PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

On total edge irregularity strength of tadpole chain graph Tr(6, n)

To cite this article: E Nurdini et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1538 012001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

On total edge irregularity strength of tadpole chain graph $T_r(6,n)$

1538 (2020) 012001

E Nurdini, I Rosyida, and Mulyono

Mathematics Department, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

E-mail: eka.nurdini51@gmail.com;iisisnaini@gmail.com; mulyono.mat@mail.unnes.ac.id

Abstract. Given a graph G(V, E) with a non-empty set of vertices V and a set of edges E. A total labelling $f: V \cup E \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is called an edge irregular total labeling if the weight of every edge is distinct. The weight of an edgee, under the total labeling f, is the sum of label of edgee and all labels of vertices that are incident to e. In other words, wt(xy) = f(xy) + f(xy) = f(xy) + f(f(x) + f(y). The total edge irregularity strength of G, denoted by tes(G) is the minimum k used to label graph G with the edge irregular total labeling. A tadpole chain graph of length r, denoted as $T_r(6,n)$, is a chain graph that consists of tadpole graph T(6,n) on each block. In this paper, we get $tes(T_r(6,n)) = \left[\frac{(6+n)r+2}{3}\right]$ and construct an algorithm to find it.

1. Introduction

Given a simple, connected and undirected graph G = (V(G), E(G)). A labelling of G is a function that assigns a set of elements of G into a set of positive integers [14]. A labelling f on G is said to be a total labeling if its domain is union $V(G) \cup E(G)$. Bača et al. [3] defined an edge irregular total k-labeling as a function $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ which has the weights $wt(uv) \neq wt(xy)$ for every two different edges uv and xy, where wt(e) = wt(uv) = f(u) + f(uv) + f(v). Further, a total edge irregularity strength of G, symbolized by tes(G), is a minimum numberk in edge irregular total klabeling.

The bounds for tes of any graph G was given by Bača et al. [3] as the following:

$$\frac{|G|+2}{3} \le tes(G) \le |E|. \tag{1}$$

Meanwhile, Ivančo and Jendrol [8] found a conjecture for tes of graphG:

$$tes(G) = max\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} |E(G)|+2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Delta(G)+1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(2)

where $\Delta(G)$ is a maximum degree of all vertices of G.

The proof of Conjecture (2) has been revealed by some researchers for some special graphs, such as: Jendrol et al. [9] verified tes of complete and complete bipartite graphs; Ivančo and Jendrol [8] gave tes of any tree. Furthermore, tes of some graph classes has been investigated by many researches as well as presented in Gallian [4]. Mushayt and Ahmad investigated ates of hexagonal grid graphs [10]. Indriati et al. ([6],[7]) found tes of generalized helm and generalized web graphs. Nurdini and Rosyida [11] found tes of dovetail graph with some pendant vertices and related graph. Rosyida and Indriati [13] provided tes of C_3 and C_4 cactus chain graphs with pendant vertices. The readers can find more results on es, tes, and tvs of graphs in [5].

The authors were encouraged by the results in Rosyida et al. [12] that determined tvs of $T_r(4, 1)$ tadpolechain graph. The authors were also interested to the result in [5] that gave an edge irregularity

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

strength (*es*) of cycle chain graphs and results from Ahmad et al. [1] which proposed *es* of several chain graphs. The problem investigated in this paper is different to the result in [12], [5] and [1]. We verify *tes* of tadpole chain graph $T_r(6, n)$ and construct an algorithm to find it.

2. Main Results

In the following investigation, we discuss an exact value of the total edge irregularity strength of $T_r(6,n)$ tadpole chain graph as presented in Theorem 2.1. We refer the concept of $T_r(6,n)$ tadpole chain graph from [2] and [12].

Definition 1 A tadpole graph $T_{(k,n)}$, is the graph created by concatenating an edge from any vertex of C_k with a pendant of P_n for integers $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. The tadpole graph contains m + n vertices and m + n edges.

Definition 2*Givena connected graph* G(V, E). A block cut vertex graph of G is a graph in which the vertices are the blocks and cut vertices of G. A chain graph is a graph which contains some blocks $B_1, B_2, ..., B_r$ so that each pair of block B_i, B_{i+1} has at most one common cut vertex such that the block cut vertex is a path. A chain graph which each block is tadpole graph is called tadpole chain graph.

In a $T_r(6,n)$ tadpole chain graph, each hexagon has cut vertices at most two, each of two hexagons has one common cut vertex, and a path P_n concatenated in each hexagon. The length of the chain is indicated by the number r on $T_r(6,n)$ tadpole chain graph. The notation $T_r(6,n)$ stands for aT(6,n)tadpole chain graph with length r. The formula for *tes* of $T_r(6,n)$ is presented in Theorem 2.3.

