

Vol. 29, Supp. 2
July 2018

Home: Journal of Physical Science

The *Journal of Physical Science* (ISSN 1675-3402) is a refereed journal published by Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM Press). It is abstracted by Chemical Abstracts Service and indexed in Scopus, Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI), ProQuest, Ebsco, ASEAN Citation Index (ACI), Directory of Open Access Scholarly Resources (ROAD), Information Matrix for the Analysis of Journals, MyCite and Genamics JournalSeek.

Aims and Scope

The aim of the journal is to disseminate latest scientific ideas and findings in the field of physical sciences among scientists in Malaysia and international regions.

This journal is devoted to the publication of articles dealing with research works in Chemistry, Physics and Engineering. Review articles will also be considered. Manuscripts must be of scientific value and will be submitted to independent referees for review. Contributions must be written in English and must not have been published elsewhere.

Journal of Physical Science is a multiple award-winning academic journal. Accolades received by the journal in the recent years include being a recipient of the Ministry of Education's CREAM Awards for three consecutive years (2016, 2017 and 2018), and Best Article for Science, Technology and Medicine category in the Malaysian Scholarly Publishing Council-Ministry of Higher Education (MAPIM-KPT) Awards 2016.

Beginning 2016, *Journal of Physical Science* publishes three issues annually: April, August and November (previously, the journal published biannually).

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

- [Prof. Dr. Abdul Latif Ahmad \(Universiti Sains Malaysia\)](#), 

Editorial Board

- [Prof. Dr. Atsunori Matsuda \(Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan\)](#), 
- [Prof. Dr. Eiichiro Matsubara \(Kyoto University, Japan\)](#), 
- [Prof. Dr. Hanafi Ismail \(Universiti Sains Malaysia\)](#), 
- [Prof. Ulung Datuk Dr. Harith Ahmad \(University of Malaya, Malaysia\)](#), 
- [Prof. Dr. Hong-Wei Yen \(Tunghai University, Taiwan\)](#), 
- [Prof. Mark C. Bagley \(University of Sussex, United Kingdom\)](#), 
- [Prof. Dr. Mohd. Zubir Mat Jafri \(Universiti Sains Malaysia\)](#), 
- [Prof. Nicolas Brosse \(University of Lorraine, France\)](#), 
- [Prof. Dr. Norli Ismail \(Universiti Sains Malaysia\)](#), 

Editorial Notes

Journal of Physical Science Vol. 29, Supp. 2 is a special issue resulting from the 6th International Conference on Education, Concept and Application of Green Technology by Engineering International Committee (EIC 2017) of Engineering Faculty, Universitas Negeri Semarang (UNNES), Indonesia, organised on 11 October 2017. This conference provided a platform for academics, researchers, professionals, industries and other stakeholders from all over the world to explore and share their experiences, information, research results, exchange state-of-the-art findings and views. It also discussed various cutting-edge issues that are related to the theme of this conference. Moreover, this conference provides a discussion forum to establish business or research relations and to find global partners for future collaboration.

The selected articles cover the main aspects of green technology field that includes the concept and application in mechanical, chemical, civil and electrical engineering.

The publication of this *Journal of Physical Science* special issue has been realised through the support by the Engineering Faculty of UNNES, the committee of the conference and the publisher, Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia.

Adhi Kusumastuti
Guest Editor

Vol. 29, Supp. 2 (2018)

[Download EPUB for this issue](#) 

