

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

PUBLIKASI PADA JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING

VOL. 17, NO. 4, PP. 1285-1296

**JUDUL : TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION IN URBAN AREAS: A
CASE STUDY**

Ringkasan Korespondensi

No.	Item	Tanggal	Halaman
1.	Initial OJS submission	11 Maret 2022	3
2.	Revision from reviewers	6 April 2022	15
3.	Submission for revision	20 April 2022	18
4.	Decision on first revision: accepted	8 Juni 2022	35
5.	Submission payment	9 Juni 2022	37
6.	Copyediting	14 Juli 2022	38
7.	Submission for revision and copyediting	22 Juli 2022	39
8.	Article publication	29 Juli 2022	57

INITIAL OJS SUBMISSION

International Journal of Sustainable Development and... English View Site aminpujati

OJS
OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Tasks 0

Submissions

Submission Library View Metadata

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study in Indonesia

Amin Pujiati, Dyah Maya Nihayah, Prasetyo Ari Bowo, Fauzul Adzim

Submission Review **Copyediting** Production

Submission Files

Search

30954-1	aminpujati, Author, IJSDP - Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas.docx	Article Text
---------	--	--------------

Download All Files

Pre-Review Discussions

Add discussion

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
Comments for the Editor	aminpujati Mar/11	-	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments for the Editor



Participants [Edit](#)

Amin Pujiati (aminpujati)

Messages

Note

From

Thank you very much for your valuable time to evaluate my paper submission.

aminpujati
Mar 11

Add Message

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study in Indonesia

Amin Pujiati^{1*}, Dyah Maya Nihayah², Prasetyo Ari Bowo³, Fauzul Adzim⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

² Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

⁴ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

Corresponding Author Email: amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id

<https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.xxxxxx>

ABSTRACT

Received:

Accepted:

Keywords:

*transportation, sustainable development
strategy, urban area*

As the center of an industrial city in Central Java - Indonesia, Semarang city becomes an attraction for people to urbanize so that its population density increases every year. This increase is followed by the problems faced. One of which is a transportation problem, such as congestion, accidents, and environmental pollution. This study aims to develop a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City. Primary data were obtained from observations, interviews, documentation, and questionnaires. Data were then analyzed using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique. The findings revealed that the development of transportation system facilities and infrastructure is the top priority for policy. Government policy turns out to be the next strategic priority. Policy implementation will be more effective if a planning process that involves community participation is carried out to the citizens (bottom-up planning).

1. INTRODUCTION

Semarang City is the capital city of Central Java and an industrial city, which is attractive for immigrants to work, study, or live in. Many immigrants who later settled in Semarang City made this city even more densely populated. The population in the Semarang continues to increase every year. In a couple of years (2013-2019), the population in Semarang has continued to grow. The high population growth in Semarang is caused by several factors, including birth, death, arrival, and immigration (Central Bureau of Statistics Semarang, 2018). In 2013, the total population in Semarang was 1,572,105 people. Even though it experienced a decline in 2014, the following year, it increased again until the year 2019 to 1,814,110 people.

Nevertheless, the high population growth is not followed by an expansion of the area, which causes the population density in Semarang City to increase. From previous years to 2019, the total area in Semarang is still the same, amounting to 373.78 km². The following is a figure of the increase in population density in Semarang City in 2013-2019.

The population density in Semarang City from 2013 to 2019 continues to increase. In 2013, the population density was 4,206 people/km². Although, in 2014, it decreased to 4,172 people/km² but in 2015, it increased again until 2019, which reached 4,855 people/km². The density of the population in Semarang has made the traffic of community activities even denser. The increasingly dense traffic and

people's movement are in line with the increasingly dense demand for transportation. The greater the population in an area, the greater the need for transportation and other factors such as energy and environmental factors (Danish et al., 2020; Rifusua, 2010). The growing need for transportation in Semarang City is to support community activities and support the delivery of other goods and services. It has consequences for the environment (Hidayati et al., 2016). The higher the activities carried out by urban communities, the higher the environmental impact (Lin et al., 2017, 2020; Maparu et al., 2017; Meersman et al., 2017; Meng et al., 2018).

Semarang City transportation development has been continuously pursued through spatial and territorial planning in the 2016-2021 Semarang's RPJMD (Regional Long-Term Development Plan). The RPJMD contains Semarang City's vision and mission. Semarang City's vision is "*Semarang Kota Perdagangan dan Jasa yang Hebat Menuju Masyarakat Semakin Sejahtera*" (Semarang, a City of Great Trade and Services Towards an Increasingly Prosperous Society). There is also a mission in that vision, one of which is "*Mewujudkan Kota Metropolitan yang Dinamis dan Berwawasan Lingkungan*" (Creating a Dynamic Metropolitan City with Environmental Insights). This mission implies that development is prioritized on optimizing the use of spatial planning and enhancing the development of regional infrastructure that is planned, harmonious, and balanced and taking into account the

environmentally sound and sustainable (Regional Development Planning Agency, 2017).

As stated in the 2016-2021 RPJMD of Semarang City, the city of Semarang's vision and mission clearly illustrate that the development of Semarang is directed towards environmentally sound and sustainable development. Likewise, the development and growth of Semarang transportation can also be carried out regardless of environmental elements. To support the realization of Semarang City's vision and mission, in this case, the Semarang City Transportation Office, in accordance with its main duties and functions, plays a role in the success of Semarang transportation development, referring to the development mission of Semarang, such as creating a dynamic and environmentally sound metropolitan city.

The government has provided mass transportation modes, such as city transportation, buses, rickshaws, and others. These transportation modes are also equipped with adequate amenities and infrastructure, such as bus stops, paved streets, public street lightings, pedestrian paths, and other infrastructures. The government provides a public transportation mode called BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) or *Trans Semarang Bus*, with routes that pass through strategic places in Semarang.

The availability of mass transportation modes that are deemed inadequate makes people prefer to use private vehicles, and the existence of these private vehicles continues to increase every year. The number of private vehicles in Semarang City is much greater than the number of public vehicles. The number of private vehicles in Semarang from 2013 to 2017 has increased. In 2013 alone, two- and three-wheeled private vehicles were 681,443 units. Then, in the following year, it continued to increase until 2017 up to 1,387,600 units. Private two and three-wheeled vehicles and four-wheeled private vehicles in Semarang also continued to increase from 121,782 units in 2013 to 238,152 in 2017 (Regional Revenue Management Agency of Jawa Tengah, 2018). The increase in private vehicles in Semarang City has been followed by increased public transportation, both small and large public vehicles. However, the number of public transportations is still far less than that of private vehicles.

The high number of private vehicles in Semarang City, which continues to increase every year, will undoubtedly cause various problems, such as worsening congestion, hindering economic activity, and increasing environmental pollution. Apart from congestion, the problem caused by the high number of private vehicles is environmental pollution, especially air pollution. The impacts of the high number of private vehicles are to make traffic flow denser and increase air pollution. According to Labib et al. (2018), the decline in urban air quality is caused by increased use of motorized vehicles, disincentives for fuel-free transportation (FFT), and a decrease in urban areas' green areas. The same study was also conducted by (Guo et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2019).

In Martuti and Hidayati and Febriharjati's research (2016; 2013), it was stated that air pollution in Semarang City is very severe and worrying, in which the number reached 70-80%. The condition of air pollution in Semarang continues to increase every year. The pollutant content that is most worrying and continues to increase every year is carbon monoxide (CO). Although the CO level in Semarang

City is still below the threshold of 15,000 $\mu\text{gr}/\text{m}^3$, it has continued to increase over the last five years (Semarang City Environment Service, 2018). If this condition continues, it will affect people's quality of life (Arvin et al., 2015; Israel-Schwarzlose et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

The government is currently making serious efforts to build more advanced and environmentally friendly transportation to reduce congestion and air pollution by implementing sustainable transportation development. However, the results are still not effective and optimal. For example, although several pedestrian paths are already in poor condition and are used as parking lots and trading. The pedestrian's condition can be measured by the condition itself, crowdedness, and other aspects such as trees, benches, water fountains, and so on (Shatu et al., 2019). The provided mass public transport (BRT) does not cover the entire area, and services are still lacking. In fact, it is well known that sustainable transportation reflects the concept of sustainable development in the transportation sector. According to Rasiah et al. (2018), discussing sustainable development has become an important part of alternative green paths. The effect of sustainable transportation cannot be ignored in an urban area's growth and development process (Beyzatlar et al., 2014; Meersman et al., 2017; Özokcu et al., 2017; Saidi et al., 2018; Tong et al., 2018).

This study aims to elaborate a strategy for sustainable urban areas transportation development, especially in Semarang City as an industrial city center in a region. This research is urgent because currently, many cities face the same problem. Even though the government policies have been made and tried to accommodate the vision and mission to be achieved, the implementation often does not work properly, so it is necessary to look for root cause-based policy priorities.

The previous research done by Ameen and Mourshed (2019) focuses on providing sustainable means of transportation to developers (stakeholders). According to Xu et al. (2017), policies in providing sustainable transportation facilities must combine the combination of human impacts, efficiency impacts, and sustainability impacts into one framework. However, there is no element of equity and policy efficiency. Meanwhile, Cascetta et al. (2015) implemented the three processes required to integrate transportation facilities, namely cognitive decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and quantitative analysis. The difference between this study and previous research is that the policy-making for the provision of sustainable transportation means involves not only users (stakeholders) but also development planners and academics. The elements of efficiency and effectiveness of policy are carried out by determining the priority scale in each existing program, which previous researchers have not carried out. This difference is expected to enrich the treasury of knowledge and produce various policies to solve the complexity of transportation problems.

2. METHOD

This type of research is qualitative and quantitative research. The location used for this research was Semarang City, Central Java Province – Indonesia, as a metropolitan city with a mission to implement sustainable transportation.

The data were acquired using primary and secondary data, where primary data were obtained by doing observations, interviews, documentation, and questionnaires. At the same time, secondary data were acquired from scientific literature, articles, journals, and websites. Moreover, secondary data were achieved from the Semarang City Regional Planning and Development Agency (BAPPEDA), Semarang City Transportation Service, and Semarang City Environmental Service.

The sampling technique was the purposive sampling technique, where six key people were considered experts in knowing the problem studied and understood what is expected in the study. Data collecting techniques comprised observation, interviews, documentation, discussion, and questionnaires. The hope is that by using analytical tools, combining several key persons, and scientific analysis can produce precise policy directions for problems related to government policies and funding of public facilities such as sustainable public transportation and improving the economy through a reputation for institutions (De Jong et al., 2016; Gulbrandsen et al., 2011; Hessels et al., 2018; Lyall et al., 2013; Mowery et al., 2001; Scoble et al., 2010). Moreover, with academic input, it can then encourage policymakers, especially the government, to provide easily accessible data to researchers and academics (Welle Donker, 2016; Welle Donker et al., 2016).

The analysis technique employed the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP helps set priorities and objectives from various options. It establishes the priority of elements in a decision problem by making pairwise comparisons on each element, fairly compared against a specific criterion (Brotodewo, 2010). Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method would obtain several strategies that can be worked as materials for a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City according to hierarchy or priority.

Compilation of the criterion and sub-criterion variables as alternatives to determine policy priorities with the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) encompassed government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and environmental quality improvement. Various program alternatives were within these three variables to determine a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City: four alternatives for government policy criteria, three alternatives' criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure, and four criteria for improving the environment's quality.

3. RESULTS

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to prioritize strategies for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The components used in this study included several criteria and alternatives based on the results of literature reviews, previous research, and interviews with predetermined and competent key persons in the transportation sector.

Six key persons were involved from Bappeda Semarang City, Semarang City Transportation Office, Semarang City Environmental Service, and Academics. Priority strategies were obtained by selecting several criteria and alternatives based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City Development Planning Agency for Transportation Planning and Water Resources. At the end of

the interview, three criteria were achieved: government policy, facilities development, infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. Then, they were compiled based on the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP).

According to calculation using AHP on all criteria for sustainable transport development in Semarang City utilizing the Expert Choice 11 program, the following results were obtained in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Overall Sustainable Transportation Development Criteria in Semarang City Based on AHP Outputs

Figure 1 can be interpreted that the most prioritized criterion in the development of sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the development of facilities and infrastructure, with a weighting value of 0.413. Then, the second priority criterion is the improvement of environmental quality with a weighting value of 0.327, and the third priority criterion is government policy with a value of 0.260. These calculation results were utilized as a basis for determining the priority order of each of the criteria, which can be used as the basis for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City.

The government policy criteria consist of four alternatives, including increasing the integration between modes of mass public transportation, stipulating a prohibition on parking on streets along with public mode areas, separating mass public transportation routes from other transportation, and improving service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. The criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure have three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT). Meanwhile, the criteria for improving environmental quality have four criteria, covering the transfer of private modes of transportation to public modes of transportation to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on the results of exhaust emission tests, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land use, and the realization of green belts along newly built road routes with productive and non-fragile shade trees.

3.1 Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

The development of facilities and infrastructure is the most prioritized criterion for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The development of facilities and infrastructure is essential in developing sustainable mass transportation in Semarang City. With the availability of adequate and comfortable transportation facilities and infrastructure for the community, this will

minimize various existing problems, such as pollution congestion and high use of private vehicles.

In the criterion for developing these facilities and infrastructure, there are three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities (MRT, LRT). The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. AHP Outputs of Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

Caption:

B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; B2: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT); B3: Park and ride facilities provision

Figure 2 exhibits that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure regarding developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.443. The provision of parks and rides is the provision of parking lots at strategic places and integrated transportation nodes, such as bus stops, terminals, and stations.

The provision of park and ride facilities is intended for private vehicle drivers to park their vehicles in parking pockets and then switch to using public transportation to reduce congestion in city centers. Providing parking pockets in several strategic places and transportation nodes will minimize the number of private vehicles in the city center so that it will reduce congestion and CO emissions (Velazquez et al., 2015).

Nag et al. (Nag et al., 2018) stated that providing parking lots in busy urban areas will reduce vehicle mobility so that it can avoid congestion and at the same time attract tourists to travel in urban areas. However, it is different from what Yin & Wang (2018) said that the availability of excessive parking lots increases people's dependence on car use. The provision of park and ride facilities planned by the Semarang City government is specifically for construction in community activity centers, namely in the Tugu Muda area, Johar area, and Mataram Plaza.

The second priority alternative is the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT), with a weight value of 0.387. The dense movement of urban communities certainly requires adequate transportation facilities, especially mass public transportation. The provision of mass public transportation in urban areas is crucial to support this dense movement. Therefore, the Semarang City government has provided mass transportation in the form of the Trans Semarang BRT. However, the existence of the Trans Semarang BRT has not been able to accommodate all the needs of the community's

movement because of the relatively small bus capacity, so that passengers have to jostle to catch time.

Kelle et al. (2018) affirmed that changing transportation mode from the road to rail has a major effect on local road transportation, increasing speed, reducing congestion, reducing delays in shipping goods, and reducing pollution. It is because rail transportation by train has several advantages, such as system reliability, speed of travel time, and greater transport capacity.

The Semarang City government has launched to provide mass public transportation with a larger capacity like the MRT and LRT. MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) is an effective and comfortable rail-based mass transportation and fast transit system with a large passenger-carrying capacity. Meanwhile, the LRT (Light Rapid Transit) is a rail-based metropolitan transportation system with monorail and tram lines along its lines. Currently, the MRT and LRT implementation plans in Semarang City are still being studied more deeply and are planned to be built in community activity centers.

The last priority alternative is the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, with a weight value of 0.169. Pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes in Semarang City have been implemented for a long time, but the implementation has not been optimal. There are still many roads in the city center that are not yet available for pedestrians and bicycle lanes, and many are in poor condition. Therefore, it is necessary to develop by improving the damaged pathways' condition and adding supporting facilities to provide pedestrians and bicycle users safety and comfort.

Gerike and Koszowski (2017) asserted that pedestrian and bicycle lanes provided in urban areas must pay attention to safety and comfort aspects for their users by providing roadblocks and providing adequate facilities. Meanwhile, Qian et al. (2018) said that pedestrian paths conditions attract people to walk easily from various places, and the quality of the pedestrian paths makes users comfortable using them.

If pedestrian and bicycle paths are considered safe and comfortable, there will certainly be more people who are interested in choosing to walk and cycle instead of using motorized vehicles. In a study by Boettge et al. (2017), it was stated that bicycle users generally feel less comfortable and safe when cycling because road traffic sometimes ignores bicycle users, so that bicycle development should be prioritized on roads with lighter traffic intensity or on roads that are not too crowded of vehicles to ensure the safety and comfort of cyclists.

3.2 Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

The second priority criterion in the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is improving environmental quality. This study's results are different from the research by Kumar et al. (2015), which stated that environmental indicators are the most prioritized aspects of the realization of sustainable transportation in Delhi City.

Based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, in the criterion for improving environmental quality, there are four alternatives, including the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption,

progressive vehicle taxes based on exhaust emission test results, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, and the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-fragile shade trees. The calculation results from the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for improving environmental quality are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. AHP Outputs of Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

Caption:

C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

Figure 3 displays that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for improving environmental quality in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees, with a weight value of 0.409. The increasing number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City will result in increased air pollution. It certainly makes the air quality in Semarang City decrease. Therefore, the Semarang City government has launched a green belt program or planted shade trees along the newly constructed road that is busy with vehicles.

The types of trees planted are trees that do not break easily and can absorb pollutants, such as *Dadap Merah*, *Mahoni*, *Asam Jawa*, *Flamboyan*, etc. The realization of the green belt aims to clean the air from pollutants, especially CO₂, and absorb noise so that it will reduce pollution in Semarang City. Jin et al. (Jin et al., 2018) said that green vegetation as a shade for cities should always be available to improve air quality in cities due to high urban traffic.

The second alternative priority is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.271. The relatively high number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City is a particular concern for the local government, considering that motorized vehicles contribute to pollutants by 80%. There are still many motorized vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the specified threshold. (Gusnita, 2010) suggested that one of the ways that can be taken to reduce the high level of air pollution in cities is to carry out regular monitoring of motorized vehicles against the exhaust gas emissions that have been described. Therefore, the Semarang City government has issued a progressive vehicle tax based on the vehicle's exhaust emission test results. In implementing this tax, exhaust gas emissions are prerequisites for motor vehicle roadworthiness. Motorized vehicles that exceed the exhaust gas emission threshold will be subject to a progressive tax.

Meanwhile, motorized vehicles that can manage exhaust emissions properly will receive tax relief.

The third alternative priority is to reduce the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, with a weight value of 0.191. The more densely populated a city is, the denser the travel activities of its residents will be. As the capital of Central Java Province and a city of trade and industry, Semarang City makes its population's travel activities very dense, both trips by local residents and outside the city. Not a few residents outside the city work in Semarang and migrate every day.

Industrial and trade areas that are still spreading in several points in Semarang City have moved the people not yet centralized, causing congestion. Through proper land use, it is hoped to reduce these problems. Land use management will be applied by directing spatial use changes in the periphery areas to be developed by various production facilities and areas. It is intended to regulate the movement to not concentrate in the city center.

The fourth priority alternative is private transportation transfer to public transportation to reduce fuel consumption, with a weight value of 0.129. The high public interest in the use of private vehicles causes high fuel consumption, which results in increased pollution in Semarang City. BBM is a motor vehicle fuel that comes from fossils so that the pollutants produced from this fuel are very high. Therefore, the tendency of the community to use private vehicles must be diverted to using public vehicles.

Steps that can be applied to realize the program are to increase the cost of travel by private mode by increasing the tax on private vehicles, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional costs for fossil fuels, and tax on luxury goods. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice considering the huge costs they have to bear.

3.3 Government Policy Criterion

Government policy is the third criterion in the transportation improvement strategy in Semarang City. The government is the party with the authority to set a policy to achieve a goal. Government policy here is very closely related to programs and regulations that have been launched and related to transportation. With these programs and regulations, it is hoped that they will be able to realize sustainable transportation in Semarang City. Based on the results of surveys and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, several alternatives were found in government policy criterion that increases the integration between shared public transportation modes: determining parking restrictions on roads along with public mode areas, separating public transportation routes along with other transportation, and improving service quality convenience and public transportation. The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) against the government policy criterion are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. AHP Outputs of Government Policy Criterion

Caption:

A1: Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation

Figure 4 can be interpreted that the most prioritized alternative to government policy criterion in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the improvement of service quality, convenience, and security of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.386. Mass public transportation is a public vehicle that can support people's movement in big cities such as Semarang City.

People do not need to use private vehicles to support their daily activities with this mass transportation. However, what is of concern is that there are many modes of mass public transportation with quality of comfort and safety below the community's expectations, such as relatively long waiting times, travel times that are not much different from private vehicles, and small passenger capacities so that passengers have to jostle. These will decrease the public's interest in using mass public transportation. Therefore, efforts are needed to improve service quality, comfort, and safety in mass public transportation, such as increasing the number of fleets so that waiting times are faster and replacing old transportation modes with new ones and a larger capacity so that passengers do so not need to overcrowd. The convenience of mass public transportation is an essential aspect so that people are still willing to use public transportation rather than private transportation (Thomas et al., 2017).

The second priority alternative is to increase the integration between mass public transportation modes, with a weight value of 0.319. The movement of urban communities that are not only centered in one area requires an integrated mass public transportation mode to facilitate their activities, especially those on the outskirts of the city and those outside the city. If the mass public transportation modes are not integrated, then people from the suburbs and outside the city will choose to use private vehicles to go to the city center. Vice versa, if modes of public transportation are integrated, people will choose to use mass public transportation. Therefore, there is a need to integrate mass public transportation modes such as BRT by train or other public vehicles.

In line with the research of Buwana et al. (2016), the integration between public transportation modes needs to be maximized so that people do not need to use private vehicles because their accessibility and mobility are already available with integrated public transportation modes. The importance of integration between transportation system planning

elements is that allocating resources will face situations and changes in the urban physical environment that are growing and developing dynamically (Errampalli et al., 2018; Gonzalez-Garcia et al., 2018; Wey et al., 2018). If it is not appropriately anticipated, it will affect the quality of people's lives.

Then, the third priority alternative is the separation of mass public transportation routes from other transportation, with a weight value of 0.159. So far, the mass public transportation route in Semarang City is still integrated with other vehicles, both private vehicles and goods transportation, so that when there is a traffic jam, the mass public transportation will also experience congestion. It causes the travel time for mass public transportation to be no different from private transportation.

The Semarang City government has announced to separate public vehicles from goods and private vehicles. The currently being pursued program is the construction of the Semarang city ring road intended for goods and heavy vehicles to reduce the volume of vehicles on the city route. While programs to separate public vehicles from private vehicles are being pursued by separating routes and building the Bus Way route.

The fourth priority alternative is the imposition of on-street parking restrictions along the public mode area, with a weight value of 0.136. Dense urban community activities and the high use of private vehicles that are not matched by the provision of adequate parking pockets have caused many private vehicles to be parked on the road, thus obstructing traffic flow, which will impact congestion. It has occurred on various roads in the center of Semarang City, such as the Jl. Pemuda, Jl. Pahlawan, Jl. Veteran, Jl. Pandanaran, and many others. Even though these roads connect several facilities in the city, the traffic is very congested. In fact, not a few private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane, disturbing bicycle users. Therefore, the Semarang City government has implemented a prohibition on on-street parking along public mode areas to reduce the impact of congestion.

3.4 Sequence of Alternatives for Sustainable Transportation Development Strategies in Semarang City

Using AHP on all alternative strategies for sustainable transport development in Semarang City shows the results in Figure 5.




Figure 5. AHP Outputs towards All Available Alternatives

Caption:

B2: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT); B3: Park and ride facilities provision; C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly

constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade plants; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; A1: Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes; B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public mode areas

Figure 5 above shows that in the development of a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City, the most prioritized alternative is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.172. As the center of industry and trade, traffic in this city is very dense, causing congestion and air pollution. The very high volume of vehicles causes congestion in the city center due to the high use of private vehicles.

People who live in suburban and out-of-town areas prefer to use private vehicles to support their city center activities. To overcome the high volume of vehicles, park and ride facilities are needed in several strategic areas: near bus stops/bus shelters, stations, and other strategic places. With this park and ride facility, it is hoped that people who use private vehicles from the outskirts and outside the city park their vehicles in the parking pockets that have been provided and then switch to mass public transportation to their destination. Thus, it will be able to reduce the volume of vehicles and reduce congestion.

Apart from providing park and ride facilities, it is also necessary to offer modern public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT) to develop sustainable transportation in Semarang City. So far, mass transportation in Semarang City like BRT Trans Semarang has not accommodated the people's movements in Semarang City. The relatively small capacity and routes still integrated with other vehicles make the travel time and waiting time for this transportation mode quite long. Therefore, it is necessary to provide mass public transportation that is more effective and efficient with a faster travel time, namely by providing MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) and LRT (Light Rapid Transit).

MRT and LRT are rail-based mass public transportation that has been widely implemented in big cities. The rail-based line will not collide with other vehicles to be more effective and efficient. Yu et al. (2018) stated that shifting road public transportation modes to rail-based transportation in several cities in China has been shown to reduce road pressure and reduce pollution significantly. Thus, it will attract people to use mass public transportation more than private transportation because it is more effective and efficient.

Moreover, the level of air pollution in Semarang City is very worrying due to the high volume of vehicles. Many pollutants are contained in the air of Semarang City due to fossil fuels used by motorized vehicles. The high level of community activity will cause high levels of pollutants in the air. As a result, it will interfere with health and affect the community's productivity, resulting in per capita income earned (Arvin et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2019).

Steps that can be taken to overcome this problem are to create a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive shade trees that do not break easily. The green belt is in the form of tree planting along busy roads and those that have recently been built to reduce the level of pollution in Semarang City. Trees planted are trees that can clean pollutants, provide shade, and reduce noise, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, and so on.

