 20 short questions and will only take a couple of minutes to complete.

To help us improve our Production and English editing service, provided as part of MDPI's editorial process, please take a few minutes to participate in the following survey: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/38TKGWF (for Production and English editing service).

Thank you for choosing Polymers to publish your work, we look forward to receiving further contributions from your research group in the future.

Kind regards,

MDPI Postfach, CH - 4020 Basel, Switzerland Office: St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland Tel. +41 61 683 77 34 Fax: +41 61 302 89 18 E-mail: website@mdpi.com https://www.mdpi.com/





# Article

# Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>– Catalyzed Esterification

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas, Haniif Prasetiawan, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa and Dhoni Hartanto





https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15010123



Article



# **Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in** *Calophyllum inophyllum* Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>–Catalyzed Esterification

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas \*<sup>®</sup>, Haniif Prasetiawan <sup>®</sup>, Nanda Dwi Anggraeni, Elva Dianis Novi Anisa and Dhoni Hartanto <sup>®</sup>

Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang 50229, Indonesia

\* Correspondence: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id

**Abstract**: The preparation and application of bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils has gained increasing attention in the polymer industry to date due to the emerging risk shown by the traditional petroleum-based phthalate plasticizer. Epoxy fatty acid ester is among the prospective alternative plasticizers since it is ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint. Epoxy plasticizer can be synthesized by the epoxidation reaction of fatty acid ester. In this study, the preparation of fatty acid ester as a green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer was performed via esterification of free fatty acid (FFA) in high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The analysis of the process variables and responses using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also accomplished. It was found that the quadratic model is the most appropriate model for the optimization process. The BBD analysis demonstrated that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, achieved at the following process condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.80 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated was an intermediate product which can undergo a further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

**Keywords:** *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed oil; SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; fatty acid ester; response surface methodology; epoxy plasticizer

# 1. Introduction

Plasticizer is an important additive in polymer, especially in the plastic industry. The IUPAC definition of plasticizer is a substance included in a material such as plastic or elastomer to enhance its flexibility, working ability, and distensibility. This function can be executed by decreasing the second order transition temperature, also known as the glass transition temperature [1]. Plasticizers are low molecular weight molecules sited between the polymer chains that develop a secondary bond with the polymer chains. Thus, they interrupt the hydrogen bond and spread the polymer chains apart, which improves the polymer properties in ways such as lowering the modulus, making the mass character of the material softer, providing better gas permeability, enhancing the degree of crystallinity, and reducing the tension of deformation [2,3]. The demand for plasticizer has notably increased along with the rapid growth of the plastic and polymer industry during the last decade.

To date, the most widely used plasticizers are conventional petroleum-based phthalates, i.e., diisononyl phthalate (DINP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DIBP), and n-butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP). Phthalates are applied in many polymer products, especially PVC products. However, utilization of phthalate plasticizers has caused problems recently, since they



Citation: Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Anisa, E.D.N.; Hartanto, D. Conversion of Free Fatty Acid in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Oil to Fatty Acid Ester as Precursor of Bio-Based Epoxy Plasticizer via SnCl<sub>2</sub>– Catalyzed Esterification. *Polymers* **2023**, *15*, 123. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/polym15010123

Academic Editor: Chengji Zhao

Received: 29 November 2022 Revised: 13 December 2022 Accepted: 15 December 2022 Published: 28 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). exhibit a negative effect on human health and the environment [4–7]. Besides, they do not have biodegradable and renewable characteristic. Therefore, it is essential to develop a non-toxic, biodegradable, and renewable plasticizer with good performance which can be used in various polymer products, such as food packaging, consumer goods, electrical insulation, and medical products.

Bio based plasticizers derived from vegetable oils are among the prospective alternative since they have ecofriendly, non-toxic, biodegradable, low migration, and low carbon footprint properties. Various types of bio-plasticizers can be produced from vegetable oil raw materials such as, for instance, epoxidized oil (triglyceride) and epoxidized fatty acid esters [1,6,8]. Among numerous bio based plasticizers, epoxidized fatty acid methyl ester, also known as epoxy fatty acid ester, is favorable for application as an additive material in PVC, which is attributable to its benefits, viz., high plasticizing efficiency, renewability, biodegradability, and cost-effectiveness [9]. Epoxy fatty acid esters have better solubility in the polymeric matrix than epoxidized oil and offer superior elasticity even at low temperatures [10].

Vegetable oil fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid esters can be prepared via two different routes, namely the transesterification of triglyceride and the esterification of free fatty acid. Vegetable oils are mainly composed of triglycerides, which consist of fatty acid units linked to glycerol [11]. Fatty acid esters can be synthesized by transesterification of the triglyceride in the oil using a short chain alcohol such as methanol over an acid or base catalyst [9,12,13]. The nonedible vegetable oils, however, generally contain high free fatty acid (FFA) in addition to the main triglyceride compound. The high FFA content causes the acidic character of the vegetable oil. FFA is usually unfavorable since it has bad odor and makes the oil rancid [14]. The standard quality of commercial vegetable oil such as crude palm oil is required to have an FFA content lower than 5% [15]. In spite of this fact, FFA can be transformed to fatty acid ester via an esterification reaction using short chain alcohols in the presence of an acid catalyst [13,16]. Fatty acid esters synthesized via either triglyceride transesterification or FFA esterification can further undergo an epoxidation reaction to produce epoxy fatty acid esters. Fatty acid esters have a low viscosity; hence they need lower organic solvent in the epoxidation reaction [17].

The epoxidation reaction requires fatty acid ester precursors which comprise a high content of unsaturated fatty esters [10,17]. Epoxidation is a double bond addition reaction, in which the double bonds are transformed into oxirane [7]. Thus, it involves the formation of oxirane (epoxy) through the reaction between the olefinic double bond compound and the peroxyacids or peracids. Epoxides or oxiranes consist of cyclic ethers with a reactive 3-membered ring. Peroxyacids in the epoxidation reaction are generally yielded via the reaction between acetic acid or formic acid with hydrogen peroxide using a strong inorganic acid. It can be also conducted by directly introducing peroxyacid into the reactants mixture. The resulting peroxyacids then convert the double bond into the epoxy. A recent innovation in the area of fatty acid esters conversion to epoxy is enzymatic reaction technology [18,19].