[Editorial Notes](#), by Adhi Kusumastuti

1. [Thermal Characteristics of Microwave Reactor for Pyrolysis of Food Waste](#), by Samsudin Anis, Yanuar Adi Kurniawan, Wirawan Sumbodo, Rais Alhakim and Suprayoga Edi Lestari
2. [Wear Analysis of Spherical Graphite Cast Iron Using Pin-on Disc Tribotester](#), by Muchammad, Imam Syafa'at, Fuad Hilmy, Mohammad Tauviqirrahman and Jamari
3. [Particle and Crystallite Size Characterisation of Lead Titanate Derived from Solid-state Reaction Method](#), by Dony Hidayat Al-Janan, Rahmat Doni Widodo, Rusiyanto and Leonardus Dimas Bima Saputra
4. [Study of Thermal Heating of Waste Engine Oil in a Microwave Pyrolysis Reactor](#), by Moh. Arif Budianto, Samsudin Anis and Wirawan Sumbodo
5. [Production of Liquid Oil from Thermolysis of Electrical and Electronic Wastes \(E-waste\) under Microwave Irradiation](#), by Samsudin Anis, Suprayoga Edi Lestari, Wahyudi and Karnowo
6. [A New Hybrid of Photovoltaic-thermoelectric Generator with Hot Mirror as Spectrum Splitter](#), by Mustofa, Zuryati Djafar, Syafaruddin and Wahyu Haryadi Piarah
7. [Behaviour of Over-reinforced Concrete Beams with Double Helix and Double Square Confinements Related to Ultimate Bending and Shear Strength](#), by Horng Hean Tee, Kousay Al-Sanjery and Jeffrey Choong Luin Chiang
8. [Seismic Performance of the King Cross Steel Profile in Interior Reinforced Concrete Beam-column Joint](#), by Rahmani Kadarningsih, Iman Satyarno, Muslikh

and Andreas Triwiyono

9. [Improving the Masonry Brick Ductility using Mortar Bed Joint from Rubber Tire Crumbs: A Review](#), by Restu Faizah, Iman Satyarno, Henricus Priyosulistyo and Akhmad Aminullah
10. [Shear Strength Behaviour of Steel Truss Embedded in Flexural Plastic Hinge Zone of Reinforced Concrete Beam Subjected to Reversed Cyclic Loading](#), by Fatmawati Amir, Iman Satyarno and Djoko Sulisty
11. [The Implementation of Fuzzy Expert Systems on Concrete Bridge Investigation with Octave](#), by Setiono, Wibowo and Sofa Marwoto
12. [Effect of Water Content and Water Type on the Physical Properties of Concrete](#), by Elviana, Ashar Saputra and Djoko Sulisty
13. [Emulsion Liquid Membrane for Textile Dyes Removal: Extraction Process](#), by Adhi Kusumastuti, Samsudin Anis, Rodia Syamwil and Abdul Latif Ahmad
14. [Physical Properties of Edible Sorghum Starch Film Added with Carboxymethyl Cellulose](#), by Rr. Dewi Artanti Putri, Aji Setiawan and Puji Diah Anggraini
15. [Extraction of Phenol from Bio-oil Produced by Pyrolysis of Coconut Shell](#), by Dewi Selvia Fardyanti, Megawati, Heri Istanto, Muhammad Khusni Anajib, Prayogo and Umami Habibah
16. [Design and Construction of Chem-E-Car SMARTTRONS Powered by Thermoelectric Generator Utilising Temperature Gradient of Two Reactors](#), by Dhoni Hartanto, Waliyuddin Sammadikun, Akhmad Sutrisno, Abdul Basit, Ade Surya Siladryanto, Bayu Triwibowo, Haniif Prasetiawan, Widi Astuti, Megawati, Achmad Chafidz, Wara Dyah Pita Rengga, Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas and Ianatul Khoiroh
17. [Esterification of Non-edible Oil Mixture in Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study](#), by Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas, Haniif Prasetiawan, Brylian Rizky Pratama, Dani Prasetya and Anwaruddin Hisyam
18. [Emulsion Liquid Membrane for Cadmium Removal: Determination of Liquid Membrane Components](#), by Adhi Kusumastuti, Nur Qudus, Samsudin Anis and Abdul Latif Ahmad
19. [Modelling of Adsorptive-distillation of Ethanol-water Using Natural and Synthetic Zeolites as Adsorbent](#), by Megawati, Wara Dyah Pita Rengga, Dewi Selvia Fardhyanti, Afiati Estrina Akhir and Wahyudi Budi Sendiawan

20. [Synthesis of Zinc Oxide Whiskers with Hydrothermal Method for Composite Absorbent Material of Microwave of ZnOw/MWCNT/Epoxy](#), by Mohammad Wahyu Ristiawan, Priyono, Agus Subagio, Arifin Muhammad Abdul Kholil and Andhika Ajiesastra
 21. [Process Evaluation of Biodiesel Production from *Nyamplung* \(*Calophyllum inophyllum*\) Oil Enhanced by Ionic Liquid + NaOH Catalyst and Microwave Heating System](#), by Prima Astuti Handayani, Abdullah and Hadiyanto
-

