PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Lead-polyester resin composite as an alternative material for radiation protection in radiography

To cite this article: I Yulianti et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1567 032069

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

 Development of a head CT dose index (CTDI) phantom based on polyester resin and methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKP): a preliminary study Rumaisya Hillmawati, Heri Sutanto, Choirul

Anam et al.

- <u>Uncertainty calculations for the</u> measurement of *in vivo* bone lead by x-ray fluorescence J M O'Meara and D E B Fleming
- Lead confinement and fluorimetric detection using zeolites: towards a rapid and cost-effective detection of lead in water

José Adán Moreno-Torres, Mario Flores-Acosta, Rafael Ramírez-Bon et al.



The Electrochemical Society Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

242nd ECS Meeting

Oct 9 – 13, 2022 • Atlanta, GA, US Early hotel & registration pricing ends September 12

Presenting more than 2,400 technical abstracts in 50 symposia

The meeting for industry & researchers in

ENERGY TECHNOLOG



ECS Plenary Lecture featuring M. Stanley Whittingham, Binghamton University Nobel Laureate – 2019 Nobel Prize in Chemistry



This content was downloaded from IP address 103.23.103.6 on 05/09/2022 at 04:02

Lead-polyester resin composite as an alternative material for radiation protection in radiography

I Yulianti^{*}, Susilo, Masturi, T Susanti, and R Setiawan

Physics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: ianyulianti@mail.unnes.ac.id

Abstract. This work presents synthesization and characterization of polyester resin doped with lead acetate (Pb (CH₃COO)₂) as an alternative material for radiation protection in radiography. Five samples were synthesized with various lead concentration which are 0%, 3.5%, 3.75%, 4% and 4.5%. The samples were characterized using X-ray, He-Ne laser, and FTIR. The results showed that as lead concentration was increased, optical density increased while X-ray transmission decreased. It were found that the attenuation coefficients for each samples were 0.132 cm⁻¹, 0.435 cm⁻¹, 0.543 cm⁻¹, 0.691 cm⁻¹, and 1.156 cm⁻¹. In terms of transmission and attenuation coefficient, lead-polyester composite with lead concentration of 3.5% has an optimum performance.

1. Introduction

Radiation protection is a very important aspect in controlling the effect of radiography. Therefore, every nuclear installation and radiology unit must pay attention to radiation protection. Commonly, materials that can be used as shields so that radiation exposure does not spread to undesirable places are concrete, lead, steel, and other heavy materials. Among them, lead has the best performance since it has high atomic number, high density, low cost and easy to be processed [1]. However, the usage of lead causes new problem since lead is toxic that could harm human life and pollute the environment [2][3]. Moreover, lead has disadvantages in terms of low chemical stability, low mechanical strength and low service flexibility [4]. Therefore, numerous efforts have been carried out to reduce the usage of lead in radiation shield such as using polyester steel composite [5], ethylene vinyl acetate [6], poly(methyl methacrylate)/colemanite composite [7] and polyimide composite [8].

In this work, we propose to use polyester resin for radiation shield. Polyester resin was chosen since it is a low cost material with comparable mechanical strength, although it is not as high as that of other resins such as epoxy resin. Polyester resin has been used for various applications such as Braille block [9], particleboard [10] and bridge deck pavement [11]. To improve the radiation protection, the There are various lead compounds as a source of lead such as lead polyester resin was doped by lead. acetate (Pb (CH3COO)2), lead monoxide (PbO) and lead nitrate (Pb(NO₃)₂). However, lead monoxide and lead nitrate could not dissolve in resin catalyst, high melting temperature, and high cost. Therefore, lead acetate was chosen since it can dissolve in resin catalyst and also low cost.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

6th International Conference on Mathematics, S	cience, and Education (IC	CMSE 2019)	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1567 (2020) 032069	doi:10.1088/1742	-6596/1567/3/032069

2. Methods

Polyester-lead composite was made from a mixture of unsaturated polyester resin (Yukalac 157 BQTN-EX) as a matrix material with a density of $1.215 \text{ g} / \text{cm}^3$, melting point of 170°C , water absorption of 0.118% (24 hours), tensile strength of 5.5 kg/mm^2 and modulus of elasticity of 300 kg/mm². To accelerate the reaction, MEKPO (Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide) was used as a catalyst. Samples were made with different concentration of lead acetate which were 0%, 3.5%, 3.75%, 4% and 4.5%. After the samples were mixed homogeneously, the samples were heated above magnetic stirrer at temperature of 50°C for 5 minutes. Then, the composites were poured into mold with thickness of 1cm. Image of polyester-lead composite for various lead concentrations is depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Digital radiography image of polyester-lead composite for various lead concentrations

Characterization in terms of attenuation coefficient (μ), penetrating power (*PP*), atomic structure and atomic distance were carried out using digital radiography, He-Ne laser and FTIR test, respectively. Attenuation characterization was accomplished by exposing the samples into X-ray resulted from digital radiography equipment with cathode voltage of 50 kV, tube current of 16 mA, shooting distance of 100 cm and exposure time of 0.1 seconds. By observing the incident X-ray intensity (I_o) and the transmitted intensity after penetrating the samples (I), attenuation coefficient can be calculated from the I and I_o relationship which is defined by

