Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance A study of dual system banking

by Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie

Submission date: 30-Dec-2022 08:31AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1987377587

File name: of_the_pay_gap_on_performance_A_study_of_dual_system_banking.pdf (1.21M)

Word count: 13371 Character count: 63677



Cogent Business & Management



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/oabm20

Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance - A study of dual system banking

S Martono, Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie, Hasan Mukhibad, Ahmad Nurkhin & Kusumantoro Kusumantoro |

To cite this article: S Martono, Chrurrozie Fachrurrozie, Hasan Mukhibad, Ahmad Nurkhin & Kusumantoro Kusumantoro | (252) Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance - A study of dual system banking, Cogent Business & Management, 9:1, 2110646, DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2022.2110646

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2110646

9	© 2022 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.
	Published online: 21 Aug 2022.
Ø,	Submit your article to this journal 🗹
hh	Article views: 1807
αÌ	View related articles ぴ
CrossMark	View Crossmark data ☑







Received: 09 April 2021 Accepted: 20 July 2022

*Corresponding author: S Martono, Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Sekaran Campus, Gunungpati, Semarang 50229, Indonesia

E-mail: martono@mail.unnes.ac.id

Reviewing editor: Len Tiu Wright, Faculty of Business and Law, De Montfort University, Leicester, UK

Additional information is available at the end of the article

MANAGEMENT | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance - A study of dual system banking

S Martono¹*, Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie², Hasan Mukhibad², Ahmad Nurkhin³ and Kusumantoro Kusumantoro³

Abstract: This study examines the effect of the pay gap on banks and compares the effectiveness of the tournament theory in Islamic banks (IB) and conventional banks (CB). This study expands the pay gap indicators used in previous studies by using three indicators: board of directors' pay gap, commissioners' pay gap and employees' pay gap. The sample is 23 banks, observed from 2009 to 2019, which results in 239 bank-years. The test results show that we have not found a relationship between a pay gap and bank performance. However, the subsample test, which involves separating Islamic banks and conventional banks, reports different findings. The tournament theory is effectively applied to the employee payroll system and shows a large employee pay gap will improve the performance of IBs and CBs. However, the effect of tournaments on IBs is greater than it is on CBs. The tournament theory is not effectively applied to the salary system for the boards of

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

S Martono is a professor of management at the Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. His areas of research interests are human resource management, management information system, and leadership. He can be contacted at email: martono@-mail.unnes.ac.id.

Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie is a lecturer at the Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. His areas of resignich interests are accounting and finance. He can be

Semarang, Indonesia. His areas of res grch interests are accounting and finance. He can be contacted at email: fachrurais@mail.unnes.ac.id. Hasan Mukhibad is a lecturer at the Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. He is an eg or in chief of Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi. His areas of research interests are Islamic accounting and Islamic banking. He can be contacted at email: hasanmukhibad@mail.unnes.ac.id.

Ahmad Nurkhin is a lecturer at Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. His field of research interest is accounting education. He can be contacted at email: ahmadnurkhin@mail.unnes.ac.id. Kusumantoro Kusumantoro is lecturer at Faculty of Economics Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. His research field is economics education. He can be contacted at email: kusumantoro@mail.unnes.ac.id.

PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

Scholar has widely explained the literature on tournament theory. However, their study emphasizes the salar ap between employees and directors. This study extends the previous study by explaining the effectiveness of tournament theory on the pay gap policy between employees, commissioners, and directors. In addition, we examine the impact of this tournament theory on Islamic banks and conventional banks. We distinguish the two banks because they have different principles and operations, and Islamic banks use Islamic law as the basis for their operations. The test results using this subsample show the effectiveness of different tournament theories. Islamic banks also emphasize Islamic law as an organizational culture so that it has an impact on employee behavior. The test results show that tournament theory is more effective for Islamic bank employees than for conventional banks.









commissioners. However, the large pay gap for board of directors of CBs causes communication, coordination and collaboration problems between the board of directors and further reduces bank performance. However, in IBs, the pay gap of board of directors has no effect on bank performance. We recommend that banks should provide different salaries for employees at the various different levels. However, we do not recommend that banks create a large pay gap for board of directors because this will lead to poorer performance.

Subjects: Corporate Finance; Banking; Financial Management; Corporate Governance

4
Keywords: Pay gap; communication; coordination; tournament theory; Islamic bank

1. Introduction

The salary policy for CEOs and employees has drawn the attention of researchers (Dai et al., 2017). This policy will have consequences on the fair distribution of wealth, and influence work motivation (Herpen et al., 2004); employee performance (Hameed et al., 2014), and organizational performance (Brown et al., 2003). The distribution of this wealth has been discussed by Plato, and today the condition is widening (Fair, 1971). Gómez-Bezares et al. (2019) note that in 1980 the CEOs' pay gap with the lowest employees was 42:1, this had risen to 347:1 by 2016 (Gómez-Bezares et al., 2019).

The main concern of researchers into the payroll system is the payroll policy, a bonus system that is given to employees and board of directors for improving company performance. Researchers have identified two different effects of this payroll policy. The first approach is the tournament theory approach, where a large gap will have a positive impact on performance. Gómez-Bezares et al. (2019) have proven this tournament theory. A large pay gap between the CEO and the employees will improve performance (Dai et al., 2017). Ehrenberg and Bognanno (1990) have also shown that a greater spread among awards given to employees leads to greater efforts and improved performance. In line with the tournament theory, employees will be more motivated to try their hardest to complete their assignments, to obtain greater compensation (Dai et al., 2017), so that employees will get additional bonuses through this tournament (Chi et al., 2019). Eriksson (1999) and Conyon et al. (2001) assess that in companies that have many employees, the relationship between pay gaps and performance has a lower effect than in companies with few employees. This condition has a low impact if an employee realizes that he/she does not have the ability to win the competition (Eriksson, 1999).

However, with the social comparison approach, the pay gap has a negative impact on performance. It is is because, with a large difference in salaries, the employees make comparisons between their salaries and those of other people; they make comparisons between the salaries they earn and their contribution to the company and also make comparisons between the salaries in one company and another. If they feel that there is unfair treatment, they will reduce their contribution proportionately (Chi et al., 2019). A large pay gap causes the gap between employees and executives to widen, increasing employee liquidity and decreasing emotional bonding (Wang, 2015). Another reason is that this large pay gap can have a negative effect, i.e. making it difficult for the CEOs to coordinate between themselves (Henderson & Fredricksonckson, 2014). This condition has an impact, reducing the individuals' performance which in turn will have a negative impact on company performance.

The study of salary differences on performance, conducted by previous studies, has used company objectives that do not include religious teachings as the basis for their operations, such as sharia entities. We argue that the impact of the tournament theory on company performance is different for companies with different organizational cultures (see, Chowdhury & Shams, 2021; Connelly et al., 2014; Kang & Lee, 2021). Islamic banks use Islamic law as the basis for their operations, including in building their organizational culture (Byarwati, 2016). Conventional banks



do not use Islamic law. Hence Islamic banks and congentional banks have different organizational cultures. To improve the results of the analysis, we compare the implementation of the tournament theory in Islamic and conventional banks.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that examines the tournament theory when applied to Islamic banks and conventional banks and compares the results. Liu et al. (2020), Chi et al. (2019), Banker et al. (2016), and Yang et al. (2015) have all conducted research on the effect of the pay gap on performance using the tournament theory approach. However, they did not focus on conventional banks or Islamic banks, nor did they compare the implementation of the tournament theory in both types of banks. So, this research contributes two things. First, this study fills the gap between the tournament theory studies in Islamic banks and conventional banks and the differences in the implementation of the tournament theory in the two types of banks. Second, we add to the study of the tournament theory and the payroll system in sharia entities, which have not been carried out by previous researchers.

We present this study in several sections, i.e. the introduction section which contains the background to the research and the differences between this study and its predecessors. The second part presents the theoretical background and hypotheses development. The third section is the method and research results section. Furthermore, we present a discussion of the research results and conclusions. We also present recommendations to users and future researchers in this section.

