BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL PADA JURNAL

INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI



PENGUSUL

Maylia Pramono Sari, SE, M.Si, Akt, CA, ACPA NIDN 0003058012

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG

2021

Yth. Penilai Pada Usulan PAK

Bersama dengan surat ini, saya bermaksud menyertakan bukti bukti korespondensi proses review artikel pada Jurnal Internasional dengan judul "*Analysis Detection of Fraudulent Financial reporting Using the Fraud Diamond Perspective: Corporate Governance Mechanisms As The Moderating Variable*", dimuat pada Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews (HSSR) Journal, eISSN: 2395-6518, Vol 8, No 3, 2020, pp 1065-1072 (https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2020.83109). Adapun susunan kronologi bukti korespondensi terdiri dari beberapa poin, pada table di bawah ini:

No	Tanggal	Aktivitas			
1	21 Maret 2020	Submit manuscript pertama kali ke jurnal HSSR			
2	16 April 2020	Pemberitahuan dari Editor, Revisi			
3	18 April 2020	Mengunggah artikel revisi			
4	20 April 2020	Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel dinyatakan			
		accepted			
5	21 April 2020	Pembayaran Publication Fee			
6	19 Juni 2020	Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel di Proofread			
7	20 Juni 2020	Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel terpublikasi			

Demikian, agar dapat menjadi periksa Terimakasih

Semarang, 04 Februari 2022 Hormat saya,

Maylia Pramono Sari, SE, M.Si, Akt, CA, ACPA NIP. 198005032005012001

KRONOLOGI KORESPONDENSI PUBLIKASI ARTIKEL PADA JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI DAN BERFAKTOR DAMPAK

Judul : DETECTION FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS USING FRAUD DIAMOND THEORY OF THE PROPERTY AND CONSTRUCTION SECTORS IN INDONESIA

Volume : 8

Nomor : 3

Tanggal publikasi: 20 Juni 2020

ISSN (e) : 2395-6518

Hal : 1065-1072

Penulis : Maylia Pramono Sari, Kiswanto, Lintang Vernanda Rahmadani, Hera Khairunnisa, Imang Dapit Pamungkas

Submit manuscript pertama kali ke jurnal [21 Maret 2020]

Article Id assigned to your HSSR article

2 pesan

Menka Mishra <menka@hssr.in> Kepada: imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id Cc: Suneeta Shukla <suneeta@hssr.in> 21 Maret 2020 02.10

Dear Author Greetings from HSSR

Thanks for submitting your valuable research result for possible publication in Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews (HSSR). Kindly note down following special article ID assigned to your research article. Always mention your Article ID in the subject line of the email during communication with us. It will help you to find the CURRENT STATUS of the article at any point in time. You need not write to us to know article status. Please be patient while we are working on your article.

Article Title: ANALYSIS DETECTIONOF FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING USING THE FRAUD DIAMOND PERSPECTIVE: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS AS THE MODERATING VARIABLE Article ID: H8324 Link to see the current status: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/ 1PVgFOiJg0hw6DMzJJSB8JW0jmuJaRRbJcpFahT1SmpU/edit?usp=sharing

APC: 425\$ - A separate email will be sent with details of payment mode <u>if the article is accepted</u>. We suggest you visit www.hssr.in for full details. In case of any disagreement, you can withdraw your article at this very first stage.

With Warm Regards Menka Mishra Editorial Assistant, HSSR GIAP Journals Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com 21 Maret 2020 06.31

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Pemberitahuan dari Editor, Revisi [16 April 2020]

Article Revision Request [HSSR] Article IDH8324

4 pesan

Dr. Suneeta Shukla <editor@hssr.in> Kepada: imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id 16 April 2020 16.24

Dear Author Greetings from GIAP Journals [HSSR]

This is to inform you that the Editorial office of Humanities & Social Science Reviews, has received peer review comments/suggestions for the following article.

- Article ID/ Title: ANALYSIS DETECTION OF FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING USING THE FRAUD DIAMOND PERSPECTIVE: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS AS THE MODERATING VARIABLE
- Similarity Index [Checked by iThenticate (Turnitin Software)]: 1%

You are expected to revise your manuscript as per the following suggestions. Read all parts of the email carefully and act without fail.

Editor Comment - As per the new GIAP Journal Policy contribution of each author must be mentioned in the article and References must be arranged as per APA 7th edition guidelines.

Reviewer A comments:

Please do revise your manuscript as per the suggestion inside the manuscript.

Further comments are indicated inside the file. See them and revise accordingly. After corrections kindly indicate at the same place that the corrections are done by writing [Done] in square brackets.

Reviewer B comments:

- The manuscript must be arranged as per the attached hssr template. Especially abstract should be written in 5 subheadings of Purpose, Methodology, Main findings, Implications, Novelty.
- · The title should tell the true story of the research article.
- The first two lines of the abstract should tell about what has been done in this research. Don't tell the background of research in the abstract. All background information should go in the introduction section.
- Enter six (6) keywords that truly represent the essence of research work. Three keywords should be in the
 article title also.
- All citations must be hyperlinked with references. For help see this video https://youtu.be/XxTSeHTImNg

Note on Plagiarism and Similarity:

GIAP Journals follow the ZERO Plagiarism policy. We check all articles by iThenticate (Turnitin software). You are expected to see attached similarity report as well and take the following actions:

- Wherever you see the similarity, full paragraph or 2 to 3 lines full copy-pasted, you need to rewrite in your words. It will reduce similarity.
- 2. If you are taking any text, information, idea, images, data, table, etc. from some source then you should do paraphrasing and you have to give citations to that source. Otherwise, the article will be labeled as Plagiarized and Rejected and no query will be entertained.
- If your Similarity index is above 10%. You need to send a new Turnitin report from your side showing similarity
 of less than 10%.

In case you have any queries on this plagiarism issue contact our expert on What's App on +968 97124850.

Note: Kindly do all revisions in an attached file only, don't change file name or extension and send me back within 7 days. If you are not following the above suggestions, either your article will be rejected or delayed.

