REKAM JEJAK SEBAGAI REVIEWER

Judul Artikel : Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots

Jurnal : Chemical Engineering Science on May 18, 2020

Authors : Harun, Noor Hafiza; Abdul-Aziz, Azila; Rahman, Roshanida A.; Yaakub, Harisun; Aziz, Ramlan; Ware, Ismail

No	Tanggal	Kegiatan
1	Wed, Jul 3, 2019 at 9:36 PM	Permintaan mereview artikel CES-D-19-00687 tahap 1
2	Thu, Jul 4, 2019 at 9:07 AM	Informasi batas waktu mereview tahap 1
3	Fri, Jul 19, 2019 at 8:44 AM	Informasi batas waktu mereview tahap 1 segera berakhir
4	Fri, Jul 26, 2019 at 3:27 PM	Mereview tahap 1 sudah selesai (Lampiran dari sistem jurnal)
5	Fri, Oct 4, 2019 at 8:43 AM	Permintaan mereview tahap 2
6	Fri, Oct 11, 2019 at 9:25 PM	Informasi batas waktu mereview tahap 2
7	Fri, Oct 25, 2019 at 8:41 AM	Informasi batas waktu mereview tahap 2 segera berakhir
8	Tue, Oct 29, 2019 at 8:45 AM	Mereview tahap 2 sudah selesai (Lampiran dari sistem jurnal)



CES-D-19-00687: Request to Review

Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id Wed, Jul 3, 2019 at 9:36 PM

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687 Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Ismail Ware,

Dear Dr. Megawati,

The above-referenced manuscript has been submitted to Chemical Engineering Science, and I would greatly appreciate your help in evaluating its quality. Reviews from the most active and knowledgeable researchers are necessary for the quality of CES, and I am grateful for your assistance.

The manuscript abstract is below.

MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering

As a reviewer you are entitled to access references, abstracts, and full-text articles in Scopus and ScienceDirect for 30 days. Full instruction details will be provided upon accepting this invitation to review.

If you are willing to review this manuscript, please click on this link: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/l.asp?i=474482&l=4VCWYLOZ

If you are unable, please click on the link below. We would appreciate receiving suggestions for alternative reviewers: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/l.asp?i=474481&l=97DD63TM

Alternatively, you may register your response by accessing the Elsevier Editorial System for Chemical Engineering Science as a REVIEWER using the login credentials below:

http://ees.elsevier.com/ces/

Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/ces/automail_query.asp

We would appreciate it if you could respond to this invitation within 24-48 hours. Once you accept to review this paper, you will find this manuscript in your "Pending Reviews" menu and will be allowed to complete your review online.

In order to get a decision to the authors as soon as possible, it is important that reviews be returned to this office within 3 weeks of this invitation. If you are unable to review this manuscript, we would appreciate your suggestions of alternate reviewers (contact information would be helpful).

To assist you in the reviewing process, I am delighted to offer you full access to Scopus* for 30 days. With Scopus you can search for related articles, references and papers by the same author. You may also use Scopus for your own purposes at any time during the 30-day period. If you already use Scopus at your institute, having this 30 day full access means that you will also be able to access Scopus from home. Access instructions will follow once you have accepted this invitation to review. In addition to accessing our subscriber content, you can also use our Open Access content. Read more about Open Access here: http://www.elsevier.com/openaccess.

*Scopus is the world's largest abstract and citation database of research information and quality internet sources.

NOTE: If this is your first time reviewing for us in the Elsevier Editorial System for Chemical Engineering Science, please update your personal contact information and classifications at: http://ees.elsevier.com/ces/info_update.asp

Classifications are used in the reviewer selection process, and will ensure that you are only invited to review if the manuscript is in your own field.

8/8/2020

Universitas Negeri Semarang Mail - CES-D-19-00687: Request to Review

For more information about the CES peer review policy, please visit the journal homepage at: http://www.elsevier.com/ locate/ces/.

