

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdullah, W. (2018). Model blended learning dalam meningkatkan efektifitas pembelajaran. *FIKROTUNA: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Manajemen Islam Volume 7, Nomor 1, Juli 2018; p-ISSN 2442- 2401; e-ISSN 2477-5622.*
- Adkins, B.S., et al, (2002). *Accommodating All Learners: Critical Inquiry and Learning Styles in the LIS Classroom*. Paper presented at the 68th IFLA Council and General Conference Glasgow, Scotland.
- Aliyah, I. M., Yuhana, Y., & Santosa, C. A. (2019). Kemampuan koneksi matematis siswa ditinjau dari kemampuan awal dan gender. *Jurnal Dikdaktik Matematika Vol. 6, No. 2, 161-178.*
- Altay, I.F., Ayse Altay. (2019). A Review of studies on blended learning in efl environment. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction 11(1) (2019) 125–140.*
- Amin, L., SP Suardiman. (2016). Perbedaan prestasi belajar matematika siswa ditinjau dari gaya belajar dan model pembelajar. *Jurnal Prima Edukasia Volume 4 Nomor 1, Januari 2016. <http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/jpe>.*
- Andriyansyah. (2010). Hubungan gaya belajar dengan hasil belajar. *UIN Walisongo Jurnal Vol 2 (3): 1-12*
- Arifin Z. (2010). Evaluasi pembelajaran, prinsip, teknik, prosedur. Bandung. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Arikunto, S, (2015a). *Prosedur penelitian: suatu pendekatan praktek*. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta
- Arikunto, S. (2010b), *Prosedur penelitian: suatu pendekatan praktek*. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta
- Arsyad, A (2015). *Media Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: PT.Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Bayne, S. (2015). What’s the matter with ‘technology-enhanced learning’?. *Learning, Media and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2014.915851>.
- Bender, W. N., & Waller, L. B. (2012). *Cool tech tools for lower tech teachers: 20 tactics for every classroom*. Corwin Press.

- Bire, A.L., Uda, G., Josua, B. (2014). Pengaruh gaya belajar visual, auditorial, dan kinestetik terhadap prestasi belajar siswa. *JURNAL KEPENDIDIKAN, Volume 44, Nomor 2, November 2014, Halaman 168-174*
- Blum, W., Galbraith, P. L., Henn, H. W., & Niss, M. (2007). *Modelling and applications in mathematics education* (pp. 3-33). New York: Springer.
- Bower, M. (2019). Technology-mediated learning theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(3), 1035-1048.*
- Brock Annie. (2015). Introduction To Google Classroom: An Easy-To-Use Guide To Taking Your Classroom Digital. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform
- Burkhardt, H. (2006). *Modelling in mathematics classrooms: reflections on past developments and the future.* 38(2), 178–195. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02655888>.
- Carman, J.M. (2005). Blended learning design: Five key ingredients, diunduh 20 Maret 2011, dari <http://www.agilantlearning.com/pdf/Blended%20Learning%-20Design.pdf>
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning (4th ed.)*. London: Wiley
- Clarke, I., Flaherty, T. B., Yankey, M. (2006). Teaching the Visual Learner: The Use of Visual Summaries in Marketing Education. *Journal of Marketing education. 28(3): 218-226.*
- Clarke, R. B. (2006). *Undergraduate Mathematic Students: How Do Differences In Motivation And Learning Strategy use Affect Performance ?*. The University of Memphis.
- Class Alexa. (2018). Google Classroom: 2018 User Manual to Learn Everything You Need To Know About Google Classroom. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform
- Corbyn G. (2019). *Google Classroom: 99 Ideas How To Use Google Classroom Effectively*. The Ultimate Guide To Learn Google Classroom. Independently Published.
- Coxford. (1995). “*The Case for Connections*”, in *Connecting Mathematics Across the Curriculum*. Editor: House, P.A. dan Coxford, A.F. Reston, Virginia: NCTM.
- Creamer, E. G. (2018). An introduction to fully integrated mixed methods research. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