With Warm Regards Dr. Suneeta Shukla

Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

With Warm Regards Dr. Suneeta Shukla Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

With Warm Regards Dr. Suneeta Shukla Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

N

Virus-free, www.avg.com

With Warm Regards Dr. Suneeta Shukla Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

2 ampiran

H8324.docx 137K

hssr_2020_new template for Author docx 1211K

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com 16 April 2020 16.34

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

2 lampiran

H8324.docx 137K

hssr_2020_new template for Author docx 1211K

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: *Dr. Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in>

Dear Dr. Suneeta Shukla

Managing Editor, HSSR

18 April 2020 11.37

Mengunggah artikel revisi (18 April 2020)

Thanks for your information and review.

I submit the revised article in accordance with the reviewers' suggestions.

Thank you for making our article better, your suggestions and reviews are very valuable and add to our knowledge.

We are very lucky to be able to join and hopefully can soon publish in the HSSR journal.

Attached data.

Thanks you,

Best Regards

Imang Dapit Pamungkas

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

H8324.docx 142K

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com

18 April 2020 11.37

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

H8324.docx 142K

Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel dinyatakan accepted (20 April 2020)

Letter of Acceptance [HSSR] H8234

6 pesan

Dr. Suneeta Shukla <editor@hssr.in> Kepada: imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id 20 April 2020 18.10

Dear Maylia Pramono Sari , Kiswanto , Lintang Vernanda Rahmadani , Hera Khairunnisa and Imang Dapit Pamungkas

Greetings from GIAP journals

It's my pleasure to inform you that after the peer review, and subsequent revisions from the authors, the following article has been accepted to publish with Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews [eISSN 2395-6518].

Title: DETECTION FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS USING FRAUD DIAMOND THEORY OF THE PROPERTY AND CONSTRUCTION SECTORS IN INDONESIA

Your paper will be published online within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of the acceptance letter. Feel free to contact the undersigned if you have some queries or need any assistance.

With Warm Regards Dr. Suneeta Shukla Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: "Dr. Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in>

Dear Dr. Suneeta Shukla Managing Editor, HSSR GIAP Journals

Thanks for your information and good news. I am so Happy. Sory, How much for Publication fee? Thanks You.

Best Regard Imang Dapit Pamungkas [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: "Dr. Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in>

Dear Dr. Suneeta Shukla HSSR 20 April 2020 19.58

20 April 2020 18.34

11 Juni 2020 20.43

21 April 2020 17.26

elSSN: 2395-6518, Vol 8, No 3, 2020, pp 1065-1072

Pembayaran Publication Fee (21 April 2020)

Fw: You just sent a payment to GIAP Journals for invoice H8324/0107 3 pesan

Maylia Sari <maylia_1010@yahoo.com> Kepada: Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangunikal@gmail.com>, Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id>

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----Dari: Rio Guntur Utomo <rguntur utomo@gmail.com> Kepada: Maylia Sari <maylia_1010@yahoo.com> Terkirim: Selasa, 21 April 2020 10.22.04 UTC Judul: Fwd: You just sent a payment to GIAP Journals for invoice H8324/0107

Ini dr paypalnya bu. £355.68

------- Forwarded message -------From: service@paypal.co.uk <service@paypal.co.uk> Date: Tue, Apr 21, 2020 at 11:18 AM Subject: You just sent a payment to GIAP Journals for invoice H8324/0107 To: Rio Guntur Utomo <rguntur.utomo@gmail.com>

21 April 2020 03:17:39 PDT

Rio Guntur Utomo - You've just made a payment to .

Transaction ID: 8YB70738V8048042D

PayPal

You just sent a payment of \$425.00 USD.

Thanks for using PayPal. It may take a few moments for this transaction to appear in your account.

View Your Invoice

Invoice #H8324/0107

Payment to: GIAP Journals payments2giap@gmail.com

Delivery address:

54 Broadlands Road Southampton SO17 3AR United Kingdom

	Description	Quantity	Price	Amount
Article Scopu	Publication Charges (APC) for is indexed journal HSSR.	1.0	\$425.00 USD	\$425.00 USD
			Subtotal	\$425.00 USD
			Tip	\$0.00 USD
	Description Quantity Pric ublication Charges (APC) for 1.0 \$4: indexed journal HSSR. Point Point Point Point Point saction will appear on your card statement as PAYPAL *GYAN Point saction will appear on your card statement as PAYPAL *GYAN Point ct Resolution Centre Security Point aply to this email. To get in touch with us, click Help & Contact Point t © 1999-2020 PayPal. All rights reserved. Europe) S.à r.I. et Cie, S.C.A. Société en Commandite par Act K449, Luxembourg RCS Luxembourg B 118 349 PC000954:1.17:a388e4dc0c496 mungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> neta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in> mungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> neta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in></editor@hssr.in></imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id></editor@hssr.in></imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id>	Total	\$425.00 USD	
			Amount paid	\$425.00 USD
			Amount due	\$0.00 USD
			Funding source	
			VISA x-5083	£355.68 GBP
			Exchange rate	GBP = 1.19491 USD
			From amount	£355.68 GBP
			To amount	\$425.00 USD
Copyri PayPa PayPa	t reply to this email. To get in too ght © 1999-2020 PayPal. All right I (Europe) S.à r.I. et Cie, S.C.A.	nts reserved. Société en Commandit	e par Actions Registered (Office: 22-24 Boulevard
PayPa	I PPC000954:1.17:a388e4dc0c4	496		
nang Dapit epada: "Dr. \$ [Kutipan teks di	Pamungkas ≺imangdapit.pamu Suneeta Shukla" ≺editor@hssr.ir semburyikan]	ngkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id n>	>	21 April 2020 17.2
mang Dapit I Kepada: "Dr. S	Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamu Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.ir< td=""><td>ngkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id n></td><td>></td><td>11 Juni 2020 20.2</td></editor@hssr.ir<></imangdapit.pamu 	ngkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id n>	>	11 Juni 2020 20.2
Fon Dari: Maylia Date: Sel, 2 Subject: Fw To: Imang D dinus.ac.id>	warded message a Sari <maylia_1010@yahoo.co 1 Apr 2020 17.26 : You just sent a payment to GIA apit Pamungkas <imangunikal@< td=""><td>m> NP Journals for invoice I @gmail.com>, Imang Da</td><td>H8324/0107 apit Pamungkas <imangda< td=""><td>apit.pamungkas@dsn.</td></imangda<></td></imangunikal@<></maylia_1010@yahoo.co 	m> NP Journals for invoice I @gmail.com>, Imang Da	H8324/0107 apit Pamungkas <imangda< td=""><td>apit.pamungkas@dsn.</td></imangda<>	apit.pamungkas@dsn.

