

ISBN 979-978-8559-99-0



THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE TEACHING OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE IN INDONESIA &
ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT - UNIVERSITY OF PGRI ADI BUANA SURABAYA



International
Conference
2016

8 - 10
September 2016

University of PGRI Adi Buana Surabaya
Indonesia

PROCEEDINGS

“Creativity and Innovation in
Language Materials Development and
Language Teaching Methodology
in Asia and Beyond”

BOOK 2

ISBN 979-979-8559-99-0



THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE TEACHING OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE IN INDONESIA &
ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT - UNIVERSITY OF PGRI ADI BUANA SURABAYA



International
Conference
2016

8 - 10
September 2016

University of PGRI Adi Buana Surabaya
Indonesia

PROCEEDINGS

“Creativity and Innovation in
Language Materials Development and
Language Teaching Methodology
in Asia and Beyond”

FOREWORD

Today's language teaching needs creative and innovative ways to design and use language materials that learners can exploit to expand their language repertoire and develop their language ability as they engage with a myriad of texts and activities or tasks inside and outside the classroom. With this in mind, the 63rd TEFLIN Conference brings up a central issue on "*Creativity and Innovation in Language Materials Development and Language Teaching Methodology in Asia and Beyond*." Drawing on this central theme, the conference develops several sub-themes: a) the development of language materials for content-based instruction, b) the development of language materials for text-based instruction, c) the development of language materials for genre-based Instruction, d) approaches and methods in language teaching, e) techniques and procedures in language teaching, d) technology-based language instruction, f) the role of technology in innovation in ELT methodology, g) the role of technology in language materials development, h) creative writing in ELT (Literature-Based Language Instruction).

As an academic forum, the great focus is in its proceedings as the center of publication for its presenters. It is aimed at maximizing the value of the publication as the outcome of the conference. It is intended that the proceedings can be as a global publication. The quality of the proceedings as a means of publication in this year's edition should be improved. The committee has applied the system for abstract selection of which the criteria is the consistency with the conference's theme. Reviewers have the right to select the papers based on the abstract that have been submitted to the committee.

The coverage of the sub-themes for this year's conference is broadened to certain areas. Bear in mind that the main and solely theme of materials development for language teaching and methodology is not limited to certain topics. To cope with the central theme, some additional sub-themes are offered to the conference's audience to write their research findings into expected academic paper. This year's papers which are selected to be presented in the conference reach more or less than 422. There are more than 400 papers which will be published in proceedings. Other opportunities of publication are offered by TEFLIN Journal as well. For next year's edition, two papers have been selected out of the submitted papers. To add the chance of the conference's publication, some papers have been selected to be further reviewed for Scopus-Indexed publication. In addition, from papers are accepted by A Journal of Culture, English Language Teaching and Literature (Celt), Soegijapranata Catholic University Semarang to be published in the year of 2017.

The growing number of papers presented in the conference is indicating an increase in the need for publication of research findings. Therefore, TEFLIN's conference proceedings are entrusted to be an academic forum to share thoughts, reflections, experiences related to academic works for teachers, lecturers, researchers, educators who continuously write, present, and publish their academic works.

Finally, we would like deliver great appreciation to the organizers, presenters, writers, and all parties who have been contributing directly and indirectly to the publication of the proceedings.

Surabaya, September 2016

The Committee

LIST OF INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

INTERNAL REVIEWERS

1. Endang Mastuti Rahayu (Adi Buana University)
2. Ferra Dian Andanty (Adi Buana University)
3. Nunung Nurjati (Adi Buana University)
4. Dyah Rochmawati (Adi Buana University)
5. Wahyu Bandjarjani (Adi Buana University)
6. Siyaswati (Adi Buana University)
7. Salim Nabhan (Adi Buana University)
8. Irfan Rifai (Adi Buana University)
9. Hertiki (Adi Buana University)
10. Fajar Susanto (Adi Buana University)
11. Nukmatus Syahria (Adi Buana University)
12. Joesasono Oediarti (Adi Buana University)
13. Lambang Erwanto Suyyajid (Adi Buana University)
14. Rikat Eka Prasetyawan (Adi Buana University)
15. Rahmad Hidayat (Adi Buana University)
16. Titah Kinasih (Adi Buana University)
17. Endah Yulia Rahayu (Adi Buana University)
18. Maslakhatin (Adi Buana University)

EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

1. Abdul Ghani Abu (University Pendidikan Sultan Idris Malaysia)
2. Mohamad Razak Abdul Karim (Open University Malaysia)
3. Aslam Khan Bin Samahs Khan (Institute of Teacher Education International Languages Campus Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)
4. Noriah Talib (Institute of Teacher Education International Languages Campus Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)
5. Fazlinah Binti Said (Institute of Teacher Education International Languages Campus Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)
6. Rozanna Noraini Amiruddin Albakri (Institute of Teacher Education International Languages Campus Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)
7. Handoyo Puji Widodo (Shantou University, China)
8. Ahmad Idris Asmaradhani (Graduate School of English Education, IKIP Mataram, NTB)
9. Herri Mulyono (University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA)
10. Mukrim Thamrin (Tadulako University Palu)
11. E. Sadtono (Ma Chung University, Malang)
12. Gunadi Harry Sulistyono (Universitas Negeri Malang)
13. Suparmi (Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University, Malang)

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 14. Rina Sari | (Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University, Malang) |
| 15. Achmad Farid | (Universitas Pesantren Tinggi Darul Ulum Jombang) |
| 16. Veronica L Diptoadi | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 17. Anita Lie | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 18. Agustinus Ngadiman | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 19. Harto Pramono | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 20. Siti Mina Tamah | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 21. Ruruh Mindari | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 22. Luluk Prijambodo | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 23. Mateus Yumarnamto | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 24. Yohanes Nugroho Widiyanto | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 25. Agnes Santi Widiati | (Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala) |
| 26. Fabiola D Kurnia | (Universitas Negeri Surabaya) |
| 27. Flora Debora Floris | (Universitas Kristen Petra) |
| 28. Salimah | (Universitas Airlangga) |
| 29. Yerly A Datu | (Universitas Surabaya) |
| 30. Rida Wahyuningrum | (Universitas Wijaya Kusuma) |
| 31. Rica Sih Wuryaningrum | (Universitas Wijaya Kusuma) |

SETTING AND TYPESET

1. Irfan Rifai
2. Catherine Sitompul
3. Salim Nabhan
4. Hertiki
5. Maslakhatin
6. Aryo Wibowo
7. Samsul Khabib
8. Armelia Nungki Nurbani
9. Lutfi Prahara
10. Abdul Ghoni
11. Ratna D Wiranti
12. Desi Priskawati
13. Dinda Dwiki Prasista
14. Ahmad Azzam Ridhoi
15. M. Ndaru Purwaning Laduni
16. Triana Mey Linda

COVER

Tantra Sakre

LIST OF INVITED SPEAKERS

No.	Name	Affiliation
1	Prof. Lesley Harbon	University of Technology, Sydney
2	Dr. Lindsay Miller	City University of Hongkong
3	Christine C.M. Goh, PhD	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore)
4	William Little	Regional English Language Officer, US Embassy
5	Dr. Willy A Renandya	Nanyang Technological University, National Institute of Education, Singapore
6	Joseph Ernest Mambu, PhD	Satya Wacana Christian University, Salatiga, Indonesia
7	Made Hery Santosa, PhD	Ganesha University of Education, Bali, Indonesia

LIST OF FEATURED SPEAKERS

No.	Name	Affiliation
1.	Dr Chan Yue Weng	RELC
2.	Payupol Suthathothon	Thai TESOL
3.	Ted O'Neill	JALT
4.	Colm Downes	British Council
5.	Lai-Mei Leong	MELTA
6.	Nicholas Millward	CamTESOL
7.	Sothearak Norng	CamTESOL
8.	Brad Hughes	University of Technology Sydney
9.	Dr. Aurora Murphy	University of Technology Sydney
10.	Dr. Neil England	University of Technology Sydney
11.	David Akast	British Council
12.	Ann Eastlake	British Council
13.	Michael Little	British Council
14.	Itje Chodidjah	British Council
15.	Aslam Khan Bin Samahs Khan	Institute of Teacher Education International Languages Campus Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
16.	Zoe Kenny	IALF Surabaya, Indonesia
17.	Wendy George	Aliansi Lembaga Bahasa Asing