- Creswell, J.W. (2003a). *Research design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., Shope, R., Plano Clark, V. L., & Green, D. O. (2006b). How interpretive qualitative research extends mixed methods research. *Research in the Schools*, 13(1), 1-11.
- Creswell, J. w. (2012c). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research: Fourth Edition*. New Jersey: Pearson Education International
- Creswell, D.A. (2015d). *Penelitian kualitatif dan desain riset*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Creswell, J.W. (2015e). *A concise introduction to Mixed Methods Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. (2016f). Reflections on the MMIRA. The future of mixed methods task force report. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 10, 215–219.
- Creswell, J.W., & Plano Clark, V. (2018g). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. 3rd Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Darkasyi, M., Johar, R., & Ahmad, A. (2014). Peningkatan Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematis dan Motivasi Siswa dengan Pembelajaran Pendekatan Quantum Learning pada Siswa SMP Negeri 5 Lhokseumawe. *Jurnal Didaktik Matematika*, 1(1), 21–34.
- Defitriani, E. (2018). Mengembangkan kemampuan koneksi matematis siswa melalui pendekatan differentiated instruction. *Anargya: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 72–76.
- DePorter, B., & Hernacki, M. (2000). *Quantum business. membiasakan berbisnis secara etis dan sehat, terjemahan*, Bandung: Angkasa.
- Dinh, L. P., & Nguyen, T. T. (2020). Pandemic, social distancing, and social work education: Students' satisfaction with online education in Vietnam. *Social Work Education*, 39(8), 1074-1083. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2020.1823365>.
- Dunn, R.S., Beaudry, J.S., Klavas, A. (2002). *Survey of research on Learning Style*. *California Journal of Science Education*. 2(2). Spring. California Science Teachers Association.
- Elliot, M. (2002). *Blended Learning: The Magic Is In The Mix*. In A. Rossett (ed). *The ASTD e-learning Handbook* (pp.58-63). New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Fajri, M. (2017). Kemampuan berpikir matematis dalam konteks pembelajaran abad 21 di sekolah dasar. *LEMMA VOL III NO. 2, JUNI 2017*.
- Fakih, Mansour. 2006. Analisis gender dan transformasi sosial. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Felder, R.M., Spurlin, J. (2005). Applications, Reliability and Validity of the Index of Learning Styles. *International Journal of Engineering Education*. 21(1): 103-112.
- Fielding, N. G. (2012). Triangulation and Mixed Methods Designs: Data integration with new research technologies. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6 (2), 124-136.
- Fraenkel, Jack R. And Norman E. Wallen. (2009). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. New York. McGraw-Hill Companies
- Ganju, K., Kondalkar, A., & Pathak, A. K. (2007). Formulation and evaluation of transdermal patch of colchicine with release modifiers. *The Pharmacist*, 2(2), 21.
- Garner, LD., O Neale., S Harison. (2013). An insvestigation of the learning style and study habits of chemistry undergraduates in barbados and their effect as predictors of academic achievement of chemical. *Journal of education and social*. Richtmann.org
- Garratt-Reed, D., Roberts, L. D., & Heritage, B. (2016). Grades, student satisfaction and retention in online and face-to-face introductory psychology units: A test of equivalency theory. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00673>.
- Gay, L. R., Geofrey E. Mills, Peter W. Airasian. 2012. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application Tenth Edition*. USA: Pearson.
- Gikas J., MM Grant. (2013). Mobile Computing Devices in Higher Education: Student Perspectives on Learning with Cellphones, Smartphones & Social Media. *The Internet and Higher Education* 19:18–26. DOI:10.1016/j.iheduc.2013.06.002.
- Gilakjani, A.P. (2012). Visual, Auditory, Kinaesthetic Learning Styles and Their Impacts on English Language Teaching. *Journal of Studies in Education*. 2(1): 104-113.
- Gonzalez, T., De la Rubia, M. A., Hincz, K. P., Comas-Lopez, M., Subirats, L., Fort, S., & Sacha, G. M. (2020). Influence of COVID-19 confinement on students' performance in higher education. *PloS one*, 15(10), e0239490.