The fourth alternative priority is improving the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.108. The dense movement of the people of Semarang City certainly requires adequate transportation. Mass public transportation is a mode of transportation that can support the density of community activities. However, the quality of service, comfort, and safety of mass public transportation is still inadequate, such as long waiting times and passengers tend to overcrowd. Therefore, the quality of service and convenience must be improved immediately so that mass transportation is always the first choice to support their activities. If it is not immediately improved, it can be that the community preference is to use private vehicles rather than mass public transportation. The public will not mind having to pay more if later they will be able to get greater benefits, namely safety and health and better air quality (Ahmad et al., 2016; Israel-Schwarzlose et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2019).

The next prioritized alternative is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.090. The high level of pollution due to exhaust emissions produced by motorized vehicles can be overcome by implementing a progressive motor vehicle tax based on exhaust emissions. It has been stated in Government Regulation Number 55 of 2012 concerning vehicles. Article 64 paragraph 1 states that exhaust gas emissions are a condition for motor vehicle roadworthiness. A progressive tax will be imposed for vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the threshold. Vice versa, vehicles that can control exhaust emissions properly will receive tax breaks.

Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes is the sixth priority alternative in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City, with a weight value of 0.089. The provision of mass public transportation also requires integration between modes. The lack of integration of mass public transportation modes will discourage the public from using them. The integration between modes of mass public transportation will be able to support the activities of the people's movement at several points in Semarang City. It can be done by building several Trans Semarang BRT stops connected to the Trans Central Java bus stop with other transportation modes, such as stations, terminals, and so on.

Furthermore, an alternative that is no less critical to prioritize is the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes as the seventh priority sequence, with a weight value of 0.065. The provision of pedestrian and bicycle lanes is crucial to minimize the use of motorized vehicles. Walking and cycling are environmental-based transportation that can reduce air pollution caused by motorized transportation. Therefore, this transportation must always be considered by providing adequate pedestrian facilities and bicycle paths. It would be better if the planning is made bottom-up. Boettge et al. (2017) argued that in planning to develop bicycle paths in urban areas, bicycle users should be involved because,

after all, they are the ones who will take advantage of these facilities. For instance, the development of bicycle lanes in Louis has not involved cyclists, so that most of the bicycle lanes are not traversed by cyclists because the road traffic is too dense, which endangers bicycle users. Pedestrian paths must be integrated with several modes of mass public transportation. Likewise, bicycle lanes must also be integrated with public transportation modes and ensure the comfort and safety of these bicycle users. Besides, other facilities must also be provided, such as seats, trash cans, shelters, and bicycle parking bags.

Reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning is the eighth priority alternative, with a weight value of 0.063. The Semarang City people's activities are generally still focused on the city center, which causes congestion and high air pollution in the city center. It is because many facilities and offices are in the center of Semarang City. It needs land use that can reduce the dense activity in the city center. It can be done by planning an RTRW that regulates the use of peripheral areas for public facilities and trade and industrial centers to not focus on the city center.

Furthermore, the roads in the city of Semarang are classified as very dense. It is because private vehicles, goods transportation, and mass public transportation are still in one lane. An effort is needed to separate mass public transportation routes from other transportation to break down the city center's dense traffic. One of the programs launched by the Semarang City government is to build the Semarang city ring route as a route for goods and heavy vehicles. Thus, traffic volume on city routes can be reduced and will result in reduced congestion and pollution in the city center.

The high ownership of private vehicles is one of the causes of congestion and pollution in Semarang City. Furthermore, more concerning is that most private vehicles use fossil fuels and obviously the pollution emitted by these vehicles is also high. Fossil fuel motorized vehicles are a contributor to 80% of pollutants in urban areas. It, of course, must be handled immediately.

One of the programs that can be implemented is by shifting private transportation to public transportation to minimize fuel consumption by increasing travel costs by private mode, increasing private vehicle taxes, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional fuel costs coming from fossils, and the luxury tax. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice, considering that they must bear costs are not small.

The last priority or eleventh priority alternative in the sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City is the stipulation of the prohibition of parking on streets along with the public mode areas, with a weight value of 0.038. The lack of parking facilities in the city of Semarang has caused some people who use private vehicles to park their vehicles on the shoulder of the road, thus disturbing other motorists' traffic. Besides, many private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane. As a result, bicycle users have become less comfortable and secure. The Semarang City government has imposed on-street parking bans along public mode areas to overcome this problem. However, many motorists still deliberately park their vehicles in the bicycle lane until now.

4. DISCUSSION

This study aims to determine the appropriate sustainable transportation system development strategy in an urban area as an industrial center in a region. This study's findings show the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth. The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth was also highlighted by (Li et al., 2018; Maparu et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2012; Meng et al., 2018). The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It dramatically affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn will affect the city's activities and productivity. As stated by (Biagi et al., 2018; Chica-Olmo et al., 2020; Israel-Schwarzlose et al., 2014; Putra et al., 2016), it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the roadways, and improve service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. All of that can be realized by the existence of a progressive vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust emission tests, increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes, developing pedestrian and bicycle lanes, reducing the need for travel in cities through land use, separating mass public transportation routes with other transportation, the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas. A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. These findings emerge where government policies are considered in determining sustainable transportation development strategies. It is reasonable considering that the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically (Anand et al., 2017; Errampalli et al., 2018; Wann-Ming, 2019; Wey et al., 2018).

The research findings have shown the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth, both in quantity and quality. People need quality, comfortable, and safe transportation, as stated in Semarang City's RPJMD 2016-2021. It supports previous research that the transportation system infrastructure facilities still have problems in terms of the road network that has not been fully developed, road equipment improvement still needs to be optimized, road network integration and road facilities still need to be optimized, the quality of public transport services still needs to be improved, and management of transportation facilities and infrastructure still needs to be optimized (Regional Development Planning Agency, 2017). It is undoubtedly a focus that the Semarang City government needs to create a dynamic and environmentally sound Metropolitan City in the 2016-2021 RPJMD.

The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth is also supported by (Li et al., 2018; Maparu et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2017). The development of transportation infrastructure facilities can promote access to the distribution of goods and services that impact the regional economy (Akbar et al., 2019; Duleba et al., 2018; Hamurcu et al., 2020; Seker et al., 2020). Starting from the

means of transportation to roads that cover the means of public transportation, it needs to be developed in accordance with sustainable principles. It is hoped that the development of new transportation can increase public access.

The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It greatly affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn impacts the activities and productivity of the city. As stated by (Biagi et al., 2018; Chica-Olmo et al., 2020; Israel-Schwarzlose et al., 2014; Putra et al., 2016), it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the highway, and improve service quality, comfort, and public transportation safety. Improving the quality of the urban environment can be realized by the existence of a progressive motor vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust gas emissions testing, increased integration between modes of mass public transportation, construction of pedestrian and bicycle paths, reducing the need for trips within the city through land use, separating mass public transport routes from other modes of transportation, the shift from private modes to public transport modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas (Cyril et al., 2019; Ejtemaei et al., 2019; Gaglione et al., 2021; Parvez, 2020; Saplioglu et al., 2018).

A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. This finding emerged when government policies were taken into consideration in determining a sustainable transportation development strategy. It is reasonable considering the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically (Anand et al., 2017; Errampalli et al., 2018; Wann-Ming, 2019; Wey et al., 2018). Community participation is needed in providing feedback on efforts to improve the development of sustainable transportation. According to Duleba and Moslem (2018) that passengers, potential passengers, and the government are the three stakeholders who have a role in communicating the design and targets of transportation development. Thus, there is a need for changes in travel behavior at a population level that requires collaboration between the transportation system, the environmental sector, and the health sector (Hickman et al., 2007; Litman, 2006; Xia et al., 2017).

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis results carried out using AHP, priorities could be obtained based on the criteria studied: the priority for the criteria of facilities and infrastructure is the development of modern transportation facilities with a large passenger capacity with a score of 44.3 percent, the priority of the environmental quality criteria is the development of open land green roads with shady trees and shrubs with a score of 40.9 percent, and the priority criteria for government policy are the addition of service quality, comfort, and safety of public transportation facilities with a score of 38.6 percent. Overall, by combining selection points on each criterion, Semarang City's people chose to protect, primarily the development of modern public transportation facilities with additional passenger capacity. This point had the highest priority, with a score of 17.2 percent.

It is hoped that the finding will be able to provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. It is bottom-up planning so that implementation and evaluation can be carried out jointly between the government and the community to create a sustainable transportation system for a healthy and comfortable city to live in and provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy.

The limitation of this research is that using AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) analysis could only be seen by priority programs but could not see the efficiency of the priority programs obtained both in terms of economic and technical efficiency. For this reason, a further research agenda is to combine priority and efficiency programs using the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). It is expected that the relative efficiency analysis tool can be obtained from each priority in the criteria.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, S., & Puppim de Oliveira, J. A. (2016). Determinants of urban mobility in India: Lessons for promoting sustainable and inclusive urban transportation in developing countries. *Transport Policy*, 50, 106–114. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.04.014
- [2] Akbar, M., Utary, C., Kakerissa, Y., & Asmal, S. (2019). Priorities of road network development to support national food flows in Merauke District with SWOT and AHP methods (Vol. 343, No. 1, p.). . *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 343(1), 012185.
- [3] Ameen, R. F. M., & Mourshed, M. (2019). Urban sustainability assessment framework development: The ranking and weighting of sustainability indicators using analytic hierarchy process. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 44, 356–366. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.020
- [4] Anand, A., Winfred Rufuss, D. D., Rajkumar, V., & Suganthi, L. (2017). Evaluation of Sustainability Indicators in Smart Cities for India Using MCDM Approach. *Energy Procedia*, 141, 211–215. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.11.094
- [5] Arvin, M. B., Pradhan, R. P., & Norman, N. R. (2015). Transportation intensity, urbanization, economic growth, and CO₂ emissions in the G-20 countries. *Utilities Policy*, 35, 50–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jup.2015.07.003
- [6] Beyzatlar, M. A., Karacal, M., & Yetkiner, H. (2014). Granger-causality between transportation and GDP: A panel data approach. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 63, 43–55. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2014.03.001
- [7] Biagi, B., Ladu, M. G., & Meleddu, M. (2018). Urban Quality of Life and Capabilities: An Experimental Study. *Ecological Economics*, 150(April), 137–152. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.011
- [8] Boettge, B., Hall, D. M., & Crawford, T. (2017). Assessing the Bicycle Network in St. Louis: A place-based User-Centered Approach. *Sustainability*, 9(2), 241.
- [9] Brotodewo, N. (2010). Penilaian Indikator Transportasi Berkelanjutan pada Kawasan Metropolitan di Indonesia. *Jurnal Perencanaan Wilayah Dan Kota*, 21(3), 165–182.
- [10] Buwana, E., Hasibuan, H. E., & Abdini, C. (2016).

- Alternatives Selection for Sustainable Transportation System in Kasongan City. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 227, 11–18.
- [11] Cascetta, E., Carteni, A., Pagliara, F., & Montanino, M. (2015). A new look at planning and designing transportation systems: A decision-making model based on cognitive rationality, stakeholder engagement and quantitative methods. *Transport Policy*, 38, 27–39. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.11.005
- [12] Central Bureau of Statistics Semarang. (2018). *Kepadatan Penduduk Kota Semarang*. Kepadatan Penduduk Kota Semarang. Retrieved from website: <https://www.bps.go.id/>.
- [13] Chica-Olmo, J., Sánchez, A., & Sepúlveda-Murillo, F. H. (2020). Assessing Colombia's policy of socio-economic stratification: An intra-city study of self-reported quality of life. *Cities*, 97(July 2019), 102560. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2019.102560
- [14] Cyril, A., Mulangi, R. H., & George, V. (2019). Performance optimization of public transport Using integrated AHP–GP methodology. *Urban Rail Transit*, 5(2), 133–144.
- [15] Danish, M.S.S., Sabory, N. ., Ahmadi, M., Senjyu, T., Majidi, H., Abdullah, M. A., & Momand, F. (2020). Energy and Environment Efficiencies Towards Contributing to Global Sustainability. In Mir Sayed Shah Danish, T. Senjyu, & N. R. Sabory (Eds.), *Sustainability Outreach in Developing Countries* (pp. 1–14). Nishihara, Japan: Springer.
- [16] De Jong, S. P. L., Wardenaar, T., & Horlings, E. (2016). Exploring the promises of transdisciplinary research: A quantitative study of two climate research programmes. *Research Policy*, 45(7), 1397–1409. doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2016.04.008
- [17] Duleba, S., & Moslem, S. (2018). Sustainable urban transport development with stakeholder participation, an AHP-Kendall model: A case study for Mersin. *Sustainability*, 10(10), 3647.
- [18] Ejtemaei, S., & Sima, H. (2019). Provision of an integrated analysis model by combining AHP decision-making technique and GIS for identification and management of land use changes. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 9(1).
- [19] Errampalli, M., Patil, K. S., & Prasad, C. S. R. K. (2018). Evaluation of integration between public transportation modes by developing sustainability index for Indian cities. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*. doi: 10.1016/j.cstp.2018.09.005
- [20] Gaglione, F., Cottrill, C., & Gargiulo, C. (2021). Urban services, pedestrian networks and behaviors to measure elderly accessibility. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 90, 102687.
- [21] Gerike, R., & Koszowski, C. (2017). Sustainable Urban Transportation. *Encyclopedia of Sustainable Technologies*, 379–391.
- [22] Gonzalez-Garcia, S., Manteiga, R., Moreira, M. T., & Feijoo, G. (2018). Assessing the sustainability of Spanish cities considering environmental and socio-economic indicators. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 178, 599–610.
- [23] Gulbrandsen, M., Mowery, D., & Feldmann, M. (2011). Introduction to the special section: heterogeneity and university-industry relations. *Res. Policy*, 40, 1–5.
- [24] Guo, Y., Wang, J., Peeta, S., & Anastasopoulos, P. C. (2018). Impacts of internal migration, household registration system, and family planning policy on travel mode choice in China. *Travel Behaviour and Society*, 13, 128–143.
- [25] Gusnita, D. (2010). Green Transport: Transportasi Ramah Lingkungan dan Kontribusinya dalam Mengurangi Polusi Udara. *Berita Dirgantara*, 11(2).
- [26] Hamurcu, M., & Eren, T. (2020). Strategic Planning Based on Sustainability for Urban Transportation: An Application to Decision-Making. *Sustainability*, 12(9), 3589.
- [27] Hessels, L. K., de Jong, S. P. L., & Brouwer, S. (2018). Collaboration between heterogeneous practitioners in sustainability research: A comparative analysis of three transdisciplinary programmes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(12). doi: 10.3390/su10124760
- [28] Hickman, R., & Banister, D. (2007). Looking over the horizon: Transport and reduced CO2 emissions in the UK by 2030. *Transport Policy*, 14(5), 377–387.
- [29] Hidayati, I. Y., & Febriharjati, S. (2016). Pengembangan Transportasi Berkelanjutan di Kota Semarang. *Jurnal Riptek*, 10(1).
- [30] Israel-Schwarzlose, A. A., Mjelde, J. W., Dudensing, R. M., Jin, Y., Cherrington, L. K., & Chen, J. (2014). Willingness to pay for public transportation options for improving the quality of life of the rural elderly. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 61(2014), 1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2013.12.009
- [31] Jin, K., Wang, F., & Li, P. (2018). Responses of Vegetation Cover to Environmental Change in Large Cities of China. *Sustainability*, 10(1), 270. *Sustainability*, 10(1), 270.
- [32] Kelle, P., Song, J., & Jin, M. (2018). Evaluation of operational and environmental sustainability tradeoffs in multimodal freight transportation planning. *International Journal of Production Economics*.
- [33] Kumar, R., Dahiya, M. A., & Sinha, S. (2015). Analytical hierarchy process for assessing sustainability. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, 12(4), 281–293.
- [34] Li, H., Liu, Y., & Peng, K. (2018). Characterizing the relationship between road infrastructure and local economy using structural equation modeling. *Transport Policy*, 61(1), 17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.10.002
- [35] Liang, L., Wang, Z., & Li, J. (2019). The effect of urbanization on environmental pollution in rapidly developing urban agglomerations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117649. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117649
- [36] Lin, B., & Omoju, O. E. (2017). Does private investment in the transport sector mitigate the environmental impact of urbanisation? Evidence from Asia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 153, 331–341. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.064
- [37] Lin, B., & Raza, M. Y. (2020). Analysis of energy security indicators and CO2 emissions. A case from a developing economy. *Energy*, 200, 117575. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2020.117575
- [38] Litman, T. (2006). Lessons from Katrina and Rita: What major disasters can teach transportation planners. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 132(1), 11–18.
- [39] Lyall, C., & Fletcher, I. (2013). Experiments in

- interdisciplinary capacity-building: the successes and challenges of large-scale interdisciplinary investments. *Sci. Public Policy*, 40(1), 1–7.
- [40] Maparu, T. S., & Mazumder, T. N. (2017). Transport infrastructure, economic development and urbanization in India (1990–2011): Is there any causal relationship? *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 100, 319–336. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033
- [41] Martin, A., Suhrcke, M., & Ogilvie, D. (2012). Financial incentives to promote active travel: An evidence review and economic framework. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 43(6), e45–e57. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.09.001
- [42] Martuti, N. K. T. (2013). Peranan Tanaman terhadap Pencemaran Udara di Jalan Protokol Kota Semarang. *Biosaintifika*, 5(1).
- [43] Meersman, H., & Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(2), 316–324. doi: 10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009
- [44] Meng, X., & Han, J. (2018). Roads, economy, population density, and CO₂: A city-scaled causality analysis. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 128, 508–515. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.032
- [45] Mowery, D. C., Nelson, R. R., Sampat, B. N., & Ziedonis, A. A. (2001). The growth of patenting and licensing by US universities: an assessment of the effects of the Bayh-Dole act of 1980. *Res. Policy*, 30(1), 99–119.
- [46] Nag, D., Paul, S. K., & Saha, S. (2018). Sustainability assessment for the transportation environment of Darjeeling, India. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 213, 489–502.
- [47] Özokcu, S., & Özdemir, Ö. (2017). Economic growth, energy, and environmental Kuznets curve. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 72(April 2016), 639–647. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.059
- [48] Parvez, M. (2020). Solving traffic congestion consequences regarding e-taxi parking by identifying a suitable location for the e-taxi station: geo-spatial and AHP approaches. *Smart and Resilient Transport*.
- [49] Putra, K. E., & Sitanggang, J. M. (2016). The Effect of Public Transport Services on Quality of Life in Medan City. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 234, 383–389. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.255
- [50] Qian, C., Zhu, D., Zhou, Y., & Chen., J. (2018). Measurements of Pedestrian Friendliness of Residential Area: A Case Study in Hexi District of Nanjing. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 1993.
- [51] Qiu, G., Song, R., & He, S. (2019). The aggravation of urban air quality deterioration due to urbanization, transportation and economic development – Panel models with marginal effect analyses across China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651, 1114–1125. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.219
- [52] Rasiah, R., Kari, F., Y., S., & Mintz-Habib, N. (2018). Climate change and sustainable development issues: arguments and policy initiatives. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 23(2), 187–194.
- [53] Regional Development Planning Agency. (2017). *Semarang City RPJMD 2016-2021*. Semarang: Badan Perencanaan dan Pembangunan Nasional.
- [54] Regional Revenue Management Agency of Jawa Tengah. (2018). *Number of Motor Vehicles in Semarang City 2013-2017*. Semarang: Badan Pengelolaan Pendapatan Daerah.
- [55] Rifusua, A. I. (2010). *Analisis Faktor-faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Permintaan Busway di DKI Jakarta Tahun 2004-2008*. Tesis. Universitas Indonesia.
- [56] Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M., & Akhtar, P. (2018). The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 111(October 2017), 78–95. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013
- [57] Saplioglu, M., & Aydin, M. M. (2018). Choosing safe and suitable bicycle routes to integrate cycling and public transport systems. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 10, 236–252.
- [58] Scoble, R., Dickson, K., Hanney, S., & Rodgers, G. J. (2010). Institutional strategies for capturing socio-economic impact of academic research. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 32(5), 499–510. doi: 10.1080/1360080X.2010.511122
- [59] Seker, S., & Aydin, N. (2020). Sustainable public transportation system evaluation: A novel two-stage hybrid method based on IVIF-AHP and CODAS. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 22(1), 257–272.
- [60] Semarang City Environment Service. (2018). *Results of Measurement of Ambient Air Quality in Semarang City 2013-2017*. Semarang: Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup.
- [61] Shatu, F., Yigitcanlar, T., & Bunker, J. (2019). Objective vs. subjective measures of street environments in pedestrian route choice behaviour: Discrepancy and correlates of non-concordance. *Transportation Research Part A*, 126, 1–23.
- [62] Thomas, A., & Deakin, E. (2017). Managing partnerships for sustainable development: The Berkeley—China sustainable transportation program. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(1), 45–54.
- [63] Tong, T., & Yu, T. E. (2018). Transportation and economic growth in China: A heterogeneous panel cointegration and causality analysis. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 73(October), 120–130. doi: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.10.016
- [64] Velazquez, L., Munguia, N. E., Andrea, M. W., & Patricia., Z. S. (2015). Sustainable transportation strategies for decoupling road vehicle transport and carbon dioxide emissions. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 26(3), 373–388.
- [65] Wang, Z., Cui, C., & Peng, S. (2019). How do urbanization and consumption patterns affect carbon emissions in China? A decomposition analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 211, 1201–1208. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.272
- [66] Wann-Ming, W. (2019). Constructing urban dynamic transportation planning strategies for improving quality of life and urban sustainability under emerging growth management principles. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 44, 275–290. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.015
- [67] Welle Donker, F. (2016). From access to re-use: A users perspective on public sector information availability. In *A+BE Architecture and the Built Environment* (Vol. 21). doi: 10.7480/abe.2016.21
- [68] Welle Donker, F., & Van Loenen, B. (2016). Sustainable Business Models for Public Sector Open Data Providers.

- [69] Wey, W. M., & Huang, J. Y. (2018). Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. *Habitat International*, 82(October), 9–27. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2018.10.002
- [70] Xia, T., Zhang, Y., Braunack-Mayer, A., & Crabb, S. (2017). Public Attitudes towards Encouraging Sustainable Transportation: an Australian case study. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 11(8), 593–601.
- [71] Xu, M., Grant-Muller, S., & Gao, Z. (2017). Implementation effects and integration evaluation of a selection of transport management measures in Beijing. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(4), 604–614. doi: 10.1016/j.cstp.2017.09.002
- [72] Yu, X., Lang, M., & Gao, Y. (2018). An Empirical Study on the Design of China High-Speed Rail Express Train Operation Plan—From a Sustainable Transport Perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(7), p.2478. *Sustainability*, 10(7), 2478.

REVISION FROM REVIEWERS



revise your manuscript submitted to IJSDP

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

editor.ijsdp iieta.org <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>

Wed, Apr 6, 2022 at 9:59 AM

To: "amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id" <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear author,

Thank you for contributing your paper to INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING!

- Please revise your paper according to the attached comments.
- Highlight the revised parts in the final version of your paper and give a response according to review comments.
- Please typeset your paper according to template.

To ensure fast publication of your paper, please return your revised manuscript and answers to all queries to this email before **21 April, 2022**. Thus, we have enough time to process your manuscript in the next step. For further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us via this email.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank you for choosing *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning* as your publishing medium and hope that we will receive further submissions from you in the future.

Best regards,

IJSDP Editorial Board

International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

<http://www.iieta.org/Journals/IJSDP>


International Information and Engineering Technology Association (IIETA)


<http://www.iieta.org/>



3 attachments

IJSDP Template.docx

 156K

 IJSDP-14769_comments.docx

35K

IJSDP-14769.docx



REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study in Indonesia.

Summary

Based on the reality of traffic congestion, traffic accidents and environment in Semarang City, this study aims to explore the sustainable traffic development strategy of Semarang city. This study uses analytic hierarchy process (AHP) technology to analyze the data. Firstly, it explores the development of facilities and infrastructure, the improvement of environmental quality and the priority of government policies, and then explores the detailed scheme. The research has basically achieved the research purpose, but there are still some problems in many aspects, and the research conclusions are also some subjective.

Major Issue

The biggest problem of this paper is that there is no display of the process of data collection, processing, including calculation, which may arouse readers' doubts about the authenticity of the research.

Minor Issues

Abstract:

- The practical significance of the research should also be reflected in the summary.

Introduction:

- The statement about the background is still somewhat redundant, and the logic is not clear enough. It is suggested that the author describe it in different modules.
- The population density data in the introduction is best expressed in the form of tables. In addition, the data from 2013 to 2019 should preferably be complete.
- The data on the number of private cars and buses in Semarang is best in the form of pictures, which can more clearly see the comparison and enrich the whole paper.

Method:

- Analytic hierarchy process will lead to the subjectivity of the research conclusion to a certain extent. How can this study avoid the subjectivity of the research?
- The article does not reflect any data collection, data statistics and processing, which may cause readers to doubt the authenticity of the research results.

Results:

- The article has made too many omissions in some aspects. For example, it is mentioned that several standards and alternatives have been obtained from sources such as literature. So, what are these standards? The author should demonstrate these research processes.
- There are some errors in the interpretation of Figure 2. The top priority mentioned in the article is to provide parks and ride facilities, but the information obtained from Figure 2 is modern mass transportation with large passenger capacity. The author should carefully check whether it is the picture or the text.

- When explaining the research results, the author should pay attention to some analysis of the reasons in order to enrich the research results.
- What does A1 in Figure 4 mean by increasing the integration between public transport modes? Can the author give some specific examples?
- In the explanation of Figure 5, there is the same error as Figure 2, that is, the information in the chart is inconsistent with the information in the text.
- The author introduces the content of Figure 5 in detail, but the problem is that the focus is not prominent enough. Therefore, the author is suggested to explain in detail only the most important information.

Discussion:

- In the discussion, the author can further explain this study by comparing it with other studies. Instead of just referring to other literature to give some suggestions.
- It is suggested that the author should further explore the research conclusions in the discussion and put forward some practical suggestions.

The following minor issues should be looked into by the authors:

- There are too few charts in the paper. Many information will be better readable by using the expression of charts.
- It is better to make a brief summary of the whole study in the conclusion section.