Upon submission of your review report to the system, you will get access to your personalized Elsevier reviews profile page as well as the possibility of creating a public page listing your reviews across all publishers in just a few steps! See http://www.reviewerrecognition.elsevier.com and http://www.reviewerpage.com for more information. Please also note that authors have been invited to convert their supplementary material into a Data in Brief article (a data description article). You may notice this change alongside the revised manuscript. You do not need to review this, but may need to look at the files in order to confirm that any supporting information you requested is present there. Thank you in advance for your assistance

Sincerely,

Kai Sundmacher Executive Editor Chemical Engineering Science

Reviewer Guidelines are now available to help you with your review: http://www.elsevier.com/wps/ find/reviewershome.reviewers/reviewersguidelines

Chemical Engineering Science, Editorial Office E-mail: chemicales@elsevier.com

Abstract:

A dimensionless model was proposed to scale-up the solid-liquid extraction of Eurycoma longifolia roots. ShSc-1 dimensionless number was found to be the best fit of all proposed models. At optimum conditions of the extraction process, the extract yield of 8.77% at lab-scale produced ShSc-1 no. of 0.0312. Employing the scale up rule of P/V idem and scale-up factor of 7.65, the extract yield of 8.65% with 1.37% error was obtained at pilot-scale at ShSc-1 no. of 0.0376. The outcome of this study provided useful scale-up knowledge in ensuring smooth transition from lab-scale to pilot-scale.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

Please note: Reviews are subject to a confidentiality policy, http://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14156/supporthub/publishing/



CES-D-19-00687: Review Information

Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id Thu, Jul 4, 2019 at 9:07 AM

*** Automated email sent by the system ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz

Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering

Dear Dr. Megawati,

Thank you for agreeing to review the above-mentioned manuscript, which has been submitted to Chemical Engineering Science. Our goal is to provide as rapid a response as possible to the authors. Therefore, we ask that you kindly review this manuscript as soon as possible. Should you be unable to review the manuscript by Jul 25, 2019, please notify us immediately.

Please note that, if present, we ask you to include Highlights and the Graphical Abstract in the reviewing process.

Please submit your review online using the Elsevier Editorial System for Chemical Engineering Science + OA Mirror (you may also retrieve the PDF from this website):

https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/

Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/ces/automail_query.asp

For further information on how to submit your recommendation and comments, see: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/p/7923/a_id/133. If you are unable to use the online system, please email or fax your comments to us. Be sure to include the manuscript number and all other pertinent information for the manuscript. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns, or if you have any problems downloading the files.

Additionally, please note that the Editors now have access to iThenticate plagiarism software. If you suspect that the manuscript you are reviewing is not original in content, please notify the Editor for further verification.

Please note that as a part of the Your Paper Your Way service, we now differentiate the requirements for new and revised submissions. Authors may submit the manuscript as a single file Word or PDF to be used in the refereeing process, and the manuscript formatting will only reflect the peer review requirements. To find out more, please visit the journal's Guide for Authors: http://www.elsevier.com/journals/chemical-engineering-science/0009-2509/guide-for-authors

As a reviewer you are entitled to access to Scopus, the world's largest abstract and citation database of research information and quality internet sources. The 30 day access period will start as soon as you activate your access to Scopus. You have the option to save your 30 day access period, but note that it will expire six months after receiving this email. Access can be activated in your "Pending Assignments" page in EES. Abstracts and full-text can be reached through the hyperlinked references, accessible by following the "View Linked References" link in the action menu of the manuscript, or via the Scopus search bar in EES. You can also access Scopus from the url below, using your EES login details: http://scopees.elsevier.com/ees_login.asp?journalacronym=CES&username=megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

For help and more information on using Scopus and ScienceDirect for reviewing, visit www.info.scopus.com/ees

Chemical Engineering Science + OA Mirror operates a manuscript transfer service to relevant title[s] in the field. This service gives authors the option, if they are unsuccessful in their original submission, to decide to have their manuscript transferred to another relevant journal without the need to resubmit or reformat.