Thanks for your information. We finish to pay publication fee on April 21, 2020. Sorry how the Progress of publication ID H8324? We Hope soon publication on HSSR Journal Reputable. Aamiin.

Thanks Best Regard Imang Dapit Pamungkas

Pada tanggal Sen, 20 Apr 2020 18.11, Dr. Suneeta Shukla <editor@hssr.in> menulis: [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Dr. Suneeta Shukla <editor@hssr.in> Kepada: Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> 12 Juni 2020 11.10

12 Juni 2020 11.39

Your article has been sent to publication house.

Virus free, www.avg.com

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan] [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] https://giapjournals.com/index.php/hssr/index

Mission: HSSR Journal aims to become the most trusted Indian journal of humanities & social sciences research by the year 2022.

Virus-free, www.avg.com

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com

------ Forwarded message ------Dari: Dr. Suneeta Shukla <editor@hssr.in> [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

19 Juni 2020 20.22

Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel di Proofread (19 Juni 2020)

Proofreading advise [H8324]

3 pesan

Dr. Gulbir Singh <gulbir@giapjournals.com>

Kepada: imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id

Cc: GIAP Journals <editor@giapjournals.com>, "Dr. Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in>

Dear Author(s),

Thank you for your excellent contribution to Humanities & Social Science Reviews (HSSR). Attached is the final formatted copy of your article which you have submitted to GIAP Journals. Please do proof-reading and verify it carefully and reply to us back in 48 hours* (Two business days). Following 3 information are very crucial:

1. Names, affiliation, and emails id of all authors are correct as per your records.

2. Log in to your account at www.hssr.in and verify that a similar name and records are available on the website

as well. If you wish you add suitable biography, ORCID ID for authors in the website portal. (Not in word file) 3. All tables and figures are numbered and legends are proper.

Do the required corrections in the attached file and do yellow highlight so that we can track it easily. Don't edit the format/style or name of the file.

Please reply to this email, even if there are no corrections.

*If you do not send your reply/delay due to any of reason(s), it will be considered that your article is correct in all respect and after publication in website no correction(s) will be entertained in any condition.

Thanks & Regards, Dr. Gulbir Singh, Ph.D Editorial Assistant, GIAP Journals

Vision: GIAP Journals aims to become the most authentic Indian publisher of the latest academic research. Core Value: Readers First (to publish error-free, authentic and latest research in a reader-friendly language)

P Save trees, Print Only When Necessary

H8324_proofreading.docx 125K

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

H8324_proofreading.docx 125K

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: "Dr. Gulbir Singh" <gulbir@giapjournals.com>

Dear Dr. Gulbir Singh, Ph.D Editorial Assistant, GIAP Journals

Thanks for your information for the publication of our article. We are very proud and happy to hear that because it can be published in reputable international journals such as HSSR.

19 Juni 2020 20.40

20 Juni 2020 09-37

Congratulations to HSSR now indexed Scopus Q1. We hope that HSSR will be more successful. We attach a little revision that we have marked in yellow.

Attached data. Thank you

Best regards, Imang Dapit Pamungkas [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

H8324_proofreading.docx 129K

20 Juni 2020 19.08

elSSN: 2395-6518, Vol 8, No 3, 2020, pp 1065-1072

Pemberitahuan dari Editor bahwa artikel terpublikasi (20 Juni 2020)

[HSSR] Article Publication Notification

2 pesan

Dr. Gulbir Singh <gulbir@giapjournals.com> Kepada: imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id Cc: GIAP Journals <editor@giapjournals.com>, "Dr. Suneeta Shukla" <editor@hssr.in>

Dear Author, Greetings from GIAP Journals

am happy to inform you that the following research article authored by you has been successfully published in Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews [eISSN 23956518]. Thank you for publishing your valuable research results in this journal.

Title: DETECTION FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS USING FRAUD DIAMOND THEORY OF THE PROPERTY AND CONSTRUCTION SECTORS IN INDONESIA

Link to download: https://giapjournals.com/hssr/issue/view/137

To enhance the readership of your articles, follow these steps immediately:

- 1. Join SSRN of Elsevier for FREE and upload all published articles here. This network will increase your readership very fast.
- Join Academia Edu for FREE and upload the article here. Select keywords carefully so that it reaches to maximum audiences. [Can easily join by GMail]
- 3. Create a Google Scholar account and you can manually add your articles.
- 4. Join the Mendeley network and share your published article with peers.
- 5. Upload in your University repositories and share your article with colleagues and peers.
- 6. Add the article URL in your email signature.
- Share the URL of your article on Facebook, Twitter, and linked in platforms so that your contacts can see your work and can read it.

NOTE: Due to the pandemic situation across the globe, SCOPUS indexing of published articles may take more than usual time, so be patient.