Powered by WordPress | Theme by Themehaus

Esterification of Non-edible Oil Mixture in Reactive Distillation Column over Solid Acid Catalyst: Experimental and Simulation Study

Ratna Dewi Kusumaningtyas,^{1*} Haniif Prasetiawan,¹ Brylian Rizky Pratama,¹ Dani Prasetya¹ and Anwaruddin Hisyam²

¹Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Kampus UNNES, Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang 50229, Indonesia

²Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: ratnadewi.kusumaningtyas@mail.unnes.ac.id

Published online: 30 July 2018

To cite this article: Kusumaningtyas, R. D. et al. (2018). Esterification of non-edible oil mixture in reactive distillation column over solid acid catalyst: Experimental and simulation study. (2018). *J. Phys. Sci.*, 29(Supp. 2), 215–226, <https://doi.org/10.21315/jps2018.29.s2.17>

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.21315/jps2018.29.s2.17>

ABSTRACT: *Biodiesel is one of the promising energy alternatives to fossil fuel. Among the economical feedstocks of biodiesel is high free fatty acid (FFA) vegetable oil. Production of biodiesel from high FFA oils needs esterification reaction as the pre-treatment step. In this work, esterification reaction of high FFA non-edible oil mixture (used cooking oil, jatropha oil, and nyamplung seed oil) was conducted in reactive distillation (RD) column in the presence of solid acid tin(II) chloride catalyst. RD has potential to be applied at industrial scale. For this purpose, an accurate model is required to scale up the process, predict the RD performance and optimise the process. Experimental work, simulation study and validation were carried out in this work to determine the accurate model and simulation. In experimental work, esterification reaction was conducted by varying the reaction temperature and catalyst concentration. The simulation was performed using ASPEN PLUS V8.8 and validated with the experimental data. Based on the experiment, the optimum FFA conversion was 78.33%, obtained at temperature of 60°C and catalyst concentration of 5% (w/w). The FFA conversion achieved from the simulation was 75.8%. The proximity between the simulation and experimental data demonstrated that this simulation has accurately predicted the conversion of FFA with less than 5% error.*

Keywords: Biodiesel, multiple oil feedstocks, tin(II) chloride, reactive distillation, validation

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, fuel demand has increased in accordance with the increase of public need for transportation, industries, power plant, household and many other activities. The increasing fuel demand is not in line with the energy sources availability. Based on data, fuel oil consumption in Indonesia has exceeded its domestic production and petroleum resources are expected to be available until the next 10–15 years from now.¹ Due to the depleting fossil fuel reserves, the development of renewable energy resources is important. Renewable energy is generated from renewable resources and can be constantly replenished, such as sunlight, wind, geothermal and various forms of biomass (biofuel), etc. One of the promising biofuels is biodiesel, which can be applied as diesel-engine fuel. Biodiesel exhibits various advantages. For instance, it is clean, environmentally friendly, holds excellent fuel properties, and can be utilised in the diesel engine without any modification of the machine.²

Biodiesel is derived from vegetable oils or animal fats through chemical modification. The main compounds of vegetable oils and animal fats are triglycerides and free fatty acids (FFA). Biodiesel is produced through a chemical process by converting vegetable oils/animal fats into fatty acid methyl esters (FAME).³ In general, FAME (biodiesel) can be synthesised via two distinctive routes, i.e., (1) one-step process of alkaline-catalysed transesterification reaction of low FFA vegetable oils/fats using short-chain alcohols; or (2) two-step process of acid-catalysed esterification of high FFA vegetable oils/fats using short-chain alcohols followed by transesterification of triglycerides of the oils/fats.⁴ Esterification reaction is a pre-treatment to reduce the FFA content by converting it into FAME.