Universitas Negeri Semarang Mail - CES-D-19-00687: Review Information

We recognize that you are the experts in the field and we want to ensure that our editors fully utilize your comments and guidance. As such, your reviewer reports will also be internally transferred along with the manuscript to the editor of the receiving journal. This will also help to eliminate the risk of you receiving the same manuscript twice.

If you would prefer that your reviewer report is not transferred, you will be able to untick the agreement to transfer box when submitting your review.

Overall with this service we are aiming to help facilitate and develop fast, effective and truly innovative solutions to improve the overall manuscript submission and peer review process for all individuals concerned.

Thank you again for your participation in the review process.

With kind regards,

Kai Sundmacher Executive Editor

Reviewer Guidelines are now available to help you with your review: http://www.elsevier.com/wps/ find/reviewershome.reviewers/reviewersguidelines

Please note any suggestion that the author includes citations to reviewers' (or their associates') work must be for genuine scientific reasons and not with the intention of increasing reviewers' citation counts or enhancing the visibility of reviewers' work (or that of their associates).

Chemical Engineering Science, Editorial Office E-mail: chemicales@elsevier.com

For guidelines on how to submit your review please go the following address: http://help.elsevier.com/app/ answers/detail/p/7923/a_id/133



CES-D-19-00687: Review due soon

Professor Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Professor Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com Fri, Jul 19, 2019 at 8:44 AM

*** Automated mail sent on behalf of Antony Reagan ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz

Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering

Dear Dr. Megawati, You kindly accepted our invitation and agreed to review the aforementioned manuscript on Jul 04, 2019

This is a courtesy reminder that your review of this manuscript (please see abstract below) is due on: Jul 25, 2019.

If you anticipate that your review will be delayed for any reason, we would appreciate it if you would reply to this email and let us know. As you know, timely reviews are important to authors and are pivotal to the peer review process.

To download the manuscript and submit your review, go to: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/ Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/[EESACRONYM]/automail_query.asp

Kind regards,

Professor Kai Sundmacher Chemical Engineering Science or its open access mirror

ABSTRACT: A dimensionless model was proposed to scale-up the solid-liquid extraction of Eurycoma longifolia roots. ShSc-1 dimensionless number was found to be the best fit of all proposed models. At optimum conditions of the extraction process, the extract yield of 8.77% at lab-scale produced ShSc-1 no. of 0.0312. Employing the scale up rule of P/V idem and scale-up factor of 7.65, the extract yield of 8.65% with 1.37% error was obtained at pilot-scale at ShSc-1 no. of 0.0376. The outcome of this study provided useful scale-up knowledge in ensuring smooth transition from lab-scale to pilot-scale.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.



CES-D-19-00687: Review Completed

Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com Fri, Jul 26, 2019 at 3:27 PM

*** Automated email sent by the system ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering

Dear Dr. Megawati,

This is to confirm that we have received your review for the manuscript referenced above. We appreciate the time that you have contributed to this important component of the peer review process.

Should you need to access your review comments, please log onto the Elsevier Editorial System at: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/ Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/ycyto/automail_guery.asp.

Your cooperation is greatly appreciated, and we hope that you will consider Chemical Engineering Science as a potential journal for your own next publication.

I hope you enjoyed having access to references, abstracts, and full-text articles in Scopus and ScienceDirect for 30 days. If you have not yet activated your access, you can use your EES login details to register at www.scopus.com/reviewer up to 6 months after you accepted the invitation to review.

Kind regards,

Kai Sundmacher Executive Editor Chemical Engineering Science

For more information about Scopus and ScienceDirect, please visit www.info.scopus.com/ees/

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923 Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.



Fri, Oct 4, 2019 at 8:43 AM

CES-D-19-00687R1: Review Invitation Reminder

Professor Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Professor Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com

*** Automated mail sent on behalf of Antony Reagan ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687R1

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz

Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences

Dear Dr. Megawati,

We recently invited you to review the aforementioned manuscript; however our records indicate that you have not yet responded. We realize that you are very busy, but we value your comments and we would be grateful if you could indicate your willingness to review.