Theorem1 Given a tadpole chain graph $T_r(6,n)$ with length r and P_n concatenated in each hexagon. The total edge irregularity strength of $T_r(6,n)$ is

$$tes(T_r(6,n)) = \left\lceil \frac{(6+n)r+2}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Tadpole chain graph $T_r(6,n)$ consists of (6+n)r edges. Let $u_{2i-1}, u_{2i}, v_i, x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}$ be vertices located on each hexagon. Let u_{2i-1}, u_{2i} be the two vertices on the top of hexagon for i = 1, 2, ..., 2r, let v_i be the cut vertices for i = 1, 2, ..., r, and x_{2i-1}, x_{2i} be vertices located on the bottom of hexagon for i = 1, 2, ..., 2r. Let x_{2i-1} be the vertice that concatenated with y_i^n which is the part of y_i^j for i = 1, 2, ..., r and j = 1, 2, ..., n. The lower bound for *tes* of the graph $T_r(6, n)$ is as follows [3]:

$$\left|\frac{(6+n)r+2}{3}\right| \le tes\big(T_r(6,n)\big) \le (6+n)r.$$

Further, we show the upper bound of $\operatorname{tes}(T_r(6,n)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{(6+n)r+2}{3} \right\rceil$ by constructing a total *k*-labeling $f: V \cup E \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ where $k = \left\lceil \frac{(6+n)r+2}{3} \right\rceil$ as follows.

Case 1. For *n* = 3 *mod* 3:

Labels of vertices are defined as the following:

$$f(U_{2i-1}) = f(U_{2i}) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, ..., r$$
$$f(X_{2i-1}) = f(X_{2i}) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$
$$f(V_i) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

$$f(Y_i^j) = \frac{(6+n)i - n + \left(3\left[\frac{j}{3}\right] - 6\right)}{3}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Meanwhile, labels of edges are:

$$f(U_{2i-1}U_{2i}) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

$$f(U_{2i-1}V_i) = f(V_iX_{2i-1}) = f(X_{2i-1}X_{2i}) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

$$f(U_{2i-2}V_i) = f(V_i X_{2i-2}) = \frac{(6+n)i - n + 3}{3}, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

$$f(Y_i^j Y_i^{j+1}) = \frac{(6+n)i - n + \left(3\left[\frac{j+2}{3}\right] - 6\right)}{3}, i = 1, 2, ..., r; j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$f(X_{2i-1}Y_i^n) = \left[\frac{(6+n)i - 8}{3}\right] - 3, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

Case 2. For $n \neq 3 \mod 3$:

Labels of vertices are defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} f(U_{2i-1}) &= f(U_{2i}) = \begin{cases} 3, if \ i = 1; n = 2\\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ 1, if \ i = 1; n = 1\\ 2, if \ i = 1; n = 2\\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ 1, if \ i = 1; n = 2\\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ 1, if \ i = 1 \ and \ n = 1\\ f(V_i) &= \begin{cases} 1, if \ i = 1 \ and \ n = 1\\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ 1, if \ i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ 1, if \ i = 1, 2, \dots, r \end{cases}$$

Meanwhile, labels of edges are:

1538 (2020) 012001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012001

$$\begin{split} f(U_{2i-1}U_{2i}) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 4, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 2, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 1, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 1, \text{if } i = 1, n = 1 \\ 2, \text{if } i = 1, n = 2 \\ \end{cases} \\ f(U_{2i-1}V_i) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 1, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 2, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 2, \text{if } i = 1, n = 2 \end{cases} \\ f(V_iX_{2i-1}) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 2, \text{if } i = 1, n = 2 \end{cases} \\ f(0) &= 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 2, \text{if } i = 1, n = 2 \end{cases} \\ f(V_iX_{2i-1}) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 3, \text{if } i = 1, n = 1, 2 \end{cases} \\ f(X_{2i-1}X_{2i}) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 3, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 3, \text{if } i = 1, n = 1, 2 \end{cases} \\ f(U_{2i-2}V_i) &= f(V_iX_{2i-2}) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 1, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ 1, \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } n = 2 \\ \left[\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right] - 2, & \text{if } ((6+n)i) \mod (3) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r \end{cases} \\ f(V_iY_i^jr_i^{j+1}) &= \left[\frac{(6+n)i-n+j-4}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, ..., r; j = 1, 2, ..., r \\ f(Y_i^jY_i^{j+1}) &= \left[\frac{(6+n)i-n+j-4}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, ..., r; j = 1, 2, ..., n \\ 1, i = 1, n = 1, 2 \\ f(X_{2i-1}Y_i^n) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i-8}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ f(E_{2i-1}Y_i^n) &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(6+n)i-8}{3}\right], i = 1, 2, ..., r \\ f(E_{2i-1}Y_i^n) &= \end{cases} \\ \end{cases} \end{cases} \right]$$

Since we get the labels of vertices and edges are less than or equal to $k = \left[\frac{(6+n)r+2}{3}\right]$, then the labeling *f* is a*k*-total labeling.