General Comments

Finally, I hereby encourage the author to make major revisions.

SUBMISSION FOR REVISION

International Journal of Sustainable Development and... English View Site aminpujiati

OJS
OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

Submission Library View Metadata

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study in Indonesia

Amin Pujiati, Dyah Maya Nihayah, Prasetyo Ari Bowo, Fauzul Adzim

Submission Review **Copyediting** Production

Round 1

Round 1 Status
Submission accepted.



Reviewer's Attachments [Search](#)

No Files

discussion ✕

Participants [Edit](#)

Amin Pujiati (aminpujiati)

Messages	
Note	From
Herewith attached the revision. Thank you	aminpujiati Apr 20
 aminpujiati, Author, Amin Pujiati_ Transportation_IJSDP_2022.edit.docx	
 aminpujiati, Author, Appendix_IJSDP_2022.docx	

Add Message

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

revise your manuscript submitted to IJSDP

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>
12:18 PM To: "editor.ijsdp iieta.org" <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>



Fri, May 13, 2022 at

Dear editor,

I would like to remind you that on behalf of my team, I have already submitted my revision paper on OJS on April 20, 2022. I attach my revision and appendix here just in case. Let me know if there is any progress regarding my article. Thank you in advance.

Dr. Amin Pujiati
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

-  **14769-##_Data Analysis-31737-1-18-20220420.docx**
98K
-  **14769-99Z_Article Text-31736-1-18-20220420.docx**
314K

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/?ik=b8f793aece&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-a%3Ar-6330415734489430480&simpl=msg-a%3Ar-633...>

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study

Amin Pujiati^{1*}, Dyah Maya Nihayah², Prasetyo Ari Bowo³, Fauzul Adzim⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

² Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

⁴ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

Corresponding Author Email: amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id

<https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.xxxxxx>

ABSTRACT

Received:

Accepted:

Keywords:

*transportation, sustainable development
strategy, urban area*

This study aims to develop a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City. Collecting data using interviews, and questionnaires. Source of data from keyperson with purposive sampling technique. Keypersons consist of the Head of Sub-Division of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT, Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD). BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data were then analyzed using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique. The findings revealed that the development of transportation system facilities and infrastructure is the top priority for policy. The second priority is improving environmental quality and Government policy turns out to be the next strategic priority. The practical significance of this research is that the determination of strategic priorities can be applied to other cities that have characteristics as metropolitan cities and have a commitment to carry out sustainable transportation in order to achieve effective and optimal results.

6. INTRODUCTION

The issue of reducing carbon emissions has started since the Kyoto protocol agreement was signed on December 11, 1997. This commitment requires

every country and region to review policies that can cause environmental pollution. In 2019, the transportation sector accounted for a third of Indonesia's total Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. This amount of emissions is projected to continue to increase drastically [1]. Therefore, the importance of the concept of sustainable transportation is considered by looking at the value of positive and negative externalities of transportation and traffic in the short and long term [64]. Sustainable transportation has several indicators that emphasize economic, environmental and social balance [10] [39]. This is reaffirmed by Wey and Huang [72] which states that sustainable transportation in a healthy city (liveable city) must pay attention to 3 dimensions in the planning and implementation process, namely environmental, economic and social.

Semarang City is the capital city of Central Java in Indonesia and an industrial city, which is attractive for immigrants to work, study, or live in. Many immigrants who later settled in Semarang City made this city even more densely populated [76]. The population in the Semarang continues to increase every year. In a couple of years (2013-2019), the population in Semarang has continued to grow. The high population growth in Semarang is caused by several factors, including birth, death, arrival, and immigration. The increase in population has an impact on population density with an increasing trend. The following is a figure 1 of the increase in population density in Semarang City in 2013-2019.




Figure 1. Population Density in Semarang City 2013-2019
Source : Central Bureau of Statistics Semarang, 2020

The population density in Semarang City from 2013 to 2019 continues to increase. In 2013, the population density was 4,206 people/km². Although, in 2014, it decreased to 4,172 people/km² but in 2015, it increased again until 2019, which reached 4,855 people/km². The density of the population in Semarang has made the traffic of community activities even denser. The increasingly dense traffic and people's movement are in line with the increasingly dense demand for transportation. The greater the population in an area, the greater the need for transportation and other factors such as energy and environmental factors [15] [56]. The growing need for transportation in Semarang City is to support community activities and support the delivery of

other goods and services. It has consequences for the environment [29]. The higher the activities carried out by urban communities, the higher the environmental impact [36] [37] [41] [44] [45].

Semarang City transportation development has been continuously pursued through spatial and territorial planning in the 2016-2021 Semarang's RPJMD (Regional Long-Term Development Plan). The RPJMD contains Semarang City's vision and mission. Semarang City's vision is "Semarang Kota Perdagangan dan Jasa yang Hebat Menuju Masyarakat Semakin Sejahtera" (Semarang, a City of Great Trade and Services Towards an Increasingly Prosperous Society). There is also a mission in that vision, one of which is "Mewujudkan Kota Metropolitan yang Dinamis dan Berwawasan Lingkungan" (Creating a Dynamic Metropolitan City with Environmental Insights). This mission implies that development is prioritized on optimizing the use of spatial planning and enhancing the development of regional infrastructure that is planned, harmonious, and balanced and taking into account the environmentally sound and sustainable [54].

As stated in the 2016-2021 RPJMD of Semarang City, the city of Semarang's vision and mission clearly illustrate that the development of Semarang is directed towards environmentally sound and sustainable development. Likewise, the development and growth of Semarang transportation can also be carried out regardless of environmental elements. To support the realization of Semarang City's vision and mission, in this case, the Semarang City Transportation Office, in accordance with its main duties and functions, plays a role in the success of Semarang transportation development, referring to the development mission of Semarang, such as creating a dynamic and environmentally sound metropolitan city.

The government has provided mass transportation modes, such as city transportation, buses, rickshaws, and others. These transportation modes are also equipped with adequate amenities and infrastructure, such as bus stops, paved streets, public street lightings, pedestrian paths, and other infrastructures. The government provides a public transportation mode called BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) or *Trans Semarang Bus*, with routes that pass through strategic places in Semarang.

The availability of mass transportation modes that are deemed inadequate makes people prefer to use private vehicles, and the existence of these private vehicles continues to increase every year. The number of private vehicles in Semarang City is much greater than the number of public vehicles. Base on data from Regional Revenue Management Agency of Jawa Tengah [55], The number of private vehicles in Semarang from 2013 to 2017 has increased. In 2013 alone, two- and three-wheeled private vehicles were 681,443 units. Then, in the following year, it continued to increase until 2017 up to 1,387,600 units (103.63%). Private two and three-wheeled vehicles and four-wheeled private vehicles in Semarang also continued to increase from 121,782 units in 2013 to 238,152 in 2017 (95.59%) (see figure 2) The increase in private vehicles in Semarang City has been followed by increased public transportation, both small and large public vehicles. However, the number of public transportations is still far less than that of private vehicles.

The high number of private vehicles in Semarang City, which continues to increase every year, will undoubtedly cause various problems, such as worsening congestion, hindering economic activity, and increasing environmental pollution. Apart from congestion, the problem caused by the high number of private vehicles is environmental pollution, especially air pollution. The impacts of the high number of private vehicles are to make traffic flow denser and increase air pollution. This shows that there is still a lack of attention to environmental quality. The decline in urban air quality is caused by increased use of motorized vehicles, disincentives for fuel-free transportation (FFT), and a decrease in urban areas' green areas. The same study was also conducted by [24] [35] Qiu et al., 2019).

In Martuti [43] and Hidayati and Febriharjati's [29] research, it was stated that air pollution in Semarang City is very severe and worrying, in which the number reached 70-80%. The condition of air pollution in Semarang continues to increase every year. The pollutant content that is most worrying and continues to increase every year is carbon monoxide (CO). Although the CO level in Semarang City is still below the threshold of 15,000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, it has continued to increase over the last five years [62]. If this condition continues, it will affect people's quality of life [6] [30] [35] [52] [68].




Figure 2 Number of Motorized Vehicles in Semarang City in 2013-2017 (Units) Regional Revenue Management Agency of central Java, 2018

The government is currently making serious efforts to build more advanced and environmentally friendly transportation to reduce congestion and air pollution by implementing sustainable transportation development. However, the results are still not effective and optimal. Base on interview with keyperson, although several pedestrian paths are already in poor condition and are used as parking lots and trading. There is no separation of public transportation routes from other transportation yet. The pedestrian's condition can be measured by the condition itself, crowdedness, and other aspects such as trees, benches, water fountains, and so on [63]. The provided mass public transport (BRT) does not cover the entire area, and services are still lacking. This shows that the available facilities and infrastructure and local government policies towards sustainable transportation are still lacking. In fact, it is well

known that sustainable transportation reflects the concept of sustainable development in the transportation sector. According to Rasiah et al [53], discussing sustainable development has become an important part of alternative green paths. The effect of sustainable transportation cannot be ignored in an urban area's growth and development process [7] [44] [48] [58] [66].

Based on the Regional Medium Term Development Plan Based (RPJMD) and the phenomenon of transportation in the Semarang city, it is important to develop strategic priorities towards sustainable transportation from the criteria for facilities and infrastructure, government policies and environmental quality so that results can be optimally achieved according to the plan. This study aims to elaborate a strategy for sustainable urban areas transportation development, especially in Semarang City as an industrial city center in a region. This research is urgent because currently, many cities face the same problem. Even though the government policies have been made and tried to accommodate the vision and mission to be achieved, the implementation often does not work properly, so it is necessary to look for root cause-based policy priorities.

The previous research done by Ameen and Mourshed [4] focuses on providing sustainable means of transportation to developers (stakeholders). According to Xu et al. [74], policies in providing sustainable transportation facilities must combine the combination of human impacts, efficiency impacts, and sustainability impacts into one framework. However, there is no element of equity and policy efficiency. Meanwhile, Cascetta et al [12] implemented the three processes required to integrate transportation facilities, namely cognitive decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and quantitative analysis. The difference between this study and previous research is that the policy-making for the provision of sustainable transportation means involves not only users (stakeholders) but also development planners and academics. The elements of efficiency and effectiveness of policy are carried out by determining the priority scale in each existing program, which previous researchers have not carried out. This difference is expected to enrich the treasury of knowledge and produce various policies to solve the complexity of transportation problems.

7. METHOD

This type of research is qualitative and quantitative research. The location used for this research was Semarang City, Central Java Province – Indonesia, as a metropolitan city with a mission to implement sustainable transportation. The data were acquired using primary and secondary data, where primary data were obtained by doing interviews, and questionnaires. At the same time, secondary data were acquired from scientific literature, articles, journals, and websites. Moreover, secondary data were achieved from the Semarang City Regional Planning and Development Agency (BAPPEDA), Semarang City Transportation Service, and Semarang City Environmental Service.

The sampling technique was the purposive sampling technique, where six key people were considered experts in knowing the problem studied and understood what is expected in the study. Key persons consist of the Head of Sub-division of Transportation and Water Resources

Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT, Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD) BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data collecting techniques comprised interviews, documentation, discussion, and questionnaires. The hope is that by using analytical tools AHP, combining several key persons, and scientific analysis can produce precise policy directions for problems related to government policies and funding of public facilities such as sustainable public transportation and improving the economy through a reputation for institutions [16] [23] [27] [40] [46] [60]. Moreover, with academic input, it can then encourage policymakers, especially the government, to provide easily accessible data to researchers and academics [70] [71]. Criteria, alternative in analysis AHP see table 1.

Table 1. Criteria and Analysis AHP

Goal	Criteria	Alternative
Sustainable Transportation	Government Policy	(A1) Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes
		(A2) Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas;
		(A3) Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation
		(A4) Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation
	Facilities and Infrastructure Development	(B1) Pedestrian and bicycle paths development;
		(B2) Park and ride facilities provision
		(B3) Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)
	Environmental Improvement Quality	(C1) Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption
		(C2) Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results
		(C3) Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use
		(C4) Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

In determining the priority strategy, steps are needed to determine the priority strategy: first, determine the research objectives, is a strategy for developing

sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang; Second, determine the criteria and alternatives. The criteria and alternatives were obtained from the results of qualitative data analysis and interviews from competent keypersons. There are 3 criteria, namely government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. There are 11 alternatives, namely A1-C4 (Table 1). Third, distributing questionnaires to a number of keypersons that have been determined based on the fundamental scale (Table2) and guiding the process of filling out the questionnaires; Fourth, compile a matrix of the average results of the keyperson. Then the results were processed using expert choice version 11.0. The fifth is to score each informant's answer on the pairwise comparison matrix and present its geomean. The sixth is analyzing the output of expert choice version 11.0 by looking at the inconsistency and priority values. From these results, the criteria and prioritized alternatives can also be known. If the value is more than 10%, then the data judgment assessment must be corrected. If the Consistency Ratio (CI/IR) 0.1, then the calculation results can be declared correct. When the ratio is consistent then the eigenvalues do not exceed n. The eigenvalues that do not exceed n are considered as a measure of consistency by forming a consistency ratio of differences in the correspondence mean of the n eigenvalues of a large matrix of randomly selected judgments [57]. The seventh is determining the priority scale of the criteria and alternatives to determine the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang.

Tabel 2 The fundamental scale

Intensity of importance on an absolute scale	Definition	Explanation
1	Equal importance	Two activities contribute equally to the objective
3	Moderate importance of one over another	Experience and judgment strongly favor one activity over another
5	Essential or strong importance	Experience and judgment

		strongly favor one activity over another
7	Very strong importance	An activity is strongly favored and its dominance demonstrated in practice
9	Extreme importance	The evidence favoring one activity over another is of the highest possible order of affirmation
Reciprocals	If activity i has one of the above numbers assigned to It when compared with activity j, then j has the reciprocal value when com	
Rational	Ratios arising from the scale	If consistency were to be forced by obtaining numerical values to span the matrix

The analysis technique employed the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP helps set priorities and objectives from various options. It establishes the priority of elements in a decision problem by making pairwise comparisons on each element, fairly compared against a specific criterion [10]. Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method would obtain several strategies that can be worked as materials for a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City according to hierarchy or priority.

Compilation of the criterion and sub-criterion variables as alternatives to determine policy priorities with the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) encompassed government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and environmental quality improvement. Various program alternatives were within these three variables to determine a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City: four alternatives for government policy criteria, three alternatives' criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure, and four criteria for improving the environment's quality.

8. RESULTS

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to prioritize strategies for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The components used in this study

included several criteria and alternatives based on the results of literature reviews, previous research, and interviews with predetermined and competent key persons in the transportation sector.

Six key persons were involved from Bappeda Semarang City, Semarang City Transportation Office, Semarang City Environmental Service, and Academics. Priority strategies were obtained by selecting several criteria and alternatives based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City Development Planning Agency for Transportation Planning and Water Resources. At the end of the interview, three criteria were achieved: government policy, facilities development, infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. Then, they were compiled based on the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP).

According to calculation using AHP on all criteria for sustainable transport development in Semarang City utilizing the Expert Choice 11 program, the following results were obtained in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Overall Sustainable Transportation Development Criteria in Semarang City Based on AHP Outputs

Figure 3 can be interpreted that the most prioritized criterion in the development of sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the development of facilities and infrastructure, with a weighting value of 0.413. Then, the second priority criterion is the improvement of environmental quality with a weighting value of 0.327, and the third priority criterion is government policy with a value of 0.260. These calculation results were utilized as a basis for determining the priority order of each of the criteria, which can be used as the basis for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City.

The government policy criteria consist of four alternatives, including increasing the integration between modes of mass public transportation, stipulating a prohibition on parking on streets along with public mode areas, separating mass public transportation routes from other transportation, and improving service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. The criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure have three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT). Meanwhile, the criteria for improving environmental quality have four criteria, covering the transfer of private modes of transportation to public modes of transportation to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on the results of exhaust emission tests, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land use, and the realization of green belts along newly built road routes with productive and non-fragile shade trees.

8.1 Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

The development of facilities and infrastructure is the most prioritized criterion for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The development of facilities and infrastructure is essential in developing sustainable mass transportation in Semarang City. With the availability of adequate and comfortable transportation facilities and infrastructure for the community, this will minimize various existing problems, such as pollution congestion and high use of private vehicles.

In the criterion for developing these facilities and infrastructure, there are three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities (MRT, LRT). The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. AHP Outputs of Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

Caption:

B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)

Figure 4 exhibits that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure regarding developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.443. The provision of parks and rides is the provision of parking lots at strategic places and integrated transportation nodes, such as bus stops, terminals, and stations.

The provision of park and ride facilities is intended for private vehicle drivers to park their vehicles in parking pockets and then switch to using public transportation to reduce congestion in city centers. Providing parking pockets in several strategic places and transportation nodes will minimize the number of private vehicles in the city center so that it will reduce congestion and CO emissions [67].

Nag et al [47] stated that providing parking lots in busy urban areas will reduce vehicle mobility so that it can avoid congestion and at the same time attract tourists to travel in urban areas. However, it is different from what Yin & Wang (2018) said that the availability of excessive parking lots increases people's dependence on car use. The provision of park and ride facilities planned by the Semarang City government is specifically for construction in community

activity centers, namely in the Tugu Muda area, Johar area, and Mataram Plaza.

The second priority alternative is the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT), with a weight value of 0.387. The dense movement of urban communities certainly requires adequate transportation facilities, especially mass public transportation. The provision of mass public transportation in urban areas is crucial to support this dense movement. Therefore, the Semarang City government has provided mass transportation in the form of the Trans Semarang BRT. However, the existence of the Trans Semarang BRT has not been able to accommodate all the needs of the community's movement because of the relatively small bus capacity, so that passengers have to jostle to catch time.

Kelle et al [32], affirmed that changing transportation mode from the road to rail has a major effect on local road transportation, increasing speed, reducing congestion, reducing delays in shipping goods, and reducing pollution. It is because rail transportation by train has several advantages, such as system reliability, speed of travel time, and greater transport capacity.

The Semarang City government has launched to provide mass public transportation with a larger capacity like the MRT and LRT. MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) is an effective and comfortable rail-based mass transportation and fast transit system with a large passenger-carrying capacity. Meanwhile, the LRT (Light Rapid Transit) is a rail-based metropolitan transportation system with monorail and tram lines along its lines. Currently, the MRT and LRT implementation plans in Semarang City are still being studied more deeply and are planned to be built in community activity centers.

The last priority alternative is the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, with a weight value of 0.169. Pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes in Semarang City have been implemented for a long time, but the implementation has not been optimal. There are still many roads in the city center that are not yet available for pedestrians and bicycle lanes, and many are in poor condition. Therefore, it is necessary to develop by improving the damaged pathways' condition and adding supporting facilities to provide pedestrians and bicycle users safety and comfort.

Gerike and Koszowski [21] asserted that pedestrian and bicycle lanes provided in urban areas must pay attention to safety and comfort aspects for their users by providing roadblocks and providing adequate facilities. Meanwhile, Qian et al [51] said that pedestrian paths conditions attract people to walk easily from various places, and the quality of the pedestrian paths makes users comfortable using them.

If pedestrian and bicycle paths are considered safe and comfortable, there will certainly be more people who are interested in choosing to walk and cycle instead of using motorized vehicles. In a study by Boettge et al [9], it was stated that bicycle users generally feel less comfortable and safe when cycling because road traffic sometimes ignores bicycle users, so that bicycle development should be prioritized on roads with lighter traffic intensity or on roads that are not too crowded of vehicles to ensure the safety and comfort of cyclists.

8.2 Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

The second priority criterion in the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is improving environmental quality. This study's results are different from the research by Kumar et al [33], which stated that environmental indicators are the most prioritized aspects of the realization of sustainable transportation in Delhi City.

Based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, in the criterion for improving environmental quality, there are four alternatives, including the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on exhaust emission test results, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, and the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-fragile shade trees. The calculation results from the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for improving environmental quality are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. AHP Outputs of Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

Caption:

C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

Figure 5 displays that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for improving environmental quality in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees, with a weight value of 0.409. The increasing number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City will result in increased air pollution. It certainly makes the air quality in Semarang City decrease. Therefore, the Semarang City government has launched a green belt program or planted shade trees along the newly constructed road that is busy with vehicles.

The types of trees planted are trees that do not break easily and can absorb pollutants, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, etc. The realization of the green belt aims to clean the air from pollutants, especially CO₂, and absorb noise so that it will reduce pollution in Semarang City. Jin et al [31] said that green vegetation as a shade for cities should always be available to improve air quality in cities due to high urban traffic.

The second alternative priority is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.271. The relatively high number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City is a particular concern for the local government, considering that motorized vehicles contribute to pollutants by 80%. There are still many motorized vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the specified threshold. Gusnita [25] suggested that one of the ways that can be taken to reduce the high level of air pollution in cities is to carry out regular monitoring of motorized vehicles against the exhaust gas emissions that have been described. Therefore, the Semarang City government has issued a progressive vehicle tax based on the vehicle's exhaust emission test results. In implementing this tax, exhaust gas emissions are prerequisites for motor vehicle roadworthiness. Motorized vehicles that exceed the exhaust gas emission threshold will be subject to a progressive tax. Meanwhile, motorized vehicles that can manage exhaust emissions properly will receive tax relief.

The third alternative priority is to reduce the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, with a weight value of 0.191. The more densely populated a city is, the denser the travel activities of its residents will be. As the capital of Central Java Province and a city of trade and industry, Semarang City makes its population's travel activities very dense, both trips by local residents and outside the city. Not a few residents outside the city work in Semarang and migrate every day.

Industrial and trade areas that are still spreading in several points in Semarang City have moved the people not yet centralized, causing congestion. Through proper land use, it is hoped to reduce these problems. Land use management will be applied by directing spatial use changes in the periphery areas to be developed by various production facilities and areas. It is intended to regulate the movement to not concentrate in the city center.

The fourth priority alternative is private transportation transfer to public transportation to reduce fuel consumption, with a weight value of 0.129. The high public interest in the use of private vehicles causes high fuel consumption, which results in increased pollution in Semarang City. BBM is a motor vehicle fuel that comes from fossils so that the pollutants produced from this fuel are very high. Therefore, the tendency of the community to use private vehicles must be diverted to using public vehicles.

Steps that can be applied to realize the program are to increase the cost of travel by private mode by increasing the tax on private vehicles, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional costs for fossil fuels, and tax on luxury goods. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice considering the huge costs they have to bear.

8.3 Government Policy Criterion

Government policy is the third criterion in the transportation improvement strategy in Semarang City. The government is the party with the authority to set a policy to achieve a goal. Government policy here is very closely related to programs and regulations that have been launched and related to transportation. With these programs and regulations, it is hoped that they will be able to realize sustainable transportation in Semarang City. Based on the

results of surveys and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, several alternatives were found in government policy criterion that increases the integration between shared public transportation modes: determining parking restrictions on roads along with public mode areas, separating public transportation routes along with other transportation, and improving service quality convenience and public transportation. The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) against the government policy criterion are shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. AHP Outputs of Government Policy Criterion

Caption:

A1: Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation

Figure 6 can be interpreted that the most prioritized alternative to government policy criterion in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the improvement of service quality, convenience, and security of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.386. Mass public transportation is a public vehicle that can support people's movement in big cities such as Semarang City.

People do not need to use private vehicles to support their daily activities with this mass transportation. However, what is of concern is that there are many modes of mass public transportation with quality of comfort and safety below the community's expectations, such as relatively long waiting times, travel times that are not much different from private vehicles, and small passenger capacities so that passengers have to jostle. These will decrease the public's interest in using mass public transportation. Therefore, efforts are needed to improve service quality, comfort, and safety in mass public transportation, such as increasing the number of fleets so that waiting times are faster and replacing old transportation modes with new ones and a larger capacity so that passengers do so not need to overcrowd. The convenience of mass public transportation is an essential aspect so that people are still willing to use public transportation rather than private transportation [65].

The second priority alternative is to increase the integration between mass public transportation modes, with a weight value of 0.319. The movement of urban communities that are not only centered in one area requires an integrated mass public transportation mode to facilitate their activities, especially those on the outskirts of the city

and those outside the city. If the mass public transportation modes are not integrated, then people from the suburbs and outside the city will choose to use private vehicles to go to the city center. Vice versa, if modes of public transportation are integrated, people will choose to use mass public transportation. Therefore, there is a need to integrate mass public transportation modes such as BRT by train or other public vehicles.

In line with the research of Buwana et al [11], the integration between public transportation modes needs to be maximized so that people do not need to use private vehicles because their accessibility and mobility are already available with integrated public transportation modes. The importance of integration between transportation system planning elements is that allocating resources will face situations and changes in the urban physical environment that are growing and developing dynamically [19] [22] [72]. If it is not appropriately anticipated, it will affect the quality of people's lives.

Then, the third priority alternative is the separation of mass public transportation routes from other transportation, with a weight value of 0.159. So far, the mass public transportation route in Semarang City is still integrated with other vehicles, both private vehicles and goods transportation, so that when there is a traffic jam, the mass public transportation will also experience congestion. It causes the travel time for mass public transportation to be no different from private transportation.

The Semarang City government has announced to separate public vehicles from goods and private vehicles. The currently being pursued program is the construction of the Semarang city ring road intended for goods and heavy vehicles to reduce the volume of vehicles on the city route. While programs to separate public vehicles from private vehicles are being pursued by separating routes and building the Bus Way route.

The fourth priority alternative is the imposition of on-street parking restrictions along the public mode area, with a weight value of 0.136. Dense urban community activities and the high use of private vehicles that are not matched by the provision of adequate parking pockets have caused many private vehicles to be parked on the road, thus obstructing traffic flow, which will impact congestion. It has occurred on various roads in the center of Semarang City, such as the Jl. Pemuda, Jl. Pahlawan, Jl. Veteran, Jl. Pandanaran, and many others. Even though these roads connect several facilities in the city, the traffic is very congested. In fact, not a few private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane, disturbing bicycle users. Therefore, the Semarang City government has implemented a prohibition on on-street parking along public mode areas to reduce the impact of congestion.

