

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY TO CLASS VII STUDENTS IN TERMS OF SELF REGULATED LEARNING

by 31 Wardono

Submission date: 14-Apr-2023 07:38PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2064362930

File name: 31.pdf (900.03K)

Word count: 4150

Character count: 22689

20
MATHEMATICAL LITERACY TO CLASS VII STUDENTS IN TERMS OF SELF REGULATED LEARNING

*Domnikus Tasekeb¹, Wardono², Mulyono³
Semarang State University, Indonesia

Abstract:

This study aims to describe the mathematical literacy of class VII students in problems solving by loading indicators of mathematical literacy in terms of self regulated learning. This type of research is descriptive qualitative and the subject-taking technique is based on random sampling technique, obtained nine students in each category. Self regulated learning represented by three students to represent each (high, moderate and low category). The results showed that subjects with high self regulated learning were able to complete the three questions containing the seven indicators of mathematical literacy, subjects with moderate self regulated learning achieved good enough mathematical literacy skills, could achieve the seven indicators of mathematical literacy skills even though there were some errors in calculations and basic concepts and subjects with low self regulated learning on average did not reach the seven indicators of mathematical literacy only reached the first indicator to the fourth indicator.

Keywords : *Mathematical Literacy, Self Regulated Learning*

16
Correspondence Address : Kampus Pascasarjana UNNES Jalan Kelud Utara III, Semarang, Indonesia
E-mail : dominikustasekeb@gmail.com , telephone numbers +6282145551449

1. Introduction

Mathematics needs to be taught at every level of education. By studying mathematics students' can develop logical, systematic, analytical, critical, and creative thinking skills (Tasekeb, Wardono, & Mulyono, 2019). Mathematics is a subject that requires problem-solving skills. On the other hand, in the process of solving mathematical problems, mathematical literacy is required.

According to the Great Indonesian Dictionary (KBBI) Mathematical Literacy is described as follows: literacy is a personal ability to process information and knowledge for life skills, while Nugraha (2016) defines literacy as the ability to read and write, then develops to a process of reading, writing, speaking, hear, imagine, and see. Literacy according to the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) quoted by Aminah & Karomah (2019), is defined as knowledge and skills for adult life.

The term mathematical literacy has five main elements in problem-solving by the National Council of Teacher of Mathematics (NCTM), including exploring, mathematical proving, communication, connection, and representation (Allen et al., 2020). Meanwhile, Ojose (2011) stated that mathematical literacy is the knowledge to reveal and apply the basics of mathematics in everyday life. In line with the opinion of Johar (2012) that explain mathematical literacy emphasizes knowledge and understanding of mathematical concepts are very important, but it is more important to implement literacy to solve problems in everyday life, as well as the individual's ability to formulate, apply and interpret mathematics in various contexts.

10 Mathematical literacy is defined as an individual's ability to formulate mathematics, apply mathematics and interpret mathematics in various contexts, including systematically reasoning and using concepts, procedures, and facts to explain and interpret phenomena 30 events (Habibi & Suparman, 2020). Wardono et al (2016) acknowledge that the application of mathematical literacy in the process of solving mathematical problems in everyday life is essential. Mathematics learning in school hood is still considered tough by some students that are not interested in participating in mathematics learning therefore it is necessary to see student readiness, including their learning independence process. In applying mathematical literacy, it must include relevant mathematical concepts, mathematically modeled life contexts, increase conceptual understanding and thinking 5 skill development (Syahlan, 2015).

The importance of mathematical literacy skills is shown by 5 the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study conducted in 2015 indicating that Indonesian students' n 5 mathematical literacy skills are not optimal yet, as shown in the results of a survey that Indonesia is ranked 69th out of 76 countries (Education, 2015). The survey outcome was responded by several researchers who have studied mathematical literacy in Indonesia, such as Masjaya & Wardono (2018), Sari & Wijaya (2017), which aim to improve mathematical literacy skills with various models and learning approaches applied. Allen et al (2020) stated that several important aspects must be studied to improve literacy skills, among others, equality in mathematical education, high ambition, and participation in learning mathematics with good understanding and actively developing their knowledge.