Please treat this invitation with the attention that you would expect to be given to one of your manuscripts. Please indicate whether you will review this manuscript (please see abstract below) by simply clicking on one of the following links. Please do NOT respond in a separate email.

If you are willing to review this manuscript, please click on the following link: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/l.asp?i=483383&I=TJ2S4M99

If you accept the invitation to review, please submit your report within 21 days.

If you are unwilling or unable to review this particular manuscript, please click on the link below. We would be grateful for any recommendations for alternate reviewers you can give. https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/l.asp?i=483384&l=K8ZTJS59

As a reviewer you are entitled to access references, abstracts, and full-text articles in Scopus and ScienceDirect for 30 days. Full instruction details will be provided upon accepting this invitation to review.

Kind regards,

Professor Kai Sundmacher Chemical Engineering Science or its open access mirror

ABSTRACT: A dimensionless model was proposed to scale-up the solid-liquid extraction of Eurycoma longifolia roots. ShSc-1 dimensionless number was found to be the best fit of all proposed models. At optimum conditions of the extraction process which were duration of extraction of 53 minutes, solvent to raw material ratio of 12.5:1 and roots particle size of 0.5 to 1.0 mm, the extract yield of 8.77% at lab-scale produced ShSc-1 no. of 0.0312. Employing the scale up rule of P/V idem and scale-up factor of 7.65, the extract yield of 8.65% with 1.37% error was obtained at pilot-scale at ShSc-1 no. of 0.0376. The outcome of this study provided useful scale-up knowledge in ensuring smooth transition from lab-scale to pilot-scale.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.ort representatives.



CES-D-19-00687R1: Review Information

Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com Fri, Oct 11, 2019 at 9:25 PM

*** Automated email sent by the system ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687R1

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering

Dear Dr. Megawati,

Thank you for agreeing to review the above-mentioned manuscript, which has been submitted to Chemical Engineering Science. Our goal is to provide as rapid a response as possible to the authors. Therefore, we ask that you kindly review this manuscript as soon as possible. Should you be unable to review the manuscript by Nov 01, 2019, please notify us immediately.

Please note that, if present, we ask you to include Highlights and the Graphical Abstract in the reviewing process.

Please submit your review online using the Elsevier Editorial System for Chemical Engineering Science or its open access mirror (you may also retrieve the PDF from this website):

https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/

Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/ces/automail_query.asp

For further information on how to submit your recommendation and comments, see: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/p/7923/a_id/133. If you are unable to use the online system, please email or fax your comments to us. Be sure to include the manuscript number and all other pertinent information for the manuscript. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns, or if you have any problems downloading the files.

Additionally, please note that the Editors now have access to iThenticate plagiarism software. If you suspect that the manuscript you are reviewing is not original in content, please notify the Editor for further verification.

Please note that as a part of the Your Paper Your Way service, we now differentiate the requirements for new and revised submissions. Authors may submit the manuscript as a single file Word or PDF to be used in the refereeing process, and the manuscript formatting will only reflect the peer review requirements. To find out more, please visit the journal's Guide for Authors: http://www.elsevier.com/journals/chemical-engineering-science/0009-2509/guide-for-authors

As a reviewer you are entitled to access to Scopus, the world's largest abstract and citation database of research information and quality internet sources. The 30 day access period will start as soon as you activate your access to Scopus. You have the option to save your 30 day access period, but note that it will expire six months after receiving this email. Access can be activated in your "Pending Assignments" page in EES. Abstracts and full-text can be reached through the hyperlinked references, accessible by following the "View Linked References" link in the action menu of the manuscript, or via the Scopus search bar in EES. You can also access Scopus from the url below, using your EES login details: http://scopees.elsevier.com/ees_login.asp?journalacronym=CES&username=megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

For help and more information on using Scopus and ScienceDirect for reviewing, visit www.info.scopus.com/ees

Chemical Engineering Science or its open access mirror operates a manuscript transfer service to relevant title[s] in the field. This service gives authors the option, if they are unsuccessful in their original submission, to decide to have their manuscript transferred to another relevant journal without the need to resubmit or reformat.