Thanks & Regards, Dr. Gulbir Singh, Ph.D Editorial Assistant, GIAP Journals

Vision: GIAP Journals aims to become the most authentic Indian publisher of the latest academic research. Core Value: Readers First (to publish error-free, authentic and latest research in a reader-friendly language)

P Save trees. Print Only When Necessary

Imang Dapit Pamungkas <imangdapit pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id> Kepada: maylia_1010@yahoo.com 20 Juni 2020 19.16

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



DETECTION FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CORPORATE **GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS USING FRAUD DIAMOND THEORY OF** THE PROPERTY AND CONSTRUCTION SECTORS IN INDONESIA Maylia Pramono Sari¹, Kiswanto², Lintang Vernanda Rahmadani³, Hera Khairunnisa⁴, Imang Dapit

Pamungkas^{5*}

^{1,2,3}Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia, ⁴Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia; ^{5*}Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Indonesia. Email: *imangdapit.pamungkas@dsn.dinus.ac.id

Article History: Received on 12th April 2020, Revised on 28th May 2020, Published on 20th June 2020

Abstract

Purpose of the study: This study aims to analyze the detection of the risk factors of fraudulent financial reporting and corporate governance mechanisms as moderating variables with fraud diamond theory of the property and construction sector in Indonesia. The risk factors of fraudulent financial reporting by financial targets, ineffective monitoring, auditor change, change of directors.

Methodology: The sample selection using purposive method sampling. The number of population in this study was 219. The samples of this study were 114 property and construction sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during 2016-2018. This study tests the hypothesis in multivariate analysis using logistic regression with IBM SPSS Statistics 25.

Main Findings: The results of this study the board of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership are able to moderate the relationship between financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting. The companies are able to optimize corporate governance mechanisms, especially the roles of the board of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership. So, that fraudulent financial reporting in the companies can decrease.

Implications of this study: The results of this study are expected to provide practical implications for companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, namely the need to strengthen the board of commissioners, independent commissioners, and institutional ownership to detect and prevent fraudulent financial reporting. The higher effectiveness of monitoring will be able to minimize the occurrence of fraudulent financial reporting.

Novelty/Originality of this study: This study uses fraud diamond theory to detect and tests the moderating variables of corporate governance mechanisms on the relationship between the determinant fraudulent financial reporting. The study uses a moderating variable that is corporate governance mechanisms which is proxy by the board of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership, and audit committee.

Keywords: Corporate Governance Mechanism, Fraud Diamond Theory, Fraudulent Financial Reporting, Financial Target, Independent Commissioners, Institutional Ownership.

INTRODUCTION

Fraud diamond theory is a theory put forward by Wolfe & Hermanson, (2004) adding capability as a complementary element of the fraud triangle, namely pressure, opportunity, and rationalization. Opportunity is a door for someone to commit fraud, pressure and rationalization can encourage people to do it. However, fraud will not occur without the right people with capability (Wolfe & Hermanson, 2004). In fact, there many people who commit fraud in presenting financial statements such as marking up and manipulating the amount of data of financial statements (Irwandi et al., 2019; Ugrin& Odom, 2010; Utomo et al., 2019). Fraudulent financial reporting is a deviation from the financial statements. Fraudulent financial reporting is common in Indonesia, including PT Waskita Karya. In the middle of 2009, it was discovered the financial engineering carried out by the directors of the previous period, there was an excess of profit recording by Rp.400 billion. At the end of 2018, PT Waskita Karya was again exposed to fraud cases involving managers of PT Waskita Karya, it is suspected that they have recorded 14 fictitious projects and caused the state tosuffer a loss of Rp.186 billion. Based on the Indonesian Consumers Foundation (YLKI), cases of complaints against fraudulent financial reporting in the property sector ranked third in 2014, went up to second place in 2015, and in 2016 itstill ranked second. In 2017, the property was rank third, and in 2019 complaints against the property ranked third.

This research is conducted to show inconsistencies in the fraud diamond theory from previous research such as Pamungkas et al., (2018), Azizah & Anisykurlillah, (2014), Annisya et al., (2016), Zaki, (2017) show that the financial target does not affect the fraudulent financial reporting. Meanwhile, on the studies of Santoso, (2019), Mardiani et al., (2017), Nugraheni & Triatmoko, (2016) financial target has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting. The nature of industry, research conducted by Zaki, (2017) shows that there is an influence on fraudulent financial reporting. However, the research of Nugraheni & Triatmoko, (2016) and Annisya et al., (2016) show that the nature of the industry does not affect on fraudulent financial reporting. Research conducted by Mardiani et al., (2017) and Zaki, (2017) show that the



auditor change does not affect fraudulent financial reporting. Meanwhile, <u>Santoso</u>, (2019) stated that the auditor change has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting. In the research of <u>Pamungkas et al.</u>, (2018) the change of directors influences fraudulent financial reporting. However, <u>Santoso</u>, (2019), <u>Mardiani</u>, et al., (2017), <u>Annisya et al.</u>, (2016) shows that the change of directors has no effect on fraudulent financial reporting.

Based on the background description, the phenomenon and the research gap provide the opportunity for researchers to examine the factors that can affect fraudulent financial reporting. There are still inconsistencies in the results of previous studies so that motivating and interesting to do further research with the corporate governance mechanism as a moderating variable.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Fraud Diamond Theory

This theory assumes that between principal and agent have their respective interests which will lead to a conflict of interest (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Principal as a shareholder wants the company's financial performance to increase so that the rate of return on investment is high while management as an agent also has an interest in improving their welfare(Nugraheni & Triatmoko, 2016). Managements can easily commit fraud because they have the ability and the opportunity to commit fraud (Yusof and Lai, 2014). In 2004, there was a fraud theory that was introduced by Wolfe & Hermanson, (2004), the theory known as fraud diamond theory. Research conducted by Wolfe & Hermanson, (2004) perfected the theory found by Skousen et al., (2009) by adding the capability element as the fourth element besides pressure, opportunity, and rationalization, these factors influence someone to commit fraud. Wolfe & Hermanson, (2004) argue that a lot of fraud worth billions of dollars cheating will not occur without capability. Opportunity can open a way for someone to commit fraud. Still, the person must have the ability to be able to recognize these opportunities.