Several works related to the epoxidation of fatty acid esters sourced from various vegetable oils, such as soybean, linseed, rapeseed, castor, grapeseed, avocado, olive, microalgae, RBD palm olein, and sunflower oils [9,17,18,20–22] have been extensively reported. However, the synthesis of an epoxy fatty acid ester derived from *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil has not been broadly studied. *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) is a prospective source of fatty acid esters as precursors of epoxy fatty acid esters. The *Calophyllum inophyllum* plant, locally known as the nyamplung or tamanu tree or beach mahogany, originally comes from Indo-Pacific area (Africa, India, South East Asia, Australia, and Pacific islands) [23]. The *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed is an excellent source of vegetable oil with oil content of 65–75% [24]. Based on our previous investigation, *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) comprises high unsaturated fatty acid. The fatty acids composing CSO are predominantly unsaturated fatty acids (40% oleic acid, 29.94% linoleic acid, and 0.6% arachidic acid) with small portion saturated fatty acid (15.51% palmitic acid and 14.39% stearic acid). CSO is a nonedible oil, containing gum and high FFA content of 19.18% [25]. The undesired high FFA content in CSO has the potential to be converted to fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester plasticizer through acid catalyzed esterification using methanol.

In this work, the esterification of the FFA present in CSO with methanol using SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O was carried out to produce fatty acid ester as precursor of epoxy fatty acid ester. The heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (tin chloride) catalyst was employed to promote the reaction by reason of its superiority. SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O is a low cost Lewis acid catalyst which is tolerant to water, stable, minimally corrosive, and simple to handle. It is milder than Brønsted acid catalyst but capable of providing high catalytic activity. Lewis acids are compounds with lack of electrons which can perform to activate substrate rich in electrons [26,27]. This catalyst also possesses the general advantages of heterogeneous catalyst, specifically easy separation from the product mixture and reusability [28].

To optimize the process condition for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol in the presence on SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, a statistical model was applied. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a rigorous technique that can be implemented to assess numerous parameters with a minimum number of experiments. It involves a mathematical and statistical procedure to create an experimental design which can examine the influences of the independent process variables on the response variable, thus allowing the optimum response to be verified [29]. In the optimization process, a suitable design should be employed. The models that are applicable for the factorial analysis are Box–Behnken Design (BBD), Doehlert Design (DD) and Central Composite Design (CCD). These models can predict the response function to the actual data using the quadratic function [30]. BBD is more efficient and cost-effective than DD and CCD since it has no extreme points and needs fewer points than the others for the analysis and optimization [31]. The purpose of this work was to determine the proper process condition which results in the highest reaction conversion and the lowest residual FFA by using BBD in RSM for the esterification of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. At the optimum process condition, the highest yield of fatty acid esters as precursor of epoxy plasticizer was also achieved.

# 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Materials

*Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) was obtained from a local supplier in Central Java, Indonesia. It had an acid value and FFA content of 36.542 mg KOH/g oil and 18.39%, respectively. The most dominant fatty acid composing the CSO was oleic acid, which has a molecular weight of 282.52 g/mol as reported in our previous work [25]. The other materials used were phosphoric acid, methanol (technical grade, purchased from local chemical store), ethanol p.a. (Merck), SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O or tin(II)chloride catalyst (Merck), KOH p.a. (Merck), oxalic acid p.a. (Merck), distilled water, and phenolphthalein solution.

## 2.2. Methods

### 2.2.1. Esterification Reaction

Prior to the esterification reaction, the CSO was degummed using phosphoric acid to remove the phospholipids and mucilaginous gums content [32]. The acid degumming process was performed using a similar method to the previous work [25]. The degummed CSO was then underwent the esterification reaction. Initially, the CSO and methanol were weighed to obtain a molar ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30. The CSO was heated until it reached the desired temperature (40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C) in a three necks flask reactor. At the same time, a certain amount of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O was solved and mixed with methanol in another flask. The SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst employed for the reaction was varied at 1%, 3%, 5%, and 7% w/w of CSO. The mixture of methanol and SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was separately heated up to the similar temperature. Once the targeted temperature was attained, the methanol-SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst mixture was introduced into the reactor, and this was recorded as the initial time of the esterification reaction. The esterification reaction was conducted for 120 min using a batch reactor which was equipped with a condenser and magnetic stirrer. The high agitation speed of 1000 rpm was applied to enhance the mixing

of the solid catalyzed reaction [33–35]. Samples were taken periodically every 10 min. The samples were tested to determine the acid value using standard carboxylic-acid-titration techniques [36,37]. According to Kurniati et al. [38], The FFA conversion ( $X_A$ ) at a certain sampling time was determined based on the residual acid value at reaction time t as shown in Equation (1).

$$X_A = \frac{AV_i - AV_t}{AV_i} \times 100\%$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

where  $X_A$  is the reaction conversion (%),  $AV_i$  is the initial acid value (t = 0) (mg), and  $AV_t$  is the residual acid value at reaction time (mg).

The FFA content was calculated using Equation (2) [39].

$$FFA \ Content \ (\%) = \frac{A \times N \times MW}{G \times 1000} \times 100$$
(2)

where *FFA* Content is the reaction conversion (%), *A* is the volume of KOH (ml), *N* is the normality of KOH (N), *MW* is the average molecular weight of the fatty acids (g/mol), and *G* is the sample weight (g).

## 2.2.2. Optimization Using Box–Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology

The experimental data were used for the optimization of the operation condition to obtain the lowest FFA content in the CSO and the highest reaction conversion using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The simulation was conducted using Design Expert version 13 software. BBD was chosen since it can optimize the parameters effectively with the minimum number of experiments and allows analysis of the interactions between the parameters. In this study, BBD was performed using a total of 15 experimental runs, and the center point measurements were repeated three times to accomplish an accurate calculation of the experimental error. The parameters studied as the independent variables in this work were temperature (A), reaction time (B), and catalyst concentration (C). Each parameter was examined at 3 levels, viz., -1 indicated the low level, +1 represented the high level, and 0 was used as the central point to evaluate the experimental error [40]. The independent variables and their levels are presented in Table 1. Furthermore, the design of the randomized response model is shown in Table 2.

The average magnitude of error between the predicted value and actual value (experimental data) was calculated using Equation (3), in which MAPE is Mean Absolute Percentage Error and n is the number of data points.

$$MAPE = \sum \frac{\left|\frac{predicted \ value - experimental \ data}{experimental \ data}\right|}{n} \times 100\%$$
(3)

| Independent Variable       | <b>F</b> eedaa | Coded Level |    |     |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|-----|
| independent variable       | Factor         | -1          | 0  | 1   |
| Temperature (°C)           | А              | 40          | 50 | 60  |
| Reaction Time (min)        | В              | 60          | 90 | 120 |
| Catalyst Concentration (%) | С              | 3           | 5  | 7   |

Table 1. Independent Variables Range and Level Used in BBD Experimental Design.

| Run | Factor A<br>Temperature (°C) | Factor B<br>Reaction Time<br>(min) | Factor C<br>Catalyst<br>Concentration (%) |
|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1   | 40                           | 120                                | 5   |
| 2   | 40                           | 60                                 | 5   |
| 3   | 60                           | 90                                 | 3   |
| 4   | 40                           | 90                                 | 7   |
| 5   | 60                           | 90                                 | 7   |
| 6   | 50                           | 120                                | 3   |
| 7   | 60                           | 120                                | 5   |
| 8   | 50                           | 60                                 | 7   |
| 9   | 50                           | 90                                 | 5   |
| 10  | 40                           | 90                                 | 3   |
| 11  | 60                           | 60                                 | 5   |
| 12  | 50                           | 60                                 | 3   |
| 13  | 50                           | 90                                 | 5   |
| 14  | 50                           | 120                                | 7   |
| 15  | 50                           | 90                                 | 5   |

Table 2. Design of the Randomized Response Model.