Learning independence is seen as one aspect that affects student motivation to learn as if students are well prepared, enthusiasm for learning will arise. Learning independence is an awareness activity to learn without any encouragement from the environment around and also realize their responsibility as a student in dealing with learning difficulties (Yanti Silvia, 2017). Participation in mathematics learning is seen from preparation, which means that before participating in learning at school it takes time for 7 dependent study to build self-confidence (Supriani, 2017). Alhadi & Supriyanto (2017), claim that the results of the study of learning independence refer to the process of students systematically directing themselves to understand the lessons given and behave in a learning activity. It is necessary 15 to prepare students' self regulated learning in implementing mathematical literacy ability so that students can 3 respond or solve problems related to mathematics with enthusiasm to achieve learning objectives. 3 Based on the description above, this study will describe the ability of students' mathematical literacy in terms of self regulated learning in seventh-grade students of Liman State Junior High School.

6 Methodology

21 This research is descriptive with a qualitative approach. The research was conducted on seventh-grade students of Liman State Junior High School in the Academic Year 2021/2022. The main subjects in this study were seventh-graders in grades A and B. Observations and interviews with teachers were conducted to determine the participation and activeness of students in mathematics learning activities to determine the categories of students who have high, medium, and low self regulated learning. The instruments used in this study include interview guidelines, questions about

mathematical literacy, and learning independence questionnaires. Data sources in this study are the results of tests, questionnaires, interview¹¹ and documentation. The data collection technique in this study was to provide three test items for mathematical literacy skills in the form of stories questions and disseminating learning independence questionnaires. After the questions and questionnaires have been validated, hereafter the questions are given to the research subject to be solved along with the questionnaire. Subject taking technique based on random sampling technique obtained 9 subjects to represent each category of learning independence, namely 3 subjects representing high learning independence, 3 subjects representing moderate learning independence and 3 subjects representing low learning independence. The results of student work with⁶ subject interview guidelines will be corrected and nine subjects selected as analysis material. Data analysis in this study uses the Miles and Huberman model, which includes: (1) data reduction, (2) data presentation, (3) drawing conclusions.

3. Result and Discussion

The test of learning outcomes of mathematical literacy abilities of students with low, medium, and¹⁹ high self regulated learning skills using indicators of mathematical literacy ability as follows (1) Communication, (2) Mathematizing, (3) Representation, (4) Reasoning and Argument, (5) Devising Strategies, (6) Using Symbols, (7) Using Mathematics Tools. Learning outcomes test using three items of literacy ability test can be described in table 1 as follows.

Table 3.1. Items for Mathematical Literacy Ability Test

Number	Item
1	A rectangle has the length $(2x+3)$ cm and width $(x+6)$ cm with a perimeter of 60 cm, what is area of a rectangle?
2	A rectangular futsal court has an area of 150 m^2 . The futsal field will be given a barrier on each side of the field. It costs IDR 25,000 for the installation of a barrier per meter. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is the perimeter of the futsal field? What is the total cost required for the installation of the futsal field barrier? Write down in detail what is known and what is being asked and then the steps in problem solving process!
3	A city park is in the shape of a rhombus with an area of 384 m^2 . The government wants to build a road around the park with a width of 1 m measured from each corner of the park. The entire road surface will be tiled for IDR 35,000.00/m ² . <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the parks and roads that will be built by the government. If the government has IDR. 2,000,000.00, is it sufficient to build a road around the park? Write in detail the steps to solve the problem above!

Interviews and tests were conducted on three research subjects based on each category of self regulated learning. The results of self regulated learning questionnaire analysis are in table 2.

Table 2 analysis of self regulated learning

Self Regulated Learning Category	Student	Percentage
High self regulated learning	11	34,375%
Moderate self regulated learning	11	34,375%
Low self regulated learning	10	31,25%
Quantity	32	100%

Table 2, the questionnaire analysis then selected nine students randomly from the three categories, each category of learning independence was represented by three students for interviews and analysis of work results.

By the three available questions, three students will be presented in high learning independence, the subject is given a code of STA for the subject who is working on question number 1, STB for the subject who is working on question number 2 and STC for the subject who is working on question number 3. Subjects with moderate independence will be represented by 3 subjects, the subject is coded SSA for the subject working on question number 1, SSB for the subject working on question number 2 and SSC for the subject working on question number 3. In the low learning independence category, 3 people were randomly selected to work on the questions with the subject code given SRA for students working on question number 1, SRB for subjects working on question number 2 and SRC for subjects working on question number 3.