Hypothesis Development

Cases of fraud on these financial statements are common and seize the attention of economists. One of them is the fraud diamond theory. Thus, the researchers use corporate governance mechanisms as a moderating variable to prevent fraudulent financial reporting in the fraud diamond theory. Company managers strive to improve their performance to achieve financial targets that have been planned (Manurung & Hardika, 2015; Pamungkas & Utomo, 2018). Investors will be interested in a company if the ROA value of the company is high (Nugraheni & Triatmoko, 2016). Hence, the higher the probability of a company commits fraudulent financial reporting by manipulating the numbers in the financial statements to look good and achieve the planned targets (Nugraheni & Triatmoko, 2016). This is consistent with the opinion of Skousen et al., (2009) uncollectible accounts, and obsolete inventory can be used to identify the time of manipulation of financial statements in the company. This argument is supported by Loebbecke et al., (1989) found that accounts receivable and inventories accounts were involved in a large number of frauds.

Rationalization is one of the important factors in fraud. Rationalizing fraud can be easily measured by those who are accustomed to dishonesty (Mardiani et al., 2017). Auditor change or public accounting firm is one of the proxies of rationalization (Skousen et al., 2009). Higher the public accounting firm switching, the higher the fraud that occurs in the company (Pamungkas et al., 2018). Wolfe & Hermanson, (2004) ability is a person's attempt to commit fraud to achieve certain goals. Six important elements that exist in capability, namely: position/function, the level of confidence/ego, intelligence, coercion skills, immunity to stress, and effective lying (Wolfe & Hermanson, 2004). Based on these characteristics, the positions of directors, CEO, and other division heads are in accordance with the characters.

The change of directors aims to get rid of old directors who have known fraud committed by the company (Nugraheni & Triatmoko, 2016; Pamungkas & Utomo, 2018). The change of directors can cause a stress period, so that it can trigger opportunities and chances to do. This is due to the new directors do not fully know about the company, which leads to ineffective performance, so it can open up opportunities to commit fraud (Nugraheni & Triatmoko, 2016). The effectiveness of the monitoring carried out by the board of commissioners will minimize the occurrence of fraud, despite the high level of financial targets, nature of the industry, auditors change, and changes of directors. Dechow et al., (1996)also stated that the composition of the board of commissioners is able to prevent fraud action. Pamungkas et al., (2018) conclude that the board of independent commissioners can influence fraud because in its supervision it works independently. Fraud on these financial statements will be reduced, even though the level of financial targets, the nature of the industry, auditors change, and changes of directors in the company are high.

Another ownership structure is institutional ownership. Institutional shareholders usually take the form of entities suchas pension funds, mutual funds, banking, and insurance (<u>Das, 2017</u>; <u>Hu & Zhou, 2008</u>). Institutional investors have the capability to analyze financial statements directly compared to other investors (<u>Cheung et al., 2015</u>; <u>Ibrani et al., 2019</u>; <u>Trisnantari, 2010</u>). The audit committee is the internal party of the company whose job is to assist the board of commissioners in ensuring oversight of financial reporting (<u>Santoso, 2019</u>). <u>Anwaar, (2016</u>); <u>Gamayuni, (2015</u>); <u>Utomo et al., (2018</u>) stated the company can provide more supervision over management performance so that the existence of the audit committee can detect fraudulent financial reporting that exist in the company. The existence of an audit committee in a company will minimize the occurrence of fraudulent financial reporting in the company despite the



financial targets, nature of the industry, auditors change, and change of directors are high. Thus, the researchers can formulate a hypothesis as follows: The theoretical framework for examining the effect of financial targets, nature of industry, auditors change, changes of directors on fraudulent financial reporting and corporate governance mechanisms moderating variable.

H1: Financial target has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting. H2:

Nature of industry has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting. H3:

Changes in auditors has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting.H4:

Change of directors has an effect on fraudulent financial reporting.

H5: Board of commissioners can moderate the relationship of financial targets, nature of the industry, auditor changeand change of director on fraudulent financial reporting.

H6: Independent commissioners can moderate the relationship of financial targets, nature of the industry, auditor change and change of director to the fraudulent financial reporting.

H7: Institutional ownership can moderate the relationship of financial targets, nature of the industry, auditor change and change of director on fraudulent financial reporting.

H8: Audit committee can moderate the relationship of financial targets, nature of the industry, auditor change and change of director on fraudulent financial reporting.



Figure 1: Research Model

METHODOLOGY

This research used quantitative methods and secondary data. The population used in this study are property and construction sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) for the period of 2016-2018. The sample selection was based on purposive method sampling. The number of population in this study was 219, from the sample collection, 114 samples were obtained. The following is a sample selection based on predetermined criteria:

No.	Sample Criteria	Number of Companies
1.	Property and construction sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in the 2016-2018 periods.	74
2.	Companies that were not listed on the IDX during the 2016-2018 period.	(15)
3.	Companies that did not publish annual financial statements on the company's websiteor IDX website during the 2016-2018 period.	(1)
4.	Companies that did not disclose data relating to research variables and Which were not completely available (overall data not available on publication during the 2016-2018 periods).	(7)
Total c	ompanies that meet the criteria	51
Data or	utlier when processing data	(13)
Total S	Sample	38 x 3 = 114

Source: The Processed Secondary Data (2020)

All components of risk from fraud diamond theory cannot be observed directly. The pressure is proxy by financial targets (ROA), the opportunity is proxy by nature of the industry (Receivable), rationalization is proxy by auditor change(Δ CPA), and capability is proxy by director replacement (DCHANGE), as well as corporate governance mechanisms proxy with boards of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership and audit committees.