2. Theoretical framework and hypotheses development

2.1. Islamic banks

Islamic banks are banks that use Islamic law as the basis for their operations. Islamic law prohibits adherents from dealing with interest, *gharar* (uncertainty), and *maysir* (speculation or gambling). Islam provides guidelines and directions, teaches lawfulness, and lists prohibited human actions. Islam also teaches its adherents to be just, grateful, and caring toward others (Beekun, 2012; Salin et al., 2020). There are four values in Islam related to a Muslim's efforts at work, i.e. *ikhtiyar*, *tawakal*, sincerity, and patience. *Ikhtiyar* demands a Muslim must keep trying, using all his/her potential to complete the work. *Tawakal* means surrendering the result (outcome) to God. Muslims are obliged to always make an effort to complete their work, but they believe that God determines the outcome. *Ikhlas* is accepting, being pleased by, and willing to accept the results of *ikhtiyar*. If the results do not match the expectations, Muslims believe that these results are the best conditions that God has given them.

Islamic values are used as ethical guidelines that must be manifested in a Muslim manager such as being fair, trustworthy, acting well, being honest, patient, and humble. If implemented in business life, these values will have a positive impact on company performance. Islamic ethics prioritize intentions over results, emphasize fairness and generosity in the workplace and consider involvement in economic activities an obligation (Nasution & Rafiki, 2019). Islamic ethics increase employees' confidence, hard work, commitment, dedication, work creativity and cooperation (Yousef, 2001). Therefore, it can be concluded that the application of Islamic business ethics when doing business can improve company performance (Azmin, Bakar & Ghani, 2018; Basheer et al., 2019; Buldan et al., 2021).

We consider that these Islamic values can be related to the tournament theory. This theory is used to describe the behavior of the reward structure and is needed to find the "optimal prize"(Connelly et al., 2014), and religion can influence employee behavior (Regnerus & Smith, 2005). Although in Islam, the reason Muslims should work is so they can worship, Islam also emphasizes that its followers should seek sustenance by working to earn a salary to support their families. In addition, employees have the right to receive a salary for their work, and employers are obliged to provide a fair salary (according to the output of their work) to employees. Companies can provide different bonuses based on the salary levels that employees earn (Wang, 2015). The



higher the level (grade-level) they get, the bigger the bonus they get. The assumption of this tournament theory is that employees will increase their performance to increase their bonuses. Thus, the tournament theory is related to employee behavior regarding bonus policies (Lazear, 2018; Lee & (George), 2006; Ponta et al., 2020), and employee behavior is influenced by corporate culture (Roszkowska & Mele, 2021; Szczepańska-Woszczyna, 2015).

2.2. Hypothesis development

One theory that is widely used in explaining the impact of the pay gap on company performance is the tournament theory. According to this theory, differences in employee rankings lead to different pay levels, and this is what triggers a pay gap. Companies that classify employment positions into various levels cause a large pay gap. Employees can increase their salaries by being promoted to higher levels. This encourages them to compete to gain promotions which increase their salaries (Zhao & Wang, 2019). In the tournament theory approach, this competition will have an impact on increasing employee performance (Gómez-Bezares et al., 2019).

Tournament theory is used to find the optimal reward for employees where this happens if the company wants to increase tournament productivity (Connelly et al., 2014). In companies that have a high reward difference, workers will be motivated to get the higher rewards by increasing their productivity. Conversely, a small difference in reward will reduce performance, because they are not given any incentives to compete. Thus, the tournament theory involves choosing the optimal spread of reward in a strategic manner that maximizes the tournament's productive results.

Liu et al. (2020), using 1,189 Chinese companies, find that there is a positive relationship between the pay gap and a company's future performance. The results further show that management's power and excessive trust weaken the relationship between the pay gap and company perforgance. They identify two reasons for this positive relationship. First, China is experiencing rapid labor market development and competition among works is gradually normalizing within companies. Second, differences in the compensation offered can satisfy the manager's "vanity" psychology and stimulate improvements in staff performance.

Banker et al. (2016) find the large pay gap due to the wage premium for expert board of directors can result in relatively better company performance. On the other hand, the wage gap may be lower because the wage premium for expert board of directors is lower. Giving low wages to experts causes them to be reluctant to use all of their expertise for the benefit of the company, which has a negative impact on company performance. Y. Xu et al. (2016) have performed a study of go-public companies in China and find that the pay gap is positively related to company performance. The relationship between the pay gap and performance is stronger in small firm than in large firm. However, they do not find a relationship between the two in state-owned enterprises, where the executive managerial and compensation markets are regulated by the government.

Chi et al. (2019) find that the pay gap relationship to performance is non-linear. The pay gap has an effect on employees' performance and increases with a decreasing rate. This non-linear effect is due to the interaction of tournament incentives and social comparison effects, which are both opposites. Based on the tournament theory, employees will compete by exerting their expertise and skills to achieve the set targets to get their bonuses. In addition, employees who excel are more likely to occupy more senior positions and earn higher salaries. This condition will improve company performance. Although Yang et al. (2015) find that it has a greater effect on performance than the provision of salaries based on achievement or position, bonuses and salaries that cause a bigger pay gap have consequences for improving company performance.



H1: A pay gap improves company performance.

The impact of giving bonuses, which cause a large pay gap, has a complex relationship. In a growing company, company growth can still be optimized by stimulating employees to improve their performance with game tournaments theory. However, in an established company where the company's growth is not as high as that of a small company, it may have a different effect as the company's growth cannot increase, with any certainty, in line with the increase in the number of bonuses given. Therefore, the game theory is more proven in growing companies.

Another tournament theory assumption is to equate individual employees, that is, they will be motivated by an increase in bonuses and view all employees as having the same ability to solve the complexities of their company's operations. This condition has prompted Chi et al. (2019) to recommend looking at individual employee factors for explaining the tournament theory. This may be why Chi et al. (2019) and Dai et al. (2017) find a non-linear relationship between the pay gap and nonlinear performance (u-shape). We argue that organizational culture also plays a role in explaining the pay gap's relationship with performance. This is due to the fact that employee behavior (to improve or not improve performance) can be influenced by their company's culture (Arrah et al., 2018; Cherian et al., 2021; Jelavić et al., 2021). Company culture will shape people's perceptions and subsequently will have a big influence on employees' performance, attitudes, and behavior (Arrah et al., 2018; Cherian et al., 2021).

Islamic banks are banks that use Islamic teachings as the main basis for their operations. Islamic teachings contain prohibitions, obligations, and appeals that are implemented by Islamic banks in their banking operations. The Islamic teachings that the Islamic banks adopt are reasonable and can help to implement Islamic ethics (akhlaqul karimah) in all their employees (Nasution & Rafiki, 2019). Studies by Buldan et al. (2021) and Nasution and Rafiki (2019) prove that Islamic ethics which emphasize fairness, honesty, generosity, and trust are proven to increase the motivation and appreciation received by employees, because the ethics can increase the employees' commitment to the organization. Islamic ethics emphasize that people should always work hard (Quran 17:19), not give up easily (Quran 39: 53–54) and be serious when working (Quran 29:69). Buldan et al. (2021) and Nasution and Rafiki (2019) prove that Islamic ethics which emphasize fairness, honesty, generosity, and trust are proven to increase the motivation and rewards received by employees because Islamic ethics can increase the employees' commitment to their organization. So, the concept of ikhtiyar (free-will) is emphasized for Muslims in their work.

Yousef (2001) finds that the Islamic values applied by employees will increase their confidence, hard work, commitment, dedication, work creativity and foster cooperation between the employees. Islamic values, as implemented by employees collectively, have an impact on increasing company performance (Azmin et al., 2018; Basheer et al., 2019; Buldan et al., 2021). On this basis, we argue that Islamic values strengthen the tournament theory, in which all Muslims are required to strive to work as a form of worship to God. Based on this argument, we develop the following proposition:

H2: A pay gap can increase the performance of Islamic banks to a greater extent than that of conventional banks.

3. Method

This research was conducted to examine the effect of a pay gap on bank performance, and to compare the findings from Islamic banks with conventional banks. We used banks in Indonesia because Indonesia has a dual banking system where Islamic banks and conventional banks compete with each other to improve their performance. The number of banks used as a sample was 23 banks (12 conventional banks and 11 Islamic banks). The observation period covered



11 years (2009 to 2019). We collected data manually through annual reports and Corporate Governance (CG) reports which were downloaded through the websites of each bank. Banks in Indonesia are required by regulators to provide both reports.