- Graham, M. J., & Borgen, J. (2018). *Google Classroom. In Google Tools Meets Middle School*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506360188.n3>
- Handarini, O. I., & Wulandari, S. S. (2020). Pembelajaran daring sebagai upaya study from home (SFH) selama pandemi covid 19. *Jurnal Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran (JPAP)*, 8(3), 496-503.
- Hanum, N.S., (2013). Keefektifan E-Learning sebagai Media Pembelajaran (Studi Evaluasi Model Pembelajaran E-Learning SMK Telkom Sandhy Putra Purwokerto). *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 3(1).
- Hardi, T. 2014. “Strategi Heuristik Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Sekolah”. *Jurnal Saintech, Vol. 06, No 04, 2014: 35-40*.
- Hartati, L. (2015). Pengaruh gaya belajar dan sikap siswa pada pelajaran matematika terhadap hasil belajar matematika. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 3(3).
- Hendriana, Rohaeti, and Sumarmo. (2018). *Hard skill dan soft skill matematik siswa*. Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.
- Hergenhahn, B.R dan Olson, Matthew H. (2010). *Theories of Learning*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group. Jakarta.
- HERGÜNER, G. Et al (2021). The Effect of Online Learning Attitudes of Sports Sciences Students on their Learning Readiness to Learn Online in the Era of the New Coronavirus Pandemic (Covid-19). *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – January 2021, volume 20 Issue 1*.
- Hinkelman, D., Gruba, P. (2012). Power within blended language learning programs in Japan. *Language Learning & Technology*, 16(3), 46-64.
- Ho, B. (1999). Identifying students’ learning style preferences and task design. *New Horizon Journal*. 40: 71-78.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*, 27.
- Holmes, M. R., Tracy, E. M., Painter, L. L., Oestreich, T., & Park, H. (2015). Moving from Flipcharts to the Flipped Classroom: Using Technology Driven Teaching Methods to Promote Active Learning in Foundation and Advanced Masters Social Work Courses. *Clinical Social Work Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-015-0521-x>.
- Juariah, F., Tri, J., R.R.T Marpaung., (2017). Identifikasi gaya belajar berdasarkan gender dengan hasil belajar IPA. <http://journal.fkip.unila.c.id>.

- Kaiser, G., Blomhøj, M., & Sriraman, B. (2006). Towards a didactical theory for mathematical modelling. *ZDM*, 38(2), 82–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02655882>.
- Kastner, J.A. (2020). Blended learning: beyond the thread quality of blended learning and instructors experiences. *Journal of Educators Online*, 2020.
- Keitel, C. (1998). *Social Justice and Mathematics Education Gender, Class, Ethnicity and the Politics of Schooling*. Berlin: Freie Universität Berlin.
- Kemp & Dayton. (1985). *Planning & Producing Instructional Media*. New York: Harper & Row Publishers.
- Kondalkar, V.G. (2007). *Organizational Behaviour*. New Delhi. New Age International.
- Kumar, J. A., & Bervell, B. (2019). Google Classroom for mobile learning in higher education: Modelling the initial perceptions of students. *Education and Information Technologies*, 24(2), 1793-1817.
- Kuntarto, E. (2017a). Keefektifan Model Pembelajaran Daring dalam Perkuliahan Bahasa Indonesia di Perguruan Tinggi. *Indonesian Language Education and BIODIK: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi* Vol. 06, No. 02 (2020), Hal. 214 – 224 Literature, 3(1), 99-110. [10.24235/ileal.v3i1.1820](https://doi.org/10.24235/ileal.v3i1.1820).
- Kuntarto, E. (2017b). Keefektifan model pembelajaran daring dalam perkuliahan bahasa Indonesia di perguruan tinggi. *Indonesian Language Education and Literature*, 3(1), 99-110.
- Lesh, R., Yoon, C., & Zawojewski, J. (2007). John Dewey revisited–Making mathematics practical versus making practice mathematical. *Foundations for the future in mathematics education*, 315-348.
- Linda Price & Adrian Kirkwood (2014) Using technology for teaching and learning in higher education: a critical review of the role of evidence in informing practice, Higher. *Education Research & Development*, 33:3, 549-564, DOI: [10.1080/07294360.2013.841643](https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2013.841643).
- Linto., Rendya L., Sri, E., Yusmet, R. (2012). Kemampuan koneksi matematis dan metode pembelajaran Quantum Teaching dengan peta pikiran. *Jurnal pendidikan matematika*, Vol.1, No.1, 83-87.
- Loward S. Friedman & Miriam W. Schuctack. (2006). *Kepribadian Teori Klasik dan Riset Modern*, Jakarta: Erlangga
- Malyana A. (2020). Pelaksanaan pembelajaran daring dan luring dengan metode bimbingan berkelanjutan pada guru sekolah dasar di teluk betung utara bandar lampung. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan dasar Indonesia*.