3.1 Mathematical Literacy for High Self Regulated Learning


Subjects with high learning independence on mathematical literacy skills in solving questions number 1, 2 and 3 which contain indicators of mathematical literacy Communication, Mathematizing, Representation, Reasoning and Argument, Devising Strategies, Using Symbols, Using Mathematics Tool. Subjects with high learning independence can answer the questions given by the researcher completely and correctly. Based on the results of the work, the subject can understand the questions well, answer questions, sketch and provide conclusions on answers correctly and correctly. The results of the work are shown in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.

Diket: - panjang sebuah persegi panjang $(2x+3)$ cm
 - lebar $(x+6)$ cm
 - keliling 60 cm
 Ditanya: luas persegi panjang?
 Jawab:
 keliling persegi panjang: $2p + 2l$
 $60 = 2(2x+3) + 2(x+6)$
 $60 = 4x + 6 + 2x + 12$
 $60 = 6x + 18$
 $60 - 18 = 6x$
 $42 = 6x$
 $7 = x$
 panjang = $2x+3$ Lebar = $x+6$
 $= 2(7)+3 = 7+6$
 $= 14+3 = 13$ cm
 Luas persegi panjang: $P \times L$
 $= 17 \times 13$
 $= 221$ cm²

Figure 1 result of question number 1 subject STA


2. Diket: - Luas Lapangan Futsal berbentuk persegi panjang adalah 150 m^2
 - Lapangan futsal akan diberi pembatas di setiap sis. dengan biaya Rp 25.000,00 setiap meter
 Ditanya: a. keliling lapangan futsal?
 b. harga yang diperlukan untuk memasang pembatas?

Jawab
 a. Luas Lapangan: $P \times L = 150 \text{ m}^2$
 Jika $p = 15 \text{ m}$ dan $l = 10 \text{ m}$
 maka:
 keliling = $2 p + 2 l$
 $= 2(15) + 2(10)$
 $= 30 + 20$
 $= 50 \text{ m}$
 b. biaya pemasangan pembatas: $50 \times \text{Rp } 25.000$
 $= \text{Rp } 1.250.000$



2
 Figure 2 results of question number 2 subject STB

3. Diket: - Luas taman berbentuk belah ketupat adalah 284 m^2
 - Panjang salah satu diagonalnya adalah 26 m
 - Panjang sisi pembatas di pinggir taman adalah 34 m
 Ditanya: a. Berapakah jumlah dan jenis yang dibuat oleh pembatas?
 b. Berapakah dana yang diperlukan untuk pemasangan pembatas tersebut?

Jawab
 a.

 Luas belah ketupat = $\frac{1}{2} \times d_1 \times d_2$
 $= \frac{1}{2} \times 26 \times 26$
 $= 492 \text{ m}^2$
 Sehingga luas jalan adalah
 $L_j = L_p - L_k$
 $= 284 - 492$
 $= 504$
 Dana yang dibutuhkan: $504 \text{ m}^2 \times \text{Rp } 38.000$
 $= \text{Rp } 20.352.000,00$
 Jadi, dana milik pemerintah sebesar Rp 2000.000,00 tidak cukup untuk pemasangan pembatas.

2
 Figure 3 the results of question number 3 subject STC

In Figure 1, the STA subject answers questions in a rare order and is done correctly until he draws conclusions from the questions being worked on. STA subjects are able to make mathematical equations needed to help solve problems. The following is an excerpt from the interview with the STA subject in concluding question number 1.

Researcher : "From the question number 1 that has been done, what concept do we use and what kind of description does it work?"

STA : "Using the concept of substitution, elimination continues around the perimeter of the rectangle. The conclusion is that after finding the length and width, sir, you have to look for x first, so that you can use elimination substitution, sir."

From the interview schedule supported by the results of the work, it is clear that the STA subject is able to master and solve problem number 1 properly and correctly. STB subjects in solving problem number 2 correctly and correctly seen from Figure 2 the subject seems to understand and conclude the questions given by the researcher seen from interview quotes.

Researcher : "Give your argument or opinion, why do you make such a conclusion?"

STB : "The point is, sir, to find out what the total price needed for the installation of the futsal barrier is, look around first, because the field barrier is on the edges of the field, so that's about it, sir"

From the interview schedule, the STB subject was able to sketch and firmly conclude question number 2 correctly. In Figure 3, the STC subject is able to describe the questions with and during the interview the subject can conclude the questions correctly. Here is the interview excerpt.