8.4 Sequence of Alternatives for Sustainable Transportation Development Strategies in Semarang City

Using AHP on all alternative strategies for sustainable transport development in Semarang City shows the results in Figure 7.




Figure 7. AHP Outputs towards All Available Alternatives

Caption:

B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT); C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade plants; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; A1: Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes; B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public mode areas

Figure 7 above shows that in the development of a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City, the most prioritized alternative is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.172. As the center of industry and trade, traffic in this city is very dense, causing congestion and air pollution. The very high volume of vehicles causes congestion in the city center due to the high use of private vehicles.

People who live in suburban and out-of-town areas prefer to use private vehicles to support their city center activities. To overcome the high volume of vehicles, park and ride facilities are needed in several strategic areas: near bus stops/bus shelters, stations, and other strategic places. With this park and ride facility, it is hoped that people who use private vehicles from the outskirts and outside the city park their vehicles in the parking pockets that have been provided and then switch to mass public transportation to their destination. Thus, it will be able to reduce the volume of vehicles and reduce congestion.

Apart from providing park and ride facilities, it is also necessary to offer modern public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT) to develop sustainable transportation in Semarang City. So far, mass transportation in Semarang City like BRT Trans Semarang has not accommodated the people's movements in Semarang City. The relatively small capacity and routes still integrated with

other vehicles make the travel time and waiting time for this transportation mode quite long. Therefore, it is necessary to provide mass public transportation that is more effective and efficient with a faster travel time, namely by providing MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) and LRT (Light Rapid Transit).

MRT and LRT are rail-based mass public transportation that has been widely implemented in big cities. The rail-based line will not collide with other vehicles to be more effective and efficient. Yu et al. [75] stated that shifting road public transportation modes to rail-based transportation in several cities in China has been shown to reduce road pressure and reduce pollution significantly. Thus, it will attract people to use mass public transportation more than private transportation because it is more effective and efficient.

Moreover, the level of air pollution in Semarang City is very worrying due to the high volume of vehicles. Many pollutants are contained in the air of Semarang City due to fossil fuels used by motorized vehicles. The high level of community activity will cause high levels of pollutants in the air. As a result, it will interfere with health and affect the community's productivity, resulting in per capita income earned [6] [52].

Steps that can be taken to overcome this problem are to create a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive shade trees that do not break easily. The green belt is in the form of tree planting along busy roads and those that have recently been built to reduce the level of pollution in Semarang City. Trees planted are trees that can clean pollutants, provide shade, and reduce noise, such as *Dadap Merah*, *Mahoni*, *Asam Jawa*, *Flamboyan*, and so on.

The fourth alternative priority is improving the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.108. The dense movement of the people of Semarang City certainly requires adequate transportation. Mass public transportation is a mode of transportation that can support the density of community activities. However, the quality of service, comfort, and safety of mass public transportation is still inadequate, such as long waiting times and passengers tend to overcrowd. Therefore, the quality of service and convenience must be improved immediately so that mass transportation is always the first choice to support their activities. If it is not immediately improved, it can be that the community preference is to use private vehicles rather than mass public transportation. The public will not mind having to pay more if later they will be able to get greater benefits, namely safety and health and better air quality [2] [30] [52].

The next prioritized alternative is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.090. The high level of pollution due to exhaust emissions produced by motorized vehicles can be overcome by implementing a progressive motor vehicle tax based on exhaust emissions. It has been stated in Government Regulation Number 55 of 2012 concerning vehicles. Article 64 paragraph 1 states that exhaust gas emissions are a condition for motor vehicle roadworthiness. A progressive tax will be imposed for vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the threshold. Vice versa, vehicles that can control exhaust emissions properly will receive tax breaks.

Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes is the sixth priority alternative in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City, with

a weight value of 0.089. The provision of mass public transportation also requires integration between modes. The lack of integration of mass public transportation modes will discourage the public from using them. The integration between modes of mass public transportation will be able to support the activities of the people's movement at several points in Semarang City. It can be done by building several Trans Semarang BRT stops connected to the Trans Central Java bus stop with other transportation modes, such as stations, terminals, and so on.

Furthermore, an alternative that is no less critical to prioritize is the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes as the seventh priority sequence, with a weight value of 0.065. The provision of pedestrian and bicycle lanes is crucial to minimize the use of motorized vehicles. Walking and cycling are environmental-based transportation that can reduce air pollution caused by motorized transportation. Therefore, this transportation must always be considered by providing adequate pedestrian facilities and bicycle paths. It would be better if the planning is made bottom-up. Boettge et al [9] argued that in planning to develop bicycle paths in urban areas, bicycle users should be involved because, after all, they are the ones who will take advantage of these facilities. For instance, the development of bicycle lanes in Louis has not involved cyclists, so that most of the bicycle lanes are not traversed by cyclists because the road traffic is too dense, which endangers bicycle users. Pedestrian paths must be integrated with several modes of mass public transportation. Likewise, bicycle lanes must also be integrated with public transportation modes and ensure the comfort and safety of these bicycle users. Besides, other facilities must also be provided, such as seats, trash cans, shelters, and bicycle parking bags.

Reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning is the eighth priority alternative, with a weight value of 0.063. The Semarang City people's activities are generally still focused on the city center, which causes congestion and high air pollution in the city center. It is because many facilities and offices are in the center of Semarang City. It needs land use that can reduce the dense activity in the city center. It can be done by planning an RTRW that regulates the use of peripheral areas for public facilities and trade and industrial centers to not focus on the city center.

Furthermore, the roads in the city of Semarang are classified as very dense. It is because private vehicles, goods transportation, and mass public transportation are still in one lane. An effort is needed to separate mass public transportation routes from other transportation to break down the city center's dense traffic. One of the programs launched by the Semarang City government is to build the Semarang city ring route as a route for goods and heavy vehicles. Thus, traffic volume on city routes can be reduced and will result in reduced congestion and pollution in the city center.

The high ownership of private vehicles is one of the causes of congestion and pollution in Semarang City. Furthermore, more concerning is that most private vehicles use fossil fuels and obviously the pollution emitted by these vehicles is also high. Fossil fuel motorized vehicles are a contributor to 80% of pollutants in urban areas. It, of course, must be handled immediately.

One of the programs that can be implemented is by shifting private transportation to public transportation to

minimize fuel consumption by increasing travel costs by private mode, increasing private vehicle taxes, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional fuel costs coming from fossils, and the luxury tax. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice, considering that they must bear costs are not small.

The last priority or eleventh priority alternative in the sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City is the stipulation of the prohibition of parking on streets along with the public mode areas, with a weight value of 0.038. The lack of parking facilities in the city of Semarang has caused some people who use private vehicles to park their vehicles on the shoulder of the road, thus disturbing other motorists' traffic. Besides, many private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane. As a result, bicycle users have become less comfortable and secure. The Semarang City government has imposed on-street parking bans along public mode areas to overcome this problem. However, many motorists still deliberately park their vehicles in the bicycle lane until now.

9. DISCUSSION

This study aims to determine the appropriate sustainable transportation system development strategy in an urban area as an industrial center in a region. This study's findings show the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth. The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth was also highlighted by [34] [41] [42] [45]. The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It dramatically affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn will affect the city's activities and productivity. As stated by [8] [13] [30] [50], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the roadways, and improve service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. All of that can be realized by the existence of a progressive vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust emission tests, increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes, developing pedestrian and bicycle lanes, reducing the need for travel in cities through land use, separating mass public transportation routes with other transportation, the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas. A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. These findings emerge where government policies are considered in determining sustainable transportation development strategies. It is reasonable considering that the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [5] [19] [69] [72].

The research findings have shown the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth, both in quantity and quality. People need quality, comfortable, and safe transportation, as stated in Semarang City's RPJMD 2016-2021. It supports previous research that the transportation

system infrastructure facilities still have problems in terms of the road network that has not been fully developed, road equipment improvement still needs to be optimized, road network integration and road facilities still need to be optimized, the quality of public transport services still needs to be improved, and management of transportation facilities and infrastructure still needs to be optimized [54]. It is undoubtedly a focus that the Semarang City government needs to create a dynamic and environmentally sound Metropolitan City in the 2016-2021 RPJMD.

The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth is also supported by [34] [41] [42] [74]. The development of transportation infrastructure facilities can promote access to the distribution of goods and services that impact the regional economy [3] [17] [26] [61]. Starting from the means of transportation to roads that cover the means of public transportation, it needs to be developed in accordance with sustainable principles. It is hoped that the development of new transportation can increase public access.

The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It greatly affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn impacts the activities and productivity of the city. As stated by [8] [13] [30] [50], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the highway, and improve service quality, comfort, and public transportation safety. Improving the quality of the urban environment can be realized by the existence of a progressive motor vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust gas emissions testing, increased integration between modes of mass public transportation, construction of pedestrian and bicycle paths, reducing the need for trips within the city through land use, separating mass public transport routes from other modes of transportation, the shift from private modes to public transport modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas [14] [18] [20] [49] [59].

A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. This finding emerged when government policies were taken into consideration in determining a sustainable transportation development strategy. It is reasonable considering the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [5] [19] [69] [72]. Community participation is needed in providing feedback on efforts to improve the development of sustainable transportation. According to Duleba and Moslem [17] that passengers, potential passengers, and the government are the three stakeholders who have a role in communicating the design and targets of transportation development. Thus, there is a need for changes in travel behavior at a population level that requires collaboration between the transportation system, the environmental sector, and the health sector [28] [38] [73].

10. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis results carried out using AHP, priorities could be obtained based on the criteria studied: the priority for the criteria of facilities and infrastructure is the development of modern transportation facilities with a large

passenger capacity with a score of 44.3 percent, the priority of the environmental quality criteria is the development of open land green roads with shady trees and shrubs with a score of 40.9 percent, and the priority criteria for government policy are the addition of service quality, comfort, and safety of public transportation facilities with a score of 38.6 percent. Overall, by combining selection points on each criterion, Semarang City's people chose to protect, primarily the development of modern public transportation facilities with additional passenger capacity. This point had the highest priority, with a score of 17.2 percent.

It is hoped that the finding will be able to provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. It is bottom-up planning so that implementation and evaluation can be carried out jointly between the government and the community to create a sustainable transportation system for a healthy and comfortable city to live in and provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. In addition, the findings of this study are expected to be used in other cities that have the characteristics of being a densely populated metropolitan city, and have a commitment to sustainable transportation that has been explicitly included in long-term urban planning.

The limitation of this research is that using AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) analysis could only be seen by priority programs but could not see the efficiency of the priority programs obtained both in terms of economic and technical efficiency. For this reason, a further research agenda is to combine priority and efficiency programs using the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). It is expected that the relative efficiency analysis tool can be obtained from each priority in the criteria.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adiatma, J. C., & Marciano, I. (2020). The Role of Electric Vehicles in the Decarbonization of Indonesia's Land Transport Sector [*Peranan Kendaraan Listrik dalam Dekarbonisasi Sektor Transportasi Darat Indonesia*].
- [2] Ahmad, S., & Puppim de Oliveira, J. A. (2016). Determinants of urban mobility in India: Lessons for promoting sustainable and inclusive urban transportation in developing countries. *Transport Policy*, 50, 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.04.014>
- [3] Akbar, M., Utary, C., Kakerissa, Y., & Asmal, S. (2019). Priorities of road network development to support national food flows in Merauke District with SWOT and AHP methods (Vol. 343, No. 1, p.). . *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 343(1).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/343/1/012185>
- [4] Ameen, R. F. M., & Mourshed, M. (2019). Urban sustainability assessment framework development: The ranking and weighting of sustainability indicators using analytic hierarchy

- process. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 44, 356–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.020>
- [5] Anand, A., Winfred Rufuss, D. D., Rajkumar, V., & Suganthi, L. (2017). Evaluation of Sustainability Indicators in Smart Cities for India Using MCDM Approach. *Energy Procedia*, 141, 211–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.11.094>
- [6] Arvin, M. B., Pradhan, R. P., & Norman, N. R. (2015). Transportation intensity, urbanization, economic growth, and CO₂ emissions in the G-20 countries. *Utilities Policy*, 35, 50–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2015.07.003>
- [7] Beyzatlar, M. A., Karacal, M., & Yetkiner, H. (2014). Granger-causality between transportation and GDP: A panel data approach. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 63, 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2014.03.001>
- [8] Biagi, B., Ladu, M. G., & Meleddu, M. (2018). Urban Quality of Life and Capabilities: An Experimental Study. *Ecological Economics*, 150(April), 137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.011>
- [9] Boettge, B., Hall, D. M., & Crawford, T. (2017). Assessing the Bicycle Network in St. Louis: A PlaceBased User-Centered Approach. *Sustainability*, 9(2), 241. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su9020241>
- [10] Brotodewo, N. (2010). Assessment of Sustainable Transport Indicators in Metropolitan Areas in Indonesia [Penilaian Indikator Transportasi Berkelanjutan Pada Kawasan Metropolitan di Indonesia]. *Journal of Regional and City Planning*, 21(3), 165–182.
- [11] Buwana, E., Hasibuan, H. E., & Abdini, C. (2016). Alternatives Selection for Sustainable Transportation System in Kasongan City. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 227, 11–18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.06.037>
- [12] Cascetta, E., Cartenì, A., Pagliara, F., & Montanino, M. (2015). A new look at planning and designing transportation systems: A decision-making model based on cognitive rationality, stakeholder engagement and quantitative methods. *Transport Policy*, 38, 27–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.11.005>
- [13] Chica-Olmo, J., Sánchez, A., & Sepúlveda-Murillo, F. H. (2020). Assessing Colombia's policy of socio-economic stratification: An intra-city study of self-reported quality of life. *Cities*, 97(July 2019), 102560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.102560>
- [14] Cyril, A., Mulangi, R. H., & George, V. (2019). Performance optimization of public transport Using integrated AHP–GP methodology. *Urban Rail Transit*, 5(2), 133–144. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40864-019-0103-2>
- [15] Danish, M. S. S., Sabory, N. ., Ahmadi, M., Senjyu, T., Majidi, H., Abdullah, M. A., & Momand, F. (2020). Energy and Environment Efficiencies Towards Contributing to Global Sustainability. In Mir Sayed Shah Danish, T. Senjyu, & N. R. Sabory (Eds.), *Sustainability Outreach in Developing Countries* (pp. 1–14). Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7179-4_1
- [16] De Jong, S. P. L., Wardenaar, T., & Horlings, E. (2016). Exploring the promises of transdisciplinary research: A quantitative study of two climate research programmes. *Research Policy*, 45(7), 1397–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2016.04.008>
- [17] Duleba, S., & Moslem, S. (2018). Sustainable urban transport development with stakeholder participation, an AHP-Kendall model: A case study for Mersin. *Sustainability*, 10(10), 3647. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su10103647>
- [18] Ejtemaei, S., & Sima, H. (2019). Provision of an integrated analysis model by combining AHP decision-making technique and GIS for identification and management of land use changes. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*, 9(1).
- [19] Errampalli, M., Patil, K. S., & Prasad, C. S. R. K. (2018). Evaluation of integration between public transportation modes by developing sustainability index for Indian cities. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2018.09.005>
- [20] Gaglione, F., Cottrill, C., & Gargiulo, C. (2021). Urban services, pedestrian networks and behaviors to measure elderly accessibility. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 90, 102687. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102687>
- [21] Gerike, R., & Koszowski, C. (2017). Sustainable Urban Transportation. *Encyclopedia of Sustainable Technologies*, 379–391. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.10176-9>
- [22] Gonzalez-Garcia, S., Manteiga, R., Moreira, M. T., & Feijoo, G. (2018). Assessing the sustainability of Spanish cities considering environmental and socio-economic indicators. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 178, 599–610.
- [23] Gulbrandsen, M., Mowery, D., & Feldmann, M. (2011). Introduction to the specialsection: heterogeneity and university-industry relations. *Res. Policy*, 40, 1–5.
- [24] Guo, Y., Wang, J., Peeta, S., & Anastasopoulos, P. C. (2018). Impacts of internal migration,

- household registration system, and family planning policy on travel mode choice in China. *Travel Behaviour and Society*, 13, 128–143. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2018.07.003>
- [25] Gusnita, D. (2010). Green Transport: Transportasi Ramah Lingkungan dan Kontribusinya dalam Mengurangi Polusi Udara. *Berita Dirgantara*, 11(2).
- [26] Hamurcu, M., & Eren, T. (2020). Strategic Planning Based on Sustainability for Urban Transportation: An Application to Decision-Making. *Sustainability*, 12(9), 3589. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su12093589>
- [27] Hessels, L. K., de Jong, S. P. L., & Brouwer, S. (2018). Collaboration between heterogeneous practitioners in sustainability research: A comparative analysis of three transdisciplinary programmes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124760>
- [28] Hickman, R., & Banister, D. (2007). Looking over the horizon: Transport and reduced CO2 emissions in the UK by 2030. *Transport Policy*, 14(5), 377–387. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2007.04.005>
- [29] Hidayati, I. Y., & Febriharati, S. (2016). Pengembangan Transportasi Berkelanjutan Di Kota Semarang. *Riptek*, 10(1), 43–56.
- [30] Israel Schwarzlose, A. A., Mjelde, J. W., Dudensing, R. M., Jin, Y., Cherrington, L. K., & Chen, J. (2014). Willingness to pay for public transportation options for improving the quality of life of the rural elderly. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 61(2014), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2013.12.009>
- [31] Jin, K., Wang, F., & Li., P. (2018). Responses of Vegetation Cover to Environmental Change in Large Cities of China. *Sustainability*, 10(1), 270. *Sustainability*, 10(1), 270.
- [32] Kelle, P., Song, J., & Jin, M. (2018). Evaluation of operational and environmental sustainability tradeoffs in multimodal freight transportation planning. *International Journal of Production Economics*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.011>
- [33] Kumar, R., Dahiya, M. A., & Sinha., S. (2015). Analytical hierarchy process for assessing sustainability. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, 12(4), 281–293. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/WJSTSD-05-2015-0027>
- [34] Li, H., Liu, Y., & Peng, K. (2018). Characterizing the relationship between road infrastructure and local economy using structural equation modeling. *Transport Policy*, 61(1), 17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.10.002>
- [35] Liang, L., Wang, Z., & Li, J. (2019). The effect of urbanization on environmental pollution in rapidly developing urban agglomerations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117649>
- [36] Lin, B., & Omoju, O. E. (2017). Does private investment in the transport sector mitigate the environmental impact of urbanisation? Evidence from Asia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 153, 331–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.064>
- [37] Lin, B., & Raza, M. Y. (2020). Analysis of energy security indicators and CO2 emissions. A case from a developing economy. *Energy*, 200, 117575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117575>
- [38] Litman, T. (2006). Lessons from Katrina and Rita: What major disasters can teach transportation planners. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 132(1), 11–18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-947X\(2006\)132:1\(11\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2006)132:1(11))
- [39] Litman, T. (2019). Well Measured. In *Transportation Research Record (Issue March 18)*. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2017-02>
- [40] Lyall, C., & Fletcher, I. (2013). Experiments in interdisciplinary capacity-building: the successes and challenges of large-scale interdisciplinary investments. *Sci. Public Policy*, 40(1), 1–7.
- [41] Maparu, T. S., & Mazumder, T. N. (2017). Transport infrastructure, economic development and urbanization in India (1990–2011): Is there any causal relationship? *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 100, 319–336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033>
- [42] Martin, A., Suhrcke, M., & Ogilvie, D. (2012). Financial incentives to promote active travel: An evidence review and economic framework. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 43(6), e45–e57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.09.001>
- [43] Martuti, N. K. T. (2013). Peranan Tanaman terhadap Pencemaran Udara di Jalan Protokol Kota Semarang. *Biosaintifika*, 5(1).
- [44] Meersman, H., & Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(2), 316–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009>
- [45] Meng, X., & Han, J. (2018). Roads, economy, population density, and CO2: A city-scaled causality analysis. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 128, 508–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.032>
- [46] Mowery, D. C., Nelson, R. R., Sampat, B. N., & Ziedonis, A. A. (2001). The growth of patenting

- and licensing by US universities: an assessment of the effects of the Bayh-Dole act of 1980. *Res. Policy*, 30(1), 99–119.
- [47] Nag, D., Paul, S. K., & Saha, S. (2018). Sustainability assessment for the transportation environment of Darjeeling, India. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 213, 489–502. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.01.042>
- [48] Özokcu, S., & Özdemir, Ö. (2017). Economic growth, energy, and environmental Kuznets curve. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 72(April 2016), 639–647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.059>
- [49] Parvez, M. (2020). Solving traffic congestion consequences regarding e-taxi parking by identifying a suitable location for the e-taxi station: geo-spatial and AHP approaches. *Smart and Resilient Transport*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/SRT-07-2020-0005>
- [50] Putra, K. E., & Sitanggang, J. M. (2016). The Effect of Public Transport Services on Quality of Life in Medan City. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 234, 383–389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.255>
- [51] Qian, C., Zhu, D., Zhou, Y., & Chen., J. (2018). Measurements of Pedestrian Friendliness of Residential Area: A Case Study in Hexi District of Nanjing. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 1993. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su10061993>
- [52] Qiu, G., Song, R., & He, S. (2019). The aggravation of urban air quality deterioration due to urbanization, transportation and economic development – Panel models with marginal effect analyses across China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651, 1114–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.219>
- [53] Rasiah, R., Kari, F., Y., S., & Mintz-Habib, N. (2018). Climate change and sustainable development issues: arguments and policy initiatives. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 23(2), 187–194. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2018.1442140>
- [54] Regional Development Planning Agency. (2017). *Semarang City RPJMD 2016-2021*. Badan Perencanaan dan Pembangunan Nasional.
- [55] Regional Revenue Management Agency of Jawa Tengah. (2018). *Number of Motor Vehicles in Semarang City 2013-2017*. Badan Pengelolaan Pendapatan Daerah.
- [56] Rifusua, A. I. (2010). *Analisis Faktor-faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Permintaan Busway di DKI Jakarta Tahun 2004-2008*. Tesis. Universitas Indonesia.
- [57] Saaty, R. W. (1987). The analytic hierarchy process-what it is and how it is used. *Mathematical Modelling*, 9(3–5), 161–176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255\(87\)90473-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255(87)90473-8)
- [58] Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M., & Akhtar, P. (2018). The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 111(October 2017), 78–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013>
- [59] Saplıoğlu, M., & Aydın, M. M. (2018). Choosing safe and suitable bicycle routes to integrate cycling and public transport systems. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 10, 236–252.
- [60] Scoble, R., Dickson, K., Hanney, S., & Rodgers, G. J. (2010). Institutional strategies for capturing socio-economic impact of academic research. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 32(5), 499–510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2010.511122>
- [61] Seker, S., & Aydın, N. (2020). Sustainable public transportation system evaluation: A novel two-stage hybrid method based on IVIF-AHP and CODAS. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 22(1), 257–272. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40815-019-00785-w>
- [62] Semarang City Environment Service. (2018). *Results of Measurement of Ambient Air Quality in Semarang City 2013-2017*. Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup.
- [63] Shatu, F., Yigitcanlar, T., & Bunker, J. (2019). Objective vs. subjective measures of street environments in pedestrian route choice behaviour: Discrepancy and correlates of non-concordance. *Transportation Research Part A*, 126, 1–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.05.011>
- [64] Steg, L., & Gifford, R. (2005). Sustainable transportation and quality of life. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 13(1 SPEC. ISS.), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.11.003>
- [65] Thomas, A., & Deakin, E. (2017). Managing partnerships for sustainable development: The Berkeley—China sustainable transportation program. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(1), 45–54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.08.005>
- [66] Tong, T., & Yu, T. E. (2018). Transportation and economic growth in China: A heterogeneous panel cointegration and causality analysis. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 73(October), 120–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.10.016>
- [67] Velazquez, L., Munguia, N. E., Andrea, M. W., & Patricia., Z. S. (2015). Sustainable transportation strategies for decoupling road vehicle transport

- and carbon dioxide emissions. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 26(3), 373–388. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-07-2014-0120>
- [68] Wang, Z., Cui, C., & Peng, S. (2019). How do urbanization and consumption patterns affect carbon emissions in China? A decomposition analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 211, 1201–1208.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.272>
- [69] Wann-Ming, W. (2019). Constructing urban dynamic transportation planning strategies for improving quality of life and urban sustainability under emerging growth management principles. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 44, 275–290.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.015>
- [70] Welle Donker, F. (2016). From access to re-use: A users perspective on public sector information availability. In *A+BE Architecture and the Built Environment* (Vol. 21).
<https://doi.org/10.7480/abe.2016.21>
- [71] Welle Donker, F., & Van Loenen, B. (2016). Sustainable Business Models for Public Sector Open Data Providers. *JeDEM - EJournal of EDemocracy and Open Government*, 8(1), 28–61.
<https://doi.org/10.29379/jedem.v8i1.390>
- [72] Wey, W. M., & Huang, J. Y. (2018). Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. *Habitat International*, 82(October), 9–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2018.10.002>
- [73] Xia, T., Zhang, Y., Braunack-Mayer, A., & Crabb, S. (2017). Public Attitudes towards Encouraging Sustainable Transportation: an Australian case study. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 11(8), 593–601.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2017.1287316>
- [74] Xu, M., Grant-Muller, S., & Gao, Z. (2017). Implementation effects and integration evaluation of a selection of transport management measures in Beijing. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(4), 604–614.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.09.002>
- [75] Yu, X., Lang, M., & Gao, Y. (2018). An Empirical Study on the Design of China High-Speed Rail Express Train Operation Plan—From a Sustainable Transport Perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(7), p.2478. *Sustainability*, 10(7), 2478.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su10072478>
- [76] Pujiati, A., Nihayah, D. M., Adzim, F., & Nikensari, S. I. (2020). Implementation of sustainable transportation using gap analysis: Case study of semarang city. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(7), 47-54.