Variables	Definition	Indicators	Scale	References
Fraudulent Financial Reporting (F- Score) (Dependent Variable)	This research is conducted to detect fraudulent financial reporting by using the fraud score model as specified by (Dechow, Ge, Larson, & Sloan, 2011).	F-Score Code 1 for companies commit fraudulent financial reporting, Code 0 non-fraudulent financial reporting	Nominal	Nugraheni & Triatmoko, (2016)
Financial Target (ROA) (Independent Variable)	The ratio used to describe the net earnings earned by the company in the current year.	ROA = Net Profit After Tax Total Asset	Ratio	(Skousen etal., (2009)
Nature of Industry (Independent Variable)	Risk factors that arise as a result of the economic environment and the policies in which the entity operates.	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Receivable} = \\ \hline \text{Receivable} & \text{Receivable} \\ \hline \underbrace{(t)}_{\text{Sales}(t)} \underbrace{(t-1)}_{\text{Sales}(t-1)} \end{array}$	Ratio	<u>Skousen et</u> al., (2009)
Auditor Change (Independent Variable)	Change of Public Accounting Firm(KAP) every year by the company <u>(Skousen et al.,</u> <u>2009)</u>	Code 1 if there is an auditor change or KAP and if code 0 if there is no auditor change or KAP.	Nominal	<u>Utomo et al.,</u> (2018) (Sugita, 2018)
Change of Directors (Independent Variable)	Transfer of authority and responsibility from the old board of directors to thenew board of directors.	Code 1 is a change director and code 0 if no change directors.	Nominal	<u>Zaki, (2017)</u>
Board of Commissioners (Moderating Variable)	The board of commissioners has the authority and responsibility inoverseeing, directing, and controlling the management of company resources.	A number of the company's board of commissioners.	Nominal	<u>Pamungkaset</u> al.,2018)
Independent Commissioners (Moderating Variable)	Members of the board of commissioners who are not affiliated with the controlling shareholder, between the commissioners, management, and other parties who are able to influence their ability to be independent.	A number of independent commissioners from outside the company.	Nominal	<u>Pamungkas et</u> al.,(2018)
Institutional Ownership (Moderating Variable)	Institutional ownership has the ability to control management through effective supervision so as to minimize fraud on the company.	$\mathbf{KI} = \frac{\text{Total institutional share}}{\text{Total outstanding share}}$	Ratio	<u>Pamungkas</u> et al. <u>,(2018)</u>
Audit committee (Moderating Variable)	Having the responsibility to oversee financial statements, supervise external audits, and observe internal control systems in order to reduce the opportunistic nature of management.	Number of audit committees	Nominal	<u>Utomo et al.,</u> (2018) (Sugita, 2018)

Table 2: Operational Definition of Variables

Source: The Processed Secondary Data (2020)

This study uses inferential statistical analysis for hypothesis testing. The method used to test the hypotheses in this study is a multivariate analysis using logistic regression because this research variable is a combination of metric and non- metric (nominal). Logistic regression is a regression to test the extent to which the probability of the occurrence of a dependent variable can be predicted with an independent variable. Hypothesis testing in this study uses logistic regression by ignoring the tests of normality, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation. Because before testing the hypothesis, the first step that must be done is to assess the feasibility of the regression model and to assess the fit model. The function of assessing the feasibility of the regression model and model fit is a substitute for the classical assumption test.



Research using logistic regression ignores the testing of normality for the independent variable. Regression model 1 is a factor that influences fraudulent financial reporting and in model 2 which is by analysis technique based on interaction regression. The logistic regression model in testing the hypothesis in model 1 is Ln $F_{1-F} = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 + \beta 3x^2$

$$\beta 4x4 + e$$
 and hypothesis testing in model 2 is Ln $F_{1-F} = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 + \beta 4x4 + \beta 5x5 + e$. Ln F_{1-F} is a dummy

variable, where companies that commit fraudulent financial reporting are represented by 1 and companies that do not commit fraudulent financial reporting are represented by 0, X1= Financial Target, X2= Nature of Industry, X3= Auditors Change, X4= Change of Directors, X5= Board of Commissioners, X6= Independent Commissioner, X7= Institutional Ownership, X8= Audit Committee, $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 3$, $\beta 4$, $\beta 5$, and (regression coefficient) and e= error. The result of the SPSS output, this testing was carried out with various stages that must be passed. First, assessing Hosmer and Lemes how Goodness of the fittest or the feasibility of the regression model. Second, the coefficient of determination. Third, the Overall Fit model. Next, the descriptive statistical analysis which includes sample size, average, maximum, and minimum, and standard deviation.

RESULTS/FINDINGS

Descriptive statistics and logistic regression are statistics used to analyze data by describing collected data as it is without the intention of making inferences that are applicable to the public or generalizations. Table 3, presents descriptive statistics and Table 4, present hypothesis testing using logistic regression.

Variables	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Financial Target	114	06	.16	.0388	.04260
Nature of Industry	114	-4.56	8.37	.1693	1.47489
Auditor Change	114	0	1	.25	.432
Change of Directors	114	0	1	.13	.340
Board of Commissioner	114	2	8	4.14	1.534
Independent Commissioner	114	0	4	1.40	.606
Institutional Ownership	114	.08	.97	.6528	.20335
Audit Committee	114	1	5	2.97	.488
Fraudulent Financial Reporting	114	0	1	.36	.482

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics

Source: Secondary data processed (2020)

Table 4: Result of Hypothesis

Variables	Significance	Description	
$X1 \rightarrow Y$	0.693	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X2 \rightarrow Y$	0.374	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X3 \rightarrow Y$	0.620	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X4 \rightarrow Y$	0.780	Hypothesis Rejected	
$XI*Z1 \rightarrow Y$	0.033	Hypothesis Accepted	
$X2*Z1 \rightarrow Y$	0.086	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X3*Z1 \rightarrow Y$	0.100	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X4*Z1 \rightarrow Y$	0.227	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X1*Z2 \rightarrow Y$	0.012	Hypothesis Accepted	
$X2*Z2 \rightarrow Y$	0.089	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X3*Z2 \rightarrow Y$	0.999	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X4*Z2 \rightarrow Y$	0.367	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X1*Z3 \rightarrow Y$	0.034	Hypothesis Accepted	
$X2*Z3 \rightarrow Y$	0.274	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X3*Z3 \rightarrow Y$	0.268	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X4*Z3 \rightarrow Y$	0.827	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X1*Z4 \rightarrow Y$	0.346	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X2*Z4 \rightarrow Y$	0.859	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X3*Z4 \rightarrow Y$	0.615	Hypothesis Rejected	
$X4*Z4 \rightarrow Y$	0.997	Hypothesis Rejected	