High FFA vegetable oils are cheap and non-edible. Hence, they are hardly ever employed for food production. Utilisation of high FFA non-edible oils for biodiesel production is beneficial since it can avoid the crops competition between energy and food needs. There are several studies of biodiesel synthesis using non-edible oils, such as jatropha oils, rubber seed oils, used cooking oils, *nyamplung* (*Calophyllum inophyllum* L.) seed oils, palm fatty acid distillate, etc.^{3–8} However, the current studies of biodiesel production are predominated by the application of a single type of oil feed-stocks. For the purpose of feedstock diversification, study on the employment of multiple oil feed-stocks for biodiesel production is essential, thus it should be further investigated.

In this study, a mixture of three different oils were used as a feedstock in biodiesel production. The three oil sources used were cooking oil, jatropha oil and *nyamplung* seed oil, which contain high FFA. Used cooking oil might harm the environment if

it is not properly managed. One of the solutions is by processing it into biodiesel. On the other hand, jatropha and *nyamplung* seed oils are among the high FFA non-edible oils which are potential as biodiesel feedstocks.^{3,7}

Biodiesel production is challenging since the process usually involves a reactor followed by a series of separation equipment, which contributes to the complexity and expensive cost of biodiesel production. This challenge can be overcome by the application of multi-functional reactors such as reactive distillation (RD).⁴ RD integrates reaction and separation (distillation) in a single column. Thus, it offers many advantages compared with the reactor-separator in terms of the increase in selectivity and conversion, better heat control, reduction of the catalyst consumption, azeotrope avoiding, the effectiveness of heat integration for energy conservation, and lower capital cost due to the reduction of distillation columns as separation unit. Moreover, RD is suitable for reversible reaction such as esterification process since continuous product separation on RD will shift the reaction equilibrium towards product formation.⁹

In this study, RD was used for conducting esterification reaction of high FFA vegetable oil mixture as the pre-treatment step of biodiesel production. Esterification reaction was performed using methanol in the presence of tin(II) chloride solid catalyst. RD is potential for industrial-scale application. However, to scale up the process, predict the RD performance, and optimise the process at large scale operation, an accurate simulation is needed. Hence, this work will focus on the investigation of the accurate simulation of RD process. The investigation involved experimental work, simulation study and validation. In the experimental work, effects of reaction temperature and catalyst concentration were studied on the FFA conversion. Subsequently, a simulation study was carried out based on the equilibrium model (MESH) using ASPEN PLUS V8.8.⁴ Several kinetic parameters used in the simulation were obtained from previous study performed by Kusumaningtyas.¹⁰ The process simulation was then validated using the FFA conversion obtained in the experiment. Validation is important since the proximity between the simulation result and the experimental data would indicate that the model and simulation can be applied for the prediction of RD performance at the larger (industrial) scale of process.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

The feedstock used in this study is a mixture of non-edible oil which consists of jatropha oil, *nyamplung* seed oil and used cooking oil. Used cooking oil was collected from home industry Roti Goreng Anggita in Sruweng, Kebumen, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia with FFA content of 15.05%. Crude jatropha oil with FFA content of 30.57% was obtained from PT Jatropha Green Energy, Kudus, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia. *Nyamplung* seed oil with FFA content of 26.37% was obtained from Koperasi Jarak Lestari, Cilacap, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia. Methanol (99 %v/v) and solid acid catalyst tin(II) chloride with purity of 99% were obtained from Merck.

2.2 Esterification Reaction

The apparatus employed for the experiments was composed of the main RD column and the pre-mixer tank combined with pre-heater. The RD column was a Raschig ring packed column equipped with an electrical heating mantle. A condenser and reboiler were placed on the top and bottom of the column, respectively. Esterification reaction of non-edible oil mixture was performed in this RD and the schematic drawing of the equipment is shown in Figure 1.

Non-edible oil mixture which consists of used cooking oil, jatropha oil and *nyamplung* seed oil with a ratio of 1:1:1 (v/v) was reacted with methanol. Oil to methanol molar ratio in this study was 1:60. Previously, tin(II) chloride with certain concentration was diluted in methanol. Oil mixture and catalyst-methanol solution were then preheated and pre-mixed before entering RD column. In this study, esterification reactions were conducted at 40°C, 50°C and 60°C. Tin(II) chloride concentrations were varied at 1%, 3%, 5%, 7% and 9% (w/w) of oil. The product was then collected continuously at the bottom of RD for further analysis.