Further, we verify that the weights of edges are distinct under the function *f* as follows: $wt(U_{2i-1}U_{2i}) = (6 + n)i, i = 1, 2, ..., r$ $wt(U_{2i-1}V_i) = (6 + n)i - 2, i = 1, 2, ..., r$ $wt(V_iX_{2i-1}) = (6 + n)i - 3, i = 1, 2, ..., r$ $wt(X_{2i-1}X_{2i}) = (6 + n)i - 1, i = 1, 2, ..., r$

1538 (2020) 012001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012001

$$\begin{split} &wt(U_{2i-2}V_i) = (6+n)i+2, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ &wt(V_iX_{2i-2}) = (6+n)i+1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ &wt(Y_i^jY_i^{j+1}) = (6+n)i-n+j-4, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ &wt(X_{2i-1}Y_i^n) = wt(Y_i^{n-1}Y_i^n) + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \end{split}$$

It is clear that the weights of all edges are distinct and we obtain upper bound*tes* $(T_r(6, n)) \leq \left[\frac{(6+n)r+2}{3}\right]$. Thus, we show that tes of tadpole chain graph $T_r(6, n)$ as follows:

$$tes(T_r(6,n)) = \left|\frac{(6+n)r+2}{3}\right|.$$

3. Computational results

In this section, we present computational result of tes of $T_r(6,n)$ graph. Acomputer program by using Matlab R2016a is constructed based on an algorithm in Table 1.

Table1. Algorithm to determine *tes* of $T_r(6, n)$ tadpole chain graph.

Commands: 1 Input r %Length of chain graph input n %Number ofvertices in path Pn 2 for i=1 to r % assign labels to vertices of G 3 $f(U_{2i-1}) = \operatorname{ceil}(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}); \quad f(U_{2i}) = \operatorname{ceil}(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3})$ $f(X_{2i-1}) = \operatorname{ceil}(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}) - 1; \quad f(X_{2i}) = \operatorname{ceil}(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}) - 1$ 4 5 $f(V_i) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right) - 3$ 6 7 for j=1 to n 8 $f(Y_i^j) = \frac{(6+n)i - n + \left(3ceil\left(\frac{j}{3}\right) - 6\right)}{2}$ 9 end 10 end % assign labels to edges and determine the 11 for i=1 to r weights $f(U_{2i-1}U_{2i}) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right) - 3;$ 12 $wt(U_{2i-1}U_{2i}) = (6+n)i$ 13 $f(U_{2i-1}V_i) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right) - 2$ $wt(U_{2i-1}V_i) = (6+n)i - 2$ 14 15 $f(V_i X_{2i-1}) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right) - 2$ $wt(V_i X_{2i-1}) = (6+n)i - 3$ 16 17 $f(X_{2i-1}X_{2i}) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{(6+n)i+2}{3}\right) - 2$ 18 $wt(X_{2i-1}X_{2i}) = (6+n)i - 1$ 19

1538 (2020) 012001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012001

ighs

Commands:

	(6+n)i-n+3
20	$f(U_{2i}V_{i+1}) = \frac{O(1)V_{i+1}}{3}$
21	$wt(U_{2i}V_{i+1}) = (6+n)i + 2,$
22	(6+n)i - n + 3
	$f(V_{i+1}X_{2i}) = \frac{1}{2}$
23	$wt(V_{i+1}X_{2i}) = (6+n)i + 1,$
24	/(6+n)i-8
	$f(X_{2i-1}Y_i^n) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(\frac{C(1+N)^{n-1}}{2}\right) - 3$
	(3)
25	for j=1 to n-1
26	$(6+n)i - n + (3ceil(\frac{j+2}{2}) - 6)$
	$(Y_{j}^{j}Y_{j}^{j+1}) = \frac{(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)}{(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)(3+1)$
	3
27	$wt(Y_{i}^{j}Y_{i}^{j+1}) = (6+n)i - n + j - 4$
28	end
29	end
30	Print [f(edges) wt(edges)]%display edges and the we

As a simulation, we give an illustration of the edge irregular total 13-labeling of $T_4(6,3)$ in Figure 1. The weight of each edge is printed in the red color. By using the algorithm, the labeling output and *tes* of $T_r(6,3)$ from computer program is given in Figure 2.