Source: Secondary data processed (2020)

Based on hypothesis testing, the results of this study are financial targets, nature of the industry, auditor change and substitution of directors have no significant effect on fraudulent financial reporting. The board of commissioners,



The board of commissioners cannot significantly moderate the influence of the nature of the industry on fraudulent financial reporting. The board of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership cannotsignificantly moderate the effect of auditor's change on fraudulent financial reporting. The board of commissioners, institutional ownership, audit committee cannot significantly moderate the effect of change of directors on fraudulent financial reporting. Independent commissioners, institutional ownership, the audit committee cannot significantly moderate the effect of the nature of industry on fraudulent financial reporting. Institutional ownership can significantly moderate the effect of financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting. The audit committee cannot significantly moderate the effect of financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting. The audit committee cannot significantly moderate the effect of financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting. The audit committee cannot significantly moderate the effect of financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting.

DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS

Empirically the financial target does not influence the fraudulent financial reporting. <u>Manurung & Hardika</u>, (2015) thatan increase in company profitability can also be done by improving the quality of the company's operations. <u>Annisya et al.</u>, (2016); <u>Manurung & Hardika</u>, (2015); <u>Pamungkas et al.</u>, (2018) which states that financial targets do not have a significant effect on fraudulent financial reporting. However, this study contrasts with the findings of (<u>Mardiani et al.</u>, 2017; <u>Nugraheni</u> <u>& Triatmoko</u>, 2016; <u>Santoso</u>, 2019). The nature of the industry has no effect on fraudulent financial reporting. The results of this study are in line with research conducted by <u>Annisya et al.</u>, (2016); <u>Nugraheni & Triatmoko</u>, (2016); <u>Skousen et al.</u>, 2009) which states that the nature of the industry does not significantly influence the fraudulent financial reporting.

The effect of auditor change is not significant in fraudulent financial reporting. However, the company made auditors change to show the company's performance which always looks good. <u>Pamungkas et al., (2018)</u>, <u>Mardiani et al., (2017)</u>, and <u>Zaki</u>, (2017) state that auditor changes have no significant effect on fraudulent financial reporting. The aforementioned change rules are still in effect until the emergence of Government Regulation Number 20 the Year 2015 which explains the auditor's change to be made no later than for five consecutive financial years which come into forcein April 2015. The change of directors does not influencefraudulent financial reporting. The results of this study are not in accordance with the theory of <u>Wolfe &Hermanson, (2004)</u> which states that the ability to influence acts of fraud. <u>Santoso, (2019)</u>, <u>Mardiani et al., (2017)</u>, <u>Annisya et al., (2016)</u>, and <u>Nugraheni & Triatmoko, (2017)</u> who stated that the change of directors had no significant effect against fraudulent financial reporting. The higher effectiveness of monitoring will be able to minimize fraudulent financial reporting.

The number of accounts receivable owned by the company will definitely reduce the amount of cash that can be used by the company for its operations (Utomo & Pamungkas, 2018). Board of commissioners cannot moderate the relationship between the nature of industry on the fraudulent financial reporting (Irwandi et al, 2019; Pamungkas & Utomo, 2018). This research is contrary to agency theory, where if companies change auditors more frequently, it will cause a higher conflict of interest between agents and principals. The adverse selection problem occurs because the agent has more extensive information about the company's condition than the principal so that the situation will be exploited by theagent to commit fraud (Dewi et al., 2018). Management will manipulate management performance information to makeit look good and meet predetermined targets (Pamungkas et al., 2018). It can be concluded that the existence of an independent commissioner in a company will minimize fraudulent financial reporting. Monitoring and supervision conducted by an independent commissioner is not a guarantee that the company will not carry out fraudulent financial reporting. The results of this study are not in accordance with the theory of Wolfe and Hermanson (2004) which states that the ability to influence acts of fraud.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study the board of commissioners, independent commissioners, institutional ownership are able to moderate the relationship between financial targets on fraudulent financial reporting. The higher the effectiveness of supervision carried out by the institution will be able to minimize the occurrence of fraudulent financial reporting in the company. Supervision carried out by institutional ownership is not a guarantee that the company will not carry out fraudulent financial reporting. Next, Financial targets set by the company are able to increase the possibility of management making fraudulent financial reporting. The existence of an audit committee in the company that can help directors in the case of company supervision is not a guarantee that the company will not carry out fraudulent financial reporting.

LIMITATION AND STUDY FORWARD

In this study, there is a limitation that is the sample used only in the property and construction sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Future studies are expected to be able to use a broader sample of other sectors, for more general results. Future studies can expand the observation period to a greater number of samples and be able to use other theories such as fraud pentagon theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank the Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Semarang Indonesia for supporting participationin this international research.



AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Maylia Pramono Sari contributed to the concepts, theories, and methodology. The data are gathered; furthermore, the data are processed and analyzed by Lintang Vernanda Rahmadani. The results of the study and translate were reviewed by Kiswanto. Then the results of this study are discussed by all authors to improve the quality of this manuscript. Hera Khairunnisa contributed add references to this study. Imang Dapit Pamungkas contributed to finishing, editing, and corresponding.