<http://jurnal.stkipgribl.ac.id/index.php/pedagogia>. e-ISSN 27156125 p-ISSN 2715-6133.

- Mašić, A., Polz, E., & Bećirović, S. (2020). The relationship between learning styles, GPA, school level and gender. *European Researcher*, 11(1), 51-60.
- Matt Jarvis. (2011). *Teori-Teori Psikologi*, Cet. X, Bandung: Nusa Media.
- Miarso, Yusuf Hadi. (2014). *Menyemai Benih Teknologi Pendidikan*. Jakarta. Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Moore, G. W. K., Field, R. D., & Benson, C. S. (2016). Impact of source region on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal in snow: A case study from Mount Wrangell, Alaska. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 17(1), 139-151.
- Muchlis, A et al. (2018). Meningkatkan koneksi matematis siswa SMP melalui pendekatan open-ended dengan *setting* kooperatif tipe NHT. *KALAMATIKA Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika. Volume 3, No. 1, April 2018, hal. 81-92*.
- Musriliani, C., Marwan, B.I. Anshari. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran contextual teaching learning (CTL) terhadap kemampuan koneksi matematis siswa SMP ditinjau dari gender. *Jurnal Didaktik Matematika ISSN: 2355-4185*.
- NCTM (2000). *Principle and Standards for School Mathematic*. Virginia: NCTM.
- Nguyen, T. (2015). The Effectiveness of Online Learning : Beyond No Significant Difference and Future Horizons. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*.
- Nugraha, T. H., & Pujiastuti, H. (2019). Analisis kemampuan komunikasi matematis siswa berdasarkan perbedaan gender. *Edumatica Vol. 09, No. 01, 1-7*.
- Nurafni, A., Heni Pujiastuti. (2019). Analisis kemampuan koneksi matematis ditinjau dari self confidence siswa: studi kasus di SMKN 4 Pandeglang. *ANARGYA: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika Vol.2 No.1 April 2019 p-ISSN: 2615-4196 e-ISSN: 2615-4072*
<http://jurnal.umk.ac.id/index.php/anargya>.
- Permana, Y. & U. Sumarmo. (2007). Mengembangkan kemampuan penalaran dan koneksi matematik siswa SMA melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah, *Educationis, Vol. 1(2), pp. 116-123*.
- Prastiwi, I., Soedjoko, E.; dan Mulyono. (2014). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Conceptual Understanding Procedures Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Siswa Pada Aspek Koneksi Matematika. *JURNAL KREANO, ISSN : 2086-2334 Diterbitkan oleh Jurusan Matematika FMIPA UNNES Volume 5 Nomor 1 Bulan Juni Tahun 2014*.