Researcher : "Are you sure the answer is complete. Try to give the solution you made, what is the conclusion?"

STC : “Yes sir. So first I drew the garden and then I looked for the area of the whole rhombus with the reduced size rhombus, I found the required tile area, then multiplied by the tile price per meter. In conclusion, the government funds are not enough to build a roadside park”.

15 Based on the results of the work and interview quotes, subjects with high learning independence can answer questions number 1, 2 and 3 correctly given by the researcher, the subject sketches a rectangular image, futsal field, city park and the subject can also make the right mathematical symbols to help the process of solving problems and being able to conclude the three questions correctly and correctly. This proves that subjects with high learning independence can use Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols, Devising Strategies, Using Mathematics Tools and Reason and Argument on indicators of mathematical literacy well.

3.2 Mathematical Literacy for Medium Self Regulated Learning

Subjects of moderate learning independence towards mathematical literacy skills can solve questions number 1, 2, and 3 which contain mathematical literacy indicators Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols, Devising Strategies, Using Mathematics Tool and Reason and Argument. From the three questions, the subject can solve the problem appropriate and correctly and conclude the problem. The results of the work of the subject of moderate learning independence for questions number 1, 2, and 3 are shown in the following figure.

Diketahui:

- Panjang $(2x+3)$ cm
- Lebar $(x+6)$ cm
- kecil persegi panjang 60 cm

Ditanya: Luas persegi panjang?

$$2p + 2l = 60$$

$$2 \cdot (2x+3) + 2 \cdot (x+6) = 60$$

$$4x+6 + 2x+12 = 60$$

$$6x + 18 = 60$$

$$6x = 60 - 18$$

$$6x = 42$$

$$x = \frac{42}{6}$$

$$x = 7$$

Sehingga di peroleh:

Panjang = $2x+3$	Luas Persegi Panjang = $p \times l$
= $2 \cdot 7 + 3$	= 17×13
= $14 + 3$	= 221 cm^2
= 17	
Lebar = $x+6$	
= $7+6$	
= 13	

Scanned by TapScanner

Figure 4 result of question number 1 subject SSA




Figure 5 results of question number 2 subject SSB




Figure 6 the results of question number 3 subject SSC

Figure 4 shows the work of the SSA subject who answered question number 1 with sequential steps and was done correctly and made conclusions from the questions that were worked on and the SSA subject was able to make the mathematical equations needed in the problem to solve the problem. The following is an excerpt from the interview with the SSA subject in concluding the question number 1 listed.

Researcher : What concepts are applied to question number 1 and what are the conclusions?

SSA : "Using the concept of rectangle, elimination and elimination. In conclusion, look for the x value first, then eliminate it. That's the x first, sir, so that you find the length and width, so you can find the perimeter of the rectangle later."

SSA subjects with moderate learning independence are able to answer question number 1 correctly and can conclude correctly but the subject is lacking in sketching the answer. The SSB subject with moderate learning independence in solving problem number 2 can be seen in Figure 5, it appears that the SSB subject is able to solve the problem correctly and is able to conclude correctly. The following is an excerpt from an interview with the subject.

Researcher : "From the solution you made, what is the conclusion?"

SSB : "Sir. So the total cost required for the installation of a futsal field barrier is one million two hundred and fifty thousand rupiah. I usually when I make a conclusion, I rewrite the question".

The results of the work of the SSB subject for question number 2 clearly the subject solved the given problem and the SSB subject was able to conclude the question correctly but the subject did not complete his work by sketching and the subject seemed to understand the problem so that the subject immediately answered without using the mathematical completion process based on mathematical literacy indicators .

In Figure 6, the SSC subject with moderate learning independence is solving problem number 3 correctly and the subject is able to use mathematical symbols correctly, write complete conclusions and the SSC subject fluently answers in full, which is known in the following interview excerpt.

Researcher : "Are you sure what you answered and wrote down was complete and what was the conclusion?"

SSC : "I'm sure you're right, sir, the conclusion is because the government has prepared IDR 2,000,000 from the proceeds of 2,030,000, so the funds are not enough to build roads"

The results of the work and excerpts from the interview show that the subject of SSC solves the problem correctly and concludes the problem correctly and the subject is able to sketch a garden that is shaped like a rhombus.