**UNIVERSITY PRESS
ADIBUANA SURABAYA**

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means: electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission from the writers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	ii
LIST OF INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS	iii
LIST OF INVITED SPEAKERS	v
LIST OF FEATURED SPEAKERS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
LINGUISTICS AND EFL TEACHING: UNDERSTANDING WHAT EFL TEACHERS CAN BENEFIT FROM LANGUAGE THEORIES	1
I Ketut Warta	1
ARE VOCATIONAL COLLEGE STUDENTS PRAGMATICALLY COMPETENT?; AN EMPIRICAL STUDY TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRAGMATIC-BASED ENGLISH LEARNING MODEL	6
I M. Rai Jaya Widanta	6
I W. DanaArdika	6
I N. Rajin Aryana	6
Luh N. Chandra Handayani	6
CONTINUOUS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT INNOVATIVE WAYS: WHAT NEW ENGLISH TEACHERS CAN DO FOR LEARNING?	11
I.G.A. Lokita Purnamika Utami	11
AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES IN 2013 CURRICULUM BASED TEXTBOOKS FOR JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS	20
Ida Isnawati	20
PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' USE OF READING STRATEGIES IN THEIR OWN READINGS AND FUTURE CLASSROOMS	28
Ida Puji Lestari	28
NEEDS ANALYSIS IN DEVELOPING ENGLISH TEACHING MATERIALS FOR KINDERGARTEN STUDENTS	34
Iin Inawati	34
MODERN EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY FOR THE 21ST CENTURY: ON THE APPLICATION OF TEACHING TOEIC FOR ENGINEERING STUDENTS	43
Ika Erawati	43
TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH STORYTELLING IN A SHADOWS PUPPETS (WAYANG KULIT) SHOW	52
Ika Ismurdyahwati	52
Suhari	52
Suparman	52
SIMULTANEOUS WAY AND SUCCESSIVE WAY IN TEACHING GRAMMAR	55
Ike Dian Puspitasari	55
THE EFFECT OF MIND MAPPING TECHNIQUE IN IMPROVING STUDENTS' WRITING REPORT TEXT	60
Ilham	60
M. Fauzi Bafadal	60

AN ANALYSIS THE TYPES OF TEACHER TALK AND STUDENTS TALK IN SOCIAL SCIENCE TEACHING PROCESS OF MADRASAH IBTIDA'YIAH INTERNATIONAL CLASS PROGRAM NURUL ULUM BOJONEGORO	68
Ima Isnaini Taufiqur Rohmah	68
THE INDONESIAN EFL LEARNERS' BELIEFS ABOUT LANGUAGE LEARNING AS THE PRELIMINARY STUDY FOR DESIGNING THE TEACHING STRATEGIES AND DEVELOPING TEACHING MATERIALS	76
Indah Fitriani	76
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HANDPHONE ANDROID AS A TEACHING MEDIA IN READING ACHIEVEMENT IN SMK FARMASI MAHARANI MALANG	84
Indrawati Pusparini	84
ERROR ANALYSIS BASED ACTION RESEARCH: INVESTIGATING THE EFL LEARNERS' WRITING	89
Irawansyah	89
INTEGRATING QUANTUM LEARNING FRAMEWORKS TO WRITING PROCESS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING	97
Irfan Masrur	97
THE EFFECT OF STUDENT TEAM ACHIEVEMENT DIVISION (STAD) TECHNIQUE IN ENHANCING READING COMPREHENSION ON EFL SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS	104
Irma Savitri Sadikin	104
MAKING EFL READERS BECOME 'HIGH RISK-TAKERS' IN WRITING READER RESPONSE JOURNALS: A THEORY-INTO-PRACTICE APPROACH TO TEACHING LITERATURE TO INDONESIAN COLLEGE STUDENTS	111
Iskhak	111
Mursid Saleh	111
Ahmad Sofwan	111
Rudi Hartono	111
NOTE TAKING: A POWER OF RESPECTING OTHERS	118
Isna Indriati	118
COOPERATIVE LEARNING TECHNIQUES IN GRAMMAR LEARNING	127
Issy Yuliasri	127
A CHALLENGE FOR ENGLISH LEARNERS AND TEACHERS: STUDENTS' ENGLISH VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE AND VOCABULARY LEARNING STRATEGIES	134
Istanti Hermagustiana	134
Anjar Dwi Astuti	134
DEVELOPING AND INTEGRATING PUBLIC SPEAKING MATERIAL WITH ISLAMIC VALUES FOR EFL IN INDONESIAN ISLAMIC HIGHER EDUCATION	141
Istiadah	141
Mira Shartika	141
Ulil Fitriyah	141
USING WEB-BLOG TO IMPROVE THE WRITING SKILLS OF THE STUDENTS OF SMKN KUDU	150
Itha Pujiarti	150
Ida Setyawati	150
METACOGNITIVE ORGANIC LANGUAGE APPROACH (MOLA): AN APPROACH TO TEACHING ADULT ESL STUDENTS IN A MULTILINGUAL CLASSROOM	156
Jesse C. Kus	156