M. Xu et al. (2017), Banker et al. (2016), and Faleye et al. (2013) all measured the pay gap by comparing the average salary of employees with the average salary of board of directors. The average employee's salary was measured by comparing the cost of employee salaries divided by the number of employees. With this method, they compared the gap between the salaries of employees and the salaries of board of directors; it did not describe the gap between the salaries of the lowest employees and those of the highest employees. We considered that large companies had a tiered career structure, so the could be a large pay gap between employees. We complemented the method used by M. Xu et al. (2017), Banker 1 al. (2016), and Faleye et al. (2013) by developing three pay gap indicators: employees' pay gap, board of directors' pay gap, and c board of commissioners' pay gap. The employees' pay gap (EMP_GAP) was measured by the comparison between the highest employee's salary and the lowest employee's salary. The board of directors' pay gap (DIR_GAP) was measured by the ratio of the highest board of director's salary to the lowest board of director's salary. The board of commissioners' pay gap (COM_GAP) was measured by the ratio of the salary of the highest board of commissioners to the salary of the lowest board of commissioners. Following Hu et al. (2013), salary was calculated based on the amount received by the employee, including bonuses and allowances.

Each bank's financial performance was measured by the ratio of net income to assets (ROA) and the ratio of net income to capital (ROE). ROA was measured by the ratio of net income to total assets (Mukhibad & Setiawan, 2020). ROE was measured by the ratio of net income to total assets (Mukhibad & Setiawan, 2020). We could not use market-based performance measures such as Tobin-Q, because Indonesia only had three Islamic banks listed on the stock exchange.

In addition to the pay gap and financial informance variables, we used corporate governance and financial factors as control variables. Indonesia uses a two-tier system and separates the supervisory and implementing functions. The supervisory function is held by the board of commissioners and the executive function is held by the board of director. We used the characteristics of the boards of commissioners and board of directors as indicators of corporate governance. The characteristics of the board of directors had an influence on bank performance (Musallam, 2020; Pathan & Faff, 2013; Zhou et al., 2018). The boards of commissioners' indicators were measured by the ratio of independent board of commissioners (IND_COM) all the members who were board of commissioners (Mukhibad & Setiawan, 2020). The number of board of commissioners members (COM_SIZE) was measured by the number of commissioners (Hu et al., 2013; Rachman, 2014). The expertise of the boards of commissioners who had a banking/finance/accounting educational background to all the members of the boards of commissioners. The board of directors' expertise indicator (DIR_EXP) was measured by the ratio of board of directors with banking/finance/accounting educational backgrounds to all the board of directors (Johl et al., 2015).

Following Hu et al. (2013) and Lin and Lu (2009), we used financial factors as the control variables. These financial factors included: (1) Loans (LOAN) measured by the ratio of total financing to assets (Majid et al., 2014; Suzuki & Uddin, 2016), (2) salary expense ratio (SALARY) measured by cost ratio of salaries, bonuses and allowances to bank operating incomes (Nyberg et al., 2016), (3) equity ratio (EQUITY) was measured by the ratio of total equity to assets (Léon & Weill, 2018), and bank size (LNSIZE) was measured with the natural logarithm of total assets. In addition, we added a bank type control variable (BANK_KIND) because our sample used these two bank types. The differences in systems, principles, and operations between Islamic banks and conventional banks (Mukhibad et al., 2022) served as our basis for testing the model on a sample of Islamic banks and conventional banks.



The data were analyzed using panel spta regression with a random effect (RE) model or a fixed effect (FE) model. The selection of the FE or RE model was determined based on the results of the Hausman test where, if the results of this test produced a probability of less than 0.05, then the model used was the FE one, and vice versa. Before the data were tested, we tested for multicollinearity, autocorrelation, and heteroscedasticity. The multicollinearity test used a pair-wise correlation, where if the test results produced a correlation between the interpretation problem existed (Gujarati & Porter, 2009, p. 338). In addition, we used the variance inflation factor (VIF). A VIF score of less than 0.10 indicated the absence of multicollinearity (Law, 2011). The autocorrelation test used the Wooldridge test where, if the probability was less than 0.05, this indicated an autocorrelation problem (Chai & Mirza, 2019). The heteroscedasticity test used a Wald test, where a probability of <0.05 indicated a heteroscedasticity problem (Hidayat et al., 2021).

The form of this equation was as follows.

$$ROA_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 DIR_{-}GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

$$ROA_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 COM_GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

$$ROA_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 EMP_GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

$$ROE_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 DIR_GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

$$ROE_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 COM_GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

$$ROE_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 EMP_GAP_{i,t} + \beta_2 CONTROL_{i,t} + \varepsilon$$

4. Results

4.1. Statistic descriptive

Table 1 shows that banks in Indonesia had a pay gap between the employees of 36.374. This gap is larger than the average board of directors' pay gap of 1.830. The pay gap for board of commissioners had a lower average than that for the board of directors, at 1.550. Islamic banks had a lower pay gap (pay gap for employees, board of directors, board of commissioners) than conventional banks. The lower gap indicated that Islamic banks had simpler payroll structures than conventional banks. This policy was probably taken because the Islamic banks held less assets than the conventional banks, as large quantities of assets can cause greater complexities in banking operations.

Bank financial performance, as measured by ROA and ROE, showed that Islamic banks had better financial performance than conventional banks. Conventional banks had an average ROA of 0.026% and an average ROE of 0.207%. Two banks also reported losses of -0.1208% (BCA Syariah) and -0.0009% (Bank Victoria).

The results of the multicollinearity test (Table 2) showed that there was no correlation between the independent variables above [18] (Gujarati & Porter, 2009, p. 338). This showed that there was no multicollinearity in the model. The results of the VIF test (Table 3) on all the models had scores lower than 10. These results confirmed that there was no multicollinearity in all the models (Law, 2011). A Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test on all the models had a score below 0.05, adicating that there was heterogeneity of the data between banks and recommended using the FE or RE model. The FE and RE models were chosen based on the results of the Hausman test where the probability of <0.05 recommended FE for the data analysis method and vice versa. The results of the Hausman test (Table 3) on models 2A, 2C, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4C, 6A, and 6C resulted in some



	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
		Mean	Sta. Dev.	MIII.	Mux.
Panel A (All ba	-				
ROA	239	0.020	0.026	-0.121	0.187
ROE	239	0.143	0.189	-0.940	0.965
COM_GAP	234	1.550	0.681	0.120	4.000
DIR_GAP	234	1.830	0.793	1.000	5.560
EMP_GAP	235	36.374	24.497	0.000	165.900
IND_COM	239	0.600	0.138	0.250	1.000
COM_SIZE	239	4.720	1.627	2.000	9.000
COM_EXP	239	0.731	0.217	0.200	1.000
DIR_EXP	239	0.717	0.271	0.125	2.500
LOAN	233	59.804	34.663	0.000	100.000
SALARY	239	0.199	0.149	0.024	2.089
EQUITY	239	0.168	0.228	0.000	2.618
LNSIZE	239	31.165	2.105	25.933	36.447
KIND_BANK	239	0.478	0.501	0.000	1.000
Panel B (Islamic	Banks)				
ROA	107	0.013	0.031	-0.121	0.136
ROE	107	0.065	0.190	-0.940	0.648
COM_GAP	105	1.470	0.577	1.000	3.510
DIR_GAP	105	1.703	0.646	1.000	4.460
EMP_GAP	105	27.378	17.889	7.030	88.600
IND_COM	107	0.655	0.157	0.250	1.000
COM_SIZE	107	3.533	0.769	2.000	5.000
COM_EXP	107	0.726	0.262	0.200	1.000
DIR_EXP	107	0.697	0.335	0.200	2.500
LOAN	103	33.863	31.679	0.000	100.000
SALARY	107	0.233	0.197	0.024	2.089
EQUITY	107	0.234	0.328	0.000	2.618
LNSIZE	107	29.393	1.481	25.933	36.447
Panel C (Convent	ional Banks)				
ROA	132	0.026	0.019	-0.001	0.187
ROE	132	0.207	0.162	-0.008	0.965
COM_GAP	129	1.616	0.750	0.120	4.000
DIR_GAP	129	1.934	0.884	1.100	5.560
EMP GAP	130	43.640	26.675	0.000	165.900
IND_COM	132	0.556	0.100	0.333	1.000
COM SIZE	132	5.682	1.500	3.000	9.000
COM_EXP	132	0.735	0.173	0.333	1.000
DIR_EXP	132	0.734	0.205	0.125	1.000
LOAN	130	80.358	20.108	10.651	93.910
SALARY	132	0.171	0.083	0.041	0.556
EQUITY	132	0.115	0.037	0.001	0.210
LNSIZE	129	32.601	1.278	29.627	36.447