Based on the test results and the results of interviews conducted by researchers to subjects with moderate learning independence who were able to complete the three items of the mathematical literacy ability test correctly but were incomplete in explaining the completion steps and in questions number 1 and 2 the subject did not include pictures as a reference for meet the indicators of Communication, Representation Mathematizing, Using Symbols, Devising Strategies, Using Mathematics Tool and Reason and Argument.

3.3 Mathematical Literacy for Low Self Regulated Learning

Subjects with low learning independence were able to complete three questions on the mathematical literacy ability test which contained mathematical literacy indicators Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols, Devising Strategies, Using Mathematics Tool and Reason and Argument. Subjects are able to complete the questions on time and are able to answer some of the available questions. The results of the work of the subject of learning independence are low in the following picture.

1. Diketahui : Panjang persegi panjang $(2x+3)$
 Lebar persegi panjang $(x+6)$.
 Keliling persegi panjang 60

Ditanya : Luas persegi panjang?

Jawab : Keliling persegi panjang
 $2p + 2l = 60$
 $2(2x+3) + 2(x+6) = 60$
 $(4x+6) + (2x+12) = 60$
 $6x + 18 = 60$
 $6x = 60 - 18$
 $x = \frac{42}{6}$
 $x = 7$

Jawab Luas persegi panjang adalah 17.

Figure 7 result of question number 1 subject SRA

Date:

Diketahui : Luas lapangan 150
 panjang lapangan 15
 lebar lapangan 10

Ditanya : ~~Luas~~ Keliling lapangan dan biaya pemasangan pagar?

Jawab : Keliling lapangan
 $2(p+l) = 2(15+10) = 50$

Untuk mencari biaya pemasangan
 $150 - 50 = 100$

Biaya pemasangan = Rp 25.000 x 100 = 2.500.000

Figure 8 results of question number 2 subject SRB

Date:

3 Diketahui : Luas belah ketupat 384
 Harga ubin 35.000/m²

Ditanya : gambarkan taman yang akan dibangun dan
 Membutuhkan biaya Rp 200.000 apakah cukup

Jawab : gambar taman berbentuk belah ketupat




Figure 9 the results of question number 3 subject SRC

Figure 7 SRA subjects with low learning independence on question number 1 the subject is able to answer the question but in the process of completing the subject the subject has not finished solving the problem correctly. The following is an excerpt from an interview with the subject of SRA.

Researcher : *"Based on the mathematical model that you have made, it relates roughly to what concepts and conclusions from question number 1 are like, Tell me about your work!"*.

SRA : *"The concept of a rectangular area, sir. (subject is silent and stares at his work)*

The SRA subject understands the concept but when working on the subject has not been completed and from the results of work and interviews it appears that the subject understands the problem given but the subject lacks accuracy in the completion process. In Figure 8, the subject of SRB with moderate learning independence clearly understands the problem given by the researcher, but the subject is less careful in solving the problem, as can be seen in the excerpt of the interview with the SRB subject.

Researcher : *" From the solutions you made, what are the conclusions?"*

SRB : *" can you read the question sir? So I multiplied the area of the field by the price, sir, the total cost needed to install the futsal field barrier is two million five hundred rupiah."*

The results of the work and interviews showed that the SRB subject in solving question number 2 was able to answer but was not careful, causing errors in the final results. Figure 9 the work of the SRC subject with low learning independence in solving question number 3, the SRC subject cannot answer the question on the question but the subject's answer is able to sketch a rhombus. The following is an excerpt from the SRC subject interview.

Researcher : *"How are the questions on the known questions and become the problems in the questions?"*

SRC : *"(The subject is silent).. Actually I am confused, sir, how to do it, what I wrote was only known and asked and I can only sketch, sir"*.

The results of the work of the SRC subject are supported by interview quotes, it appears that the subject does not understand the concepts that will be used in the settlement.

The results of the work and interviews of subjects with low learning independence can work on questions number 1 and 2 correctly and the subject understands the concept in completion but the subject is not careful enough to cause errors while in question number 3 the subject of low learning independence does not understand the concept so that he is able to do as much as possible by writing down known to be asked and the subject's answer is able to sketch a rhombus-shaped garden. This shows that the subject of low learning independence achieves four mathematical indicators the form of mathematics Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols. Based on the results of the study, information was obtained that in most of the problems involving the use of mathematical literacy, students with low learning independence could express their mathematical ideas in written form, although they were incomplete.