- Purwaningsih, D., Ardani, A. (2020). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis materi eksponen dan logaritma ditinjau dari gaya belajar dan perbedaan gender. *AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika ISSN 2089-8703 (Print) Volume 9, No. 1, 2020, 118-125 ISSN 2442-5419 (Online) DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v9i1.2632>*.
- Puteri, J.W., Selvi Riwayati. (2017). Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis Siswa Pada Model Pembelajaran Conneted Mathematics Project (CMP). *FIBONACCI: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Matematika Volume 3 No. 2 Bulan Desember Tahun 2017. ISSN: 2460 – 7797 e-ISSN: 2614-8234*.
- Putri, E.R Budiyono, Diari I. (2020). POGIL model on mathematical connection ability viewed from self-regulated learning. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE) Vol. 9, No. 2, June 2020, pp. 394~400. ISSN: 2252-8822, DOI: 10.11591/ijere.v9i2.20321*.
- R Pakpahan., Y Fitriani. (2020). Analisa pemanfaatan teknologi informasi dalam pembelajaran jarak jauh ditengah pandemi virus corona covid 19. *Journal of Information System, Applied, Management, Accounting and Research* jilid 2 terbit 4.
- Romli, M. (2016a). Profil koneksi matematis siswa perempuan sma dengan kemampuan matematika tinggi dalam menyelesaikan masalah matematika. *MUST: Journal of Mathematics Education, Science and Technology Vol. 1, No. 2, Desember 2016. Hal 144 – 163*.
- Romli, M. (2016b). Profil koneksi matematis siswa perempuan SMA dengan kemampuan matematika tinggi dalam menyelesaikan masalah matematika. *JIPMat, 1(2)*.
- Sabran & Sabara Eddy. (2017). Keefektifan Google Classroom Sebagai Media Pembelajaran. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Lembaga Penelitian Universitas Negeri Makassar. ISBN : 978-602-5554-71-1*
- Sadikin, A., & Hamidah, A. (2020). Pembelajaran Daring Di Tengah Wabah Covid-19 (Online Learning in the Middle of the Covid-19 Pandemic). *Biodik, 6(2), 214-224*.
- Sangsawang, T. (2015). Instructional design framework for educational media. *Procedia. Social and Behavioral Sciences 176 (2015) 65 – 80*.
- Schnotz, W. (2005a). *An integrated model of text and picture comprehension*. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 31–48). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Schnotz, W., Baadte, C., Müller, A., & Rasch, R. (2010b). *Creative thinking and problem solving with depictive and descriptive representations*. In L.

- Schoenfeld, A.H. (1992a). *Learning to Think Mathematically: Problem Solving, Metacognition, and Sense-making in Mathematics*. In D. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (hh. 334 ± 370). New York: MacMillan
- Schoenfeld, A. H. (2014b). *Mathematical problem solving*. Elsevier
- Siagian, M. D. (2016). Kemampuan koneksi matematik dalam pembelajaran matematika. *MES: Journal of Mathematics Education and Science*, 2(1).
- Siagian, M.D. (2016). Kemampuan koneksi matematik dalam pembelajaran matematika. *MES (Journal of Mathematics Education and Science) Vol. 2, No. 1, Oktober 2016. ISSN: 2528-4363*.
- Soenarjadi, G. (2020). Profil pemecahan masalah siswa dalam menyelesaikan masalah geometri ditinjau dari perbedaan jenis kelamin dan gaya belajar. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan dan Inovasi Pembelajaran Matematika (JRPIPM)*, 3(2), 78-91.
- Sofyana, L., & Rozaq, A. (2019). Pembelajaran Daring Kombinasi Berbasis Whatsapp Pada Kelas Karyawan Prodi Teknik Informatika Universitas PGRI Madiun. *Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Teknik Informatika: JANAPATI*, 8(1), 81-86.
- Suhery, S., Putra, T. J., & Jasmalinda, J. (2020). Sosialisasi Penggunaan Aplikasi Zoom Meeting dan Google Classroom pada Guru di SDN 17 Mata Air Padang Selatan. *Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian*, 1(3), 129–132.
- Sulistyaningsih, D. dkk. (2012). Model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe CIRC dengan pendekatan konstruktivisme untuk meningkatkan kemampuan koneksi matematik. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research. Vol. 1. No.2. Halaman: 126*.
- Sumarmo, U (2006). Alternatif pembelajaran matematika dalam menerapkan kurikulum berbasis kompetensi. *Makalah disjikan pada seminar Nasional FPMIPA UPI: Tidak diterbitkan*.
- Sundayana R. (2016). Kaitan antara Gaya Belajar, Kemandirian Belajar, dan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Siswa SMP dalam Pelajaran Matematika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika STKIP Garut* <http://e-mosharafa.org/>
- Syarif, I. (2012). Pengaruh model blended learning terhadap motivasi dan prestasi belajar siswa SMK. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 2(2), 234–249.
- Syukur M., La Misu. (2016). Hubungan antara gaya belajar dan hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas XI SMAN 4 kendari. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Matematika*.