DECISION ON FIRST REVISION



Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Decision on your revised paper submitted to IJSDP

editor.ijsdp iieta.org <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>

Tue, Jun 7, 2022 at 10:38 AM

To: "amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id" <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear author,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*,

Manuscript Title: Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study Manuscript ID: 14769

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Before we proceed with the publication of your article, please complete the arrange payment of your article processing charge (US \$ 500) in 15 days by the following ways:

USD Remittance Path

BENEFICIARY NAME: IIETA-RSMC Beijing LTD

ACCOUNT NUMBER: 0200296409116032240

BENEFICIARY ADDRESS: Room 302, Building 3, Jinmao Plaza, Fengtai District, Beijing, PRC

BENEFICIARY BANKER'S NAME: Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Beijing Municipal Branch, Beijing, PRC

SWIFT CODE: ICBKCNBJBJM

Euro Remittance Path

BENEFICIARY NAME: IIETA-RSMC Beijing LTD

ACCOUNT NUMBER: 0200296409116032364

BENEFICIARY ADDRESS: Room 302, Building 3, Jinmao Plaza, Fengtai District, Beijing, PRC

BENEFICIARY BANKER'S NAME: Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Beijing Municipal Branch, Beijing, PRC

SWIFT CODE: ICBKCNBJBJM

IBAN: DE07501102009000010401

Ps: 1. Remark the manuscript ID in the Remittance.

2. Send the remittance receipt or payment screenshot, and name and account number of the remitter to this email.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind regards,

IJSDP Editorial Board

International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

<http://www.iieta.org/Journals/IJSDP>

International Information and Engineering Technology



2 attachments

IIETA Invoice-IJSDP-14769.pdf



81K

IJSDP Acceptance Letter-14769.pdf



151K

Acceptance Letter

International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

June 7, 2022

Amin Pujiati

Faculty of Economics,
Universitas Negeri Semarang,
Semarang, Indonesia

Dear Amin Pujiati, Dyah Maya Nihayah, Prasetyo Ari Bowo, Fauzul Adzim,

MS: Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study

I am pleased to inform you that as per the recommendation of the editorial board, your above-mentioned manuscript has been accepted for publication in International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning (ISSN 1743-7601).

Please note the following points, and ensure compliance:

- 1) Page Proofs of your paper will also be sent to you for minor corrections and approval.
- 2) Provide us with your E-mail ID for future correspondence.
- 3) Provide complete postal address with correct postal-code.
- 4) The corresponding author would receive a PDF of the published paper.
- 5) If your paper is found with plagiarism content after the release of Acceptance Letter, it will not be published in the Journal.

It is recommended that you cite this and other published works from International Information and Engineering Technology Association (www.iieta.org) in your papers to be published in other journals.

Yours sincerely,

Date: June 7, 2022
Place: Edmonton, Canada



SUBMISSION PAYMENT



Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Decision on your revised paper submitted to IJSDP

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>
AMTo: "editor.ijsdp iieta.org" <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>

Thu, Jun 9, 2022 at 6:31

Dear editor,


Hereby I attach the proof of payment for Manuscript ID: 14769. The remitter's name is Nadia Damayanti and the account number is 0723116979

Thank you
[Quoted text hidden]

Proof of Payment for IJSDP.pdf



937K



Formulir Kiriman Uang Remittance Application

Penerima/Feneficiary <input type="checkbox"/> Penduduk/ Resident <input type="checkbox"/> Bukan Penduduk/ Non Resident	Jenis Pengiriman/ Type of Transfer <input type="checkbox"/> L/GI Clearing <input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input type="checkbox"/> RTGS <input type="checkbox"/> SWIFT
Nama/ Name	Sumber Dana/ Source of fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Tunai/ Cash <input type="checkbox"/> Cek/ BG No. <input type="checkbox"/> Debit Rek./ Debit Acc. No.
Alamat/ Address	Mata Uang/ Currency: <input type="checkbox"/> IDR <input type="checkbox"/> USD
Telepon/ Phone	Jumlah Dana yang dikirim/ Amount Transfer
Kota/ City	Jumlah/ Amount
Negara/ Country	Kurs/ Rate
Bank Penerima/ Beneficiary Bank	Nilai/ Total Amount
Kota/ City	Biaya/ Charge
No. Rek./ Acc. No.	Nilai/ Amount in Rupiah/ Exchange
Pengirim/ Remitter <input type="checkbox"/> Penduduk/ Resident <input type="checkbox"/> Bukan Penduduk/ Non Resident	Kurs/ Amount
Nama/ Name	Misr Total/ Amount
Nama Alias/ Alias Name	Komisi/ Commission
No. ID KTP/ SIM/ Passport/ KITAS	Pengirim/ Handling
Alamat/ Address	Bank Koresponden/ Correspondent Bank
Telepon/ Phone	Jumlah Biaya/ Amount Charge
Kota/ City	Total yang dibayarkan/ Total Amount
Negara/ Country	Tertilang/ Amount in Words
Tujuan Transaksi (transaction Purpose)	
Berita (message)	
Biaya dari bank koresponden dibebankan ke rekening/ Correspondent bank charges are for account of <input type="checkbox"/> Penerima/ Feneficiary <input type="checkbox"/> Pengirim/ Remitter <input type="checkbox"/> Sharing	



Pegawai Bank/ Bank Officer

Pemohon/ Applicant

Galley proof of your paper submitted to IJSDP!

editor.ijstdp iieta.org <editor.ijstdp@iieta.org>

Mon, Jul 11, 2022 at 4:43 PM

To: "amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id" <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>

Dear author,




Thank you for contributing your paper to INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING!

Please revise your paper with particular reference to the following points:

1. Please revise your paper according to **template**.
2. Attach DOI to references as demonstrated in the template. Click <http://www.crossref.org/questquery/> for a DOI query.
3. Please fill in "Copyright Transfer Agreement". Please note that "corresponding author's signature" in the agreement shall be manually signed.
4. Please highlight the revised parts.

To ensure fast publication of your paper, please return your revised manuscript before **July 15, 2022**. For further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us via this e-mail.

Best regards,

IJSDP Editorial Board**International Journal of Sustainable Development and****Planning** <http://www.iieta.org/Journals/IJSDP>**International Information and Engineering Technology Association****(IIETA)** <http://www.iieta.org/>**3 attachments****IJSDP Template.docx** 156K**Copyright Transfer Agreement 2022.pdf** 2031K**14769-galley proof.pdf** 379K

SUBMISSION FOR REVISION AND COPYEDITING



Copyright Transfer Agreement

Please read the terms of this agreement, and send back a scanned copy of the signed original.

Article entitled:

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A case Study

Author/s:

Amin Pujiati, Dyah Maya Nihayah, Prasebyo An Bawo, Fauzul Adzim, Evi Granbiani

Corresponding author (if more than one author):

Amin Pujiati

Journal Name:

International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

Publisher:

International Information and Engineering Technology Association

1. Copyright Assignment

The author hereby grants the Publisher the exclusive license for commercial use of above article throughout the world, in any form, in any language, for the full term of copyright, effective upon acceptance for publication.

2. Author's Warranties

The author warrants that the article is original, written by stated author/s, has not been published before and it will not be submitted anywhere else for publication prior to acceptance/rejection by the Publisher, contains no unlawful statements, does not infringe the rights of others, is subject to copyright that is vested exclusively in the author and free of any third party rights, and that any necessary written permissions to quote from other sources have been obtained by the author/s.

3. User rights

This article, if accepted, will be an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>). Publisher will insert the following note at the end of the published text: © 2022 by the authors; licensee IETA, Edmonton, Canada. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>).

4. Rights of Authors

Authors retain the following rights:

- all proprietary rights relating to the article, other than copyright, such as patent rights,
- the right to use the substance of the article in future own works, including lectures and books,
- the right to reproduce this article for own purposes, provided the copies are not offered for sale.

An author may self-archive an author-created version of his/her article on his/her own website and or in his/her institutional repository. He/she may also deposit this version on his/her funder's or funder's designated repository at the funder's request or as a result of a legal obligation, provided it is not made publicly available until 12 months after official publication. Furthermore, the author may only post his/her version provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on <http://www.ieta.org>. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The original publication is available also at <http://www.ieta.org>". He/she may use the Publisher's PDF version, which is posted on <http://www.ieta.org>, for the purpose of self-archiving or deposit. Any other use of the article requires permission from the Publisher.

5. Co-Authorship

If the article was prepared jointly with other authors, the signatory of this form warrants that he/she has been authorized by all co-authors to sign this agreement on their behalf, and agrees to inform his/her co-authors of the terms of this agreement.

6. Publication Fee

Please refer to the Instructions for Authors of each IETA journal for whether the journal charges a publication fee and, if yes, how much the journal charges in publication.

7. Termination

This agreement can be terminated by the author or the Publisher upon two months' notice where the other party has materially breached this agreement and failed to remedy such breach within a month of being given the terminating party's notice requesting such breach to be remedied. No breach or violation of this agreement will cause this agreement or any license granted in it to terminate automatically or affect the definition of the Publisher. After the lapse of forty (40) years of the date of this agreement, this agreement can be terminated without cause by the author or the Publisher upon two years' notice. The author and the Publisher may agree to terminate this agreement at any time. This agreement or any license granted in it cannot be terminated otherwise than in accordance with this section 6.

8. Royalties

This agreement entitles the author to no royalties or other fees. To such extent as legally permissible, the author waives his or her right to collect royalties relative to the article in respect of any use of the article by the Publisher or its sublicense.

9. Miscellaneous

The Publisher will publish the article (or have it published) in the Journal, if the article's editorial process is successfully completed and the Publisher or its sublicense has become obligated to have the article published. The Publisher may conform the article to a style of punctuation, spelling, capitalization and usage that it deems appropriate. The author acknowledges that the article may be published so that it will be publicly accessible and such access will be free of charge for the readers. The Publisher will be allowed to sublicense the rights that are licensed to it under this agreement. This agreement will be governed by the laws of Canada.

10. Scope of the Commercial License


The exclusive right and license granted under this agreement to the Publisher for commercial use is as follows:

- a. to prepare, reproduce, manufacture, publish, distribute, exhibit, advertise, promote, license and sub-license printed and electronic copies of the article, through the Internet and other means of data transmission now known or later to be developed; the foregoing will include abstracts, bibliographic information, illustrations, pictures, indexes and subject headings and other proprietary materials contained in the article,
- b. to exercise, license, and sub-license others to exercise subsidiary and other rights in the article, including the right to photocopy, scan or reproduce copies thereof, to reproduce excerpts from the article in other works, and to reproduce copies of the article as part of compilations with other works, including collections of materials made for use in classes for instructional purposes, customized works, electronic databases, document delivery, and other information services, and publish, distribute, exhibit and license the same.

Where this agreement refers to a license granted to the Publisher in this agreement as exclusive, the author commits not only to refrain from granting such license to a third party but also to refrain from exercising the right that is the subject of such license otherwise than by performing this agreement.

The Publisher will be entitled to enforce in respect of third parties, to such extent as permitted by law, the rights licensed to it under this agreement.

Corresponding author's signature:



Name printed:

Amin Rijati

Date:

July 13th, 2022

Galley proof of your paper submitted to IJSDP!

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>
To: "editor.ijsdp iieta.org" <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org>

Thu, Jul 14, 2022 at 4:31 PM

Dear, Editor of IJSDP

I would like to return the revision draft for my paper. The revised paper is according to the IJSDP template and we added "acknowledgment" to fully include all the parts. We also added a new author. I have highlighted the part where I edit such as:

1. including postcode on every author affiliation;
2. Use citations not more than 50
3. Use Vancouver style in the order of reference;
4. attaching DOI to all the references mentioned;
5. signing Copyright Transfer Agreement (attached below); and
6. all revised areas are highlighted

I attach two files here for the article and Copyright Transfer Agreement. Thank you.

On Mon, Jul 11, 2022 at 4:44 PM editor.ijsdp iieta.org <editor.ijsdp@iieta.org> wrote:

Dear author,

Thank you for contributing your paper to INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING!

Please revise your paper with particular reference to the following points:

1. Please revise your paper according to **template**.
2. Attach DOI to references as demonstrated in the template. Click <http://www.crossref.org/guestquery/> for a DOI query.
3. Please fill in "Copyright Transfer Agreement". Please note that "corresponding author's signature" in the agreement shall be manually signed.
4. Please highlight the revised parts.

To ensure fast publication of your paper, please return your revised manuscript before **July 15, 2022**. For further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us via this e-mail.

Best regards,
IJSDP Editorial Board

International Journal of Sustainable Development and

Planning <http://www.iieta.org/Journals/IJSDP>

International Information and Engineering Technology Association

(IIETA) <http://www.iieta.org/>



2 attachments

-  **Amin Pujiati.docx**
249K
-  **Copyright Transfer Agreement.pdf**
639K

Final Proof of your paper submitted to IJSDP!

Amin Pujiati <amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id>
1:24 PM To: "editor.ijscp iieta.org" <editor.ijscp@iieta.org>

Fri, Jul 22, 2022 at

Dear editor

I have read the final draft. I send you back the final proof via email and OJS with highlighted areas especially on resolving the same references [29] and [38]. I also understood and noted that I can't add any more authors. I would love to hear from you soon. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]



14769-final proof.pdf
433K

Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study

Amin Pujiati^{1*}, Dyah Maya Nihayah², Prasetyo Ari Bowo³, Fauzul Adzim⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, 50229, Indonesia

² Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, 50229, Indonesia

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, 50229, Indonesia

⁴ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, 50229, Indonesia

Corresponding Author Email: amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id

<https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.xxxxxx>

ABSTRACT

Received:

Accepted:

Keywords:

transportation, sustainable development strategy, urban area, [environmental](#)

This study aims to develop a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City. Collecting data using interviews, and questionnaires. Source of data from keyperson with purposive sampling technique. Keypersons consist of the Head of Sub-Division of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT, Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD). BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data were then analyzed using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique. The findings revealed that the development of transportation system facilities and infrastructure is the top priority for policy. The second priority is improving environmental quality and Government policy turns out to be the next strategic priority. The practical significance of this research is that the determination of strategic priorities can be applied to other cities that have characteristics as metropolitan cities and have a commitment to carry out sustainable transportation in order to achieve effective and optimal results.

11. INTRODUCTION

The issue of reducing carbon emissions has started since the Kyoto protocol agreement was signed on December 11, 1997. This commitment requires every country and region to review policies that can cause environmental pollution. In 2019, the transportation sector accounted for a third of Indonesia's total Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. Therefore, the importance of the concept of sustainable transportation is considered by looking at the value of positive and negative externalities of transportation and traffic in the short and long term [1]. Sustainable transportation has several indicators that emphasize economic, environmental and social balance [2] towards a healthy city.

Semarang City is the capital city of Central Java in Indonesia and an industrial city, which is attractive for immigrants to work, study, or live in. Many immigrants who later settled in Semarang City made this city even more densely populated [3,4]. The population in the Semarang continues to increase every year. In a couple of years (2013-2019), the population in Semarang has continued to grow. The high population growth in Semarang is caused by several

factors, including birth, death, arrival, and immigration. The increase in population has an impact on population density with an increasing trend. The following is a figure 1 of the increase in population density in Semarang City in 2013-2019.




Figure 1. Population Density in Semarang City 2013-2019
Source : Central Bureau of Statistics Semarang, 2020

The population density in Semarang City from 2013 to 2019 continues to increase. In 2013, the population density was 4,206 people/km². Although, in 2014, it decreased to 4,172 people/km² but in 2015, it increased again until 2019, which reached 4,855 people/km². The density of the population in Semarang has made the traffic of community activities even denser. **The increasingly dense traffic and people's movement are in line with the increasingly dense demand for transportation, which has consequences for the energy and the environment. The higher the activities carried out by urban communities, the higher the environmental impact [5–9].**

Semarang City transportation development has been continuously pursued through spatial and territorial planning in the 2016-2021 Semarang's RPJMD (Regional Long-Term Development Plan). The RPJMD contains Semarang City's vision and mission. Semarang City's vision is "*Semarang Kota Perdagangan dan Jasa yang Hebat Menuju Masyarakat Semakin Sejahtera*" (Semarang, a City of Great Trade and Services Towards an Increasingly Prosperous Society). There is also a mission in that vision, one of which is "*Mewujudkan Kota Metropolitan yang Dinamis dan Berwawasan Lingkungan*" (Creating a Dynamic Metropolitan City with Environmental Insights). **In line with the Regional Development Planning of Semarang City for 2016- 2021 that states development is prioritized on optimizing the use of spatial planning and enhancing the development of regional infrastructure that is planned, harmonious, balanced, and considered environmentally sound and sustainable.**

As stated in the 2016-2021 RPJMD of Semarang City, the city of Semarang's vision and mission clearly illustrate that the development of Semarang is directed towards environmentally sound and sustainable development. Likewise, the development and growth of Semarang transportation can also be carried out regardless of environmental elements. To support the realization of Semarang City's vision and mission, in this case, the Semarang City Transportation Office, in accordance with its main duties and functions, plays a role in the success of Semarang transportation development, referring to the development mission of Semarang, such as creating a dynamic and environmentally sound metropolitan city.

The government has provided mass transportation modes, such as city transportation, buses, rickshaws, and others. These transportation modes are also equipped with adequate amenities and infrastructure, such as bus stops, paved streets, public street lightings, pedestrian paths, and other infrastructures. The government provides a public transportation mode called BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) or *Trans Semarang Bus*, with routes that pass through strategic places in Semarang.

The availability of mass transportation modes that are deemed inadequate makes people prefer to use private vehicles, and the existence of these private vehicles continues to increase every year. The number of private vehicles in Semarang City is much greater than the number of public vehicles. **Based on data from the Regional Revenue Management Agency of Central Java in 2018 showed that** the number of private vehicles in Semarang from 2013 to 2017 has increased. In 2013 alone, two- and three-wheeled private vehicles were 681,443 units. Then, in the following year, it continued to increase until 2017 up to 1,387,600 units (103.63%). Private two and three-wheeled vehicles and four-

wheeled private vehicles in Semarang also continued to increase from 121,782 units in 2013 to 238,152 in 2017 (95.59%) (see figure 2). The increase in private vehicles in Semarang City has been followed by increased public transportation, both small and large public vehicles. However, the number of public transportations is still far less than that of private vehicles.

The high number of private vehicles in Semarang City, which continues to increase every year, will undoubtedly cause various problems, such as worsening congestion, hindering economic activity, and increasing environmental pollution. Apart from congestion, the problem caused by the high number of private vehicles is environmental pollution, especially air pollution. The impacts of the high number of private vehicles are to make traffic flow denser and increase air pollution. This shows that there is still a lack of attention to environmental quality. The decline in urban air quality is caused by increased use of motorized vehicles, disincentives for fuel-free transportation (FFT), and a decrease in urban areas' green areas. The same study was also conducted by [10,11].

The enhancement of private vehicles causes the amount of CO₂ to rise. As one of the causes of air pollution, the greater CO₂ has an impact on the decline in environmental quality. If this condition continues, it will affect people's quality of life [10–12].




Figure 2 Number of Motorized Vehicles in Semarang City in 2013-2017 (Units) Regional Revenue Management Agency of central Java, 2018

The government is currently making serious efforts to build more advanced and environmentally friendly transportation to reduce congestion and air pollution by implementing sustainable transportation development. However, the results are still not effective and optimal. Based on interview with keyperson, although several pedestrian paths are already in poor condition and are used as parking lots and trading. There is no separation of public transportation routes from other transportation yet. The pedestrian's condition can be measured by the condition itself, crowdedness, and other aspects such as trees, benches, water fountains, and so on [13]. The provided mass public transport (BRT) does not cover the entire area, and services are still lacking. This shows that the available facilities and infrastructure and local government policies towards sustainable transportation are still lacking. In fact, it is well known that sustainable transportation reflects the concept of sustainable development in the transportation sector.

According to Rasiah et al [14], discussing sustainable development has become an important part of alternative green paths. The effect of sustainable transportation cannot be ignored in an urban area's growth and development process [8,15–17].

Based on the Regional Medium Term Development Plan Based (RPJMD) and the phenomenon of transportation in the Semarang city, it is important to develop strategic priorities towards sustainable transportation from the criteria for facilities and infrastructure, government policies and environmental quality so that results can be optimally achieved according to the plan. This study aims to elaborate a strategy for sustainable urban areas transportation development, especially in Semarang City as an industrial city center in a region. This research is urgent because currently, many cities face the same problem. Even though the government policies have been made and tried to accommodate the vision and mission to be achieved, the implementation often does not work properly, so it is necessary to look for root cause-based policy priorities.

The previous research done by [18] focuses on providing sustainable means of transportation to developers (stakeholders). According to [19], policies in providing sustainable transportation facilities must combine the combination of human impacts, efficiency impacts, and sustainability impacts into one framework. However, there is no element of equity and policy efficiency. Meanwhile, [20] implemented the three processes required to integrate transportation facilities, namely cognitive decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and quantitative analysis. The difference between this study and previous research is that the policy-making for the provision of sustainable transportation means involves not only users (stakeholders) but also development planners and academics. The elements of efficiency and effectiveness of policy are carried out by determining the priority scale in each existing program, which previous researchers have not carried out. This difference is expected to enrich the treasury of knowledge and produce various policies to solve the complexity of transportation problems.

12. METHOD

This type of research is qualitative and quantitative research. The location used for this research was Semarang City, Central Java Province – Indonesia, as a metropolitan city with a mission to implement sustainable transportation. The data were acquired using primary and secondary data, where primary data were obtained by doing interviews, and questionnaires. At the same time, secondary data were acquired from scientific literature, articles, journals, and websites. Moreover, secondary data were achieved from the Semarang City Regional Planning and Development Agency (BAPPEDA), Semarang City Transportation Service, and Semarang City Environmental Service.

The sampling technique was the purposive sampling technique, where six key people were considered experts in knowing the problem studied and understood what is expected in the study. Key persons consist of the Head of Sub-division of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT,

Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD) BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data collecting techniques comprised interviews, documentation, discussion, and questionnaires. The hope is that by using analytical tools AHP, combining several key persons, and scientific analysis can produce precise policy directions for problems related to government policies and funding of public facilities such as sustainable public transportation and improving the economy through a reputation for institutions [21–23]. Criteria, alternative in analysis AHP see table 1.

Table 1. Criteria and Analysis AHP

Goal	Criteria	Alternative
Sustainable Transportation	Government Policy	(A1) Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes
		(A2) Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas;
		(A3) Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation
		(A4) Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation
	Facilities and Infrastructure Development	(B1) Pedestrian and bicycle paths development;
		(B2) Park and ride facilities provision
		(B3) Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)
	Environmental Improvement Quality	(C1) Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption
		(C2) Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results
		(C3) Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use
		(C4) Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

In determining the priority strategy, steps are needed to determine the priority strategy: first, determine the research objectives, is a strategy for developing sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang; Second, determine the criteria and alternatives. The criteria and alternatives were obtained from the results of qualitative data analysis and interviews from competent keypersons. There are 3 criteria, namely government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. There are 11 alternatives, namely A1-C4 (Table 1). Third, distributing questionnaires to a number of keypersons that have been determined based on the fundamental scale (Table2)

and guiding the process of filling out the questionnaires; Fourth, compile a matrix of the average results of the keyperson. Then the results were processed using expert choice version 11.0. The fifth is to score each informant's answer on the pairwise comparison matrix and present its geometric mean. The sixth is analyzing the output of expert choice version 11.0 by looking at the inconsistency and priority values. From these results, the criteria and prioritized alternatives can also be known. If the value is more than 10%, then the data judgment assessment must be corrected. If the Consistency Ratio (CI/IR) 0.1, then the calculation results can be declared correct. When the ratio is consistent then the eigenvalues do not exceed n. The eigenvalues that do not exceed n are considered as a measure of consistency by forming a consistency ratio of differences in the correspondence mean of the n eigenvalues of a large matrix of randomly selected judgments [24]. The seventh is determining the priority scale of the criteria and alternatives to determine the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang.

Tabel 2 The fundamental scale

Intensity of importance on an absolute scale	Definition	Explanation
1	Equal importance	Two activities contribute equally to the objective
3	Moderate importance of one over another	Experience and judgment strongly favor one activity over another
5	Essential or strong importance	Experience and judgment strongly favor one activity over another
7	Very strong importance	An activity is strongly favored and its dominance demonstrated in practice
9	Extreme importance	The evidence favoring one activity over another is of the highest possible order of affirmation
Reciprocals	If activity i has one of the above numbers assigned to it when compared with activity	

	j, then j has the reciprocal value when com	
Rational	Ratios arising from the scale	If consistency were to be forced by obtaining n numerical values to span the matrix

The analysis technique employed the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP helps set priorities and objectives from various options. It establishes the priority of elements in a decision problem by making pairwise comparisons on each element, fairly compared against a specific criterion. Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method would obtain several strategies that can be worked as materials for a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City according to hierarchy or priority.

Compilation of the criterion and sub-criterion variables as alternatives to determine policy priorities with the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) encompassed government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and environmental quality improvement. Various program alternatives were within these three variables to determine a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City: four alternatives for government policy criteria, three alternatives' criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure, and four criteria for improving the environment's quality.

13. RESULTS

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to prioritize strategies for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The components used in this study included several criteria and alternatives based on the results of literature reviews, previous research, and interviews with predetermined and competent key persons in the transportation sector.

Six key persons were involved from Bappeda Semarang City, Semarang City Transportation Office, Semarang City Environmental Service, and Academics. Priority strategies were obtained by selecting several criteria and alternatives based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City Development Planning Agency for Transportation Planning and Water Resources. At the end of the interview, three criteria were achieved: government policy, facilities development, infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. Then, they were compiled based on the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP).

According to calculation using AHP on all criteria for sustainable transport development in Semarang City utilizing the Expert Choice 11 program, the following results were obtained in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Overall Sustainable Transportation Development Criteria in Semarang City Based on AHP Outputs

Figure 3 can be interpreted that the most prioritized criterion in the development of sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the development of facilities and infrastructure, with a weighting value of 0.413. Then, the second priority criterion is the improvement of environmental quality with a weighting value of 0.327, and the third priority criterion is government policy with a value of 0.260. These calculation results were utilized as a basis for determining the priority order of each of the criteria, which can be used as the basis for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City.