Table 2. Mo	Table 2. Matrix correlation	ion										
	COM_GAP	DIR_GAP	EMP_GAP	IND_COM	COM_SIZE	COM_EXP	DIR_EXP	LOAN	SALARY	EQUITY	LNSIZE	KIND_BANK
COM_GAP	1											
DIR_GAP	0.232	1										
EMP_GAP	0.086	0.404	-									
IND_COM	-0.019	0.015	-0.007	1								
COM_SIZE	-0.278	-0.242	0.244	-0.430	1							
COM_EXP	0.216	0.078	0.077	-0.070	-0.112	1						
DIR_EXP	-0.136	-0.260	-0.125	-0.095	0.202	-0.012	1					
LOAN	0.209	0.072	0.293	-0.204	0.462	-0.051	-0.072	1				
SALARY	-0.119	-0.002	-0.051	0.046	-0.102	0.115	900.0	-0.200	1			
EQUITY	-0.048	-0.014	-0.119	-0.145	-0.177	0.064	-0.149	-0.248	0.192	1		
LNSIZE	-0.059	-0.077	0.285	-0.313	0.771	-0.093	0.238	0.510	-0.145	-0.263	1	
KIND_BANK	-0.099	-0.115	-0.343	0.365	-0.681	0.007	-0.061	-0.679	0.198	0.270	-0.779	1

Table 3. FEM and REM test results	4 and R	EM test	results															
			ALL B	Banks					IB						CB	_		
		ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE	
	14	18	10	2A	28	20	3A	38	30	44	48	7 4	5A	28	20	6A	68	9
COM_GAP	900.0	,	,	-0.048	,	,	900.0	,	,	0.058	,	,	0.007	,	,	-0.060	,	,
ı	9000	,	,	0.036	,	,	0.013	,	,	0.036	,	,	900'0	,	,	0.036	,	,
EMP_GAP	,	0.010	,	,	0.011	,	,	0.001*	,	,	0.003***	,	,	0.012**	,	,	0.001	,
	,	0.001	,	,	0.001	,	,	00000	,	,	0.001	,	,	0.001	,	,	0.011	,
DIR_ GAP	,	,	-0.002	,	,	-0.007	,	,	0.002	,	,	0.058	,	,	0.007**	,	,	-0.008
	,	,	0.002	,	,	0.021	,	,	9000	,	,	0.036	,	,	0.003	,	,	0.014
IND_COM	-0.005	-0.007	-0.005	-0.348***	-0.356***	-0.347***	0.005	-0.018	-0.021	-0.127	0.133	-0.127	0.017	0.031	0.022	-0.545***	-0.613**	-0.571***
	0.016	0.018	0.017	0.103	0.115	0.106	0.024	0.018	0.017	0.106	0.083	0.106	0.031	0.029	0.025	0.123	0.255	0.150
COM_SIZE	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.042	0.046	0.042	0.002	0.000	-0.002	0.030	0.000	0.029
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.029	0.030	0.030	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.023	0.037	0.023	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.039	0.016	0.037
COM_EXP	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.021	0.018	0.014	-0.002	0.002	600.0	0.018	-0.025	0.018	-0.020*	-0.012*	-0.018**	-0.230	-0.124	-0.235
	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.097	0.094	960.0	0.015	0.018	0.016	0.123	0.108	0.123	0.012	600.0	0.008	0.149	0.105	0.153
DIR_EXP	-0.008	-0.010	-0.009	-0.006	0.003	900.0	-0.008	-0.013	-0.013	-0.085	-0.021	-0.085	-0.008	-0.022	-0.024**	0.225	0.118	0.266
	600.0	0.009	600.0	0.054	0.059	0.059	600.0	0.014	0.015	0.062	0.056	0.062	60000	0.012	0.011	0.171	0.145	0.212
LOAN	0.00.0	0.000	00000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	00000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.001*	0.001**	0.001**	0.001	0.003**	0.001
	0.00.0	0.000	00000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	00000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	900.0	0.001	90000
SALARY	-0.004	-0.002	-0.005	0.030	0.039	0.034	-0.020*	-0.016*	-0.015*	0.011	0.005	0.011	0.101	0.108**	0.100**	0.280**	0.215	0.274**
	0.011	0.013	0.011	0.043	0.041	0.041	0.012	0.008	0.008	0.059	0.032	0.059	0.065	0.051	0.050	0.105	0.160	0.108
EQUITY	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.008	600.0	900.0	-0.002	0.000	0.050	0.039	0.050	-0.057	-0.012	0.015	-2.096**	-0.854	-1.937**
	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.042	0.040	0.039	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.046	0.032	0.046	0.079	0.054	0.052	0.693	0.663	0.855
LNSIZE	0.00.0	0.001	00000	-0.029*	-0.029*	-0.029*	0.002	-0.001	0.000	-0.021	-0.003	-0.021	-0.001	0.002	0.003	-0.002	0.001	-0.007
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.017	0.020	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.033	0.024	0.035

Table 3. (Continued)	ontinued	6																
			ALL Banks	anks					IB	_					CB	_		
		ROA			ROE			ROA		,	ROE			ROA			ROE	
	14	18	10	2A	28	2C	3A	38	3C	44	87	74	5A	58	20	6A	68	96
cons	0.022	0.014	0.037	1.276**	1.191**	1.218**	-0.041	0.053	0.032	0.547	-0.145	0.547	0.014	-0.071	-0.050	0.651	0.363	0.702
	0.070	0.059	0.067	0.576	0.515	0.523	0.063	0.087	0.092	0.632	0.457	0.632	0.103	0.067	0.072	1.325	0.704	1.436
Control Bank Kind	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	oN N	oN N	9V	oN N	No	No.	No	9N	No	oN N	No No	No
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test	0	0	0	0.01122	0.04451	0.01122	0	0	0	0.01122	0.04451	0.01122	0	0.01214	0	0	0.0083	0.0474
VIF Means	2.09	1.96	2.05	1.38	1.33	1.38	1.32	1.33	1.38	1.38	1.33	1.38	2.14	1.92	2.01	2.14	1.92	2.1
Wooldridge test	0.0451	0.073	0.0392	0.324	0.2338	0.324	0.3584	0.3998	0.3013	0.324	0.2338	0.324	0.001	0.0038	0.0045	0.0666	0.0992	0.0517
Modified Wald test	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hausman	0.9673	0.92765	86:0	0.0272	0.4452	0.0272	0.4107	0	0	0.0272	0.4452	0.0272	0.9063	0.9737	0.2375	0.01284	0.34426	0.0001
R-Square	0.0388	0.0305	0.0691	0.0818	0.0348	0.0818	0.0459	0.1076	0.0677	0.0818	0.0348	0.0818	0.171	0.1351	0.0953	0.2994	0.2431	0.2793
z	228	229	228	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	127	128	127	127	128	127

Toble 3 presents the coefficient and standard error values. The standard error score is based on the robust standard error value. This method is used to solve the problems of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity (Hoechle, 2007)**** sig. 1%; *** Sig. 10%



models having a probability of less than 0.05 and this recommended the use of the FE model. Another model produced a probability score of more than 0.05 and recommended the RE model for the data's analysis.

Following Guermazi (2020), we used the Wooldridge test to test for autocorrelation. A Wooldridge test score below 0.05 would indicate that there was autocorrelation in the model and vice versa. The results of the Wooldridge test are presented in Table 3. All the models, except models 1B, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B, 3C, 6A, 6B, and 6C, had a Wooldridge score of more than 0.05 and hence indicated no autocorrelation. We also performed a modified Wald test to test for heteroscedasticity. The results of the modified Wald test on all the models yielded a p-value of 0.000 and indicated that all the models had heteroscedasticity problems. To overcome these two problems, we used a robust standard deviation in our data analysis (Hoechle, 2007). Following Hoechle (2007), we added the command "vce (robust)" for models experiencing heteroscedasticity and added a command "cluster ()" for models experiencing heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation. This method was also carried out by Chamberlain et al. (2020) and Almutairi and Outtainah (2017).

The test results of all the models are presented in Table 3.