# 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. Effects of the Experimental Variables on the Reaction Conversion

The esterification of high acidic *Calophyllum inophyllum* seed oil (CSO) with methanol in the presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst to transform free fatty acid (FFA) to fatty acid ester as precursor of bio-based epoxy plasticizer has been conducted in this work. The esterification reaction of FFA in CSO with methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O is illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Esterification of FFA with Methanol in the Presence of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst.

Based on the stoichiometry, one mole FFA requires one mole methanol to precede the esterification reaction [41]. However, the Fischer esterification reaction is an equilibrium limited reaction. Thus, a great excess of methanol reactant should be introduced to shift the equilibrium towards the product formation [42]. In this work, a fixed CSO to methanol ratio of 1:30 was applied for all the experiments. To intensify the mixing between the reactants and catalyst, the agitation speed was kept at 1000 rpm. The rapid agitation is beneficial to reduce the film thickness between the reactants and promote the mass transfer [42]. The experimental results are demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3.

Figure 2 presents the effect of the catalyst molar ratio on the reaction conversion for the reaction conducted at a fixed reaction temperature, molar ratio of CSO and methanol, and reaction time of 60 °C, 1:30, and 120 min, respectively. The effect of the catalyst concentration was studied at the range of 1-7% w/w CSO. Catalyst offers an altered reaction route with lower activation energy. Hence, it causes a higher percentage of collisions between the reactants' molecules when they reach the minimum energy to react. It can be observed that the reaction conversion was enhanced to 73.75% with an increase in catalyst concentration from 1% to 5%. The higher reaction conversion was accomplished on account of the increased number of active sites available for the reaction [43,44]. Thus, it accelerated the reaction to reach the equilibrium. However, it was revealed that the employment of 7% catalyst did not further raise the reaction conversion. Instead, the conversion tended to slightly decline to 65.85%. This means that the excessive addition of catalyst will not

provide a comparative influence on the conversion improvement when the contact process has already arrived at the maximum [45].



**Figure 2.** Effect of the Catalyst Concentration on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst at the Reaction Temperature of 60 °C, Molar Ratio of CSO and methanol of 1:30, and Reaction Time of 120 min.



**Figure 3.** Effect of the Temperature and Reaction Time on the Reaction Conversion of FFA Esterification in CSO over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O Catalyst at the Molar Ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30 and Catalyst Concentration of 5%.

Figure 3 exhibits the effects of the temperature and the reaction time on the reaction conversion for the reaction carried out at a fixed catalyst concentration of 5% and molar ratio of CSO: methanol of 1:30. The reaction temperature was examined at 40, 50 and 60 °C and the reaction time was inspected at 0–120 min. It was disclosed that the rising of the temperature brought about the extensively higher reaction conversion. Esterification is an endothermic reaction; therefore the reaction rate increased with the temperature [46]. A

rise in the temperature will also improve the translation and the rotation of the reactants' molecules and lower the liquid viscosity, which will enhance the diffusion rate of the reactants to the active sites of the catalyst [45]. The effective mass transfer has a beneficial impact on the higher total reaction rate and higher reaction conversion. The highest conversion of 73.75% was obtained at 60 °C, which was near to the boiling point of the methanol. A further increase in the temperature at a similar atmospheric pressure will not promote the conversion since it will exceed the boiling point, and hence part of the methanol in the liquid phase will change to the gas phase. The result was in a good agreement with Handayani et al. [47]. The longer the reaction time, the higher the conversion that was attained. However, a sharp acceleration was shown in the first 10 min of the reaction. It was attributed to the high concentration of the reactant at the beginning of the reaction. To determine the optimum process condition which led to the best reaction conversion, analysis using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) in Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was also carried out.

### 3.2. Model Fitting in Box–Behnken Design (BBD)

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) using Box–Behnken Design (BBD) is broadly applied to determine the optimum condition of the variables which results in the desired response. It is also practical for evaluating the effects of the independent variables and the interaction between the independent variables [48]. In this work, BBD was employed to examine the effects and interactions of the independent variables (reaction time, reaction temperature, and catalyst concentration) to determine the optimum condition which produced the highest ester yield and the lowest FFA content in the esterification of CSO using methanol over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst.

The Box–Behnken response surface design and corresponding response values in this work, including the comparison between the experimental data and the prediction value as well as the errors, are revealed in Table 3. Error is the disparity between the observed and the predictive values, and, accordingly, it can be used to evaluate the accuracy of the model. The error values in this study were calculated in term of mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) as conveyed in Equation (3). It was revealed that the MAPE of the FFA conversion and the FFA content responses were 2.2704% and 3.3410%. The values of MAPE were far less than 10%, indicating the high correctness of the prediction. Generally, values of MAPE below 10% designate a high accuracy of prediction, whereas the values of 10–20%, 20–50%, and higher than 50% imply good, fair, and inaccurate forecasting, respectively [49].

| Table 3. | The Box | –Behnke | n Response | Surface I | Design and | Corresp | onding l | Response | Values |
|----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|
|          |         |         |            |           | 0          |         |          |          |        |