IMPLEMENTING LOCAL WISDOM FOR SHAPING STUDENTS' MORAL IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING CLASS TO FACE ASEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY ERA	163
Joesasono Oediarti S.	163
MERITS OF EMPLOYING PAIR WORK STRATEGY IN EFL CLASSROOMS	170
Joni Alfino	170
M. Adnan Latief	170
Utami Widiati	170
DEVELOPING ESP MATERIALS BASED ON THE NATIONAL QUALIFICATION FRAMEWORK (KKND)	176
Joyce Merawati	176
Sri Dewiyanti	176
MANAGING SELF-ASSESSMENT STRATEGY	183
Junie Darmaningrum	183
DEVELOPING "TOOLS" BOARDGAME TO ENRICH STUDENTS' VOCABULARY FOR AUTOMOTIVE PROGRAM STUDENTS AT SMK N 12 MALANG	188
Kartika Ajeng Anggraeni.....	188
Mardhian Narwanto Putro	188
THE APPLICATION OF 4/3/2 TECHNIQUE IN INCREASING STUDENTS' SPEAKING ABILITY AT THE THIRD SEMESTER OF ENGLISH STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BENGKULU	195
Kasmaini	195
Riswanto.....	195
CREATING MEANINGFUL READING ACTIVITIES BY INTEGRATING COLLABORATIVE STRATEGIC READING (CSR) WITH MIND MIRROR ACTIVITY	201
Khadijah Maming.....	201
THE EFFECTS OF DIALOGUE JOURNAL WRITING (DJW) IN ENGAGING AND EMPOWERING WRITING SKILL	211
Khairunnisa Hatta	211
Amaluddin	211
ANALYSIS OF RHETORICAL MOVES OF JOURNAL ARTICLES AND ITS IMPLICATION TO THE TEACHING OF ACADEMIC WRITING	227
Kheryadi.....	227
Muchlas Suseno.....	227
USING FACEBOOK TO IMPROVE THE STUDENTS' MOTIVATION AND SKILL IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT AT BATANGHARI UNIVERSITY, JAMBI	237
Khidayatul Munawwaroh	237
DEVELOPING MI-BASED ENGLISH COURSE BOOK FOR THE STUDENTS OF SECONDARY LEVEL	243
Khoiriyah	243
GRAMMAR BOOKS IN AN ISLAMIC COLLEGE: IMPROPER CONTENTS HIGHLIGHTED	252
Khristianto.....	252
Bayu Adi Laksono.....	252
ADAPTING TOPIC-BASED ACTIVITIES FOR UNDERGRADUATE LEARNER	256
Kusumarasyati.....	256
STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS TOWARD THE USE OF EDMODO AS AN EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR LEARNING ENGLISH	261
Lailatul Kodriyah	261