4.2. Discussion

Table 3 shows, for all banks, that the employees' pay gap (EMP GAP) has no effect on ROA and ROE. The same table also shows the board of directors' pay gap (DIR GAP) and board of commissioners' pay gap (COM_GAP) are not proven to influence ROA and ROE. This finding shows that the tournament theory has not been effectively applied to the employees, board of directors, and ard of commissioners of banks in Indonesia. The ineffectiveness of the tournament theory on board of directors' and board of commissioners' remuneration policies shows that banks in Indonesia have a policy to provide salaries that tend to be evenly distributed. This is to support the effectiveness of communication, cooperation, and collaboration among employees, board of directors, and board of commissioners, so that their effect on competition is not overwhelming. Such a policy aims to reduce the pay gap. The pay gap can lead to the employees having negative attitudes, as they may feel expoited and thus indifferent to the bank's performance. This result is different from the one which Liu et al. (2020), Y. Xu et al. (2016), Banker et al. (2016), and Yang et al. (2015), and Hu et al. (2013) find. We argue that this difference in results is due to differences in the payroll system controlled by the government. In Indonesia, the remuneration policy is monitored by the government, who set a minimum wage. This policy reduces the ability of banks to carry out the tournament theory.

The results of our study using conventional banks as the research samples show Bat the employees' By gap (EMP_GAP) has a positive effect at the 5% level on ROA. However, the employees' pay gap has no effect on ROE. The different results are shown by the board of commissioners' pay gap (COM_GAP) which is not proven to influence ROA and ROE. Different results are also shown by the board of directors' pay gap (DIR_GAP). The board of directors' pay gap has a negative effect on ROA but does not affect ROE. The test results using conventional banks show that the tournament theory is effectively implemented for conventional bank employees, where the pay gap encourages the employees to be competent and subsequently has a positive impact on improving bank performance (Y. Xu et al., 2016). However, the pay gap for board of directors strengthens the behavioral theory, where the pay gap will reduce bank performance. In this approach, the pay gap will create communication and coordination problems, and does not promote effective collaboration among board of directors (Henderson & Fredricksonckson, 2014; Wang, 2015; Yang et al., 2015). This disparity in the salary of board of directors will reduce the emotional bond of the board of directors and can lead to an indifferent attitude toward bank performance (Wang, 2015).



The results of the study using a sample of Islamic banks have produced different findings. Table 3 provides evidence that the employees' pay gap (EMP_GAP) in Islamic banks has a positive effect on ROA and ROE. The board of directors' pay gap (DIR_GAP) has no effect on ROA and ROE. The same finding also applies to the board of commissioners' pay gap (COM_GAP) which has no effect on ROA or ROE. These results indicate that the tournament theory is effectively implemented for Islamic bank employees. This theory creates salary differences by giving different bonuses to the employees. Employees who show good performance will be given a larger bonus and vice versa for employees who do not perform as well, they will be given a smaller bonus. This range of bonuses is what causes the pay gap between employees. Giving bonuses based on performance will encourage employees to be motivated and use all their resources to complete their tasks, so they will get bigger bonuses (Chi et al., 2019; Dai et al., 2017).

The difference in results from the tournament theory in Islamic banks and conventional banks may be due to the differences in the assets they hold, and the difference in the number of employees between Islamic banks and conventional banks. Islamic banks in Indonesia have an asset percentage of 5.3% of all the bank assets in Indonesia (Mukhibad et al., 2020). This lack of assets encourages employees to compete when performing their respective duties, so that this has a positive impact on bank performance and addition, the lower number of Islamic bank employees will have a more effective impact on the implementation of the tournament theory than the employees of conventional banks will, as they have larger numbers of employees (Conyon et al., 2001; Eriksson, 1999).

In addition, the more effective implementation of the tournament theory by Islamic banks than conventional banks may be seen because of the cultural differences between the two banks. Islamic bank employees use Islamic law to guide them to maintain free-will (ikhtiyar) when performing their duties. Completing a mandated task is something that must be done by a Muslim. Buldan et al. (2021), Basheer et al. (2019), and Again et al., (2018) provide evidence that the Islamic business ethics implemented by employees will have a positive impact on company performance. Thus, Islamic culture can increase the effectiveness of the tournament theory, so that the employee competition system will be more effective in improving bank performance.

The effectiveness of applying the tournament theory to Islamic banks also applies to the bonus system for the board of directors. This result shows the effectiveness of the directors' labor market at the Islamic banks, which demands the board of directors compete by showing their best performance. An effective labor market will encourage individual board of directors to fight for the highest positions and earn bigger bonuses (Hu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2020). The very low number of Islamic banks in Indonesia, which does not compare with the Muslim population in Indonesia, means there is great potential for Islamic banks in Indonesia to grow beyond conventional banks. This growth potential causes board of directors to always devote their skills, innovate, and take better strategic policies to improve their banks' performance. The results of this study on Islamic banks confirm the findings of previous studies by Lin and Lu (2009), Hu et al. (2013), and Ismail et al. (2014) and Y. Xu et al. (2016) that the board of directors' pay gap will improve company performance.

Robustness and endogeneity test

We did test all the major models by adding the number of board of director members (DIR_SIZE) as a robustness test. We use this method because the board of director has direct duties in managing the bank's resources (Hillman & Dalziel, 2003), so the number of board of directors member will affect the bank's success in managing the bank's resources, innovation, and financial performance (Ali, 2018; Kalsie & Shrivastav, 2016; Sierra-Morán et al., 2022). Using the same steps as the main model's test, we present the results of this robustness test in Table 4. The test results in Table 4 show that using the entire sample, the pay gap for

Table 4. Robustness test	obustnes	s test																
			All B	Banks					Conventional Banks	nal Banks					Islamic Banks	Banks		
		ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE	
COM_GAP	900'0	,	,	-0.047	,	,	900.0	,	,	0.025	,		0.005	,	,	0.003		
	0.006	,	,	0.038	,		900.0		,	0.032			0.012		,	0.033		
EMP_GAP	,	0.011	,	,	0.001	,	,	0.001	,	,	0.001*	,	,	0.001**	,	,	0.003***	,
		0.001	,		0.001			0.021			0.001	,		0.003			0.001	
DIR_GAP	,		-0.001		,	-0.008			0.007**		,	-0.014			-0.001	,		0.003
	,	,	0.002	,	,	0.021	,	,	0.003	,	,	0.015	,	,	0.004	,	,	0.033
IND_COM	-0.004	-0.006	-0.004	-0.352**	-0.360**	-0.354***	0.019	0.032	0.027	-0.475*	-0.556**	0.590***	-0.005	-0.019	-0.006	0.253*	0.133**	0.253*
	0.016	0.018	0.017	0.106	0.116	0.109	0.032	0.034	0.028	0.277	0.188	0.152	0.028	0.015	0.031	0.133	0.075	0.133
COM_SIZE	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.002	0.001	-0.002	-0.010	0.038	0.031	-0.005	0.002	-0.006	600.0	0.026	600.0
	0.002	0.002	0.001	0:030	0.030	0.030	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.019	0.040	0.038	0.007	0.004	0.007	0.033	0.026	0.033
COM_EXP	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.021	0.019	0.015	-0.020*	-0.016*	-0.020**	-0.124	-0.256	-0.263	-0.005	0.002	-0.004	-0.039	-0.053	-0.039
	0.010	0.010	0.010	960'0	0.093	0.095	0.012	0.009	0.009	0.139	0.159	0.154	0.016	0.017	0.014	0.118	0.100	0.118
DIR_EXP	-0.007	-0.008	-0.007	-0.009	-0.001	0.000	-0.008	-0.017	-0.022**	0.071	0.266	0.242	0.004	-0.014	0.004	0.038	0.016	0.038
	600.0	0.010	0.010	0.057	0.061	0.062	600.0	0.011	0.011	0.118	0.232	0.214	0.008	0.015	0.008	0.056	0.054	0.056
LOAN	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001*	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.002*	0.001	0.002*	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.011	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.008	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
SALARY	-0.004	-0.003	-0.005	0.031	0.040	0.035	0.100	0.106*	0.099**	0.048	0.328**	0.318**	-0.017*	-0.016*	-0.019*	-0.024	0.010	-0.024
	0.011	0.013	0.011	0.044	0.043	0.042	0.065	0.058	0.050	0.224	0.130	0.113	600.0	0.008	0.011	0.026	0.031	0.026
EQUITY	0.002	0.001	0.001	900:0	0.007	0.007	-0.057	-0.032	0.007	-0.473	-2.125**	-1.718*	0.008	-0.002	0.007	.950.0	0.036	.950.0
	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.042	0.040	0.039	0.078	0.056	0.058	0.674	0.964	0.886	600.0	0.003	600.0	0.025	0.027	0.025
DIR_SIZE	0.002	0.003	0.002	-0.004	-0.005	-0.007	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.014	-0.024	-0.023	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.032	0.017	0.032
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.016	0.020	900.0	0.005	0.007	0.026	0.022	0.026
LNSIZE	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.028*	-0.028*	-0.028*	-0.002	0.000	0.001	-0.002	0.019	0.011	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.004	0.004	0.004
	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.028	0.037	0.039	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.018	0.018	0.018