Universitas Negeri Semarang Mail - CES-D-19-00687R1: Review Information

We recognize that you are the experts in the field and we want to ensure that our editors fully utilize your comments and guidance. As such, your reviewer reports will also be internally transferred along with the manuscript to the editor of the receiving journal. This will also help to eliminate the risk of you receiving the same manuscript twice.

If you would prefer that your reviewer report is not transferred, you will be able to untick the agreement to transfer box when submitting your review.

Overall with this service we are aiming to help facilitate and develop fast, effective and truly innovative solutions to improve the overall manuscript submission and peer review process for all individuals concerned.

Thank you again for your participation in the review process.

With kind regards,

Kai Sundmacher Executive Editor

Reviewer Guidelines are now available to help you with your review: http://www.elsevier.com/wps/ find/reviewershome.reviewers/reviewersguidelines

Please note any suggestion that the author includes citations to reviewers' (or their associates') work must be for genuine scientific reasons and not with the intention of increasing reviewers' citation counts or enhancing the visibility of reviewers' work (or that of their associates).

Chemical Engineering Science, Editorial Office E-mail: chemicales@elsevier.com

For guidelines on how to submit your review please go the following address: http://help.elsevier.com/app/ answers/detail/p/7923/a_id/133



CES-D-19-00687R1: Review due soon

Professor Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Professor Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com Fri, Oct 25, 2019 at 8:41 AM

*** Automated mail sent on behalf of Antony Reagan ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687R1

Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz

Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences

Dear Dr. Megawati,

You kindly accepted our invitation and agreed to review the aforementioned manuscript on Oct 11, 2019

This is a courtesy reminder that your review of this manuscript (please see abstract below) is due on: Nov 01, 2019.

If you anticipate that your review will be delayed for any reason, we would appreciate it if you would reply to this email and let us know. As you know, timely reviews are important to authors and are pivotal to the peer review process.

To download the manuscript and submit your review, go to: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/ Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id

If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/[EESACRONYM]/automail_query.asp

Kind regards,

Professor Kai Sundmacher Chemical Engineering Science or its open access mirror

ABSTRACT: A dimensionless model was proposed to scale-up the solid-liquid extraction of Eurycoma longifolia roots. ShSc-1 dimensionless number was found to be the best fit of all proposed models. At optimum conditions of the extraction process which were duration of extraction of 53 minutes, solvent to raw material ratio of 12.5:1 and roots particle size of 0.5 to 1.0 mm, the extract yield of 8.77% at lab-scale produced ShSc-1 no. of 0.0312. Employing the scale up rule of P/V idem and scale-up factor of 7.65, the extract yield of 8.65% with 1.37% error was obtained at pilot-scale at ShSc-1 no. of 0.0376. The outcome of this study provided useful scale-up knowledge in ensuring smooth transition from lab-scale to pilot-scale.

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.



CES-D-19-00687R1: Review Completed

Kai Sundmacher <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Reply-To: Kai Sundmacher <ces@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de> To: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id, megawatie@yahoo.com Tue, Oct 29, 2019 at 8:45 AM

*** Automated email sent by the system ***

Ms. No.: CES-D-19-00687R1 Title: Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots Corresponding Author: Dr. Azila Abdul Aziz Authors: Noor Hafiza Harun, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering; Roshanida A Rahman, PhD in in Environmental Engineering; Harisun Yaakub, Ph.D in Biological Sciences; Ramlan Aziz, MSc. in Chemical Engineering; Ismail Ware, MSc. in Bioprocess Engineering

Dear Dr. Megawati,

This is to confirm that we have received your review for the manuscript referenced above. We appreciate the time that you have contributed to this important component of the peer review process.