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of subject work analysis and interviews described above, as well as interviews with their mathematics teachers, it can be said that students' literacy skills are quite good. Students with high learning independence are able to solve and solve problems with complete and coherent steps (devising strategies). Students are able to design and implement strategies to find

mathematical solutions.. On indicators of conclusion (reasoning and argument) students are able to explain correctly and completely. Students are able to reflect and argue with reasonable reasons. Students with moderate learning independence are able to solve problems properly and correctly and students with moderate independence are able to conclude the three questions, but from the three questions given, it appears that the subject is still lacking in sketching on questions number 1 and 2 on question number 3, the subject solves the problem. completely and able to contain indicators of mathematical literacy Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols, Devising Strategies, Using Mathematics Tool and Reason and Argument. Subjects with low learning independence are still not sufficient in implementing mathematical literacy indicators, it appears that subjects with low learning independence can only achieve four indicators of mathematical literacy in the form of Communication, Representation, Mathematizing, Using Symbols,

REFERENCES

- Alhadi, S., & Supriyanto, A. (2017). Self-regulated learning concept: Student learning progress. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Peran Bimbingan Konseling Dalam Penguatan Pendidikan Karakter*, 333–342.
- Allen, C. E., Froustet, M. E., LeBlanc, J. F., Payne, J. N., Priest, A., Reed, J. F., ... Payne, J. N. (2020). National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 29(5), 59. <https://doi.org/10.5951/at.29.5.0059>
- Aminah, S., & Karomah, N. (2019). *Kemampuan Literasi Matematika Ditinjau dari Kreativitas Melalui Pendekatan Open Ended Problems (OEP)*. 2, 51–57.
- Education, E. I. N. (2015). *PISA 2015 Volume 1*.
- Habibi, H., & Suparman, S. (2020). Literasi Matematika dalam Menyambut PISA 2021 Berdasarkan Kecakapan Abad 21. *JKPM (Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Matematika)*, 6(1), 57. <https://doi.org/10.30998/jkpm.v6i1.8177>
- Johar, R. (2012). Domain Soal PISA untuk Literasi Matematika. *Jurnal Peluang*, 1(1), 30.
- Masjaya, & Wardono. (2018). Pentingnya Kemampuan Literasi Matematika untuk Menumbuhkan Kemampuan Koneksi Matematika dalam Meningkatkan SDM. *PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika*, 1, 568–574.
- Nugraha, R. S. (2016). Pengertian Literasi. Retrieved from online website: <https://www.tintapendidikanindonesia.com/2016/10/pengertian-literasi.html>
- Ojose, B. (2011). Mathematics literacy : are we able to put the mathematics we learn into everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(1), 89–100.
- Sari, R. H. N., & Wijaya, A. (2017). Mathematical literacy of senior high school students in Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 100. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v4i1.10649>
- Supriani, Y. (2017). Menumbuhkan Kemandirian Belajar Matematika Siswa Berbantuan Quipper School. *JIPMat*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.26877/jipmat.v1i2.1248>

- Syahlan. (2015). Literasi Matematika dalam Kurikulum 2013. *Jurnal Penelitian, Pemikiran Dan Pengabdian*, 3(1), 36–43.
- Tasekeb, D., Wardono, W., & Mulyono. (2019). Kemampuan Literasi Matematika Ditinjau dari Kemandirian Belajar pada Pembelajaran MEA Pendekatan Saintifik. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana UNNES 2019*, 1–5. Retrieved from <https://proceeding.unnes.ac.id/index.php/snpsca/article/view/339>
- Wardono, Waluya, S. B., Mariani, S., & Candra, S. D. (2016). Mathematics Literacy on Problem Based Learning with Indonesian Realistic Mathematics Education Approach Assisted E-Learning Edmodo. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 693(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/693/1/012014>
- Yanti Silvia, S. E. S. (2017). *Kemandirian Belajar Dalam Memaksimalkan Kualitas Pembelajaran*. (December), 1–10.