Figure 1.The total 13-labeling of $T_4(6,3)$.

1538 (2020) 012001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012001

Labe	l_u(2i) I 0	$abel_x(2i)$	Label	yl Lal	oel_y2	Label	_y3 La	bel_y4	Label_v
	4	3 0	1		4	7	1	0	4
	7	6	1		4	7	1	0	7 10
	10	9	1		4	7	1	0	13
	13	12							
				- 1	(0) ()	<i>(</i>)			
Edge	s_u(21-1) u(21) 2	Label	Weight 9	Edges_	_u(21-1) 1	V(1)	L abel 2	Weight 7	
3	4	4	18 27	3	2		5	16 25	
7	8	10	36	7	4		11	23 34	
Edge	$s_x(2i-1) x(2i)$) Label	Weight	Edges	x(2i) v	(i)	Label	Weight	
	1 2 3 4	5	8 17	4	3		6	10 19	
	5 6 7 8	8 11	26 35	6	4 5		9 12	28 37	
Edge	s x(2i-1) y(i)	Label	Weight	Edges	v1 v2	2 Lat	oel We	ight	
		1	5 14	1	2	1	3		
4	5 3	7	23	2	3	2	4		
	7 4	10	32						
Edge	evsv6 Int	al Weight	л I	does v5	v6 I	ahel	Weight		
Lugu	1 2 7	21		1	2	7	21		
	2 3 8	22		2	3 8	8	22		

Matlab output for determining *tes* of $T_4(6,3)$ is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2.The labeling output of total 13-labeling of $T_4(6,3)$ by Matlab.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have invented and proved tes oftadpole chain graph $T_r(6,n)$. We found that $tes(T_r(6,n)) = \left\lceil \frac{(6+n)r+2}{3} \right\rceil$ and an algorithm to find the *tes* is also constructed. In upcoming work, we will investigate *tes* of generalized tadpole chain and generalized cactus chain graphs. Moreover, we present an open problem for further research.

Open Problems The total vertex irregularity strength of generalized tadpole chain and generalized cactus chain graphs

Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by Basic Research Grant, Ristekdikti, with contract number 192/SP2H/LT/BRPM2019.

References

- [1] Ahmad A, Gupta A, Simanjuntak R 2018 Computing the edge irregularity strengths of chain graphs and the join of two graphs *Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications* 6(1) 201-07
- [2] Arockiamary S T 2016 Total edge irregularity strength of diamond snake and dove *IJPAM* **109** 125-132
- Bača M, Jendrol S, Miller M and Ryan J 2007 On irregular total labeling *Discrete Math* 307 1378-1388
- [4] Gallian J A 2017 A dynamic survey of graph labeling *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 19 #DS6
- [5] Imran M, Aslam A, Zafar S and Nazeer W 2017 Further results on edge irregularity strength of graphs *Indonesian Journal of Combinatorics* 1(2) 82-91
- [6] Indriati D, Widodo, Wijayanti I E and Sugeng K A 2013 On the total edge irregularity strength of generalized helm *AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics* **10**(2) 147-55
- [7] Indriati D, Widodo, Wijayanti I E, Sugeng K A and Baca M 2015 On total edge irregularity strength of generalized web graphs and related graphs *Mathematics in Computer Science* 9 161-67
- [8] Ivančo J and Jendrol S 2006 Total edge irregularity strength of trees *Discussiones Math Graph Theory* 26 449-456
- [9] Jendrol S, Miskuf J and Sotak R 2010 Total edge irregularity strength of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs *Discrete Mathematics* **310**(3) 400-07
- [10] Mushayt O A and Ahmad A 2012 On the total edge irregularity strength of hexagonal grid graphs *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **53** 263–271
- [11] Nurdini E, Rosyida I 2018 On total edge irregularity strength of dove tail graph with pendant vertices and its subdivision *J of Phys: Conference Series* **1217** 012064
- [12] Rosyida I, Mulyono and Indriati D 2019 Determining total vertex irregularity strength of $T_r(4, 1)$ tadpole chain graph and its computation *Procedia Computer Science* **157** 699–706
- [13] Rosyida I, Indriati D 2018 On total edge irregularity strength of some cactus chain graphs with pendant vertices *J of Phys: Conference Series* **1211** 012016
- [14] Wallis W D 2001 Magic Graphs Birkhauser Boston