REFERENCES

- 1. Annisya, M., Lindrianasari, & Asmaranti, Y. (2016). Pendeteksian Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan Menggunakan Fraud Diamond. *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Ekonomi*, 23(1), 72–89.
- 2. Anwaar, M. (2016). Global Journal of Management and Business Research: D Accounting and Auditing Volume. *Impact of Firms' Performance on Stock Returns (Evidence From Listed Companies of Fste100 Index London, Uk)*, 16(1).
- Azizah, R., & Anisykurlillah, I. (2014). Pengaruh Ukuran Perusahaan, Debt Default, dan Kondisi Keuangan Perusahaan Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 3(ISSN 2252-6765), 361–369.
- Cheung, W. M., Chung, R., & Fung, S. (2015). The effects of stock liquidity on firm value and corporate governance: Endogeneity and the REIT experiment. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 35, 211–231. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2015.09.001</u>
- Das, S. K. (2017). Impact of Corporate Governance Mechanisms on Firm's Performance: A Study on Listed Conventional Banks. Asian Business Review, 7(1), 15–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.18034/abr.v7i1.6</u>

6. Dechow, P. M., Ge, W., Larson, C. R., & Sloan, R. G. (2011). Predicting Material Accounting Misstatements. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 28(1), 17–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.2010.01041.x</u>

- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1996). Causes and consequences of earnings manipulation: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by the SEC. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 13(1), 1–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.1996.tb00489.x</u>
- Dewi, A. S., Susanti, F., Magdalena, M., Zulvia, D., & Fernos, J. (2018). The Effect of Auditing Quality and Independent Board of Commissioners on Financial Performance of Property and Real Estate Companies in Indonesia. 57(Piceeba), 123–132. <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/piceeba-18.2018.39</u>
- 9. Gamayuni, R. R. (2015). The Effect Of Intangible Asset Financial Performance And Financial Policies On The Firm Value. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 4(1), 202–212.
- Hu, Y., & Zhou, X. (2008). The performance effect of managerial ownership: Evidence from China. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 32(10), 2099–2110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2007.12.047</u>
- Ibrani, E. Y., Faisal, F., & Handayani, Y. D. (2019). The determinant of non-GAAP earnings management practices and its impact on firm value. *Cogent Business and Management*, 6(1), 1–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2019.1666642</u>
- 12. Irwandi, S. A., Ghozali, I., Faisal, & Pamungkas, I. D. (2019). Detection fraudulent financial statement: Beneish m-score model. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, *16*, 271–281.
- 13. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *3*, 305–360. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X</u>
- 14. Loebbecke, J. K., Eining, M. M., & Willingham, J. J. (1989). Auditors experience with material irregularitiesfrequency, nature, and detectability. *Auditing-A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 9(1), 1–28.
- 15. Manurung, D. T. H., & Hardika, A. L. (2015). Analysis of factors that influence financial statement fraud in the perspective fraud diamond: Empirical study on banking companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange year 2012 to 2014. *International Conference on Accounting Studies (ICAS)*, (November). <u>https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.18-7-2019.2288648</u>
- Mardiani, S., Sukarmanto, E., & Maemunah, M. (2017). Pengaruh Fraud Diamond Terhadap Pendeteksian Financial Statement Fraud dengan Komite Audit Sebagai Variabel Moderasi. *Prosiding Akuntansi*, 3(2), 476– 484.
- Nugraheni, N. K., & Triatmoko, H. (2016). Analisis Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi terjadinya Financial Statement Fraud: Perspectif Diamond Fraud Theory (Studi Pada Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2014-2016). 2002(1), 35–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/CICED.2018.8592188</u>
- Pamungkas, Imang Dapit, Ghozali, I., & Achmad, T. (2018). A pilot study of corporate governance and accounting fraud: The fraud diamond model. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, 12(2), 253–261. <u>https://doi.org/10.24052/JBRMR/V12IS02/APSOCGAAFTFDM</u>
- 19. Pamungkas, Imang Dapit, & Utomo, S. D. (2018). Fraudulent financial reporting: An application of fraud pentagon theory to the association of southeast Asian nations corporate governance scorecard. *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, 9(5), 1729–1737. <u>https://doi.org/10.14505//jarle.v9.5(35).26</u>
- 20. Santoso, S. H. (2019). Fenomena kecurangan laporan keuangan pada perusahaan terbuka di indonesia. 6(2), 171–192.
- 21. Skousen, C. J., Smith, K. R., & Wright, C. J. (2009). Detecting and Predicting Financial Statement Fraud: The

Effectiveness of The Fraud Triangle and SAS No. 99 in Corporate Governance and Firm Performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* (Vol. 32). <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-3732(2011)0000014001</u>

- 22. Sugita, M. (2018). Peran Komite Audit sebagai variabel moderasi terhadap hubungan fraud diamond dan pendeteksian financial Statement Fraud (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2014-2016). (1), 43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004</u>
- 23. Trisnantari, A. N. (2010). Pengaruh Corporate Governance pada Hubungan Pergantian Chief Executive Officer dengan Kinerja Perusahaan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Humanika*, 1(2).
- Ugrin, J. C., & Odom, M. D. (2010). Exploring Sarbanes-Oxley's effect on attitudes, perceptions of norms, and intentions to commit financial statement fraud from a general deterrence perspective. *Journal of Accountingand Public Policy*, 29(5), 439–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2010.06.006
- 25. Utomo, S. D., Machmuddah, Z., & Pamungkas, I. D. (2019). The effect of auditor switching and managerial ownership on the fraudulent financial statement. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, *16*, 306–315.
- Utomo, S. D., & Pamungkas, I. D. (2018). Cash flow activities and stock returns in manufacturing of Indonesia: A moderating role of earning management. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 22(6), 1–10.
- 27. Utomo, S. D., Pamungkas, I. D., & Machmuddah, Z. (2018). The moderating effects of managerial ownership on accounting conservatism and quality of earnings. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 22(6), 1–11.
- 28. Wolfe, D. T., & Hermanson, D. R. (2004). The Fraud Diamond : Considering the Four Elements of Fraud: Certified Public Accountant. *The CPA Journal*, 74(12), 38–42.
- 29. Yusof, Azrina., & Lai, Ling. (2014), "An integrative model in predicting corporate tax fraud", *Journal of Financial Crime*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 424-432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-03-2013-0012</u>
- Zaki, N. M. (2017). The appropriateness of fraud triangle and diamond models in assessing the likelihood of fraudulent financial statements-An empirical study on firms listed in the Egyptian Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research*, 2(2), 2403-2433.