Figure 1: Reactive distillation apparatus.

2.3 Analytical Procedure

Reaction conversions were estimated from FFA content by KOH titration.^{8,9} The sample was first diluted using ethanol 99.9%. Subsequently, it was titrated using KOH 0.1 M. Acidity was calculated by using the following calculation:

$$a = \frac{v_{\text{titration}} \cdot Mr \text{ FFA} \cdot M \text{ KOH}}{m_{\text{sample}} \cdot 1000} \quad (1)$$

where a = activity index, $v_{\text{titration}}$ = titrant volume (ml), $Mr \text{ FFA}$ = molecular weight of free fatty acid, $M \text{ KOH}$ = KOH concentration (ml l^{-1}), and m_{sample} = mass of the sample (g).

The conversion was then calculated using Equation 2:

$$X_A = \frac{a_i - a_t}{a_i} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

where X_A = FFA conversion, a_i = initial acidity index, and a_t = acidity index at t time.

2.4 Simulation Setup

RD simulation was carried out using a chemical process simulator (ASPEN Plus V 8.8). The RADFRAC steady-state model was used for the RD column. RADFRAC is a rigorous equilibrium stage model which is able to solve the set of equations that describe each equilibrium stage: material balances, phase equilibrium relationship between the vapour and the liquid leaving the stage, summation equation and heat balance. The phase equilibrium was described by assuming the vapour phase as ideal gas and the liquid phase as real solution, on the basis of the UNIQUAC model as it was suggested by Bhatia et al.¹¹

Esterification reaction between fatty acid and methanol can be represented as:



The reaction rate can be written as:

$$-\frac{dC_A}{dt} = k_1 C_A C_B - k_2 C_C C_D \quad (4)$$

$$k_i = k_{0i} \exp(-E_{ai}/RT) \quad (5)$$

where C_A = the concentrations of oleic acid (mole l⁻¹), C_B = the concentrations of methanol (mole l⁻¹), C_C = the concentrations of methyl oleate (mole l⁻¹), C_D = the concentrations of water (mole l⁻¹), k_1 = kinetic rate constants for forward reaction (l mole⁻¹ min⁻¹), k_2 = kinetic rate constants for backward reaction (l mole⁻¹ min⁻¹), k_{0i} = the pre-exponential factor (min⁻¹), and E_{ai} = activation energy for kinetic reaction (kJ mole⁻¹).

The kinetic rate constants in this work were obtained from the experimental study performed by Kusumaningtyas et al. as presented in Table 1.⁹ Whereas, the feed inlet composition and parameters for RD simulation are presented in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

Table 1: Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the reacting system.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------------|---|
| k_1 | $2.33 \times 10^{10} \text{ l}^{-1} \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ |
| E_{a1} | 64.5 kJ mole ⁻¹ |
| k_2 | $4.29 \times 10^8 \text{ l}^{-1} \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ |
| E_{a2} | 40.7 kJ mole ⁻¹ |
| T boil methanol | 64.7 °C |

*Kusumaningtyas et al.*⁹

Table 2: Feed inlet composition for RD simulation.

| Component | Input (kmole h ⁻¹) |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Oleic acid | 0.0421 |
| Linoleic acid | 0.02 |
| Palmitic acid | 0.0291 |
| Stearic acid | 0.009 |
| Methanol | 6.012 |
| Total | 6.1122 |

Table 3: Parameters for the RD simulation.

| Parameter | Value |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of stage | 20 |
| Reaction zone | 13 |
| Input feed zone | 7 |
| Feed temperature | 50°C |
| Total feed | 6.112 kmole h ⁻¹ |
| Pressure | Atmospheric |
| Condenser type | Total |
| Reboiler type | Kettle |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental work was conducted to study the effects of reaction temperature and catalyst concentration on the FFA conversion. The simulation was then performed based on the equilibrium model (MESH) using ASPEN PLUS V8.8. Simulation result was compared with the experimental data to examine the proximity of the values and validate the simulation.