Should you need to access your review comments, please log onto the Elsevier Editorial System at: https://ees.elsevier.com/ces/ Your username is: megawati@mail.unnes.ac.id If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/ycyto/automail_guery.asp.

Your cooperation is greatly appreciated, and we hope that you will consider Chemical Engineering Science as a potential journal for your own next publication.

I hope you enjoyed having access to references, abstracts, and full-text articles in Scopus and ScienceDirect for 30 days. If you have not yet activated your access, you can use your EES login details to register at www.scopus.com/reviewer up to 6 months after you accepted the invitation to review.

Kind regards,

Kai Sundmacher Executive Editor Chemical Engineering Science

For more information about Scopus and ScienceDirect, please visit www.info.scopus.com/ees/

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923 Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EES via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

LAMPIRAN



-/	1 View Reviewer Comments - Google Chrome
í	editorialmanager.com/ces/listReviewersWithReview.asp?docID=50178&ms_num=CES-D-19-00687&rev=1
_	
	Close

View Reviewer Comments for Manuscript CES-D-19-00687R1 "Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of S	Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Long	ifolia Roots"		
Click the Reviewer recommendation term to view the Reviewer comments.				
	Revision 1	Original Submission		
(Reviewer 1)	(None)	Major Revision		
Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2)	Accept	Minor Revision		
Author Decision Letter	Accept	Revise		
	Close			