			All B	All Banks					Conventional Banks	nal Banks					Islamic Banks	Banks		
		ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE			ROA			ROE	
cons	0.025	0.024	0.037	1.292**	1.207**	1.249**	0.035	0.005	-0.004	0.392	-0.105	0.040	-0.022	0.055	0.001	-0.377	-0.345	-0.377
	0.071	0.060	0.066	0.571	0.514	0.523	0.114	0.104	0.115	0.829	1.637	1.674	0.062	0.075	0.050	0.571	0.443	0.571
Control Bank Kind	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	8	8	8	oN N	S.	8	8	oN O	No.	8	8
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.0150	0.044	0.000	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
VIF Means	2.090	1.860	2.050	2.570	2.400	2.480	2.780	2.520	2.550	2.780	2.520	2.550	1.430	1.480	1.480	1.480	1.460	1.480
Wooldridge test	0.045	0.063	0.039	960'0	0.067	0.000	0.013	0.024	0.036	0.062	0.109	0.049	0.339	0.375	0.287	0.309	0.222	0.309
Modified Wald test	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Hausman	0.967	0.671	0.980	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.892	0.997	0.367	0.158	0.000	0.000	0.837	0.000	0.350	0.594	0.299	0.594
R-Square	0.040	0.038	0.030	0.078	690'0	690'0	0.173	0.159	0.103	0.130	0.302	0.293	0.019	0.108	0.016	0.070	0.020	0.071
z	228	229	228	228	229	228	127	128	127	127	128	127	101	101	101	101	101	101

Table 4 presents the coefficient and standard error values. The standard error score is based on the robust standard error value. This method is used to solve the problems of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity (Hoechle, 2007). *** sig. 1%, *** Sig. 1%, *** Sig. 10%.



Table 5. Endoge		DO 4			DOE	
		ROA			ROE	
LAG1 ROA/ ROE	0.098	0.099	0.079	0.275**	0.272***	0.270**
	0.063	0.063	0.064	0.073	0.073	0.073
DIR_SAL_GAP	-0.004	-	-	0.000	-	-
	0.004	-	-	0.028	-	-
EMP_SAL_GAP	-	0.000	-	-	0.004	-
	-	0.000	-	-	0.001	-
COM_SAL_GAP	-	-	0.010**	-	-	-0.009
	-	-	0.005	-	-	0.039
IND_COM	-0.006	-0.014	-0.008	-0.114	-0.241	-0.107
	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.191	0.195	0.190
COM_SIZE	-0.003	-0.003	-0.002	0.061**	0.041	0.058**
	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.025	0.026	0.025
COM_EXP	0.014	0.016	0.011	0.019	-0.015	0.021
	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.088	0.090	0.089
DIR_EXP	-0.001	-0.004	-0.003	0.097	0.091	0.094
	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.088	0.086	0.087
LOAN	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001
SALARY	-0.010	-0.010	-0.011	-0.072	-0.064	-0.075
	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.101	0.101	0.101
EQUITY	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.102	0.084	0.104
	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.100	0.100	0.100
LNSIZE	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.035	-0.047**	-0.035
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.021	0.022	0.021
KIND_BANK	-0.037**	-0.040***	-0.041***	0.002	-0.065	-0.007
	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.142	0.140	0.138
_cons	0.093	0.099	0.089	0.919	1.388*	0.940
	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.707	0.726	0.707
Sargan	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.012	0.021	0.097
AR (1)	0.020	0.020	0.016	0.009	0.007	0.008
AR (2)	0.942	0.791	0.830	0.367	0.738	0.367

Table 5 presents the coefficient and standard error values. *** sig. 1%; ** Sig. 5%; * Sig.105.

board of directors, board of commissioners and employees does not affect bank performance.

These test results corroborate our main test results.

Following Roberts and Whited (2013), endogeneity problems can occur in corporate financial research. The problem of endogeneity in the model causes inconsistent research results and the wrong conclusions can be drawn, resulting in incorrect theoretical conclusions and interpretations (Mukhibad et al., 2022). To overcome this, we use the suggestion from Ullah et al. (2018) and Wintoki et al. (2012) to use the generalized method of moments (GMM). We follow the steps taken by Mukhibad et al. (2022) for conducting the GMM test, and our test results are presented in Table 5.

The GMM system test shows that the probability score for all the models is 0.05 lower. The results of the AR test (1) show a probability score lower than 0.05. However, AR (2) produces a



probability greater than 0.05. The results of the Sargan and AR (2) tests indicate that the instrument and specification model are valid.

Table 5 shows that lag 1 ROA is not significant, because it produces a p-probability of more than 0.05. However, lag 1 ROE has a significant effect on 50E. These results indicate that there is endogeneity of ROE. The GMM test shows that the pay gap for board of directors, board of commissioners and employees has no effect on ROE. So, the GMM test results confirm the results of our main model test.

5. Conclusion

This study aims to prove the tournament theory using banks in Indonesia, and to compare the impact of this theory on Islamic and conventional banks. To prove it, we use the employees' pay gap, the board of directors' pay gap, and the board of commissioners' pay gap. We use these three pay gap indicators to expand the previous studies, which have their focus more on the pay gap between board of directors and employees. Bank performance is measured by ROA and ROE. In the sample of all the banks, we find that the tournament theory is not effectively implemented in banks because the board of directors' pay gap, the board of commissioners' pay gap and the ployees' pay gap have no effect on bank performance. However, by dividing the sample into two (Islamic banks and conventional banks), we find that the tournament theory is effective on the employees' pay gap, but it is more effective for Islamic bank employees.

We find no evidence of the tournament theory on the boards of commissioners. This shows that giving bonuses in stages, as is normally done for the boards of commissioners, does not encourage them to improve their performance, so it does not have a significant effect on bank performance. However, the board directors' pay gap in conventional banks has a negative impact on performance. The pap in the bonuses, allowances, and salaries for conventional bank board of directors will cause communication, coordination, and collaboration problems between the board of directors, thus giving rise to an indifferent attitude toward bank performance. However, in Islamic banks, the directors' pay gap does not affect performance.

In Islamic banks, a large employee pay gap can encourage the employees to use all their energy and skills to carry out their duties as well as possible, so that they will get bigger bonuses. Their high enthusiasm to complete tasks can also be influenced by the large potential of Islamic banks in Indonesia, because Indonesia has the largest Muslim population in the world. In addition, the consequences of Islamic law, as carried out by Islamic banks, encourage employees to have the free-will (ikhtiyar) to use all their skills at work.

Based on the results of this study, we recommend that conventional banks should reduce the pay gap for directors because bank performance reflects the performance of the entire board; coordination, communication, and collaboration among the board of directors greatly supports the achievement of improved bank performance. For Islamic banks, determining the appropriate pay gap for their board of directors is needed, so that each of the board of directors can use his/her skills and knowledge more effectively to manage the bank's resources and further improve bank performance. Regulators can make policies that can increase the efficiency of the board of directors' labor market for banks, so that this will increase the competence between board of directors and board of commissioners.

This study uses a sample of Islamic and conventional commercial banks in Indonesia, most of which are not listed on the stock exchange. So, we could not use market-based performance. Further research can expand the object of this research by using listed banks, so that market-based performance measurements can be carried out. In addition, the effectiveness of the tournament theory also needs to be investigated by explaining the demographic characteristics of the board of directors, and the ownership structure, on the relationship between the pay gap and company performance.



Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia, for funding this research. Also, the authors appreciate and thank you for the constructive comments forwarded by the referee of the

Funding

The authors received a grant from the Institute for Research and Community Service (LPPM) of Semarang State University (UNNES).

Author details

S Martono

E-mail: martono@mail.unnes.ac.id

ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0083-6775

Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie²

Hasan Mukhibad²

ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8979-5169

Ahmad Nurkhin³

K4 mantoro Kusumantoro3

- Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia.
- Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia. Department of Economics Education, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang,

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Author statement

Indonesia.

All authors is a lecturers and researchers in the field of Islamic finance. One of his focuses is on the performance evaluation of Islamic banks (IB). This paper focuses on the theoretical tournament in Islamic banks by emphasizing the payroll system. Islamic banks and conventional banks have different principles, operations, and cultures, resulting in different performance outputs. This paper encourages banks to make appropriate payroll policies to improve their performance.

Citation informat 4 Cite this article as: Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance - A study of dual system banking, S Martono, Fachrurrozie Fachrurrozie, Hasan Mukhibad, Ahmad Nurkhin & Kusumantoro Kusumantoro, Cogent Business & Management (2022), 9: 2110646.

References

- Ali, M. (2018). Determinants and consequences of board size: Conditional indirect effects. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 18(1), 165-184. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/CG-01-2016-0011
- Almutairi, A. R., & Quttainah, M. A. (2017). Corporate governance: Evidence from Islamic banks. Social Responsibility Journal, 13(3), 601–624. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/SRJ-05-2016-0061
- Arrah, T. R. Y. A., Caglar, D., & Bayram, P. (2018). The role of corporate culture and ethical environment in directing individuals' behavior. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 16(4), 299-313. https:// doi.org/10.21511/ppm.16(4).2018.25
- Azmin, A. A., Bakar, A. A., & Ghani, A. H. A. (2018). The moderating effects of Islamic business ethics on organizational support of residential project performance in Malaysia. Journal of Social Sciences Research, 2018(6), 277-283. https://doi.org/10. 32861/jssr.spi6.277.283

- Banker, R. D., Bu, D., & Mehta, M. N. (2016). Pay gap and performance in China. Abacus, 52(3), 501-531. https://doi.org/10.1111/abac.12082
- Basheer, M. F., Hameed, W. U., Sabir, S. A., & Jehangir, M. S. (2019). Islamic business ethics and Islamic banks performance in Malaysia: Does sincerity matter. . Natural Product Reports, 42(2), 217–224. https:// www.hamdardfoundation.org/hamdard-islamicus/
- Beekun, R. I. (2012). Character centered leadership: Muhammad (p) as an ethical role model for CEOs. Journal of Management Development, 31(10), 1003-1020. https://doi.org/10.1108/02621711211281799
- Brown, M. P., Sturman, M. C., & Simmering, M. J. (2003). Compensation policy and organizational performance: The efficiency, operational, and financial implication of pay levels and pay structure. Academy of Management Journal, 46(6), 752-762. https://doi. org/10.2307/30040666
- Buldan, H., Hamid, E. S., Sriyana, J., & Tohirin, A. (2021). The role of Islamic business ethics and market condition on organizational performance. Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(1), 781-790. https://doi.org/ 10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no1.781
- Byarwati, A. (2016). Creating Islamic corporate culture model for Islamic banking. Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Islam, 2(2), 205-218. https://doi.org/10.20473/jebis. v2i2.3175
- Chai, B. F., & Mirza, S. S. (2019). Political association, managerial power heterogeneity, and corporate risktaking in China, Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja, 32(1), 1373-1393. https://doi.org/10. 1080/1331677X.2019.1634613
- Chamberlain, T., Hidayat, S., & Khokhar, A. R. (2020). Credit risk in Islamic banking: Evidence from the GCC. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 11(5), 1055-1081. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-09-
- Cherian, J., Gaikar, V., Paul, R., & Pech, R. (2021). Corporate culture and its impact on employees' attitude, performance, productivity, and behavior: An investigative analysis from selected organizations of the United Arab Emirates (UAE). Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010045
- Chi, W., Liao, H., Wang, L., Zhao, R., & Ye, Q. (2019). Incentives to move up: Effects of pay gaps between levels on employee performance. Human Resource Management Journal, 29(2), 238-253. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1748-8583.12221
- Chowdhury, H., & Shams, S. (2021). Does firm life cycle have impacts on managerial promotion tournament incentives? Australian Journal of Management, 46(4), 593-628. https://doi.org/10.1177/0312896220974417
- Connelly, B. L., Crook, T. R., & Gangloff, K. A. (2014). Tournament theory: Thirty years of contests and competitions, 401, 6-47. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0149206313498902
- Conyon, M. J., Peck, S. I., & Sadler, G. V. (2001). Corporate tournaments and executive compensation: Evidence from the U.K. Strategic Management Journal, 22(8), 805-815. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.169
- Dai, Y., Kong, D., & Xu, J. (2017). Does fairness breed efficiency? Pay gap and firm productivity in China. International Review of Economics and Finance, 48 (January), 406-422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref. 2017.01.003
- Ehrenberg, R. G., & Bognanno, M. L. (1990). Do tournaments have incentive effects? Journal of Political Economy, 98(6), 1307-1324. https://doi.org/10.1086/



- Eriksson, T. (1999). Executive compensation and tournament theory: Empirical tests on Danish data. *Journal* of *Labour Economics*, 17(2), 262–280. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/209920
- Fair, R. C. (1971). The optimal distribution of income. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 85(4), 551–579. https://doi.org/10.2307/1882268
- Faleye, O., Reis, E., & Venkateswaran, A. (2013). The determinants and effects of CEO-employee pay ratios. Journal of Banking and Finance, 37(8), 3258– 3272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.03.003
- Gómez-Bezares, F., Przychodzen, W., & Przychodzen, J. (2019). Corporate sustainability and CEO-employee pay gap-buster or booster? Sustainability (Switzerland), 11(21), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su11216023
- Guermazi, I. (2020). Investment account holders' market discipline in GCC countries. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 11 (9), 1757–1770. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-04-2019-0067
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). Basic econometrics (5th ed.). McGraw-HiII/Irwin.
- Hameed, A., Ramzan, M., Zubair, H. M. K., Ali, G., & Arslan, M. (2014). Impact of Compensation on Employee Performance (Empirical Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan). International Journal of Business and Social Science, 5(2), 302–309. https://ijbssnet. com/journal/index/2385
- Henderson, A. D., & Fredrickson, J. W. (2014). Top management team coordination needs and the CEO pay gap: A competitive test of economic and behavioral views. Academy of Management Journal, 44(1), 96–117. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069339
- Herpen, M. V., Coolsa, K., & Praag, M. V. (2004). Wage structure and the incentive effect of promotions. (No. 1073).
- Hidayat, S. E., Sakti, M. R. P., & Al-Balushi, R. A. A. (2021). Risk, efficiency and financial performance in the GCC banking industry: Islamic versus conventional banks. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 12(4), 564–592. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-05-2020-0138
- Hillman, A. J., & Dalziel, T. (2003). Boards of directors and firm performance: Integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives. The Academy of Management Review, 28(3), 383–396. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/30040728
- Hoechle, D. (2007). Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence. The Stata Journal, 7(3), 281–312. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1536867X0700700301
- Hu, F., Pan, X., & Tian, G. (2013). Does CEO pay dispersion matter in an emerging market? Evidence from China's listed firms. Pacific Basin Finance Journal, 24(2), 235–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2013.07.003
- Ismail, S. B., Yabai, N. V., & Hahn, L. J. (2014). Relationship between CEO pay and firm performance: Evidences from Malaysia listed firms. IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance, 3(6), 14–31. https://doi.org/10.9790/ 5933-0361431
- Jelavić, S. R., Aleksić, A., & Braje, I. N. (2021). Behind the curtain: Workplace incivility—Individual actors in cultural settings. Sustainability, 13(3), 1249. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su13031249
- Johl, S. K., Kaur, S., & Cooper, B. J. (2015). Board characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian public listed firms. Journal of Economics, Business and Management, 3(2), 239–243. https://doi.org/10.7763/joebm.2015.v3.187
- Kalsie, A., & Shrivastav, S. M. (2016). Analysis of board size and firm performance: Evidence from NSE companies