3.1 Effect of Temperature on FFA Conversion

Effect of reaction temperature on the reaction conversion was studied at temperatures 40°C, 50°C and 60°C. Table 4 shows the effect of reaction temperature to the FFA conversion at different catalyst concentrations. It can be seen that at catalyst concentration of 1%, 3% and 5%, the increasing temperature also increases the FFA conversion. This phenomenon was in accordance with the research carried out by Supardan and Satriana which found that the optimum reaction temperature for esterification process was at 60°C.¹² It also corresponded with the theory of molecule kinetics stating that a temperature increase will raise the molecule kinetics and the effectiveness of molecule collisions. This phenomenon will lead to a faster reaction and increase the conversion.¹³ FFA conversions at different temperatures are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Effect of reaction temperature at different catalyst concentration.

| No | Catalyst (w/w) | Conversion (%) | | |
|----|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| | | at 40°C | at 50°C | at 60°C |
| 1 | 1% | 66.57 | 70.17 | 75.14 |
| 2 | 3% | 67.86 | 72.20 | 77.95 |
| 3 | 5% | 69.15 | 74.72 | 78.33 |
| 4 | 7% | 74.29 | 69.15 | 68.12 |
| 5 | 9% | 65.59 | 65.29 | 61.76 |

Different trends were exhibited at the catalyst concentrations of 7% and 9%. As the temperature increased, FFA conversion at certain catalyst concentration was decreasing. This is due to a large amount of catalyst used in the esterification process. With a large amount of catalyst, several parts of the catalyst cannot be completely dissolved in the reactant mixture. The insoluble catalyst will become a phase barrier which will prevent mass transfer process and results in the declining FFA conversion. According to Ferreira et al., the optimum condition for esterification reaction of FFA using tin(II) chloride catalyst will be achieved when the mixture of reactants and catalyst is in homogeneous phase.¹⁴

3.2 Effect of Catalyst Concentration on the FFA Conversion

A slow and reversible reaction such as esterification process needs a catalyst to increase the reaction rate. The larger the amount of catalyst employed in a process, the more active sites available to accelerate the reaction as reported by Srilatha et al.^{15,16} In the esterification of FFA by methanol, a large excess of tin(II) chloride

catalyst will cause side reactions between tin(II) chloride with methanol to form alkyl halide which has blackish colour on the product. This reaction also decreases the amount of methanol and will affect the reactants molar ratio. The decreasing amount of methanol also causes slower reaction rate as stated by Ramadhas et al.⁵ Figure 2 demonstrates that the optimum FFA conversion is 78.33%, obtained at the reaction temperature of 60°C with the catalyst concentration of 5%.




Figure 2: FFA conversion at different catalyst concentrations and reaction temperatures.

3.3 Simulation Results

Simulation of RD for the esterification of mixed non-edible has been conducted in ASPEN PLUS V8.8. Required information to run the simulation is presented in Table 1, 2 and 3. Stream results of the RD simulation are shown in Table 5.

The FFA conversion resulted from the simulation was determined based on the stream data presented in Table 5. It was found that the optimum FFA conversion obtained from the simulation was 75.8%. Compared with the optimum conversion in the experimental study (78.3%), FFA conversion on the simulation has an error of 3.19%. This indicates that result of the simulation was closed to the experimental data, meaning that the model used in this simulation is valid and can accurately predict the conversion of FFA in the esterification of non-edible oil mixture.

Table 5: Feed and product compositions resulted from the RD simulation.

| Component | Input (kmole h ⁻¹) | Output (kmole h ⁻¹) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Oleic acid | 0.0421 | 0.0101 |
| Linoleic acid | 0.02 | 0.0048 |
| Palmitic acid | 0.0291 | 0.007 |
| Stearic acid | 0.009 | 0.0021 |
| Methanol | 6.012 | 5.9362 |
| Methyl oleate | 0 | 0.0319 |
| Methyl linoleate | 0 | 0.0152 |
| Methyl palmitate | 0 | 0.022 |
| Methyl stearate | 0 | 0.0068 |

In this study, a sensitivity analysis was also performed to observe the effect of methanol:oil molar ratio to the FFA conversion. Figure 3 shows that the increase of the methanol:oil molar ratio will increase the FFA conversion. This phenomenon was in accordance with the results of research by Gan et al.¹³ The research reported that the increase of the mole ratio of methanol to oil would lower the viscosity of the reactants mixture, optimise the process of mixing and mass transfer, so that it will increase the conversion.