- 0 ×

ditorialmanager.com/ces/ReviewerComments.aspx?docid=50178&peopleid=63170&rev=0&unBlindReviewer=false			-	- 0
Close CES-D-19-00687 'Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots'' Original Submission Minor Revision Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are an emaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Please rate unality: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact II-5] II-5] Information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-5] II II-5] II Information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-5] II III IIII IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	nanager.com/ces/ReviewerComments.aspx?docid=50178&peopleid=63170&rev=0&unBlindReviewer	=false		
Close CES-D-19-00687 Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Munorcript Rating Question(s): Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract Is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/yraphicalabstracts. Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Please rate or an scale of 1-3 whether the Ighlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Please rate or an scale of 1-3 whether the Ighlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Please rate or an scale of 1-3 whether the Ighlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Please rate or an every valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. Comments to Editor:				
Close CES-D-19-00687 "Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Merew Recommendation Term: Monor Revision Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/yraphicalabstracts. Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate or us scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate or us scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate (usuality: 1 = top) 50% (s ==top) 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-3] [1-5] Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical En				
CES-D-19-00687 "Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] 2 Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] 2 Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] 2 Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more Information, see www.elsevier.com/nightlights. Please rate quality: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor:	Class			
CES-D-19-00687 "Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/nighlights. [1-5] 2 Please rank quality: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2 = top 30%, 3 = top 50%, 4 = bottom 50%, 5 = very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: I-3] 1	Close			
CES-D-19-00687 "Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate unally: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: I-3 Possibly. [1-3] 1				
"Dimensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction of Eurycoma Longifolia Roots" Original Submission Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. [1-3] [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate valuelity: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2 = top 30%, 3 = top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: [1-3] 1	D-19-00687			
Original Submission Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate or upits: 1 = top 10% (szcellent), 2 = top 30%, 3 = top 50%, 4 = bottom 50%, 5 = very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: Comments to Editor: Science? 1 1	ensional-Analysis and Similitude for Scale-up of Solid-Liquid Extraction	ו of Eurvcoma Longifolia Roots"		
Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-5] Please rate out: 1 - 50 00%, 3 = top 50%, 4 = bottom 50%, 5 = very low Impact [1-5] Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: 1 Scale 1 1	inal Submission	, 3		
Megawati Megawati, Dr. (Reviewer 2) Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] 2 Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] 2 Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] 2 Please rate unality: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: 1 1 1 1				
Reviewer Recommendation Term: Minor Revision Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstracts is meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Image: Comparison of the article. 1 = Mea	awati Megawati, Dr. <mark>(Reviewer 2)</mark>			
Manuscript Rating Question(s): Scale Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate on a weale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] Please rate on ally utility: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2 = top 30%, 3 = top 50%, 4 = bottom 50%, 5 = very low impact [1-5] Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] Comments to Editor: [1-3]	ver Decommendation Term	Minor Revision		
Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. [1-3] 2 For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. Please rate outly 1 = 100% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor:	script Rating Question(s):	MINOR REVISION	Scale Rating	•
For more information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts. [1-3] Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/lighlights. [1-3] Please rank quality: 1=top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] [1-5] Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: [1-3] 1	rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Graphical Abstract is a meaningful and an accurate representation of the art	; ticle, 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Prov [;]	rided. [1-3] 2	11
Please rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For more information, see www.elsevier.com/highlights. [1-3] 2 Please rank quality: 1 = top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] 2 Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor: [1-3]	re information, see www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts.	······································		
Information, see www.elsever.com/inglingts. [1-5] Please rank quality: 1=top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact [1-5] Is the paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] Comments to Editor: [1-3]	rate on a scale of 1-3 whether the Highlights are a meaningful and accurate representation of the article. 1 = N	Meaningful; 2 = Not Meaningful; 3 = Not Provided. For r	more [1-3] 2	
The paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? 1 = Yes, 2 = No, 3 = Possibly. [1-3] 1 Comments to Editor:	ation, see www.eisevier.com/nigniignts. rank guality: 1=top 10% (excellent), 2=top 30%, 3=top 50%, 4=bottom 50%, 5=very low impact		[1-5] 2	41
Comments to Editor:	paper a new, valuable and original contribution to Chemical Engineering Science? $1 = $ Yes, $2 = $ No, $3 = $ Possibly	/.	[1-3] 1	
	nents to Editor:			1
				1
Comments to Author:				1
1. The performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size of Eurycoma longifolia roots, so it is best if these parameters are added	nents to Author:	of Eurycoma longifolia roots, so it is best if these param	neters are added to the	1
abstract	nents to Author: performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size	of Early conta tonghona roots, so te to bese in these paran	an is no superiod? Is	
2. The success of an extraction is not only indicated by the yield, but also by the composition of the extract obtained. How can the autions ensure that the extract composition is as expected the extract obtained.	nents to Author: performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size t	Lew can the authors ensure that the suffrast composition	OIL IS AS EXDECTED / IS	
3. Scale-up success is influenced by the validity of conducting experiments. Why do the values of constant extraction rate(kobs) fluctuate in terms of particle size and solvent to raw material	nents to Author: performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size success of an extraction is not only indicated by the yield, but also by the composition of the extract obtained. raction composition using Lab Scale Extractor and Pilot Scale Extractor exactly the same?	How can the authors ensure that the extract composition		
variations? These parameters should be supported by similar studies.	nents to Author: performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size t success of an extraction is not only indicated by the yield, but also by the composition of the extract obtained. traction composition using Lab Scale Extractor and Pilot Scale Extractor exactly the same? e-up success is influenced by the validity of conducting experiments. Why do the values of constant extraction	How can the authors ensure that the extract composition rate(kobs) fluctuate in terms of particle size and solven	t to raw material ratio	
	nents to Author: performance of extraction is determined by extraction duration, solvent to raw material ratio, and particle size success of an extraction is not only indicated by the yield, but also by the composition of the extract obtained. raction composition using Lab Scale Extractor and Pilot Scale Extractor exactly the same? e-up success is influenced by the validity of conducting experiments. Why do the values of constant extraction ons? These parameters should be supported by similar studies.	How can the authors ensure that the extract composition rate(kobs) fluctuate in terms of particle size and solvent	it to raw material ratio	





Elsevier

Reviewe

Chemical Engineering Science

Certificate of Reviewing

Awarded since July 2019 (2 reviews) presented to

MEGAWATI MEGAWATI

in recognition of the review contributed to the journal

The Editors of Chemical Engineering Science