- using panel data approach. Indian Journal of Corporate Governance, 9(2), 148–172. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0974686216666456
- Kang, E., & Lee, H. (2021). Employee compensation strategy as sustainable competitive advantage for HR education practitioners. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(3), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su13031049
- Law, P. (2011). Corporate governance and no fraud occurrence in organizations: Hong Kong evidence. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 26(6), 501–518. https://doi.org/10.1108/ 02686901111142558
- Lazear, E. P. (2018). Compensation and incentives in the workplace. The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 32 (3), 195–214. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.32.3.195
- Lee, C. H., (George). (2006). The effects of tournament incentive contracts and relative performance feedback on task effort, learning effort, and performance.
- Léon, F., & Weill, L. (2018). Islamic banking development and access to credit. Pacific Basin Finance Journal, 52 (April), 54–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2017. 04.010
- Lin, B. X., & Lu, R. (2009). Managerial power, compensation gap and firm performance - Evidence from Chinese public listed companies. Global Finance Journal, 20(2), 153–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2008.12.002
- Liu, P., Hosain, M. S., & Li, L. (2020). Does the compensation gap between executives and staffs influence future firm performance? The moderating roles of managerial power and overconfidence. *International Journal of Management and Economics*, 55(4), 287– 318. https://doi.org/10.2478/ijme-2019-0025
- Majid, M. S. A., Musnadi, S., & Putra, I. Y. (2014). A comparative analysis of the quality of Islamic and conventional banks' asset management in Indonesia. Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business, 16(2), 185-200. https://doi.org/10.22146/gamaijb.5463
- Mukhibad, H., Muthmainah, Andraeny, D., & Muthmainah, M. (2020). The role of corporate social responsibility disclosure in improving financial performance (Case study in Indonesian Islamic bank). Al-Uqud: Journal of Islamic Economics, 4(2), 162–173. https://doi.org/ 10.26740/al-uqud.v4n2.p162-173
- Mukhibad, H., & Setiawan, D. (2020). Could risk, corporate governance, and corporate ethics enhance social performance? Evidence from Islamic banks in Indonesia. Indian Journal of Finance, 14(4), 24–38. https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2020/v14i4/151706
- Mukhibad, H., Yudo Jayanto, P., Suryarini, T., & Bagas Hapsoro, B. (2022). Corporate governance and Islamic bank accountability based on disclosure—a study on Islamic banks in Indonesia. Cogent Business & Management, 9(1), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 23311975.2022.2080151
- Musallam, S. R. M. (2020). Effects of board characteristics, audit committee and risk management on corporate performance: Evidence from Palestinian listed companies. International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 13(4), 691–706. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-12-2017-0347
- Nasution, F. N., & Rafiki, A. (2019). Islamic work ethics, organizational commitment and job satisfaction of Islamic banks in Indonesia. RAUSP Management Journal, 55(2), 195–205. https://doi.org/10.1108/ RAUSP-01-2019-0011
- Nyberg, A. J., Pieper, J. R., & Trevor, C. O. (2016). Pay-for-Performance's effect on future employee performance: Integrating psychological and economic principles toward a contingency perspective pay-for-Performance's effect on future employee. Journal of



- Management, 42(7), 1753-1783. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0149206313515520
- Pathan, S., & Faff, R. (2013). Does board structure in banks really affect their performance? Journal of Banking and Finance, 37(5), 1573–1589. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jbankfin.2012.12.016
- Ponta, L., Delfino, F., & Cainarca, G. (2020). The role of monetary incentives: Bonus and/or stimulus. Administrative Sciences, 10(1), 8. https://doi.org/10. 3390/admsci10010008
- Rachman, G. G. (2014). The effect of board of commissioners, audit committee, and internal auditor on financial reporting quality of banks listed on the Indonesia stock exchange. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, II(10), 1–27. http://ijecm.co.uk/
- Regnerus, M. D., & Smith, C. (2005). Religious research association, Inc. Review of Religious Research, 47(1), 23–50. https://doi.org/10.2307/4148279
- Roberts, M. R., & Whited, T. M. (2013). Handbook of the Economics of Finance, 2, 493–572. Elsevier. https:// doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-44-453594-8.00007-0 doi:
- Roszkowska, P., & Mele, D. (2021). Organizational factors in the individual ethical behaviour. the notion of the "organizational moral structure. *Humanistic Management Journal*, 6(2), 187–209. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s41463-020-00080-z
- Salin, A. S. A. P., Manan, S. K. A., & Kamaluddin, N. (2020). Ethical framework for directors – Learning from the prophet. International Journal of Law and Management, 62(2), 171–191. https://doi.org/10. 1108/JJLMA-04-2018-0075
- Sierra-Morán, J., Cabeza-García, L., González-Álvarez, N., & Botella, J. (2022). The board of directors and firm innovation: A meta-analytical review. BRQ Business Research Quarterly, 26(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10. 1177/23409444211039856
- Suzuki, Y., & Uddin, S. M. S. (2016). Recent trends in Islamic banks' lending modes in Bangladesh: An evaluation. Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 7(1), 28–41. https://doi.org/10. 1108/JIABR-07-2013-0026
- Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K. (2015). Leadership and organizational culture as the normative influence of top management on employee's behaviour in the

- innovation process. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 34(15), 396-402. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671 (15)01646-9
- Ullah, S., Akhtar, P., & Zaefarian, G. (2018). Dealing with endogeneity bias: The generalized method of moments (GMM) for panel data. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 71 (November), 69–78. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.11.010
- Wang, Y. (2015). Does salary gap really have incentive effect. Modern Economy, 6(September), 1023–1027. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/me.2015.69097
- Wintoki, M. B., Linck, J. S., & Netter, J. M. (2012). Endogeneity and the dynamics of internal corporate governance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 105(3), 581–606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2012.03. 005
- Xu, M., Kong, G., & Kong, D. (2017). Does wage justice hamper creativity? Pay gap and firm innovation in China. China Economic Review, 44(April), 186–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2017.04.003
- Xu, Y., Liu, Y., & Lobo, G. J. (2016). Troubled by unequal pay rather than low pay: The incentive effects of a top management team pay gap. China Journal of Accounting Research, 9(2), 115–135. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cjar.2016.01.001
- Yang, L.-W., Yang, Y.-F., & Su, W.-H. (2015). Salary gap and operating performance: Perspective of tournament theory. Global Journal of Business Research, 9 (1), 15–26. https://ideas.repec.org/a/ibf/gjbres/ v9y2015i1p15-26.html
- Yousef, D. A. (2001). Islamic work ethic A moderator between organizational commitment and job satisfaction in a cross-cultural context. Personnel Review, 30(2), 152–169. https://doi.org/10.1108/ 00483480110380325
- Zhao, Q., & Wang, Y. (2019). Pay gap, inventor promotion and corporate technology innovation. China Finance Review International, 9(2), 154–182. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/CFRI-06-2017-0073
- Zhou, H., Owusu-Ansah, S., & Maggina, A. (2018). Board of directors, audit committee, and firm performance: Evidence from Greece. Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation, 31(May 2013), 20– 36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2018.03. 002



© 2022 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

 ${\it Adapt-remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.}$

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:



Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Cogent Business & Management (ISSN: 2331-1975) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group. Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- · Download and citation statistics for your article
- · Rapid online publication
- · Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- · Retention of full copyright of your article
- · Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at www.CogentOA.com



Evaluation of the impact of the pay gap on performance A study of dual system banking

	ALITY REPORT	System banking		
1 SIMIL	8% ARITY INDEX	18% INTERNET SOURCES	8% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	RY SOURCES			
1	WWW.re	searchgate.net		10%
2	Submitt Student Pape	ted to Unizin, LL	С	2%
3	Trisni Si "Corpor account on Islan	Mukhibad, Prabouryarini, Bayu Bayu Barate governance tability based or nic banks in Indoes & Managemer	agas Hapsoro. and Islamic ba disclosure—a onesia", Cogen	ank study
4	doaj.or			1 %
5	Submitt Student Pape	ted to Navitas G	lobal	1 %
6	Submitt Student Pape	ted to Al Al Bayt	University	1 %
7	zombie Internet Sour	doc.com		1 %

8

Fathur Rokhman, Hasan Mukhibad, Bayu Bagas Hapsoro, Ahmad Nurkhin. "E-learning evaluation during the COVID-19 pandemic era based on the updated of Delone and McLean information systems success model", Cogent Education, 2022

1 %

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 1%