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY TO CLASS VII STUDENTS IN TERMS OF SELF REGULATED LEARNING

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

17%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Submitted to Universitas Negeri Manado 2%

Student Paper
- 2 A Nurzalena, E Susanti, H Hapizah, M Meryansumayeka, A Miswanto. "Design of problem-solving questions for measuring mathematical thinking type mathematization", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 2%

Publication
- 3 Isnaeni Umi Machromah, Naufal Ishartono, Angie Mirandhani, Muhroji, Muh. Samsudin, Wahiduddin Basry, Ernitasari. "PISA Problems Solving of Students with a Visual Learning Styles", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 1%

Publication
- 4 A P Yuniarti, I Pramudya, I Slamet. "Analysis of mathematic creative thinking skill with the material of matrix", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 1%

Publication

5	M Prabawati, T Herman, Turmudi. "Mathematical literacy skills students of the junior high school in term of gender differences", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	1 %
6	journal.uad.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
7	Zaenuri, F Imam, S E Nugroho. "The effectiveness of problem based learning (PBL) model with ethnomatematics to improve mathematics literation ability of high school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	1 %
8	pdf.eu-jer.com Internet Source	1 %
9	Wardono, S Mariani. "The analysis of mathematics literacy on PMRI learning with media schoology of junior high school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	1 %
10	Submitted to Curtin University of Technology Student Paper	1 %
11	Fifi Khairun Nisa, Elly Arliani. "Junior high school students' mathematical literacy in	1 %

terms of mathematical self-efficacy", Jurnal Elemen, 2023

Publication

12

Chrisnaji Banindra Yudha, Asep Supena, Yufiarti, Nurfatanah, Vina Iasha. "Use Brain Based Learning During The Covid-19 Pandemic", Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education, 2020

Publication

<1 %

13

Submitted to IAIN Ambon

Student Paper

<1 %

14

Submitted to Universitas Negeri Semarang

Student Paper

<1 %

15

Wardono, , S B Waluya, Scolastika Mariani, and S Candra D. "Mathematics Literacy on Problem Based Learning with Indonesian Realistic Mathematics Education Approach Assisted E-Learning Edmodo", Journal of Physics Conference Series, 2016.

Publication

<1 %

16

Submitted to Fachhochschule Nordwestschweiz

Student Paper

<1 %

17

N Maryani, D B Widjajanti. "Mathematical literacy: How to improve it using contextual

<1 %

teaching and learning method?", Journal of
Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

18

eprints.umm.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

19

repository.radenintan.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

20

www.w.ijern.com

Internet Source

<1 %

21

A. R. Dewi, D. B. Widjajanti. "Effectiveness of scientific approach based on multiple intelligences theory in terms of student's mathematical literacy skill and self-confidence that implemented online", AIP Publishing, 2022

Publication

<1 %

22

L J Shodiq, A Rokhmawati. "Development cognitive neuroscience based learning to use lesson study for learning community to increase mathematical literacy", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021

Publication

<1 %

23

M Wesna, Wardono, Masrukan. "Mathematical literacy ability in terms of the independent learning students on reciprocal teaching learning models with approaching

<1 %

RME assisted by google classroom", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021

Publication

24

Submitted to Mahidol University

Student Paper

<1 %

25

Santi sulistiya Santi. "kemampuan literasi matematika siswa kelas X SMA Negeri 1 Sumber yang ditinjau dari self-efficacy pada materi trigonometri", JUMLAHKU: Jurnal Matematika Ilmiah STKIP Muhammadiyah Kuningan, 2021

Publication

<1 %

26

ejournal.unwaha.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

27

mail.openaccesspub.org

Internet Source

<1 %

28

P Novitasari, B Usodo, L Fitriana. "Visual, Symbolic, and Verbal Mathematics Representation Abilities in Junior High School's Students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021

Publication

<1 %

29

Novita Sari, Zuli Nuraeni, Novika Sukmaningthias. "Interaction between RME-based blended learning and self-regulated learning in improving mathematical literacy", Jurnal Elemen, 2022

Publication

<1 %

30

Submitted to University of West Georgia

Student Paper

<1 %

31

journal.uin-alauddin.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

32

jurnal.upmk.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

33

jurnalfaktarbiyah.iainkediri.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 10 words

Exclude bibliography On

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY TO CLASS VII STUDENTS IN TERMS OF SELF REGULATED LEARNING

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12