| Run | Temperature<br>(°C)<br>A | Reaction<br>Time (min)<br>B | Catalyst<br>Concentration<br>(%) C | FFA Conv<br>Experiment | version %<br>Prediction | Error<br>(MAPE)<br>% | FFA Con<br>Experiment | tent (%)<br>Prediction | Error<br>(MAPE)<br>% |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | 40                       | 120                         | 5                                  | 66.161                 | 65.963                  | 0.2987               | 6.227                 | 6.264                  | 0.5862               |
| 2   | 40                       | 60                          | 5                                  | 64.896                 | 64.619                  | 0.4267               | 6.460                 | 6.511                  | 0.7895               |
| 3   | 60                       | 90                          | 3                                  | 46.237                 | 44.695                  | 3.3348               | 9.894                 | 10.178                 | 2.8704               |
| 4   | 40                       | 90                          | 7                                  | 52.878                 | 54.420                  | 2.9160               | 8.672                 | 8.388                  | 3.2749               |
| 5   | 60                       | 90                          | 7                                  | 65.528                 | 66.595                  | 1.6289               | 6.344                 | 6.148                  | 3.0974               |
| 6   | 50                       | 120                         | 3                                  | 44.023                 | 45.288                  | 2.8735               | 10.301                | 10.068                 | 2.2619               |
| 7   | 60                       | 120                         | 5                                  | 73.751                 | 74.028                  | 0.3755               | 4.831                 | 4.780                  | 1.0557               |
| 8   | 50                       | 60                          | 7                                  | 62.682                 | 61.417                  | 2.0181               | 6.867                 | 7.100                  | 3.3930               |
| 9   | 50                       | 90                          | 5                                  | 63.631                 | 65.634                  | 2.0181               | 6.693                 | 6.324                  | 5.5132               |
| 10  | 40                       | 90                          | 3                                  | 42.125                 | 41.058                  | 2.5339               | 10.650                | 10.847                 | 1.8451               |
| 11  | 60                       | 60                          | 5                                  | 72.170                 | 72.368                  | 0.2738               | 5.122                 | 5.086                  | 0.7126               |
| 12  | 50                       | 60                          | 3                                  | 41.809                 | 43.153                  | 3.2153               | 10.709                | 10.462                 | 2.3111               |
| 13  | 50                       | 90                          | 5                                  | 69.640                 | 65.634                  | 5.7524               | 5.587                 | 6.324                  | 13.1967              |
| 14  | 50                       | 120                         | 7                                  | 63.631                 | 62.287                  | 2.1125               | 6.693                 | 6.941                  | 3.6979               |
| 15  | 50                       | 90                          | 5                                  | 63.631                 | 65.634                  | 3.1478               | 6.693                 | 6.324                  | 5.5087               |
|     |                          | MAPE (%)                    |                                    |                        |                         | 2.2704               |                       |                        | 3.3410               |

There are various models that are available for the optimization using RSM. In this work, four polynomial models (viz., linear, 2FI or two-factor interaction, quadratic, and cubic) were assessed to decide the most appropriate model to suit the experimental data. The above mentioned models have been extensively studied in the field of bioresources

processing research [25,50]. The evaluation of the models was carried out using two different statistical testing methods, i.e., the sequential model (sum of squares) and the model summary tests. Based on the sequential model sum of squares test (Table 4) and the model summary test (Table 5), it was found that the suggested model to optimize the FFA conversion and the FFA content in the case of CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst was the quadratic model. The quadratic model was designated due to the facts that it provided the lowest p value as indicated in Table 4, and, at the same time, it shown the highest adjusted R<sup>2</sup> and predicted R<sup>2</sup> as demonstrated in Table 5.

| Component   | Sum of<br>Square | Degree of<br>Freedom | Mean<br>Square | F-Value    | <i>p</i> -Value | Remarks   |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Sequential (Sum of Square) for the FFA Conversion |                  |                      |                |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 53,138.62        | 1                    | 53,138.62      |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
| Linear  | 751.26           | 3                    | 250.42         | 2.87       | 0.09            |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI   | 18.65            | 3                    | 6.22           | 0.05       | 0.98            |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic   | 903.67           | 3                    | 301.22         | 39.48      | 0.0007          | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic   | 14.08            | 3                    | 4.69           | 0.39       | 0.7758          | Aliased   |  |  |  |
| Residual  | 24.07            | 2                    | 12.04          |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
| Total   | 54,850.36        | 15                   | 3656.69        |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
|   | Seque            | ential (Sum of S     | quare) for the | FFA Conten | ıt              |           |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 832.43           | 1                    | 832.43         |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
| Linear  | 25.44            | 3                    | 8.48           | 2.87       | 0.09            |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI   | 0.63             | 3                    | 0.21           | 0.05       | 0.98            |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic   | 30.60            | 3                    | 10.20          | 39.44      | 0.0007          | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic   | 0.48             | 3                    | 0.16           | 0.39       | 0.7756          | Aliased   |  |  |  |
| Residual  | 0.82             | 2                    | 0.41           |            |                 |           |  |  |  |
| Total   | 890.40           | 15                   | 59.36          |            |                 |           |  |  |  |

Table 4. Sequential Model (Sum of Squares) Test.

Table 5. Model Summary Test.

| Component                            | Standard<br>Deviation             | <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted<br>R <sup>2</sup> | Predicted<br>R <sup>2</sup> | Press   | Remarks   |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Model Summary for the FFA Conversion |                                   |                       |                            |                             |         |           |  |  |  |
| Linear                               | 9.34                              | 0.44                  | 0.29                       | -0.12                       | 1921.57 |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI                                  | 10.85                             | 0.45                  | 0.04                       | -1.59                       | 4446.52 |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic                            | 2.76                              | 0.98                  | 0.94                       | 0.84                        | 279.43  | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic                                | 3.47                              | 0.99                  | 0.90                       |                             | *       | Aliased   |  |  |  |
|                                      | Model Summary for the FFA Content |                       |                            |                             |         |           |  |  |  |
| Linear                               | 1.72                              | 0.44                  | 0.29                       | -0.12                       | 65.07   |           |  |  |  |
| 2FI                                  | 2.00                              | 0.45                  | 0.04                       | -1.59                       | 150.57  |           |  |  |  |
| Quadratic                            | 0.51                              | 0.98                  | 0.94                       | 0.84                        | 9.47    | Suggested |  |  |  |
| Cubic                                | 0.64                              | 0.99                  | 0.90                       |                             | *       | Aliased   |  |  |  |
| *                                    | 1                                 |                       |                            |                             |         |           |  |  |  |

\* means not defined.

The empirical correlation of the variables and the response based on the quadratic model resulting from the BBD can be stated in the form of a second order polynomial equation. The general equation for the second order polynomial regression model is written in Equation (4).

$$Y = \beta o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i Xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\beta i i Xi^{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j>1}^{k} (\beta i j XiXj)$$
(4)

Y indicates the predicted response,  $\beta o$  is a constant,  $\beta i$  is a coefficient for the linear,  $\beta i i$  is the coefficient for the quadratic, and  $\beta i j$  is the interactive coefficient [29,51].

Thus, the definitive equations for the FFA conversion and FFA content are revealed in Equations (5) and (6), respectively.

```
FFA \ Conversion\ (\%) = 3.47466 - 1.29512\ A - 0.457250\ B + 37.23375\ C + 0.000263\ AB + 0.106725\ AC - 0.005271\ BC + (5) 0.011331\ A^2 + 0.002753\ B^2 - 3.76878\ C^2
FFA \ Content\ (\%) = 17.746 - 0.238292\ A - 0.4084117\ B + 6.85158\ C + 0.000048\ AB + 0.19650\ AC - 0.000975\ BC + 0.002084\ A^2 + (6) 0.000507\ B^2 - 0.693521\ C^2
```

where *A*, *B*, and *C* are the temperature (°C), reaction time (min), and catalyst concentration (%), respectively.