Figure 3: Effect of methanol:oil molar ratio to the FFA conversion.

4. CONCLUSION

The esterification reaction of FFA by methanol is a slow and reversible reaction. Hence, the addition of catalyst is important to enhance the reaction rate and the application of RD was beneficial to shift the equilibrium to the product formation. To predict the RD performance at large scale operation, an accurate model and simulation is needed. Accurate model and process simulation can be obtained through model validation using experimental data. In this work, the experimental data shows that the optimal FFA conversion of 78.33% was obtained with the addition of catalyst concentration of 5% (w/w) oil and the reaction temperature of 60°C. On the other hand, FFA conversion achieved from simulation study using ASPEN PLUS V8.8 was 75.8%. It can be concluded that the model used in the simulation has accurately predicted the FFA conversion of mixed non-edible oil in reactive distillation. Meanwhile, the results of sensitivity study indicates that the FFA conversion will increase by increasing the methanol:FFA molar ratio.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all parties which have been supporting this research work. The authors also would like to thank the Indonesia's Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education for the financial support through Hibah Bersaing Research Grant with reference number of 675/UN37.3.1/LT/2016.

6. REFERENCES

1. Said, M., Septiarty, W. & Tutiwi, T. (2010). Studi kinetika reaksi pada metanolisis minyak jarak pagar. *J. Tek. Kim.*, 1(17), 15–22.
2. Furlan, C. & Mortarino, C. (2018). Forecasting the impact of renewable energies in competition with non-renewable sources. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.*, 81, 1879–1886.
3. Kusumaningtyas, R. D. et al. (2016). Application of tin(II) chloride catalyst for high FFA jatropha oil esterification in continuous reactive distillation column. *B. Chem. React. Eng. Cat.*, 11(1), 66–74.
4. Banchemo, M., Kusumaningtyas, R. D. & Gozzelino, G. (2014). Reactive distillation in the intensification of oleic acid esterification with methanol – A simulation case-study. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 20, 4242–4249.
5. Ramadhas, A. S., Jayaraj, S. & Muraleedharan, C. (2005). Biodiesel production from high FFA rubber seed oil. *Fuel*, 84, 335–340.

6. Kawentar, W. A. & Budiman, A. (2013). Synthesis of biodiesel from second-used cooking oil. *Energy Proced.*, 32, 190–199.
7. Fadhlullah, M., Widiyanto, S. N. B. & Restiawaty, E. (2015). The potential of nyamplung (*Calophyllum inophyllum* L.) seed oil as biodiesel feedstock: Effect of seed moisture content and particle size on oil yield. *Energy Proced.*, 68, 177–185.
8. Hidayat, A. et al. (2015). Esterification of palm fatty acid distillate with high amount of free fatty acids using coconut shell char based catalyst. *Energy Proced.*, 75, 969–974.
9. Kusumaningtyas, R. D. et al. (2014). Graphical energy analysis of reactive distillation column for biodiesel production. *Int. J. Energy*, 15(4), 447–467.
10. Kusumaningtyas, R. D. et al. (2014). Tin (II) chloride catalyzed esterification of high FFA jatropha oil: Experimental and kinetics study. *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev.*, 3(2), 75–81.
11. Bhatia, S. et al. (2007). Production of isopropyl palmitate in a catalytic distillation column: Comparison between experimental and simulation studies. *Com. Chem Eng.*, 31, 1187–1198.
12. Supardan, M. D. & Satriana, S. (2009). Esterification of free fatty acid in crude palm oil off grade. *J. Rekay. Lingku.*, 7(2), 70–74.
13. Gan, S. et al. (2010). Ferric sulphate catalysed esterification of free fatty acids in waste cooking oil. *Biores. Technol.*, 101, 7338–7343.
14. Ferreira, A. B., Cardoso, A. L. & Silva, M. J. (2012). Tin-catalyzed esterification and transesterification reactions: A review. *Int. Scholarly Res. Netw.*, article ID 142857, <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/142857>.
15. Srilatha, K. et al. (2009). Esterification of free fatty acids for biodiesel production over heteropoly tungstate supported on niobia catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.*, 365, 28–33.
16. Senania, A, Sulisty, H. & Prasetya, A. (2017). The synthesis of glycerol carbonate from biodiesel byproduct glycerol and urea over amberlyst 36. *JBAT*, 6(1), 1–5.