UTILIZING L2 MOVIES WITH L2 SUBTITLES TO ATTAIN L2 LEARNERS' SPEAKING SKILL	267
Lasim Muzammil	267
Nur Mukminatien	267
Mohammad Adnan Latief	267
Yazid Basthomi	267
RECYCLING TRADITIONAL SONGS INTO PEDAGOGIC SONGS AS LISTENING- AND PROJECT-BASED MATERIALS FOR ENGLISH YOUNG LEARNERS	274
Leonora Saantje Tamaela	274
WRITING SHORT ESSAY BY USING LITERARY-BASED INSTRUCTION: H.C ANDERSEN'S THE LITTLE MATCH GIRL	279
Lestari Setyowati	279
Sony Sukmawan	279
PROJECT AND TECHNOLOGY USED AS THE BRIDGE TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' LANGUAGE SKILLS ABILITY	287
Lia Agustina	287
TEACHER'S CREATIVE STRATEGIES IN DEVELOPING STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILLS	292
Lia Novita	292
MULTICULTURAL ANALYSIS ON TEST OF ENGLISH FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATION (TOEIC) PREPARATION TEXTBOOKS DEVELOPED BY INDONESIAN AND NATIVE AUTHORS	297
Lies Amin Lestari	297
Luh Mas Ariyati	297
THE ILLOCUTIONARY ACTS IN UNDERSTANDING TOEIC SHORT CONVERSATIONS AND TALKS	304
Lilik Handayani	304
INTEGRATED TEACHING WRITING AND LITERATURE	312
Lina Mariana	312
Rika Riwayatiningih	312
TEACHING SPEAKING: DISCUSSION AS AN ACTIVITY TO PROMOTE SPEAKING	316
Lisa Septiany	316
STUDENT'S INTEREST TOWARD PEER FEEDBACK IN PARAGRAPH WRITING CLASS	320
Listiani	320
DESIGNING AN INTERACTIVE MEDIA FOR ADULT LEARNERS IN UNDERSTANDING PHRASAL VERBS FOR COMMUNICATION	325
Lusia Eni Puspandari	325
'WHAT'S NEXT?': A 'STORYLINE' APPROACH FOR OPTIMIZING STUDENTS' WRITING	334
Lulus Irawati	334
DESIGNING COMPUTER-BASED EXERCISES USING WEBLOG, HOT POTATOES SOFTWARE AND SKYPE MESSENGERS IN CREATING IDEAS TO FACILITATE INDEPENDENCE LEARNING OF READING COMPREHENSION FOR FOURTH SEMESTER ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT WIJAYA KUSUMA UNIVERSITY	338
Lusy Tunik Muharlisiani	338
Anang Kukuh Adisusilo	338
Supeno	338

SPEAKING TEACHING STRATEGIES: A CHOICE OF NEEDS	346
Lutfi Istikharoh	346
A MODEL OF RESEARCH PAPER WRITING INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS FOR ACADEMIC WRITING COURSE: NEEDS ANALYSIS & TEXTBOOK EVALUATION	351
M. Ali Ghufro	351
QUESTIONS IN CLASSROOM INTERACTIONS: TYPES, LEVELS, AND STRATEGIES USED BY TEACHERS IN TEACHING READING	363
M. Zaim	363
PROMOTING EFL STUDENTS' ABILITIES IN WRITING DEFINITION PARAGRAPH THROUGH BLOGGING ACTIVITIES	370
M. Zaini Miftah	370
DEVELOPING MOLUCCAN CULTURE MATERIALS BY USING SCIENTIFIC APPROACH	380
Mansye Sekewael	380
THE USE OF DUOLINGO TO IMPROVE THE STUDENTS' VOCABULARY	388
Maria Cholifah	388
BENEFITS OF INDONESIAN GAMES IN BOOSTING UNIVERSITY STUDENT' ENGLISH GRAMMAR COMPETENCE: A CASE STUDY	397
Maryani	397
EVALUATING DEVELOPED LANGUAGE TEACHING MATERIAL	406
Mayuasti	406
USING READING LOG TO START AN EFFECTIVE READING HABIT	412
Mega Wati	412
IMPLEMENTING ENGLISH IS A TEACHER HERE (ETH) STRATEGY TO ENHANCE SPEAKING SKILL FOR THE EARLY SEMESTER STUDENTS OF ENGLISH DEPARTMENT	420
Meiga Ratih Tirtanawati	420
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF READING ENGLISH NEWSPAPER TO IMPROVE THE STUDENTS VOCABULARIES IN SMA UNGGUL DEL	427
Meri Kristina Siallagan	427
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CLIL IN TEACHING VOCABULARY: A CASE STUDY	434
Michael Setiawan	434
BUILDING WRITING HABIT BY TELLING STORY ON DIARY	440
Miftahul Janah	440
SEMANTIC RELATION ANALYSIS FOR VOCABULARY ENRICHMENT IN EFL CLASSES	448
N. K. Mirahayuni	448
REFLECTION OF STUDENT-TEACHERS ON THEIR TEACHING PRACTICUM IN THE GRADUATE PROGRAM OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING, UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MALANG	454
Mirjam Anugerahwati	454