# 3.3. Statistical Analysis Using ANOVA

The quadratic model as the most appropriate model was thenceforth analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the actual data to the different models based on their associated p-values is displayed in Tables 6 and 7. Table 6 shows the statistical analysis using ANOVA to predict the FFA conversion in the esterification of CSO. The significance of each constant and the intensity of interaction were proved by the p-value. Influences lower than 0.05 are significant [50]. It can be observed that the F value was 24.37 at the *p*-value < 0.05, denoting that the model was significant. In this investigation, it was discovered that the affecting variables were two linear coefficients (A and C) and one quadratic coefficient (C<sup>2</sup>). This implies that the temperature (A) and catalyst concentration (C) were significant to the model, but the reaction time (B) was insignificant. The adeq precission value is the measurement of the ratio of the signal against the interference, in which the expected ratio is >4. Table 6 demonstrates that the adeq precission was 14.6107, revealing that the model was significant. It can be suggested that the model is suitable for the prediction of the FFA conversion.

| Source                       | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | <i>p</i> -Value |                 |
|------------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Model                        | 1673.58       | 9  | 185.95      | 24.37   | 0.00            | Significant     |
| A Temperature (°C)           | 125.03        | 1  | 125.03      | 16.39   | 0.01            | Ŭ               |
| B Reaction Time (min)        | 4.51          | 1  | 4.51        | 0.59    | 0.48            |                 |
| C Catalyst Concentration (%) | 621.72        | 1  | 621.72      | 81.48   | 0.00            |                 |
| AB                           | 0.03          | 1  | 0.03        | 0.003   | 0.96            |                 |
| AC                           | 18.22         | 1  | 18.22       | 2.39    | 0.18            |                 |
| BC                           | 0.40          | 1  | 0.40        | 0.05    | 0.83            |                 |
| A <sup>2</sup>               | 4.74          | 1  | 4.74        | 0.62    | 0.47            |                 |
| $B^2$                        | 22.66         | 1  | 22.66       | 2.97    | 0.15            |                 |
| $C^2$                        | 839.11        | 1  | 839.11      | 109.97  | 0.00            |                 |
| Residual                     | 38.15         | 5  | 7.63        |         |                 |                 |
| Lack of Fit                  | 14.08         | 3  | 14.08       | 0.39    | 0.78            | Not Significant |
| Pure Érror                   | 24.08         | 2  | 12.04       |         |                 | 0               |
| <i>Cor Total</i>             | 1711.73       | 14 |             |         |                 |                 |
| Adeq Precision               | 14.62         |    |             |         |                 |                 |
| R <sup>2</sup>               | 0.98          |    |             |         |                 |                 |

**Table 6.** Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predict the FFA Conversion.

| Source          | Sum of Square | DF | Mean Square | F Value | <i>p</i> -Value |                 |
|-----------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Model           | 56.67         | 9  | 6.30        | 24.35   | 0.00            | Significant     |
| X <sub>1</sub>  | 4.23          | 1  | 4.23        | 16.36   | 0.01            | 0               |
| X <sub>2</sub>  | 0.15          | 1  | 0.15        | 0.59    | 0.48            |                 |
| X <sub>3</sub>  | 21.05         | 1  | 21.05       | 81.41   | 0.00            |                 |
| X <sub>12</sub> | 0.00          | 1  | 0.00        | 0.00    | 0.96            |                 |
| X <sub>13</sub> | 0.62          | 1  | 0.62        | 2.39    | 0.18            |                 |
| X <sub>23</sub> | 0.01          | 1  | 0.01        | 0.05    | 0.83            |                 |
| $X_1^2$         | 0.16          | 1  | 0.16        | 0.62    | 0.47            |                 |
| $X_2^2$         | 0.77          | 1  | 0.77        | 2.97    | 0.15            |                 |
| $X_{3}^{2}$     | 28.41         | 1  | 28.41       | 109.88  | 0.00            |                 |
| Residual        | 1.29          | 5  | 0.26        |         |                 |                 |
| Lack of Fit     | 0.48          | 3  | 0.16        | 0.39    | 0.78            | Not Significant |
| Pure Error      | 0.82          | 2  | 0.41        |         |                 | Ū.              |
| Cor Total       | 57.96         | 14 |             |         |                 |                 |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$  | 0.98          |    |             |         |                 |                 |
| Adeq Precision  | 14.61         |    |             |         |                 |                 |

**Table 7.** Analysis of the Variance and Regression Coefficients of the BBD Quadratic Model to Predictthe FFA Content.

The use of the ANOVA regression model to predict the left over FFA content after the esterification reaction of CSO can be observed in Table 7. The experimental data were analyzed using ANOVA, and the significant regression coefficient was determined based on the *p*-value, in which a *p*-value < 0.05 denotes that the model is significant. The value of adeq precision is the magnitude of the ratio of the signal to the disturbance, wherein the desirable value is >4 [52,53]. This model showed the adeq precision of 14.6107, indicating that the model is accurate.

### 3.4. Optimization of the Process Variables Using BBD

The optimization of the process variables to obtain the targeted response variables was performed using a quadratic model of BBD. Primarily, the influences of the process variables, such as temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration, to the response variables, viz., the reaction conversion and the FFA content in the CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst, were investigated using BBD in RSM. Based on the model selected, analysis of the main effect and the interaction of the process variables to the response variable using 3D RSM was carried out. The resulting 3D graphs were developed from maintaining one constant variable (derived from the midpoint) and varying two other variables. Therefore, the effect of each process variable on the response variable can be identified.

Figures 4 and 5 disclose that the reaction conversion increased and the FFA content decreased with the temperature up to 60 °C, respectively. The intensification of the catalyst concentration from 3% to 5% enhanced the reaction conversion and diminished the FFA content considerably. This was due to the increased number of reactant molecules which were activated by the carbonyl polarization due to the higher amount of Sn<sup>+2</sup> catalyst. Hence, the nucleophilic attack by methanol could occur more frequently and effectively, leading to the higher reaction conversion. Oppositely, the leftover FFA content was reduced [54]. There are various proposed mechanisms concerning the carbonyl group activation by tin catalyst, yet the carbonyl polarization will be auspicious when attacked by the hydroxyl group [55]. However, the further increase of the catalyst from 5% to 7% did not provide a meaningful effect in terms of improving the reaction conversion and lessening the FFA content. As a matter of fact, it can be observed that the employment of 7% catalyst increased the FFA content. Marso et al. [56] described how an excessive utilization of the catalyst beyond the optimum concentration could form an emulsion which increased the viscosity and thus hindered the contact between the CSO and the methanol. Consequently, it lowered the reaction conversion. Hence, the residual FFA in the oil was higher.



**Figure 4.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of the Process Variables on the Reaction Conversion. (**a**) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (**b**) Reaction Time of 90 min; (**c**) Reaction Temperature of 50 °C.