The government policy criteria consist of four alternatives, including increasing the integration between modes of mass public transportation, stipulating a prohibition on parking on streets along with public mode areas, separating mass public transportation routes from other transportation, and improving service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. The criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure have three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT). Meanwhile, the criteria for improving environmental quality have four criteria, covering the transfer of private modes of transportation to public modes of transportation to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on the results of exhaust emission tests, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land use, and the realization of green belts along newly built road routes with productive and non-fragile shade trees.

13.1 Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

The development of facilities and infrastructure is the most prioritized criterion for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The development of facilities and infrastructure is essential in developing sustainable mass transportation in Semarang City. With the availability of adequate and comfortable transportation facilities and infrastructure for the community, this will minimize various existing problems, such as pollution congestion and high use of private vehicles.

In the criterion for developing these facilities and infrastructure, there are three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities (MRT, LRT). The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. AHP Outputs of Facilities and Infrastructure Development Criterion

Caption:

B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)

Figure 4 exhibits that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure regarding developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.443. The provision of parks and rides is the provision of parking lots at strategic places and integrated transportation nodes, such as bus stops, terminals, and stations.

The provision of park and ride facilities is intended for private vehicle drivers to park their vehicles in parking pockets and then switch to using public transportation to reduce congestion in city centers. Providing parking pockets in several strategic places and transportation nodes will minimize the number of private vehicles in the city center so that it will reduce congestion and CO emissions [25].

[26] stated that providing parking lots in busy urban areas will reduce vehicle mobility so that it can avoid congestion and at the same time attract tourists to travel in urban areas. However, it is different from what [27] said that the availability of excessive parking lots increases people's dependence on car use. The provision of park and ride facilities planned by the Semarang City government is specifically for construction in community activity centers, namely in the Tugu Muda area, Johar area, and Mataram Plaza.

The second priority alternative is the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT), with a weight value of 0.387. The dense movement of urban communities certainly requires adequate transportation facilities, especially mass public transportation. The provision of mass public transportation in urban areas is crucial to support this dense movement. Therefore, the Semarang City government has provided mass transportation in the form of the Trans Semarang BRT. However, the existence of the Trans Semarang BRT has not been able to accommodate all the needs of the community's movement because of the relatively small bus capacity, so that passengers have to jostle to catch time.

[28] affirmed that changing transportation mode from the road to rail has a major effect on local road transportation, increasing speed, reducing congestion, reducing delays in shipping goods, and reducing pollution. It is because rail transportation by train has several advantages, such as system reliability, speed of travel time, and greater transport capacity.

The Semarang City government has launched to provide mass public transportation with a larger capacity like the MRT and LRT. MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) is an effective and comfortable rail-based mass transportation and fast transit system with a large passenger-carrying capacity. Meanwhile, the LRT (Light Rapid Transit) is a rail-based metropolitan transportation system with monorail and tram lines along its lines. Currently, the MRT and LRT implementation plans in Semarang City are still being studied more deeply and are planned to be built in community activity centers.

The last priority alternative is the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, with a weight value of 0.169. Pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes in Semarang City have been implemented for a long time, but the implementation has not been optimal. There are still many roads in the city center that are not yet available for pedestrians and bicycle lanes, and many are in poor condition. Therefore, it is necessary to develop by improving the damaged pathways' condition and adding supporting facilities to provide pedestrians and bicycle users safety and comfort. In line with [29] that said pedestrian path conditions attract people to walk easily from various places, and the quality of the pedestrian paths makes users comfortable using them.

If pedestrian and bicycle paths are considered safe and comfortable, there will certainly be more people who are interested in choosing to walk and cycle instead of using motorized vehicles. In a study by [30], it was stated that bicycle users generally feel less comfortable and safe when cycling because road traffic sometimes ignores bicycle users, so that bicycle development should be prioritized on roads with lighter traffic intensity or on roads that are not too crowded of vehicles to ensure the safety and comfort of cyclists.

13.2 Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

The second priority criterion in the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is improving environmental quality. This study's results are different from the research by [31], which stated that environmental indicators are the most prioritized aspects of the realization of sustainable transportation in Delhi City.

Based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, in the criterion for improving environmental quality, there are four alternatives, including the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on exhaust emission test results, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, and the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-fragile shade trees. The calculation results from the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for improving environmental quality are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. AHP Outputs of Environmental Improvement Quality Criterion

Caption:

C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

Figure 5 displays that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for improving environmental quality in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees, with a weight value of 0.409. The increasing number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City will result in increased air pollution. It certainly makes the air quality in Semarang City decrease. Therefore, the Semarang City government has launched a green belt program or planted shade trees along the newly constructed road that is busy with vehicles.

The types of trees planted are trees that do not break easily and can absorb pollutants, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, etc. The realization of the green belt aims to clean the air from pollutants, especially CO₂, and absorb noise so that it will reduce pollution in Semarang City. [32] said that green vegetation as a shade for cities should always be available to improve air quality in cities due to high urban traffic.

The second alternative priority is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.271. The relatively high number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City is a particular concern for the local government, considering that motorized vehicles contribute to pollutants by 80%. There are still many motorized vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the specified threshold. Therefore, the Semarang City government has issued a progressive vehicle tax based on the vehicle's exhaust emission test results. In implementing this tax, exhaust gas emissions are prerequisites for motor vehicle roadworthiness. Motorized vehicles that exceed the exhaust gas emission threshold will be subject to a progressive tax. Meanwhile, motorized vehicles that can manage exhaust emissions properly will receive tax relief.

The third alternative priority is to reduce the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, with a weight value of 0.191. The more densely populated a city is, the denser the travel activities of its residents will be. As the capital of Central Java Province and a city of trade and industry, Semarang City makes its population's travel activities very dense, both trips by local residents and outside the city. Not a few residents outside the city work in Semarang and migrate every day.

Industrial and trade areas that are still spreading in several points in Semarang City have moved the people not yet centralized, causing congestion. Through proper land use, it is hoped to reduce these problems. Land use management will be applied by directing spatial use changes in the periphery areas to be developed by various production facilities and areas. It is intended to regulate the movement to not concentrate in the city center.

The fourth priority alternative is private transportation transfer to public transportation to reduce fuel consumption, with a weight value of 0.129. The high public interest in the use of private vehicles causes high fuel consumption, which results in increased pollution in Semarang City. BBM is a motor vehicle fuel that comes from fossils so that the pollutants produced from this fuel are very high. Therefore, the tendency of the community to use private vehicles must be diverted to using public vehicles.

Steps that can be applied to realize the program are to increase the cost of travel by private mode by increasing the tax on private vehicles, increasing parking fees, and

imposing tolls for specific areas, additional costs for fossil fuels, and tax on luxury goods. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice considering the huge costs they have to bear.

13.3 Government Policy Criterion

Government policy is the third criterion in the transportation improvement strategy in Semarang City. The government is the party with the authority to set a policy to achieve a goal. Government policy here is very closely related to programs and regulations that have been launched and related to transportation. With these programs and regulations, it is hoped that they will be able to realize sustainable transportation in Semarang City. Based on the results of surveys and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, several alternatives were found in government policy criterion that increases the integration between shared public transportation modes: determining parking restrictions on roads along with public mode areas, separating public transportation routes along with other transportation, and improving service quality convenience and public transportation. The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) against the government policy criterion are shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. AHP Outputs of Government Policy Criterion

Caption:

A1: Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation

Figure 6 can be interpreted that the most prioritized alternative to government policy criterion in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the improvement of service quality, convenience, and security of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.386. Mass public transportation is a public vehicle that can support people's movement in big cities such as Semarang City.

People do not need to use private vehicles to support their daily activities with this mass transportation. However, what is of concern is that there are many modes of mass public transportation with quality of comfort and safety below the community's expectations, such as relatively long waiting times, travel times that are not much different from private vehicles, and small passenger capacities so that passengers have to jostle. These will decrease the public's interest in using mass public transportation. Therefore, efforts are needed to improve service quality, comfort, and safety in mass public transportation, such as increasing the number of fleets so that waiting times are faster and replacing old transportation modes with new ones and a larger capacity

so that passengers do so not need to overcrowd. The convenience of mass public transportation is an essential aspect so that people are still willing to use public transportation rather than private transportation [33].

The second priority alternative is to increase the integration between mass public transportation modes, with a weight value of 0.319. The movement of urban communities that are not only centered in one area requires an integrated mass public transportation mode to facilitate their activities, especially those on the outskirts of the city and those outside the city. If the mass public transportation modes are not integrated, then people from the suburbs and outside the city will choose to use private vehicles to go to the city center. Vice versa, if modes of public transportation are integrated, people will choose to use mass public transportation. Therefore, there is a need to integrate mass public transportation modes such as BRT by train or other public vehicles so that people can take advantage of them optimally.

The importance of integration between transportation system planning elements is that allocating resources will face situations and changes in the urban physical environment that are growing and developing dynamically [34,35]. If it is not appropriately anticipated, it will affect the quality of people's lives.

Then, the third priority alternative is the separation of mass public transportation routes from other transportation, with a weight value of 0.159. So far, the mass public transportation route in Semarang City is still integrated with other vehicles, both private vehicles and goods transportation, so that when there is a traffic jam, the mass public transportation will also experience congestion. It causes the travel time for mass public transportation to be no different from private transportation.

The Semarang City government has announced to separate public vehicles from goods and private vehicles. The currently being pursued program is the construction of the Semarang city ring road intended for goods and heavy vehicles to reduce the volume of vehicles on the city route. While programs to separate public vehicles from private vehicles are being pursued by separating routes and building the Bus Way route.

The fourth priority alternative is the imposition of on-street parking restrictions along the public mode area, with a weight value of 0.136. Dense urban community activities and the high use of private vehicles that are not matched by the provision of adequate parking pockets have caused many private vehicles to be parked on the road, thus obstructing traffic flow, which will impact congestion. It has occurred on various roads in the center of Semarang City, such as the Jl. Pemuda, Jl. Pahlawan, Jl. Veteran, Jl. Pandanaran, and many others. Even though these roads connect several facilities in the city, the traffic is very congested. In fact, not a few private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane, disturbing bicycle users. Therefore, the Semarang City government has implemented a prohibition on on-street parking along public mode areas to reduce the impact of congestion.

13.4 Sequence of Alternatives for Sustainable Transportation Development Strategies in Semarang City

Using AHP on all alternative strategies for sustainable transport development in Semarang City shows the results in Figure 7.




Figure 7. AHP Outputs towards All Available Alternatives
Caption:

B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT); C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade plants; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; A1: Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes; B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public mode areas

Figure 7 above shows that in the development of a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City, the most prioritized alternative is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.172. As the center of industry and trade, traffic in this city is very dense, causing congestion and air pollution. The very high volume of vehicles causes congestion in the city center due to the high use of private vehicles.

People who live in suburban and out-of-town areas prefer to use private vehicles to support their city center activities. To overcome the high volume of vehicles, park and ride facilities are needed in several strategic areas: near bus stops/bus shelters, stations, and other strategic places. With this park and ride facility, it is hoped that people who use private vehicles from the outskirts and outside the city park their vehicles in the parking pockets that have been provided and then switch to mass public transportation to their destination. Thus, it will be able to reduce the volume of vehicles and reduce congestion.

Apart from providing park and ride facilities, it is also necessary to offer modern public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT) to develop sustainable transportation in Semarang City. So far, mass transportation in Semarang City like BRT Trans Semarang has not accommodated the people's movements in Semarang City. The relatively small capacity and routes still integrated with other vehicles make the travel time and waiting time for this transportation mode quite long. Therefore, it is necessary to provide mass public transportation that is more effective and efficient with a faster travel time, namely by providing MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) and LRT (Light Rapid Transit).

MRT and LRT are rail-based mass public transportation that has been widely implemented in big cities. The rail-based line will not collide with other vehicles to be more effective and efficient. [36] stated that shifting road public transportation modes to rail-based transportation in several cities in China has been shown to reduce road pressure and reduce pollution significantly. Thus, it will attract people to use mass public transportation more than private transportation because it is more effective and efficient.

Moreover, the level of air pollution in Semarang City is very worrying due to the high volume of vehicles. Many pollutants are contained in the air of Semarang City due to fossil fuels used by motorized vehicles. The high level of community activity will cause high levels of pollutants in the air. As a result, it will interfere with health and affect the community's productivity, resulting in per capita income earned [10].

Steps that can be taken to overcome this problem are to create a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive shade trees that do not break easily. The green belt is in the form of tree planting along busy roads and those that have recently been built to reduce the level of pollution in Semarang City. Trees planted are trees that can clean pollutants, provide shade, and reduce noise, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, and so on.

The fourth alternative priority is improving the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.108. The dense movement of the people of Semarang City certainly requires adequate transportation. Mass public transportation is a mode of transportation that can support the density of community activities. However, the quality of service, comfort, and safety of mass public transportation is still inadequate, such as long waiting times and passengers tend to overcrowd. Therefore, the quality of service and convenience must be improved immediately so that mass transportation is always the first choice to support their activities. If it is not immediately improved, it can be that the community preference is to use private vehicles rather than mass public transportation. The public will not mind having to pay more if later they will be able to get greater benefits, namely safety and health and better air quality [10,37].

The next prioritized alternative is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.090. The high level of pollution due to exhaust emissions produced by motorized vehicles can be overcome by implementing a progressive motor vehicle tax based on exhaust emissions. It has been stated in Government Regulation Number 55 of 2012 concerning vehicles. Article 64 paragraph 1 states that exhaust gas emissions are a condition for motor vehicle roadworthiness. A progressive tax will be imposed for vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the threshold. Vice versa, vehicles that can control exhaust emissions properly will receive tax breaks.

Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes is the sixth priority alternative in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City, with a weight value of 0.089. The provision of mass public transportation also requires integration between modes. The lack of integration of mass public transportation modes will discourage the public from using them. The integration between modes of mass public transportation will be able to support the activities of the people's movement at several

points in Semarang City. It can be done by building several Trans Semarang BRT stops connected to the Trans Central Java bus stop with other transportation modes, such as stations, terminals, and so on.

Furthermore, an alternative that is no less critical to prioritize is the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes as the seventh priority sequence, with a weight value of 0.065. The provision of pedestrian and bicycle lanes is crucial to minimize the use of motorized vehicles. Walking and cycling are environmental-based transportation that can reduce air pollution caused by motorized transportation. Therefore, this transportation must always be considered by providing adequate pedestrian facilities and bicycle paths. It would be better if the planning is made bottom-up. [38] argued that in planning to develop bicycle paths in urban areas, bicycle users should be involved because, after all, they are the ones who will take advantage of these facilities. For instance, the development of bicycle lanes in Louis has not involved cyclists, so that most of the bicycle lanes are not traversed by cyclists because the road traffic is too dense, which endangers bicycle users. Pedestrian paths must be integrated with several modes of mass public transportation. Likewise, bicycle lanes must also be integrated with public transportation modes and ensure the comfort and safety of these bicycle users. Besides, other facilities must also be provided, such as seats, trash cans, shelters, and bicycle parking bags.

Reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning is the eighth priority alternative, with a weight value of 0.063. The Semarang City people's activities are generally still focused on the city center, which causes congestion and high air pollution in the city center. It is because many facilities and offices are in the center of Semarang City. It needs land use that can reduce the dense activity in the city center. It can be done by planning an RTRW that regulates the use of peripheral areas for public facilities and trade and industrial centers to not focus on the city center.

Furthermore, the roads in the city of Semarang are classified as very dense. It is because private vehicles, goods transportation, and mass public transportation are still in one lane. An effort is needed to separate mass public transportation routes from other transportation to break down the city center's dense traffic. One of the programs launched by the Semarang City government is to build the Semarang city ring route as a route for goods and heavy vehicles. Thus, traffic volume on city routes can be reduced and will result in reduced congestion and pollution in the city center.

The high ownership of private vehicles is one of the causes of congestion and pollution in Semarang City. Furthermore, more concerning is that most private vehicles use fossil fuels and obviously the pollution emitted by these vehicles is also high. Fossil fuel motorized vehicles are a contributor to 80% of pollutants in urban areas. It, of course, must be handled immediately.

One of the programs that can be implemented is by shifting private transportation to public transportation to minimize fuel consumption by increasing travel costs by private mode, increasing private vehicle taxes, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional fuel costs coming from fossils, and the luxury tax. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice, considering that they must bear costs are not small.

The last priority or eleventh priority alternative in the sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City is the stipulation of the prohibition of parking on streets along with the public mode areas, with a weight value of 0.038. The lack of parking facilities in the city of Semarang has caused some people who use private vehicles to park their vehicles on the shoulder of the road, thus disturbing other motorists' traffic. Besides, many private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane. As a result, bicycle users have become less comfortable and secure. The Semarang City government has imposed on-street parking bans along public mode areas to overcome this problem. However, many motorists still deliberately park their vehicles in the bicycle lane until now.

14. DISCUSSION

This study aims to determine the appropriate sustainable transportation system development strategy in an urban area as an industrial center in a region. This study's findings show the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth. The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth was also highlighted by [7,9,12]. The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It dramatically affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn will affect the city's activities and productivity. As stated by [39-41], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the roadways, and improve service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. All of that can be realized by the existence of a progressive vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust emission tests, increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes, developing pedestrian and bicycle lanes, reducing the need for travel in cities through land use, separating mass public transportation routes with other transportation, the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas. A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. These findings emerge where government policies are considered in determining sustainable transportation development strategies. It is reasonable considering that the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [34,42].

People need quality, comfortable, and safe transportation, as stated in Semarang City's RPJMD 2016-2021. It states that the transportation system infrastructure facilities still have problems in terms of the road network that has not been fully developed, road equipment improvement still needs to be optimized, road network integration and road facilities still need to be optimized, and the quality of public transport services still needs to be improved, and management of transportation facilities and infrastructure should be optimized. The Semarang City government needs to create a dynamic and environmentally sound Metropolitan City in the 2016-2021 RPJMD.

The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban

growth is also supported by [7,19,43]. The development of transportation infrastructure facilities can promote access to the distribution of goods and services that impact the regional economy [44,45]. Starting from the means of transportation to roads that cover the means of public transportation, it needs to be developed in accordance with sustainable principles. It is hoped that the development of new transportation can increase public access.

The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It greatly affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn impacts the activities and productivity of the city. As stated by [39-41], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the highway, and improve service quality, comfort, and public transportation safety. Improving the quality of the urban environment can be realized by the existence of a progressive motor vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust gas emissions testing, increased integration between modes of mass public transportation, construction of pedestrian and bicycle paths, reducing the need for trips within the city through land use, separating mass public transport routes from other modes of transportation, the shift from private modes to public transport modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas [46-48].

A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. This finding emerged when government policies were taken into consideration in determining a sustainable transportation development strategy. It is reasonable considering the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [34,42]. Community participation is needed in providing feedback on efforts to improve the development of sustainable transportation. According to [45] that passengers, potential passengers, and the government are the three stakeholders who have a role in communicating the design and targets of transportation development. Thus, there is a need for changes in travel behavior at a population level that requires collaboration between the transportation system, the environmental sector, and the health sector [49,50].

15. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis results carried out using AHP, priorities could be obtained based on the criteria studied: the priority for the criteria of facilities and infrastructure is the development of modern transportation facilities with a large passenger capacity with a score of 44.3 percent, the priority of the environmental quality criteria is the development of open land green roads with shady trees and shrubs with a score of 40.9 percent, and the priority criteria for government policy are the addition of service quality, comfort, and safety of public transportation facilities with a score of 38.6 percent. Overall, by combining selection points on each criterion, Semarang City's people chose to protect, primarily the development of modern public transportation facilities with additional passenger capacity. This point had the highest priority, with a score of 17.2 percent.

It is hoped that the finding will be able to provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. It is bottom-up planning so that implementation

and evaluation can be carried out jointly between the government and the community to create a sustainable transportation system for a healthy and comfortable city to live in and provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. In addition, the findings of this study are expected to be used in other cities that have the characteristics of being a densely populated metropolitan city, and have a commitment to sustainable transportation that has been explicitly included in long-term urban planning.

The limitation of this research is that using AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) analysis could only be seen by priority programs but could not see the efficiency of the priority programs obtained both in terms of economic and technical efficiency. For this reason, a further research agenda is to combine priority and efficiency programs using the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). It is expected that the relative efficiency analysis tool can be obtained from each priority in the criteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT


This work is supported by Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang as the funding of this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Steg L, Gifford R. Sustainable transportation and quality of life. *J Transp Geogr* 2005;13:59-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.11.003>.
- [2] Litman T. Developing Indicators for Comprehensive and Sustainable Transport Planning. *Transp Res Rec J Transp Res Board* 2007;2017:10-5. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2017-02>.
- [3] Pujiati A, Nihayah DM, Bowo PA. Strategies of urban development based on environment. *Adv Sci Lett* 2017;23:7123-6. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9306>.
- [4] Sundram VPK, Hashim N, Shariff SH, Pujiati A, Ardiansari A. Sustainable Transportation on University Campus: A Case at UiTM Selangor, Puncak Alam Campus, Malaysia and Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. *Asian J Univ Educ* 2021;17:262-72. <https://doi.org/10.24191/AJUE.V17I2.13407>.
- [5] Lin B, Omoju OE. Does private investment in the transport sector mitigate the environmental impact of urbanisation? Evidence from Asia. *J Clean Prod* 2017;153:331-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.064>.
- [6] Lin B, Raza MY. Analysis of energy security indicators and CO2 emissions. A case from a developing economy. *Energy* 2020;200:117575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117575>.
- [7] Maparu TS, Mazumder TN. Transport infrastructure, economic development and urbanization in India (1990-2011): Is there any causal relationship? *Transp Res Part A Policy Pract* 2017;100:319-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033>.
- [8] Meersman H, Nazemzadeh M. The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. *Case Stud Transp Policy* 2017;5:316-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009>.
- [9] Meng X, Han J. Roads, economy, population density,

- and CO₂: A city-scaled causality analysis. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2018;128:508–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.032>.
- [10] Qiu G, Song R, He S. The aggravation of urban air quality deterioration due to urbanization, transportation and economic development – Panel models with marginal effect analyses across China. *Sci Total Environ* 2019;651:1114–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.219>.
- [11] Liang L, Wang Z, Li J. The effect of urbanization on environmental pollution in rapidly developing urban agglomerations. *J Clean Prod* 2019;237:117649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117649>.
- [12] Wang Z, Cui C, Peng S. How do urbanization and consumption patterns affect carbon emissions in China? A decomposition analysis. *J Clean Prod* 2019;211:1201–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.272>.
- [13] Shatu F, Yigitcanlar T, Bunker J. Objective vs. subjective measures of street environments in pedestrian route choice behaviour: Discrepancy and correlates of non-concordance. *Transp Res Part A Policy Pract* 2019;126:1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.05.011>.
- [14] Rasiah R, Kari F, Sadoi Y, Mintz-Habib N. Climate change and sustainable development issues: arguments and policy initiatives. *J Asia Pacific Econ* 2018;23:187–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2018.1442140>.
- [15] Saidi S, Shahbaz M, Akhtar P. The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries. *Transp Res Part A Policy Pract* 2018;111:78–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013>.
- [16] Tong T, Yu TE. Transportation and economic growth in China: A heterogeneous panel cointegration and causality analysis. *J Transp Geogr* 2018;73:120–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.10.016>.
- [17] Özokcu S, Özdemir Ö. Economic growth, energy, and environmental Kuznets curve. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2017;72:639–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.059>.
- [18] Ameen RFM, Mourshed M. Urban sustainability assessment framework development: The ranking and weighting of sustainability indicators using analytic hierarchy process. *Sustain Cities Soc* 2019;44:356–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.020>.
- [19] Xu M, Grant-Muller S, Gao Z. Implementation effects and integration evaluation of a selection of transport management measures in Beijing. *Case Stud Transp Policy* 2017;5:604–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.09.002>.
- [20] Cascetta E, Carteni A, Pagliara F, Montanino M. A new look at planning and designing transportation systems: A decision-making model based on cognitive rationality, stakeholder engagement and quantitative methods. *Transp Policy* 2015;38:27–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.11.005>.
- [21] Gulbrandsen M, Mowery D, Feldman M. Introduction to the special section: Heterogeneity and university–industry relations. *Res Policy* 2011;40:1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.007>.
- [22] Hessels LK, de Jong SPL, Brouwer S. Collaboration between heterogeneous practitioners in sustainability research: A comparative analysis of three transdisciplinary programmes. *Sustain* 2018;10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124760>.
- [23] Scoble R, Dickson K, Hanney S, Rodgers GJ. Institutional strategies for capturing socio-economic impact of academic research. *J High Educ Policy Manag* 2010;32:499–510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2010.511122>.
- [24] Saaty RW. The analytic hierarchy process-what it is and how it is used. *Math Model* 1987;9:161–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255\(87\)90473-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255(87)90473-8).
- [25] Velazquez L, Munguia NE, Will M, Zavala AG, Verdugo SP, Delakowitz B, et al. Sustainable transportation strategies for decoupling road vehicle transport and carbon dioxide emissions. *Manag Environ Qual An Int J* 2015;26:373–88. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-07-2014-0120>.
- [26] Nag D, Paul SK, Saha S, Goswami AK. Sustainability assessment for the transportation environment of Darjeeling, India. *J Environ Manage* 2018;213:489–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.01.042>.
- [27] Yin C, Shao C, Wang X. Environment and Parking Availability: Impacts on Car Ownership and Use. *Sustainability* 2018;10:2285. <https://doi.org/doi:10.3390/su10072285>.
- [28] Kelle P, Song J, Jin M. Evaluation of operational and environmental sustainability tradeoffs in multimodal freight transportation planning. *Int J Prod Econ* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.011>.
- [29] Qian C, Zhu D, Zhou Y, Chen J. Measurements of Pedestrian Friendliness of Residential Area: A Case Study in Hexi District of Nanjing. *Sustainability* 2018;10:1993. <http://doi.org/10.3390/su10061993>
- [30] Boettge B, Hall D, Crawford T. Assessing the Bicycle Network in St. Louis: A PlaceBased User-Centered Approach. *Sustainability* 2017;9:241. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9020241>.
- [31] Kumar R, Dahiya MA, Sinha S. Analytical hierarchy process for assessing sustainability. *World J Sci Technol Sustain Dev* 2015;12:281–93. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJSTSD-05-2015-0027>.
- [32] Jin K, Wang F, Li P. Responses of Vegetation Cover to Environmental Change in Large Cities of China. *Sustainability* 2018;10:270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010270>.
- [33] Thomas A, Deakin E. Managing partnerships for sustainable development: The Berkeley—China sustainable transportation program. *Case Stud Transp Policy* 2017;5:45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.08.005>.
- [34] Errampalli M, Patil KS, Prasad CSRK. Evaluation of integration between public transportation modes by developing sustainability index for Indian cities. *Case Stud Transp Policy* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2018.09.005>.
- [35] Gonzalez-Garcia S, Manteiga R, Moreira MT, Feijoo G. Assessing the sustainability of Spanish cities considering environmental and socio-economic indicators. *J Clean Prod* 2018;178:599–610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.056>.
- [36] Yu X, Lang M, Gao Y. An Empirical Study on the Design of China High-Speed Rail Express Train Operation Plan—From a Sustainable Transport

- Perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(7), p.2478. *Sustainability* 2018;10:2478. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072478>.
- [37] Ahmad S, Puppim de Oliveira JA. Determinants of urban mobility in India: Lessons for promoting sustainable and inclusive urban transportation in developing countries. *Transp Policy* 2016;50:106–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.04.014>.
- [38] Qian C, Zhu D, Zhou Y, Chen J. Measurements of Pedestrian Friendliness of Residential Area: A Case Study in Hexi District of Nanjing. *Sustainability* 2018;10:1993. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061993>.
- [39] Biagi B, Ladu MG, Meleddu M. Urban Quality of Life and Capabilities: An Experimental Study. *Ecol Econ* 2018;150:137–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.011>.
- [40] Chica-Olmo J, Sánchez A, Sepúlveda-Murillo FH. Assessing Colombia's policy of socio-economic stratification: An intra-city study of self-reported quality of life. *Cities* 2020;97:102560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.102560>.
- [41] Putra KE, Sitanggang JM. The Effect of Public Transport Services on Quality of Life in Medan City. *Procedia - Soc Behav Sci* 2016;234:383–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.255>.
- [42] Wann-Ming W. Constructing urban dynamic transportation planning strategies for improving quality of life and urban sustainability under emerging growth management principles. *Sustain Cities Soc* 2019;44:275–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.015>.
- [43] Li H, Liu Y, Peng K. Characterizing the relationship between road infrastructure and local economy using structural equation modeling. *Transp Policy* 2018;61:17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.10.002>.
- [44] Hamurcu M, Eren T. Strategic Planning Based on Sustainability for Urban Transportation: An Application to Decision-Making. *Sustainability* 2020;12:3589. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093589>.
- [45] Duleba S, Moslem S. Sustainable urban transport development with stakeholder participation, an AHP-Kendall model: A case study for Mersin. *Sustainability* 2018;10:3647. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103647>.
- [46] Cyril A, Mulangi RH, George V. Performance optimization of public transport Using integrated AHP–GP methodology. *Urban Rail Transit* 2019;5:133–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40864-019-0103-2>.
- [47] Gaglione F, Cottrill C, Gargiulo C. Urban services, pedestrian networks and behaviors to measure elderly accessibility. *Transp Res Part D Transp Environ* 2021;90:102687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102687>.
- [48] Parvez M. Solving traffic congestion consequences regarding e-taxi parking by identifying a suitable location for the e-taxi station: geo-spatial and AHP approaches. *Smart Resilient Transp* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRT-07-2020-0005>.
- [49] Hickman R, Banister D. Looking over the horizon: Transport and reduced CO2 emissions in the UK by 2030. *Transp Policy* 2007;14:377–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2007.04.005>.
- [50] Xia T, Zhang Y, Braunack-Mayer A, Crabb S. Public Attitudes towards Encouraging Sustainable Transportation: an Australian case study. *Int J Sustain Transp* 2017;11:593–601. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2017.1287316>.





Participants [Edit](#)

Amin Pujiati (aminpujiati)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear, Editor of IJSDP</p> <p>I would like to return the revision draft for my paper. The revised paper is according to the IJSDP template and we added "acknowledgment" to fully include all the parts. We also added a new author. I have highlighted the part where I edit such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. including postcode on every author affiliation;2. Use citations not more than 503. Use Vancouver style in the order of reference;4. attaching DOI to all the references mentioned;5. signing Copyright Transfer Agreement (attached below); and6. all revised areas are highlighted <p>I attach two files here for the article and Copyright Transfer Agreement. Thank you.</p> <p>aminpujiati, Author, Amin Pujiati.docx</p> <p>aminpujiati, Author, Copyright Transfer Agreement.pdf</p>	<p>aminpujiati Jul 14</p>



Final Proof of your paper submitted to IJSDP! ✕


Participants [Edit](#)

Amin Pujiati (aminpujiati)

Messages

Note	From
<p>Dear editor</p> <p>I have read the final draft. I send you back the final proof via email and OJS with highlighted areas especially on resolving the same references [29] and [38]. I also understood and noted that I can't add any more authors. I would love to hear from you soon. Thank you.</p> <p>aminpujiati, Author, 14769-final proof.pdf</p>	<p>aminpujiati Jul 22</p>

[Add Message](#)



Towards Sustainable Transportation in Urban Areas: A Case Study

Amin Pujiati*, Dyah Maya Nihayah, Prasetyo Ari Bowo, Fauzul Adzim

Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang 50229, Indonesia

Corresponding Author Email: amin.pujiati@mail.unnes.ac.id



<https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.170426>

ABSTRACT

Received: 11 March 2022

Accepted: 8 June 2022

Keywords:

transportation, sustainable development strategy, urban area

This study aims to develop a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City. Collecting data using interviews, and questionnaires. Source of data from keyperson with purposive sampling technique. Keypersons consist of the Head of Sub-Division of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT, Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD). BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data were then analyzed using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique. The findings revealed that the development of transportation system facilities and infrastructure is the top priority for policy. The second priority is improving environmental quality and Government policy turns out to be the next strategic priority. The practical significance of this research is that the determination of strategic priorities can be applied to other cities that have characteristics as metropolitan cities and have a commitment to carry out sustainable transportation in order to achieve effective and optimal results.


1. INTRODUCTION

The issue of reducing carbon emissions has started since the Kyoto protocol agreement was signed on December 11, 1997. This commitment requires every country and region to review policies that can cause environmental pollution. In 2019, the transportation sector accounted for a third of Indonesia's total Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. Therefore, the importance of the concept of sustainable transportation is considered by looking at the value of positive and negative externalities of transportation and traffic in the short and long term [1]. Sustainable transportation has several indicators that emphasize economic, environmental and social balance [2] towards a healthy city.

Semarang City is the capital city of Central Java in Indonesia and an industrial city, which is attractive for immigrants to work, study, or live in. Many immigrants who later settled in Semarang City made this city even more densely populated [3, 4]. The population in the Semarang continues to increase every year. In a couple of years (2013-2019), the population in Semarang has continued to grow. The high population growth in Semarang is caused by several factors, including birth, death, arrival, and immigration. The increase in population has an impact on population density with an increasing trend. The following is a Figure 1 of the increase in population density in Semarang City in 2013-2019.

The population density in Semarang City from 2013 to 2019 continues to increase. In 2013, the population density was 4,206 people/km². Although, in 2014, it decreased to 4,172 people/km² but in 2015, it increased again until 2019, which reached 4,855 people/km². The density of the population in Semarang has made the traffic of community activities even

denser. The increasingly dense traffic and people's movement are in line with the increasingly dense demand for transportation, which has consequences for the energy and the environment. The higher the activities carried out by urban communities, the higher the environmental impact [5-9].



Source: Central Bureau of Statistics Semarang, 2020

Figure 1. Population density in Semarang City 2013-2019

Semarang City transportation development has been continuously pursued through spatial and territorial planning in the 2016-2021 Semarang's RPJMD (Regional Long-Term Development Plan). The RPJMD contains Semarang City's vision and mission. Semarang City's vision is "*Semarang Kota Perdagangan dan Jasa yang Hebat Menuju Masyarakat Semakin Sejahtera*" (Semarang, a City of Great Trade and Services Towards an Increasingly Prosperous Society). There is also a mission in that vision, one of which is "*Mewujudkan*

Kota Metropolitan yang Dinamis dan Berwawasan Lingkungan" (Creating a Dynamic Metropolitan City with Environmental Insights). In line with the Regional Development Planning of Semarang City for 2016- 2021 that states development is prioritized on optimizing the use of spatial planning and enhancing the development of regional infrastructure that is planned, harmonious, balanced, and considered environmentally sound and sustainable.

As stated in the 2016-2021 RPJMD of Semarang City, the city of Semarang's vision and mission clearly illustrate that the development of Semarang is directed towards environmentally sound and sustainable development. Likewise, the development and growth of Semarang transportation can also be carried out regardless of environmental elements. To support the realization of Semarang City's vision and mission, in this case, the Semarang City Transportation Office, in accordance with its main duties and functions, plays a role in the success of Semarang transportation development, referring to the development mission of Semarang, such as creating a dynamic and environmentally sound metropolitan city.

The government has provided mass transportation modes, such as city transportation, buses, rickshaws, and others. These transportation modes are also equipped with adequate amenities and infrastructure, such as bus stops, paved streets, public street lightings, pedestrian paths, and other infrastructures. The government provides a public transportation mode called BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) or *Trans Semarang Bus*, with routes that pass through strategic places in Semarang.

The availability of mass transportation modes that are deemed inadequate makes people prefer to use private vehicles, and the existence of these private vehicles continues to increase every year. The number of private vehicles in Semarang City is much greater than the number of public vehicles. Based on data from the Regional Revenue Management Agency of Central Java in 2018 showed that the number of private vehicles in Semarang from 2013 to 2017 has increased. In 2013 alone, two- and three-wheeled private vehicles were 681,443 units. Then, in the following year, it continued to increase until 2017 up to 1,387,600 units (103.63%). Private two and three-wheeled vehicles and four-wheeled private vehicles in Semarang also continued to increase from 121,782 units in 2013 to 238,152 in 2017 (95.59%) (see Figure 2). The increase in private vehicles in Semarang City has been followed by increased public transportation, both small and large public vehicles. However, the number of public transportations is still far less than that of private vehicles.

The high number of private vehicles in Semarang City, which continues to increase every year, will undoubtedly cause various problems, such as worsening congestion, hindering economic activity, and increasing environmental pollution. Apart from congestion, the problem caused by the high number of private vehicles is environmental pollution, especially air pollution. The impacts of the high number of private vehicles are to make traffic flow denser and increase air pollution. This shows that there is still a lack of attention to environmental quality. The decline in urban air quality is caused by increased use of motorized vehicles, disincentives for fuel-free transportation (FFT), and a decrease in urban areas' green areas. The same study was also conducted by Qiu et al. [10] and Liang et al. [11].

The enhancement of private vehicles causes the amount of CO₂ to rise. As one of the causes of air pollution, the greater

CO₂ has an impact on the decline in environmental quality. If this condition continues, it will affect people's quality of life [10-12].




Figure 2. Number of Motorized Vehicles in Semarang City in 2013-2017 (Units) Regional Revenue Management Agency of central Java, 2018

The government is currently making serious efforts to build more advanced and environmentally friendly transportation to reduce congestion and air pollution by implementing sustainable transportation development. However, the results are still not effective and optimal. Base on interview with keyperson, although several pedestrian paths are already in poor condition and are used as parking lots and trading. There is no separation of public transportation routes from other transportation yet. The pedestrian's condition can be measured by the condition itself, crowdedness, and other aspects such as trees, benches, water fountains, and so on [13]. The provided mass public transport (BRT) does not cover the entire area, and services are still lacking. This shows that the available facilities and infrastructure and local government policies towards sustainable transportation are still lacking. In fact, it is well known that sustainable transportation reflects the concept of sustainable development in the transportation sector. According to Rasiah et al [14], discussing sustainable development has become an important part of alternative green paths. The effect of sustainable transportation cannot be ignored in an urban area's growth and development process [8, 15-17].

Based on the Regional Medium Term Development Plan Based (RPJMD) and the phenomenon of transportation in the Semarang city, it is important to develop strategic priorities towards sustainable transportation from the criteria for facilities and infrastructure, government policies and environmental quality so that results can be optimally achieved according to the plan. This study aims to elaborate a strategy for sustainable urban areas transportation development, especially in Semarang City as an industrial city center in a region. This research is urgent because currently, many cities face the same problem. Even though the government policies have been made and tried to accommodate the vision and mission to be achieved, the implementation often does not work properly, so it is necessary to look for root cause-based policy priorities.

The previous research done by Ameen and Mourshed [18] focuses on providing sustainable means of transportation to developers (stakeholders). According to Xu et al. [19], policies in providing sustainable transportation facilities must combine the combination of human impacts, efficiency impacts, and

sustainability impacts into one framework. However, there is no element of equity and policy efficiency. Meanwhile, Cascetta et al. [20] implemented the three processes required to integrate transportation facilities, namely cognitive decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and quantitative analysis. The difference between this study and previous research is that the policy-making for the provision of sustainable transportation means involves not only users (stakeholders) but also development planners and academics. The elements of efficiency and effectiveness of policy are carried out by determining the priority scale in each existing program, which previous researchers have not carried out. This difference is expected to enrich the treasury of knowledge and produce various policies to solve the complexity of transportation problems.

2. METHOD

This type of research is qualitative and quantitative research. The location used for this research was Semarang City, Central Java Province – Indonesia, as a metropolitan city with a mission to implement sustainable transportation. The data were acquired using primary and secondary data, where primary data were obtained by doing interviews, and questionnaires. At the same time, secondary data were acquired from scientific literature, articles, journals, and websites. Moreover, secondary data were achieved from the Semarang City Regional Planning and Development Agency (BAPPEDA), Semarang City Transportation Service, and Semarang City Environmental Service.

The sampling technique was the purposive sampling technique, where six key people were considered experts in knowing the problem studied and understood what is expected in the study. Key persons consist of the Head of Sub-division of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Expert Staff of Transportation and Water Resources Planning, Head of Pollution Control and Environmental Conservation Division of the Environment Service, Operational Manager of Trans Semarang BRT, Expert Staff of the Public Service Agency of the Regional Technical Implementation Unit (UPTD) BRT Trans Semarang, Civil Engineering Lecturer in the Transportation Sector. Data collecting techniques comprised interviews, documentation, discussion, and questionnaires. The hope is that by using analytical tools AHP, combining several key persons, and scientific analysis can produce precise policy directions for problems related to government policies and funding of public facilities such as sustainable public transportation and improving the economy through a reputation for institutions [21-23]. Criteria, alternative in analysis AHP see Table 1.

In determining the priority strategy, steps are needed to determine the priority strategy: first, determine the research objectives, is a strategy for developing sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang; Second, determine the criteria and alternatives. The criteria and alternatives were obtained from the results of qualitative data analysis and interviews from competent keypersons. There are 3 criteria, namely government policies, development of facilities and infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. There are 11 alternatives, namely A1-C4 (Table 1). Third, distributing questionnaires to a number of keypersons that have been determined based on the fundamental scale (Table 2) and guiding the process of filling out the questionnaires;

Fourth, compile a matrix of the average results of the keyperson. Then the results were processed using expert choice version 11.0. The fifth is to score each informant's answer on the pairwise comparison matrix and present its geomean. The sixth is analyzing the output of expert choice version 11.0 by looking at the inconsistency and priority values. From these results, the criteria and prioritized alternatives can also be known. If the value is more than 10%, then the data judgment assessment must be corrected. If the Consistency Ratio (CI/IR) 0.1, then the calculation results can be declared correct. When the ratio is consistent then the eigenvalues do not exceed n. The eigenvalues that do not exceed n are considered as a measure of consistency by forming a consistency ratio of differences in the correspondence mean of the n eigenvalues of a large matrix of randomly selected judgments [24]. The seventh is determining the priority scale of the criteria and alternatives to determine the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in the city of Semarang.

Table 1. Criteria and analysis AHP

Goal	Criteria	Alternative
Sustainable Transportation	Government Policy	(A1) Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes (A2) Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas (A3) Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation (A4) Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation (B1) Pedestrian and bicycle paths development (B2) Park and ride facilities provision (B3) Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)
	Facilities and Infrastructure Development	(C1) Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption (C2) Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results (C3) Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use (C4) Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees
	Environmental Improvement Quality	

The analysis technique employed the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP helps set priorities and objectives from various options. It establishes the priority of elements in a

decision problem by making pairwise comparisons on each element, fairly compared against a specific criterion [10]. Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method would obtain several strategies that can be worked as materials for a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City according to hierarchy or priority.

Compilation of the criterion and sub-criterion variables as alternatives to determine policy priorities with the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) encompassed government policies,

development of facilities and infrastructure, and environmental quality improvement. Various program alternatives were within these three variables to determine a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City: four alternatives for government policy criteria, three alternatives' criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure, and four criteria for improving the environment's quality.

Table 2. The fundamental scale

Intensity of importance on an absolute scale	Definition	Explanation
1	Equal importance	Two activities contribute equally to the objective
3	Moderate importance of one over another	Experience and judgment strongly favor one activity over another
5	Essential or strong importance	Experience and judgment strongly favor one activity over another
7	Very strong importance	An activity is strongly favored and its dominance demonstrated in practice
9	Extreme importance	The evidence favoring one activity over another is of the highest possible order of affirmation
Reciprocals	If activity i has one of the above numbers assigned to It when compared with activity j, then j has the reciprocal value when com	
Rational	Ratios arising from the scale	If consistency were to be forced by obtaining n numerical values to span the matrix

3. RESULTS

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to prioritize strategies for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City. The components used in this study included several criteria and alternatives based on the results of literature reviews, previous research, and interviews with predetermined and competent key persons in the transportation sector.

Six key persons were involved from Bappeda Semarang City, Semarang City Transportation Office, Semarang City Environmental Service, and Academics. Priority strategies were obtained by selecting several criteria and alternatives based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City Development Planning Agency for Transportation Planning and Water Resources. At the end of the interview, three criteria were achieved: government policy, facilities development, infrastructure, and improvement of environmental quality. Then, they were compiled based on the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP).

According to calculation using AHP on all criteria for sustainable transport development in Semarang City utilizing the Expert Choice 11 program, the following results were obtained in Figure 3.

Figure 3 can be interpreted that the most prioritized criterion in the development of sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the development of facilities and infrastructure, with a weighting value of 0.413. Then, the second priority criterion is the improvement of environmental quality with a weighting value of 0.327, and the third priority criterion is government policy with a value of 0.260. These calculation results were utilized as a basis for determining the priority order of each of the criteria, which can be used as the basis for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City.

The government policy criteria consist of four alternatives,

including increasing the integration between modes of mass public transportation, stipulating a prohibition on parking on streets along with public mode areas, separating mass public transportation routes from other transportation, and improving service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. The criteria for developing facilities and infrastructure have three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT). Meanwhile, the criteria for improving environmental quality have four criteria, covering the transfer of private modes of transportation to public modes of transportation to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on the results of exhaust emission tests, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land use, and the realization of green belts along newly built road routes with productive and non-fragile shade trees.




Figure 3. Overall sustainable transportation development criteria in Semarang City based on AHP outputs

3.1 Facilities and infrastructure development criterion

The development of facilities and infrastructure is the most prioritized criterion for developing sustainable transportation

in Semarang City. The development of facilities and infrastructure is essential in developing sustainable mass transportation in Semarang City. With the availability of adequate and comfortable transportation facilities and infrastructure for the community, this will minimize various existing problems, such as pollution congestion and high use of private vehicles.

In the criterion for developing these facilities and infrastructure, there are three alternatives: the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes, the provision of park and ride facilities, and the provision of modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities (MRT, LRT). The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. AHP outputs of facilities and infrastructure development criterion

Caption: B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT)

Figure 4 exhibits that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for developing facilities and infrastructure regarding developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.443. The provision of parks and rides is the provision of parking lots at strategic places and integrated transportation nodes, such as bus stops, terminals, and stations.

The provision of park and ride facilities is intended for private vehicle drivers to park their vehicles in parking pockets and then switch to using public transportation to reduce congestion in city centers. Providing parking pockets in several strategic places and transportation nodes will minimize the number of private vehicles in the city center so that it will reduce congestion and CO emissions [25].

Nag et al. [26] stated that providing parking lots in busy urban areas will reduce vehicle mobility so that it can avoid congestion and at the same time attract tourists to travel in urban areas. However, it is different from what Yin & Wang [27] said that the availability of excessive parking lots increases people's dependence on car use. The provision of park and ride facilities planned by the Semarang City government is specifically for construction in community activity centers, namely in the Tugu Muda area, Johar area, and Mataram Plaza.

The second priority alternative is the provision of modern mass public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT), with a weight value of 0.387. The dense movement of urban communities certainly requires adequate transportation facilities, especially mass public transportation. The provision of mass public transportation in urban areas is crucial to support this dense movement. Therefore, the

Semarang City government has provided mass transportation in the form of the Trans Semarang BRT. However, the existence of the Trans Semarang BRT has not been able to accommodate all the needs of the community's movement because of the relatively small bus capacity, so that passengers have to jostle to catch time.

Kelle et al. [28], affirmed that changing transportation mode from the road to rail has a major effect on local road transportation, increasing speed, reducing congestion, reducing delays in shipping goods, and reducing pollution. It is because rail transportation by train has several advantages, such as system reliability, speed of travel time, and greater transport capacity.

The Semarang City government has launched to provide mass public transportation with a larger capacity like the MRT and LRT. MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) is an effective and comfortable rail-based mass transportation and fast transit system with a large passenger-carrying capacity. Meanwhile, the LRT (Light Rapid Transit) is a rail-based metropolitan transportation system with monorail and tram lines along its lines. Currently, the MRT and LRT implementation plans in Semarang City are still being studied more deeply and are planned to be built in community activity centers.

The last priority alternative is the development of pedestrian and bicycle paths, with a weight value of 0.169. Pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes in Semarang City have been implemented for a long time, but the implementation has not been optimal. There are still many roads in the city center that are not yet available for pedestrians and bicycle lanes, and many are in poor condition. Therefore, it is necessary to develop by improving the damaged pathways' condition and adding supporting facilities to provide pedestrians and bicycle users safety and comfort. In line with [29] that said pedestrian path conditions attract people to walk easily from various places, and the quality of the pedestrian paths makes users comfortable using them.

If pedestrian and bicycle paths are considered safe and comfortable, there will certainly be more people who are interested in choosing to walk and cycle instead of using motorized vehicles. In a study by Boettge et al. [30], it was stated that bicycle users generally feel less comfortable and safe when cycling because road traffic sometimes ignores bicycle users, so that bicycle development should be prioritized on roads with lighter traffic intensity or on roads that are not too crowded of vehicles to ensure the safety and comfort of cyclists.

3.2 Environmental improvement quality criterion

The second priority criterion in the strategy for developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is improving environmental quality. This study's results are different from the research by Kumar et al. [31], which stated that environmental indicators are the most prioritized aspects of the realization of sustainable transportation in Delhi City.

Based on observations and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, in the criterion for improving environmental quality, there are four alternatives, including the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, progressive vehicle taxes based on exhaust emission test results, reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, and the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-fragile shade trees. The calculation results from the

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) on the criterion for improving environmental quality are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. AHP outputs of environmental improvement quality criterion

Caption: C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees

Figure 5 displays that the most prioritized alternative on the criterion for improving environmental quality in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the embodiment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade trees, with a weight value of 0.409. The increasing number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City will result in increased air pollution. It certainly makes the air quality in Semarang City decrease. Therefore, the Semarang City government has launched a green belt program or planted shade trees along the newly constructed road that is busy with vehicles.

The types of trees planted are trees that do not break easily and can absorb pollutants, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, etc. The realization of the green belt aims to clean the air from pollutants, especially CO₂, and absorb noise so that it will reduce pollution in Semarang City. Jin et al. [32] said that green vegetation as a shade for cities should always be available to improve air quality in cities due to high urban traffic.

The second alternative priority is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.271. The relatively high number of motorized vehicles in Semarang City is a particular concern for the local government, considering that motorized vehicles contribute to pollutants by 80%. There are still many motorized vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed the specified threshold. Therefore, the Semarang City government has issued a progressive vehicle tax based on the vehicle's exhaust emission test results. In implementing this tax, exhaust gas emissions are prerequisites for motor vehicle roadworthiness. Motorized vehicles that exceed the exhaust gas emission threshold will be subject to a progressive tax. Meanwhile, motorized vehicles that can manage exhaust emissions properly will receive tax relief.

The third alternative priority is to reduce the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning, with a weight value of 0.191. The more densely populated a city is, the denser the travel activities of its residents will be. As the capital of Central Java Province and a city of trade and industry, Semarang City makes its population's travel activities very dense, both trips by local residents and outside the city. Not a few residents outside the city work in Semarang and migrate every day.

Industrial and trade areas that are still spreading in several points in Semarang City have moved the people not yet centralized, causing congestion. Through proper land use, it is hoped to reduce these problems. Land use management will be applied by directing spatial use changes in the periphery areas to be developed by various production facilities and areas. It is intended to regulate the movement to not concentrate in the city center.

The fourth priority alternative is private transportation transfer to public transportation to reduce fuel consumption, with a weight value of 0.129. The high public interest in the use of private vehicles causes high fuel consumption, which results in increased pollution in Semarang City. BBM is a motor vehicle fuel that comes from fossils so that the pollutants produced from this fuel are very high. Therefore, the tendency of the community to use private vehicles must be diverted to using public vehicles.

Steps that can be applied to realize the program are to increase the cost of travel by private mode by increasing the tax on private vehicles, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional costs for fossil fuels, and tax on luxury goods. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice considering the huge costs they have to bear.