Terindeks Scopus SJR 0.191 (2018) dan ESCI Web of Science

1. SCOPUS

Source Details : Scopus.com

Coverage : from 2011 to Present



Source details

Journal of Physical Science

Scopus coverage years: from 2011 to Present

Publisher: Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia

ISSN: 1675-3402 E-ISSN: 2180-4230

Subject area: [Physics and Astronomy: General Physics and Astronomy](#) [Materials Science: General Materials Science](#)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#) [Set document alert](#) [Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

CiteScore 2020: 1.8

SJR 2020: 0.244

SNIP 2020: 0.734

[CiteScore](#) | [CiteScore rank & trend](#) | [Scopus content coverage](#)

i Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2020 ▼

1.8 = $\frac{382 \text{ Citations } 2017 - 2020}{218 \text{ Documents } 2017 - 2020}$

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021 ⊕

1.9 = $\frac{335 \text{ Citations to date}}{177 \text{ Documents to date}}$

Last updated on 04 August, 2021 - Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020 ⊕

| Category | Rank | Percentile |
|---------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Physics and Astronomy | | |
| ↳ General Physics and Astronomy | #135/233 | 42nd |
| Materials Science | | |
| ↳ General Materials Science | #286/455 | 37th |

Scimago Journal and Country Rank

SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

[Home](#) [Journal Rankings](#) [Country Rankings](#) [Viz Tools](#) [Help](#) [About Us](#)

Ads by Google


[Stop seeing this ad](#) [Why this ad?](#)








Journal of Physical Science

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| COUNTRY Malaysia  Universities and research institutions in Malaysia | SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY Materials Science └ Materials Science (miscellaneous) Physics and Astronomy └ Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous) | PUBLISHER Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia  University of Science, Malaysia in Scimago Institutions Rankings | H-INDEX 20 |
| PUBLICATION TYPE Journals | ISSN 16753402, 21804230 | COVERAGE 2011-2020 | INFORMATION Homepage |

SJR Information

SJR = 0.191 (2018)





Journal of Physical Science ← Show this widget in your own website

Q3 Materials Science (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2020 0.24

powered by scimagojr.com

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scima
```

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new free tool**.


[Get it](#)

Quartile Information

Quartile = Q3 (2018) area Material Sciences

Quartiles

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|----|
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2012 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2013 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2014 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2015 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2016 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2017 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2018 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2019 | Q3 |
| Materials Science (miscellaneous) | 2020 | Q3 |



2. Terindeks WoS (Emerging Sources Citation Index)

**Master Journal List** [Search Journals](#) [Match Manuscript](#) [Downloads](#) [Help Center](#) [Login](#) [Create Free Account](#)

 The power of the Web of Science™ on your mobile device, wherever inspiration strikes. [Dismiss](#) [Learn More](#)

Already have a manuscript? 

Use our Manuscript Matcher to find the best relevant journals!

[Find a Match](#)

Refine Your Search Results

[Search](#) Sort By: Relevancy ▾

Search Results

Found 20,579 results (Page 1) [Share These Results](#)

Exact Match Found

JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE

Publisher: **UNIV SAINS MALAYSIA , SCH BIOL SCI, PULAU PINANG, MALAYSIA, 00000**

ISSN / eISSN: **1675-3402 / 2180-4230**

Web of Science Core Collection: **Emerging Sources Citation Index**

[Share This Journal](#) [View profile page](#)

* Requires free login.

Filters

[Clear All](#)

- Web of Science Coverage ▾
- Open Access  ▾
- Category ▾
- Country / Region ▾
- Language ▾
- Frequency ▾