- Thierbach, C., Hergesell, J., & Baur, N. (2020). Mixed Methods Research. In P. Atkinson, S. Delamont, A. Cernat, J.W. Sakshaug, & R.A. Williams (Eds.), *SAGE Research Methods Foundations*. <https://www.doi.org/10.4135/9781526421036932545>
- Tomlinson, C. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixedability classrooms (2nd ed.)*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tratnik, A., Urh, M., & Jereb, E. (2019). Student satisfaction with an online and a face-to-face business English course in a higher education context. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 56(1), 36-45.
- Trisniawati. (2013). Perbedaan gender dalam pembelajaran matematika. *Sumber: <http://trisniawati87.wordpress.com/2013/05/perbedaan-gender-dalam-pembelajaran.html?view=magazine>*.
- Tyas, P. A., & Safitri, M. (2017). Kinesthetic learning style preferences: A survey of Indonesian EFL learners by gender. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 2(1), 53-64.
- Venkatesan, L. (2015). Learning Style Inventory of B. Sc Nursing Students. *TNNMC Journal of Nursing Education and Administration*, 3(2), 13-17.
- Verschaffel, E. De Corte, T. De Jong, & J. Elen. (2013). (Eds.), *Use of Representations in Reasoning and Problem Solving – Analysis and Improvement (pp. 11–35)*. London, UK: Routledge.
- W. Santrock, John. (2009). *Educational Psychology*, diterjemahkan oleh Diana Angelica. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika.
- Wade, C., Carol, T. (2007). *Psikologi Edisi Kesembilan Jilid 2*. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Wahono, R.S. (2018). Sistem e-learning berbasis model motivasi komunitas. *Jurnal Teknodik*, 21(3), 228-248.
- Wang, C. H., Shannon, D. M., & Ross, M. E. (2013). Students' characteristics, self-regulated learning, technology self-efficacy, and course outcomes in online learning. *Distance Education*, 34(3), 302-323.
- Wardani, D.N., et al. (2018). Daya tarik pembelajaran di era 21 dengan blended learning. *Jurnal Kajian Teknologi Pendidikan*, 1(1), 13-18.
- Wassahua, S. (2016). Analisis gaya belajar siswa terhadap hasil belajar matematika pada materi himpunan siswa kelas VII SMP negeri karang jaya kecamatan namlea kabupaten buru. *JURNAL MATEMATIKA DAN PEMBELAJARANNYA 2016 VOLUME 2, NO. 1. ISSN 2303-0992*.

- Widyawati, S. (2016). Pengaruh gaya belajar terhadap prestasi belajar mahasiswa program studi pendidikan matematika (IAIM NU) Metro. *Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika* Vol. 7, No.1, 2016, Hal 107-114.
- Yen, S., Lo, Y., Lee, A., & Enriquez, J. (2018). Learning online, offline and in-between: Comparing student academic outcomes and course satisfaction in face-to-face, online and blended teaching modalities. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(2), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9707-5>
- Zeybek, G., & Şentürk, C. (2020). Analysis of preservice teachers' learning styles according to Vermunt learning style model. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 7(2). 669-682. <https://iojet.org/index.php/IOJET/article/view/766>
- Zhang, D., Zhao, J. L., Zhou, L., & Nunamaker, J. F. (2004). Can e-learning replace classroom learning? *Communications of the ACM*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/986213.986216>.