**Figure 5.** Three Dimensional (3D) Response Surface of the Effects of Process Variables on the FFA Content in after the Undergoing the Esterification Reaction. (**a**) Catalyst Concentration of 5%; (**b**) Reaction Time of 90 min; (**c**) Reaction Temperature of 50  $^{\circ}$ C.

In this study, the Derringer method was utilized to optimize the reaction conversion and the reduction of FFA content via CSO esterification over SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The Derringer method is a popular desirability function-based approach to solving a problem comprising a simultaneous optimization of several response variables. Derringer and Suich [57] modified the previous Harrington's procedure by converting the response into a desirability function [58]. The values of desirability functions are between 0 and 1. Mathematically, the general approach is to convert each response into an individual desirability function (d) that varies over the range  $0 \le d \le 1$  [59]. The value of 0 implies that the factors present unfavorable response. On the other hand, the value of 1 relates to the optimal condition of the examined factors and indicates that the responses are at their targets. This approach simplifies the multivariate optimization. Due to its simplicity and flexibility, the Derringer desirability function has been broadly applied in multiple responses optimization to find out the independent variables condition which brings about the optimal values of the response variables [60]. Based on the optimization process, Figure 6 reveals that the optimum reaction conversion and FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, which were achieved at the following operation condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.8 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The value of desirability obtained was 1, indicating the optimal condition of the studied parameters. This result

was slightly lower than that for the similar reaction which was conducted using sulfuric acid catalyst at the reaction temperature, catalyst loading, and reaction time of 59.09 °C, 1.98% g/g CSO, and 119.95 min, respectively, resulting in the reaction conversion of 78.27% and the FFA content of 4% [25]. Despite this slight lower conversion, the application of heterogeneous SnCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst is greatly preferable to the sulfuric acid catalyst since it is more environmentally friendly, reusable, less corrosive, and easier in handling and separation. The result of this work offers a green alternative of synthesizing renewable bio based fatty ester from CSO as precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer.



Figure 6. Optimization of Reaction Conversion and FFA Content using BBD Quadratic Model in RSM.

# 4. Conclusions

The esterification of FFA in *Calophyllum inophyllum* Seed Oil (CSO) using methanol in the presence of  $SnCl_2.2H_2O$  catalyst has been conducted as an alternative way to produce fatty acid ester as a green precursor of epoxy ester plasticizer. In this investigation, the interactive and individual effects from three experimental variables (temperature, reaction time, and catalyst concentration) on reaction conversion and residual free fatty acid (FFA) content were studied by employing the Box–Behnken Design (BBD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) technique. The quadratic model in BBD was selected for the optimization of the reaction conversion and the decreasing of the FFA content. The BBD analysis showed that the optimum FFA conversion and residual FFA content were 75.03% and 4.59%, respectively, attained at the following process condition: a reaction temperature of 59.36 °C, a reaction time of 117.80 min, and a catalyst concentration of 5.61%. The fatty acid ester generated is subsequently ready for the further epoxidation process to produce epoxy plasticizer in polymeric material production.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.D.K.; methodology, R.D.K. and H.P.; software, H.P.; validation, R.D.K. and H.P.; formal analysis, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; investigation, R.D.K.; resources, R.D.K.; data curation, R.D.K. and D.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.D.K., N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; writing—review and editing, R.D.K., H.P. and D.H.; visualization, N.D.A. and E.D.N.A.; supervision, R.D.K. and H.P.; project administration, R.D.K.; funding acquisition, R.D.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Financial support from the Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 is highly acknowledged.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank to the Research and Community Service Institute (LPPM) of Universitas Negeri Semarang through the DIPA UNNES research grant with the contract number of 101.23.4/UN37/PPK.3.1/2020 for the funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Vieira, M.G.A.; Da Silva, M.A.; Dos Santos, L.O.; Beppu, M.M. Natural-Based Plasticizers and Biopolymer Films: A Review. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2011, 47, 254–263. [CrossRef]
- Junthip, J.; Chaipalee, N.; Sangsorn, Y.; Maspornpat, C.; Jitcharoen, J.; Limrungruengrat, S.; Chotchuangchutchaval, T.; Martwong, E.; Sukhawipat, N. The Use of New Waste-Based Plasticizer Made from Modified Used Palm Oil for Non-Glutinous Thermoplastic Starch Foam. *Polymers* 2022, 14, 3997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Janik, W.; Ledniowska, K.; Nowotarski, M.; Kudła, S.; Knapczyk-Korczak, J.; Stachewicz, U.; Nowakowska-Bogdan, E.; Sabura, E.; Nosal-Kovalenko, H.; Turczyn, R.; et al. Chitosan-Based Films with Alternative Eco-Friendly Plasticizers: Preparation, Physicochemical Properties and Stability. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2023, 301, 120277. [CrossRef]
- Edwards, L.; McCray, N.L.; VanNoy, B.N.; Yau, A.; Geller, R.J.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Zota, A.R. Phthalate and Novel Plasticizer Concentrations in Food Items from U.S. Fast Food Chains: A Preliminary Analysis. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 2022, 32, 366–373. [CrossRef]
- Kim, D.Y.; Chun, S.H.; Jung, Y.; Mohamed, D.F.M.S.; Kim, H.S.; Kang, D.Y.; An, J.W.; Park, S.Y.; Kwon, H.W.; Kwon, J.H. Phthalate Plasticizers in Children's Products and Estimation of Exposure: Importance of Migration Rate. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 8582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Jia, P.; Xia, H.; Tang, K.; Zhou, Y. Plasticizers Derived from Biomass Resources: A Short Review. Polymers 2018, 10, 1303. [CrossRef]
- Hosney, H.; Nadiem, B.; Ashour, I.; Mustafa, I.; El-Shibiny, A. Epoxidized Vegetable Oil and Bio-Based Materials as PVC Plasticizer. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2018, 135, 46270. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bai, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, D.; Zhao, X. Conversion of Fatty Acid Methyl Ester to Epoxy Plasticizer by Auto-Catalyzed in Situ Formation of Performic Acid: Kinetic Modeling and Application of the Model. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 259, 120791. [CrossRef]
- 9. Pan, S.; Hou, D.; Yang, G.; Xie, Q.; Yan, S.; Zeng, Q.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Fan, H. Epoxidized Methyl Ricinoleate Bio-Plasticizer with a Pendant Acetate Ester for PVC Artificial Material: Circumventing Existing Limit on Achievable Migration Resistance. *J. Leather Sci. Eng.* **2019**, *1*, 2. [CrossRef]
- 10. Galli, F.; Nucci, S.; Pirola, C.; Bianchi, C.L. Epoxy Methyl Soyate as Bio-Plasticizer: Two Different Preparation Strategies. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* **2014**, *37*, 601–606. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ergashev, I.M.; Mamirzayev, M.A.; Sedalova, I.S.; Suyunov, S. Study of Triglycerides in Vegetable Oils by Gas Chromatography. *Asian J. Phys. Chem. Math.* **2022**, *5*, 93–96.
- 12. Schuchardt, U.; Sercheli, R.; Matheus, R. Transesterification of Vegetable Oils: A Review. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 1998, 9, 199–210. [CrossRef]
- 13. Simpen, I.; Winaya, I.S.; Subagia, I.G.A.; Suyasa, I.B. Solid Catalyst in Esterification and Transesterification Reactions for Biodiesel Production: A Review. *Int. J. Eng. Emerg. Technol.* **2020**, *5*, 168–174.
- 14. Di Pietro, M.E.; Mannu, A.; Mele, A. NMR Determination of Free Fatty Acids in Vegetable Oils. Processes 2020, 8, 410. [CrossRef]
- 15. Edyson, E.; Murgianto, F.; Ardiyanto, A.; Astuti, E.J.; Ahmad, M.P. Preprocessing Factors Affected Free Fatty Acid Content in Crude Palm Oil Quality. *J. Ilmu Pertan. Indones.* **2022**, *27*, 177–181. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Ratrianti, N.; Purnamasari, I.; Budiman, A. Kinetics Study of Jatropha Oil Esterification with Ethanol in the Presence of Tin (II) Chloride Catalyst for Biodiesel Production. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2017**, *1788*, 030086. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hidalgo, P.; Álvarez, S.; Hunter, R.; Sánchez, A. Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Algae Biomass to Develop Sustainable Bio-Based Epoxy Resins. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 2313. [CrossRef]
- Sustaita-Rodríguez, A.; Ramos-Sánchez, V.H.; Camacho-Dávila, A.A.; Zaragoza-Galán, G.; Espinoza-Hicks, J.C.; Chávez-Flores, D. Lipase Catalyzed Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters Derived from Unsaturated Vegetable Oils in Absence of Carboxylic Acid. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2018, *12*, 39. [CrossRef]
- 19. Meng, Y.; Taddeo, F.; Aguilera, A.F.; Cai, X.; Russo, V.; Tolvanen, P.; Leveneur, S. The Lord of the Chemical Rings: Catalytic Synthesis of Important Industrial Epoxide Compounds. *Catalysts* **2021**, *11*, 765. [CrossRef]
- Benaniba, M.T.; Benaniba, M.T.; Belhaneche-Bensemra, N.; Gelbard, G. Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange Resin by Various Processes Polymer Recycling View Project Miscible Polymer Blends View Project Epoxidation of Sunflower Oil with Peroxoacetic Acid in Presence of Ion Exchange. *Energy Educ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 21, 71–82.
- 21. Ifa, L.; Syarif, T.; Sabara, Z.; Nurjannah, N.; Munira, M.; Aryani, F. Study on the Kinetics of Epoxidation Reaction of RBD Palm Olein. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *175*, 012035. [CrossRef]
- 22. Musik, M.; Janus, E.; Pełech, R.; Sałaciński, Ł. Effective Epoxidation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters with Hydrogen Peroxide by the Catalytic System H3pw12o40/ Quaternary Phosphonium Salts. *Catalysts* **2021**, *11*, 1058. [CrossRef]