3.3 Government policy criterion

Government policy is the third criterion in the transportation improvement strategy in Semarang City. The government is the party with the authority to set a policy to achieve a goal. Government policy here is very closely related to programs and regulations that have been launched and related to transportation. With these programs and regulations, it is hoped that they will be able to realize sustainable transportation in Semarang City. Based on the results of surveys and interviews with the Semarang City BAPPEDA, several alternatives were found in government policy criterion that increases the integration between shared public transportation modes: determining parking restrictions on roads along with public mode areas, separating public transportation routes along with other transportation, and improving service quality convenience and public transportation. The calculation results of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) against the government policy criterion are shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. AHP outputs of government policy criterion

Caption: A1: Increasing the integration between mass transportation modes; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public areas; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation

Figure 6 can be interpreted that the most prioritized

alternative to government policy criterion in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City is the improvement of service quality, convenience, and security of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.386. Mass public transportation is a public vehicle that can support people's movement in big cities such as Semarang City.

People do not need to use private vehicles to support their daily activities with this mass transportation. However, what is of concern is that there are many modes of mass public transportation with quality of comfort and safety below the community's expectations, such as relatively long waiting times, travel times that are not much different from private vehicles, and small passenger capacities so that passengers have to jostle. These will decrease the public's interest in using mass public transportation. Therefore, efforts are needed to improve service quality, comfort, and safety in mass public transportation, such as increasing the number of fleets so that waiting times are faster and replacing old transportation modes with new ones and a larger capacity so that passengers do not need to overcrowd. The convenience of mass public transportation is an essential aspect so that people are still willing to use public transportation rather than private transportation [33].

The second priority alternative is to increase the integration between mass public transportation modes, with a weight value of 0.319. The movement of urban communities that are not only centered in one area requires an integrated mass public transportation mode to facilitate their activities, especially those on the outskirts of the city and those outside the city. If the mass public transportation modes are not integrated, then people from the suburbs and outside the city will choose to use private vehicles to go to the city center. Vice versa, if modes of public transportation are integrated, people will choose to use mass public transportation. Therefore, there is a need to integrate mass public transportation modes such as BRT by train or other public vehicles so that people can take advantage of them optimally.

The importance of integration between transportation system planning elements is that allocating resources will face situations and changes in the urban physical environment that are growing and developing dynamically [34, 35]. If it is not appropriately anticipated, it will affect the quality of people's lives.

Then, the third priority alternative is the separation of mass public transportation routes from other transportation, with a weight value of 0.159. So far, the mass public transportation route in Semarang City is still integrated with other vehicles, both private vehicles and goods transportation, so that when there is a traffic jam, the mass public transportation will also experience congestion. It causes the travel time for mass public transportation to be no different from private transportation.

The Semarang City government has announced to separate public vehicles from goods and private vehicles. The currently being pursued program is the construction of the Semarang city ring road intended for goods and heavy vehicles to reduce the volume of vehicles on the city route. While programs to separate public vehicles from private vehicles are being pursued by separating routes and building the Bus Way route.

The fourth priority alternative is the imposition of on-street parking restrictions along the public mode area, with a weight value of 0.136. Dense urban community activities and the high use of private vehicles that are not matched by the provision of adequate parking pockets have caused many private

vehicles to be parked on the road, thus obstructing traffic flow, which will impact congestion. It has occurred on various roads in the center of Semarang City, such as the Jl. Pemuda, Jl. Pahlawan, Jl. Veteran, Jl. Pandanaran, and many others. Even though these roads connect several facilities in the city, the traffic is very congested. In fact, not a few private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane, disturbing bicycle users. Therefore, the Semarang City government has implemented a prohibition on on-street parking along public mode areas to reduce the impact of congestion.

3.4 Sequence of alternatives for sustainable transportation development strategies in Semarang city

Using AHP on all alternative strategies for sustainable transport development in Semarang City shows the results in Figure 7.

Figure 7 above shows that in the development of a sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City, the most prioritized alternative is the provision of park and ride facilities, with a weight value of 0.172. As the center of industry and trade, traffic in this city is very dense, causing congestion and air pollution. The very high volume of vehicles causes congestion in the city center due to the high use of private vehicles.

People who live in suburban and out-of-town areas prefer to use private vehicles to support their city center activities. To overcome the high volume of vehicles, park and ride facilities are needed in several strategic areas: near bus stops/bus shelters, stations, and other strategic places. With this park and ride facility, it is hoped that people who use private vehicles from the outskirts and outside the city park their vehicles in the parking pockets that have been provided and then switch to mass public transportation to their destination. Thus, it will be able to reduce the volume of vehicles and reduce congestion.

Apart from providing park and ride facilities, it is also necessary to offer modern public transportation with a large passenger capacity (MRT, LRT) to develop sustainable transportation in Semarang City. So far, mass transportation in Semarang City like BRT Trans Semarang has not accommodated the people's movements in Semarang City. The relatively small capacity and routes still integrated with other vehicles make the travel time and waiting time for this transportation mode quite long. Therefore, it is necessary to provide mass public transportation that is more effective and efficient with a faster travel time, namely by providing MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) and LRT (Light Rapid Transit).

MRT and LRT are rail-based mass public transportation that has been widely implemented in big cities. The rail-based line will not collide with other vehicles to be more effective and efficient. Yu et al. [36] stated that shifting road public transportation modes to rail-based transportation in several cities in China has been shown to reduce road pressure and reduce pollution significantly. Thus, it will attract people to use mass public transportation more than private transportation because it is more effective and efficient.

Moreover, the level of air pollution in Semarang City is very worrying due to the high volume of vehicles. Many pollutants are contained in the air of Semarang City due to fossil fuels used by motorized vehicles. The high level of community activity will cause high levels of pollutants in the air. As a result, it will interfere with health and affect the community's productivity, resulting in per capita income earned [10].




Figure 7. AHP outputs towards all available alternatives

Caption: B2: Park and ride facilities provision; B3: Modern mass public transportation with large passenger capacities provision (MRT, LRT); C4: Establishment of a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive and non-breakable shade plants; A4: Increasing the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation; C2: Progressive vehicle tax based on exhaust emission test results; A1: Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes; B1: Pedestrian and bicycle paths development; C3: Reducing the need for travel in cities through land use; A3: Mass public transportation separated routes from other transportation; C1: Private transportation modes shift to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption; A2: Stipulation of the prohibition on on-street parking along with public mode areas

Steps that can be taken to overcome this problem are to create a green belt along the newly constructed road with productive shade trees that do not break easily. The green belt is in the form of tree planting along busy roads and those that have recently been built to reduce the level of pollution in Semarang City. Trees planted are trees that can clean pollutants, provide shade, and reduce noise, such as *Dadap Merah, Mahoni, Asam Jawa, Flamboyan*, and so on.

The fourth alternative priority is improving the quality of service, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation, with a weight value of 0.108. The dense movement of the people of Semarang City certainly requires adequate transportation. Mass public transportation is a mode of transportation that can support the density of community activities. However, the quality of service, comfort, and safety of mass public transportation is still inadequate, such as long waiting times and passengers tend to overcrowd. Therefore, the quality of service and convenience must be improved immediately so that mass transportation is always the first choice to support their activities. If it is not immediately improved, it can be that the community preference is to use private vehicles rather than mass public transportation. The public will not mind having to pay more if later they will be able to get greater benefits, namely safety and health and better air quality [10, 37].

The next prioritized alternative is a progressive vehicle tax based on the exhaust emission test results, with a weight value of 0.090. The high level of pollution due to exhaust emissions produced by motorized vehicles can be overcome by implementing a progressive motor vehicle tax based on exhaust emissions. It has been stated in Government Regulation Number 55 of 2012 concerning vehicles. Article 64 paragraph 1 states that exhaust gas emissions are a condition for motor vehicle roadworthiness. A progressive tax will be imposed for vehicles whose exhaust emissions exceed

the threshold. Vice versa, vehicles that can control exhaust emissions properly will receive tax breaks.

Increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes is the sixth priority alternative in developing sustainable transportation in Semarang City, with a weight value of 0.089. The provision of mass public transportation also requires integration between modes. The lack of integration of mass public transportation modes will discourage the public from using them. The integration between modes of mass public transportation will be able to support the activities of the people's movement at several points in Semarang City. It can be done by building several Trans Semarang BRT stops connected to the Trans Central Java bus stop with other transportation modes, such as stations, terminals, and so on.

Furthermore, an alternative that is no less critical to prioritize is the development of pedestrian and bicycle lanes as the seventh priority sequence, with a weight value of 0.065. The provision of pedestrian and bicycle lanes is crucial to minimize the use of motorized vehicles. Walking and cycling are environmental-based transportation that can reduce air pollution caused by motorized transportation. Therefore, this transportation must always be considered by providing adequate pedestrian facilities and bicycle paths. It would be better if the planning is made bottom-up. Boettge et al. [29] argued that in planning to develop bicycle paths in urban areas, bicycle users should be involved because, after all, they are the ones who will take advantage of these facilities. For instance, the development of bicycle lanes in Louis has not involved cyclists, so that most of the bicycle lanes are not traversed by cyclists because the road traffic is too dense, which endangers bicycle users. Pedestrian paths must be integrated with several modes of mass public transportation. Likewise, bicycle lanes must also be integrated with public transportation modes and ensure the comfort and safety of these bicycle users. Besides, other facilities must also be provided, such as seats, trash cans, shelters, and bicycle parking bags.

Reducing the need for travel in urban areas through land-use planning is the eighth priority alternative, with a weight value of 0.063. The Semarang City people's activities are generally still focused on the city center, which causes congestion and high air pollution in the city center. It is because many facilities and offices are in the center of Semarang City. It needs land use that can reduce the dense activity in the city center. It can be done by planning an RTRW that regulates the use of peripheral areas for public facilities and trade and industrial centers to not focus on the city center.

Furthermore, the roads in the city of Semarang are classified as very dense. It is because private vehicles, goods transportation, and mass public transportation are still in one lane. An effort is needed to separate mass public transportation routes from other transportation to break down the city center's dense traffic. One of the programs launched by the Semarang City government is to build the Semarang city ring route as a route for goods and heavy vehicles. Thus, traffic volume on city routes can be reduced and will result in reduced congestion and pollution in the city center.

The high ownership of private vehicles is one of the causes of congestion and pollution in Semarang City. Furthermore, more concerning is that most private vehicles use fossil fuels and obviously the pollution emitted by these vehicles is also high. Fossil fuel motorized vehicles are a contributor to 80% of pollutants in urban areas. It, of course, must be handled immediately.

One of the programs that can be implemented is by shifting private transportation to public transportation to minimize fuel consumption by increasing travel costs by private mode, increasing private vehicle taxes, increasing parking fees, and imposing tolls for specific areas, additional fuel costs coming from fossils, and the luxury tax. Thus, people who want to use private vehicles will think twice, considering that they must bear costs are not small.

The last priority or eleventh priority alternative in the sustainable transportation development strategy in Semarang City is the stipulation of the prohibition of parking on streets along with the public mode areas, with a weight value of 0.038. The lack of parking facilities in the city of Semarang has caused some people who use private vehicles to park their vehicles on the shoulder of the road, thus disturbing other motorists' traffic. Besides, many private vehicles are parked in the bicycle lane. As a result, bicycle users have become less comfortable and secure. The Semarang City government has imposed on-street parking bans along public mode areas to overcome this problem. However, many motorists still deliberately park their vehicles in the bicycle lane until now.

4. DISCUSSION

This study aims to determine the appropriate sustainable transportation system development strategy in an urban area as an industrial center in a region. This study's findings show the importance of developing transportation system facilities and infrastructure to support sustainable urban growth. The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth was also highlighted by the studies of ref. [7, 9, 12]. The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It dramatically affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn will affect the city's activities and productivity. As stated by the studies of ref. [38-40], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the roadways, and improve service quality, convenience, and safety of mass public transportation. All of that can be realized by the existence of a progressive vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust emission tests, increasing the integration between mass public transportation modes, developing pedestrian and bicycle lanes, reducing the need for travel in cities through land use, separating mass public transportation routes with other transportation, the transfer of private transportation modes to public transportation modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas. A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. These findings emerge where government policies are considered in determining sustainable transportation development strategies. It is reasonable considering that the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [34, 41].

People need quality, comfortable, and safe transportation, as stated in Semarang City's RPJMD 2016-2021. It states that the transportation system infrastructure facilities still have problems in terms of the road network that has not been fully developed, road equipment improvement still needs to be optimized, road network integration and road facilities still need to be optimized, and the quality of public transport

services still needs to be improved, and management of transportation facilities and infrastructure should be optimized. The Semarang City government needs to create a dynamic and environmentally sound Metropolitan City in the 2016-2021 RPJMD.

The importance of transportation infrastructure to support sustainable transportation development and urban growth is also supported by the studies of ref. [7, 9, 42]. The development of transportation infrastructure facilities can promote access to the distribution of goods and services that impact the regional economy [43, 44]. Starting from the means of transportation to roads that cover the means of public transportation, it needs to be developed in accordance with sustainable principles. It is hoped that the development of new transportation can increase public access.

The next finding is the importance of improving the quality of the urban environment. It greatly affects the quality of life of urban communities, which in turn impacts the activities and productivity of the city. As stated by the studies of ref. [38-40], it is necessary to provide park and ride facilities, modern mass public transportation, the realization of a green belt along the highway, and improve service quality, comfort, and public transportation safety. Improving the quality of the urban environment can be realized by the existence of a progressive motor vehicle tax based on the results of exhaust gas emissions testing, increased integration between modes of mass public transportation, construction of pedestrian and bicycle paths, reducing the need for trips within the city through land use, separating mass public transport routes from other modes of transportation, the shift from private modes to public transport modes to reduce fuel consumption, and the imposition of on-street parking bans along with public mode areas [45-47].

A development strategy will be more effective in its implementation if the development process involves community aspirations and participation. This finding emerged when government policies were taken into consideration in determining a sustainable transportation development strategy. It is reasonable considering the city will grow and develop dynamically in the future so that the integration of all aspects must work synergistically [34, 41]. Community participation is needed in providing feedback on efforts to improve the development of sustainable transportation. According to Duleba and Moslem [44] that passengers, potential passengers, and the government are the three stakeholders who have a role in communicating the design and targets of transportation development. Thus, there is a need for changes in travel behavior at a population level that requires collaboration between the transportation system, the environmental sector, and the health sector [48, 49].

5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the analysis results carried out using AHP, priorities could be obtained based on the criteria studied: the priority for the criteria of facilities and infrastructure is the development of modern transportation facilities with a large passenger capacity with a score of 44.3 percent, the priority of the environmental quality criteria is the development of open land green roads with shady trees and shrubs with a score of 40.9 percent, and the priority criteria for government policy are the addition of service quality, comfort, and safety of public transportation facilities with a score of 38.6 percent. Overall, by combining selection points on each criterion,

Semarang City's people chose to protect, primarily the development of modern public transportation facilities with additional passenger capacity. This point had the highest priority, with a score of 17.2 percent.

It is hoped that the finding will be able to provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. It is bottom-up planning so that implementation and evaluation can be carried out jointly between the government and the community to create a sustainable transportation system for a healthy and comfortable city to live in and provide the appropriate information to the city government to decide a strategy. In addition, the findings of this study are expected to be used in other cities that have the characteristics of being a densely populated metropolitan city, and have a commitment to sustainable transportation that has been explicitly included in long-term urban planning.

The limitation of this research is that using AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) analysis could only be seen by priority programs but could not see the efficiency of the priority programs obtained both in terms of economic and technical efficiency. For this reason, a further research agenda is to combine priority and efficiency programs using the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). It is expected that the relative efficiency analysis tool can be obtained from each priority in the criteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang as the funding of this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Steg, L., Gifford, R. (2005). Sustainable transportation and quality of life. *J. Transp. Geogr.*, 13(1): 59-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.11.003>
- [2] Litman, T. (2007). Developing indicators for comprehensive and sustainable transport planning. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board*, 2017(1): 10-15. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2017-02>
- [3] Pujiati, A., Nihayah, D.M., Bowo, P.A. (2017). Strategies of urban development based on environment. *Adv. Sci. Lett.*, 23(8): 7123-7126. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9306>
- [4] Sundram, V.P.K., Hashim, N., Shariff, S.H., Pujiati, A., Ardiansari, A. (2021). Sustainable transportation on university campus: A case at UiTM selangor, puncak alam campus, Malaysia and universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. *Asian. J. Univ. Educ.*, 17(2): 262-272. <https://doi.org/10.24191/AJUE.V17I2.13407>
- [5] Lin, B.Q., Omoju, O.E. (2017). Does private investment in the transport sector mitigate the environmental impact of urbanisation? Evidence from Asia. *J Clean Prod* 153: 331-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.064>
- [6] Lin, B.Q., Raza, M.Y. (2020). Analysis of energy security indicators and CO₂ emissions. A case from a developing economy. *Energy*, 200: 117575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117575>
- [7] Maparu, T.S., Mazumder, T.N. (2017). Transport infrastructure, economic development and urbanization in India (1990-2011): Is there any causal relationship? *Transp. Res. Part A: Policy Pract.*, 100: 319-336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033>
- [8] Meersman, H., Nazemzadeh, M. (2017). The contribution of transport infrastructure to economic activity: The case of Belgium. *Case Stud Transp Policy* 5(2): 316-324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.03.009>
- [9] Meng, X., Han, J. (2018). Roads, economy, population density, and CO₂: A city-scaled causality analysis. *Resour Conserv Recycl.*, 128: 508-515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.032>
- [10] Qiu, G., Song, R., He, S. (2019). The aggravation of urban air quality deterioration due to urbanization, transportation and economic development – Panel models with marginal effect analyses across China. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 651: 1114-1125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.219>
- [11] Liang, L.W., Wang, Z.B., Li, J.X. (2019). The effect of urbanization on environmental pollution in rapidly developing urban agglomerations. *J Clean Prod.*, 237: 117649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117649>
- [12] Wang, Z., Cui, C., Peng, S. (2019). How do urbanization and consumption patterns affect carbon emissions in China? A decomposition analysis. *J Clean Prod.*, 211: 1201-1208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.272>
- [13] Shatu, F., Yigitcanlar, T., Bunker, J. (2019). Objective vs. subjective measures of street environments in pedestrian route choice behaviour: Discrepancy and correlates of non-concordance. *Transp Res Part A Policy Pract.*, 126: 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.05.011>
- [14] Rasiyah, R., Kari, F., Sadoi, Y., Mintz-Habib, N. (2018). Climate change and sustainable development issues: arguments and policy initiatives. *J Asia Pacific Econ.*, 23(2): 187-194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2018.1442140>
- [15] Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M., Akhtar, P. (2018). The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries. *Transp Res Part A Policy Pract.*, 111: 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013>
- [16] Tong, T., Yu, T.E. (2018). Transportation and economic growth in China: A heterogeneous panel cointegration and causality analysis. *J Transp Geogr.*, 73: 120-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.10.016>
- [17] Özokcu, S., Özdemir, Ö. (2017). Economic growth, energy, and environmental Kuznets curve. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.*, 72: 639-647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.059>
- [18] Ameen, R.F.M., Mourshed, M. (2019). Urban sustainability assessment framework development: The ranking and weighting of sustainability indicators using analytic hierarchy process. *Sustain Cities Soc.*, 44: 356-366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.020>
- [19] Xu, M., Grant-Muller, S., Gao, Z. (2017). Implementation effects and integration evaluation of a selection of transport management measures in Beijing. *Case Stud Transp Policy*, 5(4): 604-614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.09.002>
- [20] Cascetta, E., Carteni, A., Pagliara, F., Montanino, M. (2015). A new look at planning and designing transportation systems: A decision-making model based on cognitive rationality, stakeholder engagement and quantitative methods. *Transp Policy*, 38: 27-39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.11.005>

- [21] Gulbrandsen, M., Mowery, D., Feldman, M. (2011). Introduction to the special section: Heterogeneity and university–industry relations. *Res Policy*, 40(1): 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.09.007>
- [22] Hessels, L.K., de Jong, S.P.L., Brouwer, S. (2018). Collaboration between heterogeneous practitioners in sustainability research: A comparative analysis of three transdisciplinary programmes. *Sustain.*, 10(12): 4760. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124760>
- [23] Scoble, R., Dickson, K., Hanney, S., Rodgers, G.J. (2010). Institutional strategies for capturing socio-economic impact of academic research. *J High Educ Policy Manag.*, 32(5): 499-510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2010.511122>
- [24] Saaty, R.W. (1987). The analytic hierarchy process-what it is and how it is used. *Math Model.*, 9(3-5): 161-176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255\(87\)90473-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-0255(87)90473-8)
- [25] Velazquez, L., Munguia, N.E., Will, M., Zavala, A.G., Verdugo, S.P., Delakowitz, B., Giannetti, B. (2015). Sustainable transportation strategies for decoupling road vehicle transport and carbon dioxide emissions. *Manag Environ Qual an Int J.*, 26(3): 373-388. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-07-2014-0120>
- [26] Nag, D., Paul, S.K., Saha, S., Goswami, A.K. (2018). Sustainability assessment for the transportation environment of Darjeeling, India. *J Environ Manage.*, 213: 489-502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.01.042>
- [27] Yin, C., Shao, C., Wang, X. (2018). Environment and parking availability: Impacts on car ownership and use. *Sustainability*, 10(7): 2285. <https://doi.org/doi:10.3390/su10072285>
- [28] Kelle, P., Song, J., Jin, M. (2018). Evaluation of operational and environmental sustainability tradeoffs in multimodal freight transportation planning. *Int J Prod Econ.*, 209: 411-420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.011>
- [29] Qian, C.Y., Zhu, D.F., Zhou, Y., Chen, J.D. (2018). Measurements of pedestrian friendliness of residential area: A case study in hexi district of Nanjing. *Sustainability*, 10(6): 1993. <http://doi.org/10.3390/su10061993>
- [30] Boettge, B., Hall, D., Crawford, T. (2017). Assessing the bicycle network in St. Louis: A placebased user-centered approach. *Sustainability*, 9(2): 241. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9020241>
- [31] Kumar, R., Dahiya, M.A., Sinha, S. (2015). Analytical hierarchy process for assessing sustainability. *World J Sci Technol Sustain Dev.*, 12(4): 281-293. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJSTSD-05-2015-0027>
- [32] Jin, K., Wang, F., Li, P. (2018). Responses of vegetation cover to environmental change in large cities of China. *Sustainability*, 10(1): 270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010270>
- [33] Thomas, A., Deakin, E. (2017). Managing partnerships for sustainable development: The Berkeley—China sustainable transportation program. *Case Stud Transp Policy*, 5(1): 45-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.08.005>
- [34] Errampalli, M., Patil, K.S., Prasad, C.S.R.K. (2018). Evaluation of integration between public transportation modes by developing sustainability index for Indian cities. *Case Stud Transp Policy*, 8(1): 180-187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2018.09.005>
- [35] Gonzalez-Garcia, S., Manteiga, R., Moreira, M.T., Feijoo, G. (2018). Assessing the sustainability of Spanish cities considering environmental and socio-economic indicators. *J Clean Prod.*, 178: 599-610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.056>
- [36] Yu, X., Lang, M., Gao, Y. (2018). An empirical study on the design of china high-speed rail express train operation plan—from a sustainable transport perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(7): 2478. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072478>
- [37] Ahmad, S., Puppim de Oliveira, J.A. (2016). Determinants of urban mobility in India: Lessons for promoting sustainable and inclusive urban transportation in developing countries. *Transp Policy*, 50: 106-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.04.014>
- [38] Biagi, B., Ladu, M.G., Meleddu, M. (2018). Urban quality of life and capabilities: An experimental study. *Ecol Econ.*, 150: 137-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.011>
- [39] Chica-Olmo, J., Sánchez, A., Sepúlveda-Murillo, F.H. (2020). Assessing Colombia's policy of socio-economic stratification: An intra-city study of self-reported quality of life. *Cities*, 97: 102560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.102560>
- [40] Putra, K.E., Sitanggang, J.M. (2016). The effect of public transport services on quality of life in Medan city. *Procedia - Soc Behav Sci.*, 234: 383-389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.255>
- [41] Wann-Ming, W. (2019). Constructing urban dynamic transportation planning strategies for improving quality of life and urban sustainability under emerging growth management principles. *Sustain Cities Soc.*, 44: 275-290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.015>
- [42] Li, H.B., Liu, Y.L., Peng, K.L. (2018). Characterizing the relationship between road infrastructure and local economy using structural equation modeling. *Transp Policy*, 61: 17-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.10.002>
- [43] Hamurcu, M., Eren, T. (2020). Strategic planning based on sustainability for urban transportation: An application to decision-making. *Sustainability*, 12(9): 3589. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093589>
- [44] Duleba, S., Moslem, S. (2018). Sustainable urban transport development with stakeholder participation, an AHP-Kendall model: A case study for Mersin. *Sustainability*, 10(10): 3647. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103647>
- [45] Cyril, A., Mulangi, R.H., George, V. (2019). Performance optimization of public transport Using integrated AHP–GP methodology. *Urban Rail Transit.*, 5: 133-144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40864-019-0103-2>
- [46] Gaglione, F., Cottrill, C., Gargiulo, C. (2021). Urban services, pedestrian networks and behaviors to measure elderly accessibility. *Transp Res Part D Transp Environ* 90: 102687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102687>
- [47] Parvez, M. (2020). Solving traffic congestion consequences regarding e-taxi parking by identifying a suitable location for the e-taxi station: geo-spatial and AHP approaches. *Smart Resilient Transp.*, 2(2): 55-68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRT-07-2020-0005>
- [48] Hickman, R., Banister, D. (2007). Looking over the horizon: Transport and reduced CO₂ emissions in the UK by 2030. *Transp Policy*, 14(5): 377-387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2007.04.005>

[49] Xia, T., Zhang, Y., Braunack-Mayer, A., Crabb, S. (2017). Public Attitudes towards Encouraging Sustainable Transportation: An Australian case study. Int

J Sustain Transp., 11(8): 593-601.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2017.1287316>