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x} \tag{1}$$

where x is the distance travelled by the X-ray. In this case, x is the sample thickness. In this work, I and I_0 were obtained from the grey level (GL) of the X-ray digital image, so equation (1) can be written as

$$GL = GL_0 e^{-\mu x} \tag{2}$$

where GL is the grey level of the sample image and GL_0 is the grey level of the image background. Then, the samples were tested for the penetrating power of light using the He-Ne laser, with variations in the light source for the sample. This test is intended to find the value of the penetrating power of the sample against visible light which is defined by

$$PP = \left(1 - \frac{I - I_o}{I_o}\right) \times 100 \tag{3}$$

To determine the atomic structure and atomic distance of the polyester-lead composite, the samples were characterized using FTIR. The FTIR spectrum of pure polyester and polyester-lead composite were compared to to determine the wavelength band shift.

3. Results and Discussion

The sample images obtained from digital radiography were processed using MATLAB software to determine the grey level. *GL* was obtained by observing the grey level in the center of the sample, while GL_0 was obtained from the background. Figure 2 shows the value of ln (*GL/GL*₀) for samples with various lead concentration. The attenuation constants were calculated using Equation (2). It was found that there is an increase in attenuation coefficient as lead concentration increased. The attenuation coefficient was 0.1323 cm⁻¹, 0.4358 cm⁻¹, 0.5433 cm⁻¹ and 0.6913 cm⁻¹, 1.1561 cm⁻¹ for pure polyester, with lead of 3.5%, 3.75%, 4% and 4.5%, respectively.



Figure 2. $\ln (GL/GL_0)$ for samples with various lead concentration.

Penetrating value was obtained by exposing the samples to He-Ne laser for various thickness range from 1cm to 6 cm. It is shown that PP decreased as lead concentration increased. The highest decrease was found in sample with lead concentration of 4.5%. This was occurred since the light experienced considerable scattering due to the high lead content.



Figure 3. Penetrating power for samples with various lead concentrations and various thicknesses.

FTIR analyses small interactions between atoms of a material, better known as Van der Wall interactions. Interactions can be known by knowing the change in energy when other atoms approach. After adding lead acetate, there are some shifts in the polyester polymer chain. This is possible because of the Van der Walls interaction between the main groups of polymers with lead acetate. It can be seen in Figure 4 that the wave number of CO group is shifted from 1123.71 cm⁻¹ to 1123.82 cm⁻¹. This is possible because of the interaction of C atoms in polymer groups with O atoms in the lead acetate chain, as shown in Figure 5. Using the Lennard-Jones equation, it were found that the equilibrium distance, minimum distance and maximum distance between the atoms are 3.69 Å, 3.29 Å and 10.19 Å, respectively. It is known that the wavelength of X-rays with an exposure of 50kV is 0.24 Å. This value is smaller than the distance between atoms. Therefore, there will be no diffraction when the X-ray penetrates the sample. Diffraction should be avoided since it causes X-rays escape from the gap between the atoms.



Figure 4. FTIR spectrum of pure polyester and lead polyester composite.



Figure 5. Interaction between polyester and lead acetate.

4. Conclusion

Based on the results, it can be concluded that lead-polyester composites with lead acetate concentration of 3.5% can be used as radiation shields with the consideration that they can continue visible light but can withstand X-ray exposure with its attenuation coefficient value. It is known that the equilibrium distance between atoms, which are 3.69 Å, 3.29 Å and 10.19 Å, are greater than the X- ray wavelength. The relationship of the penetrating power of visible light of the samples is linear as the lead concentration and thickness increases.

References

- [1] R. Mirji and B. Lobo 2017 Proc. National Conference on 'Advances in VLSI and Microelectronics 96
- [2] A. Singh, R. K. Sharma, M. Agrawal, and F. M. Marshall 2010 Food Chem. Toxicol. 48 611
- [3] U. Zulfiqar et al 2019 J. Environ. Manage. 250 109557
- [4] V. Harish, N. Nagaiah, T. N. Prabhu, and K. T. Varughese 2009 J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 112 1503
- [5] W. Osei-mensah, J. J. Fletcher, and K. A. Danso 2012 Int. J. Sci. Technol. 2 455
- [6] W. Guo-hui, H. Man-li, C. Fan-chao, F. Jun-dong, and D. Yao-dong 2019 *Prog. Nucl. Energy*, **112** 225
- [7] T. Bel, C. Arslan, and N. Baydogan 2019 Mater. Chem. Phys. 221 58
- [8] N. I. Cherkashina, V. I. Pavlenko, and A. V. Noskov 2019 Radiat. Phys. Chem. 159 111

6th International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Education (ICMSE 2019)IOP PublishingJournal of Physics: Conference Series1567 (2020) 032069doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1567/3/032069

- [9] G.-W. Lee, K. Kim, C. J. Yoon, S. Yoon, and J.-H. Choi 2016 Mater. Today Proc. 3 99
- [10] R. Li et al. 2017 Constr. Build. Mater. 148 781
- [11] H. Zhang, G. Zhang, F. Han, Z. Zhang, and W. Lv 2018 Constr. Build. Mater. 159 83