- Ginigini, J.; Lecellier, G.J.; Nicolas, M.; Nour, M.; Hnawia, E.; Lebouvier, N.; Herbette, G.; Lockhart, P.; Raharivelomanana, P. Chemodiversity of *Calophyllum inophyllum* L. Oil Bioactive Components Related to Their Specific Geographical Distribution in the South Pacific Region. *PeerJ* 2019, 2019, 6896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Akram, F.; Haq, I.U.; Raja, S.I.; Mir, A.S.; Qureshi, S.S.; Aqeel, A.; Shah, F.I. Current Trends in Biodiesel Production Technologies and Future Progressions: A Possible Displacement of the Petro-Diesel. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2022**, *370*, 133479. [CrossRef]
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Putri, R.D.A.; Triwibowo, B.; Kurnita, S.C.F.; Anggraeni, N.D.; Veny, H.; Hamzah, F.; Rodhi, M.N.M. Optimisation of Free Fatty Acid Removal in Nyamplung Seed Oil (*Callophyllum inophyllum* L.) Using Response Surface Methodology Analysis. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 29, 2605–2623. [CrossRef]
- Ferreira, A.B.; Lemos Cardoso, A.; da Silva, M.J. Tin-Catalyzed Esterification and Transesterification Reactions: A Review. ISRN Renew. Energy 2012, 2012, 142857. [CrossRef]
- Da Silva, M.J.; Cardoso, A.L. Assessing the Activity of Solid-Suported SnCl<sub>2</sub> Catalysts on the Oleic Acid Esterification for Biodiesel Production. J. Thermodyn. Catal. 2016, 7, 1000173. [CrossRef]
- Sert, E.; Atalay, F.S. Application of Green Catalysts for the Esterification of Benzoic Acid with Different Alcohols. *Celal Bayar Universitesi Fen Bilim. Derg.* 2017, 13, 907–912. [CrossRef]
- Polat, S.; Sayan, P. Application of Response Surface Methodology with a Box–Behnken Design for Struvite Precipitation. *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2019, 30, 2396–2407. [CrossRef]
- Czyrski, A.; Jarzebski, H. Response Surface Methodology as a Useful Tool for Evaluation of the Recovery of the Fluoroquinolones from Plasma-the Study on Applicability of Box-Behnken Design, Central Composite Design and Doehlert Design. *Processes* 2020, 8, 473. [CrossRef]
- Riswanto, F.D.O.; Rohman, A.; Pramono, S.; Martono, S. Application of Response Surface Methodology as Mathematical and Statistical Tools in Natural Product Research. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2019, 9, 125–133. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, Y.C.; Yadav, M.; Upadhyay, S.N. Latest Advances in Degumming Feedstock Oils for Large-Scale Biodiesel Production. Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefining 2019, 13, 174–191. [CrossRef]
- Camacho, J.N.; Romero, R.; Galván Muciño, G.E.; Martínez-Vargas, S.L.; Pérez-Alonso, C.; Natividad, R. Kinetic Modeling of Canola Oil Transesterification Catalyzed by Quicklime. J. Appl. Res. Technol. 2018, 16, 446–454. [CrossRef]
- Yu, H.; Niu, S.; Lu, C.; Wei, W.; Zhang, X. Biodiesel Synthesis by Transesterification Using Coal-Based Solid Acid Catalyst. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2021, 634, 012041. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ho, W.W.S.; Ng, H.K.; Gan, S. Biodiesel Synthesis from Refined Palm Oil Using a Calcium Oxide Impregnated Ash-Based Catalyst: Parametric, Kinetics, and Product Characterization Studies. *Catalysts* **2022**, *12*, 706. [CrossRef]
- 36. Banchero, M.; Gozzelino, G. A Simple Pseudo-Homogeneous Reversible Kinetic Model for the Esterification of Different Fatty Acids with Methanol in the Presence of Amberlyst-15. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 1843. [CrossRef]
- 37. Intarat, N.; Somnuk, K.; Theppaya, T.; Prateepchaikul, G. Acid Value Reduction Process in Mixed Crude Palm Oil by Using Low-Grade Ethanol. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2014**, 1025–1026, 677–682. [CrossRef]
- Kurniati, S.; Soeparman, S.; Yuwono, S.S.; Hakim, L. Characteristics and Potential of Nyamplung (*Calophyllum inophyllum* L.) Seed Oil from Kebumen, Central Java, as a Biodiesel Feedstock. *Int. Res. J. Adv. Eng. Sci.* 2018, *3*, 148–152.
- Kusumaningtyas, R.D.; Prasetiawan, H.; Pratama, B.R.; Prasetya, D.; Hisyam, A. Esterification of Non-Edible Oil Mixture in Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study. J. Phys. Sci. 2018, 29, 212–226. [CrossRef]
- 40. Mohan, S.K.; Viruthagiri, T.; Arunkumar, C. Statistical Optimization of Process Parameters for the Production of Tannase by Aspergillus Flavus under Submerged Fermentation. *3 Biotech* **2014**, *4*, 159–166. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ding, J.; Xia, Z.; Lu, J. Esterification and Deacidification of a Waste Cooking Oil (TAN 68.81 Mg KOH/g) for Biodiesel Production. *Energies* 2012, *5*, 2683–2691. [CrossRef]
- 42. Khan, Z.; Javed, F.; Shamair, Z.; Hafeez, A.; Fazal, T.; Aslam, A.; Zimmerman, W.B.; Rehman, F. Current Developments in Esterification Reaction: A Review on Process and Parameters. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2021**, *103*, 80–101. [CrossRef]
- 43. Ngadi, N.; Ma, L.N.; Alias, H.; Johari, A.; Rahman, R.A.; Mohamad, M. Production of Biodiesel from Waste Cooking Oil via Ultrasonic-Assisted Catalytic System. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, *699*, 552–557. [CrossRef]
- 44. Khudsange, C.R.; Wasewar, K.L. Process Intensification of Esterification Reaction for the Production of Propyl Butyrate by Pervaporation. *Resour. Technol.* 2017, *3*, 88–93. [CrossRef]
- Nindya, C.C.S.; Anggara, D.R.; Nuryoto; Teguh, K. Esterification Glycerol (by Product in Biodiesel Production) with Oleic Acid Using Mordenite Natural Zeolite as Catalyst: Study of Reaction Temperature and Catalyst Loading Effect. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 909, 012001. [CrossRef]
- 46. Rani, K.N.P.; Neeharika, T.S.V.R.; Vardhan, G.H.; Kumar, T.P.; Devi, B.L.A.P. The Kinetics of the Esterification of Free Fatty Acids in Jatropha Oil Using Glycerol Based Solid Acid Catalyst. *Eur. J. Sustain. Dev. Res.* **2020**, *4*, em0116. [CrossRef]
- 47. Handayani, P.A.; Wulansarie, R.; Husaen, P.; Ulfayanti, I.M. Esterification of Nyamplung (*Calophyllum inophyllum*) Oil With Ionic Liquid Catalyst of Bmimhso4 and Microwaves-Assisted. *J. Bahan Alam Terbarukan* **2018**, *7*, 59–63. [CrossRef]
- 48. Ahmadian-Kouchaksaraie, Z.; Niazmand, R. Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction of Antioxidants from Crocus Sativus Petals of Saffron Industry Residues: Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2017, 121, 19–31. [CrossRef]
- 49. Montaño Moreno, J.J.; Palmer Pol, A.; Sesé Abad, A.; Cajal Blasco, B. Using the R-MAPE Index as a Resistant Measure of Forecast Accuracy. *Psicothema* **2013**, *25*, 500–506. [CrossRef]

- Ahmad, A.; Rehman, M.U.; Wali, A.F.; El-Serehy, H.A.; Al-Misned, F.A.; Maodaa, S.N.; Aljawdah, H.M.; Mir, T.M.; Ahmad, P. Box–Behnken Response Surface Design of Polysaccharide Extraction from Rhododendron Arboreum and the Evaluation of Its Antioxidant Potential. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 3835. [CrossRef]
- Jyoti, G.; Keshav, A.; Anandkumar, J. Optimization of Esterification of Acrylic Acid and Ethanol by Box-Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2019, 26, 89–94.
- 52. Mia, M. Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of MQL Assisted End Milling Characteristics Based on RSM and Taguchi Method. *Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed.* 2018, 121, 249–260. [CrossRef]
- 53. Variyana, Y.; Muchammad, R.S.C.; Mahfud, M. Box-Behnken Design for the Optimization Using Solvent-Free Microwave Gravity Extraction of Garlic Oil from *Allium sativum* L. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2019**, *673*, 012005. [CrossRef]
- 54. Cardoso, A.L.; Neves, S.C.G.; da Silva, M.J. Esterification of Oleic Acid for Biodiesel Production Catalyzed by SnCl2: A Kinetic Investigation. *Energies* **2008**, *1*, 79–92. [CrossRef]
- Da Silva, M.J.; Julio, A.A.; Dos Santos, K.T. Sn(II)-Catalyzed β-Citronellol Esterification: A Brønsted Acid-Free Process for Synthesis of Fragrances at Room Temperature. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 1261–1266. [CrossRef]
- 56. Marso, T.M.M.; Kalpage, C.S.; Udugala-Ganehenege, M.Y. Metal Modified Graphene Oxide Composite Catalyst for the Production of Biodiesel via Pre-Esterification of Calophyllum Inophyllum Oil. *Fuel* **2017**, *199*, 47–64. [CrossRef]
- Derringer, G.; Suich, R. Simultaneous Optimization of Several Response Variables. *J. Qual. Technol.* 1980, *12*, 214–219. [CrossRef]
   Park, S.H.; Park, J.O. Simultaneous Optimization of Multiple Responses Using. In *Quality Improvement Through Statistical Methods*;
- Abraham, B., Ed.; Springer Science: New York, NY, USA, 1998; pp. 299–300.
- Aziz, N.A.; AS, N.A.; Noraziman, S. Modified Desirability Function For Optimization of Multiple Responses Nasuhar. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2018, 1, 39–54.
- 60. Amdoun, R.; Khelifi, L.; Khelifi-Slaoui, M.; Amroune, S.; Asch, M.; Assaf-ducrocq, C.; Gontier, E. The Desirability Optimization Methodology; a Tool to Predict Two Antagonist Responses in Biotechnological Systems: Case of Biomass Growth and Hyoscyamine Content in Elicited Datura Starmonium Hairy Roots. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *16*, 11–19. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.