QUIZ-DEMONSTRATION-PRACTICE-REVISION (QDPR) IN TEACHING LONG AND REDUCED ENGLISH VOWELS TO INDONESIAN EFL LEARNERS	459
Moedjito	459
TEACHING CRITICAL THINKING THROUGH EXPOSITORY TEXT TO ENHANCE STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION	464
Mokh. Arif Bakhtiyar	464
GESTICULATED TEACHING READING IN EFL CLASSES	472
Muchlas Suseno	472
THE PROBLEMS AND STRATEGIES IN LEARNING LISTENING COMPREHENSION	478
Muhammad Lukman Syafii	478
CUE CARD AS MEDIA FOR TEACHING SPEAKING IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	486
Muhammad Saibani Wiyanto	486
THE EFFECT OF EFL LEARNER'S LANGUAGE ATTITUDE OF CODE SWITCHING AND LANGUAGE INTELLIGENCE ON VOCABULARY MASTERY	494
Mujiono	494
DEVELOPING TEACHING ENGLISH MODALITY MODEL BY APPLYING INTASC STANDARDS AT THE ENGLISH DEPARTMENT OF IKIP MATARAM	501
Muliani	501
Sofia Maurisa	501
Nurusshobah	501
THE INTEGRATIVE ENGLISH TEACHING AND LEARNING METHOD OF FIVE "R" FOR ESP LEARNERS	510
Nailul Fauziyah	510
EXTENSIVE READING FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN INDONESIA: A CALL FOR TEACHERS	517
Nastiti Primadyastuti	517
Nicko Putra Witjatmoko	517
THE APPLICATION OF METALINGUSTIC CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK TO ENHANCE THE UNSIKASTUDENTS' ABILITY TO ELIMINATE GRAMMATICAL ERRORS IN WRITING (A Case Study of Students at University of Singaperbangsa Karawang)	527
Nia Pujiawati	527
Yousef Bani Ahmad	527
TEACHING WITH AND WITHOUT SYLLABUS: A CASE OF ENGLISH INSTRUCTORS IN TEACHING TEST OF ENGLISH AS FOREIGN LANGUAGE PREPARATION COURSE	531
Nicko Putra Witjatmoko	531
Nastiti Primadyastuti	531
SPEAKING QUALITY IN ENGLISH AND LEARNING STRATEGIES OF STUDENTS IN PONDOK PESANTREN DARULHIJRAHMARTAPURA	538
Nida Mufidah	538
INTEGRATING ENGLISH INDEPENDENT STUDY IN PRONUNCIATION COURSE	547
Nina Inayati	547

DEVELOPING BUSINESS ENGLISH COURSE MATERIALS FOR THE STUDENTS OF MANAGEMENT	555
Nina Sofiana	555
DEVELOPING LISTENING MATERIALS ON MONOLOGUE TEXT FOR EIGHTH GRADERS	561
Nine Febrie Novitasari	561
DEVELOPING ENGLISH MATERIAL FOR ISLAMIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT	569
Ninik Suryatiningsih	569
PROMOTING SOCIAL MEDIA GROUP INTERACTION FOR STUDENTS' PRODUCTIVE SKILLS ENHANCEMENT	574
Ninit Krisdyawati	574
Nurfitriah	574
TEACHING ENGLISH LESSONS BY USING DRILLING ACTIVITIES IN AUDIO LINGUAL METHOD (ALM)	579
Nisa Mahbubah	579
DEVELOPING AUTHENTIC LANGUAGE MATERIALS BY UTILIZING THE LOCAL TOURISM RESOURCES	584
Noor Eka Chandra	584
LEARNING AND TEACHING ENGLISH USING QUIPPER SCHOOL FOR INDONESIAN LEARNERS	588
Novi Nur Lailisna	588
ENGLISH GRAMMATICAL ERRORS AMONGST THIRD GRADE STUDENTS IN KECAMATANBANJARAGUNGTULANGBAWANG LAMPUNG	593
Noviana Amelia	593
BLENDING CLASSROOM LEARNING AND DIGITAL LEARNING TO ACHIEVE OPTIMAL WRITING SKILL	599
Nur Alfa Rahmah	599
Afifah Linda Sari	599
THE SPEECH ACT USED BY THE MAJOR CHARACTER OF SHERLOCK TV SERIES "A STUDY IN PINK" (2010) AND ITS IMPLICATION IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING	605
Nur Fatimah	605
Dyah Rochmawati	605
BROADCASTING VIDEO PROJECT TO PROMOTE STUDENTS' MOTIVATION IN SPEAKING SKILL	611
NurdeviBte Abdul	611
THE INFLUENCED OF COOPERATIVE INTEGRATED READING AND COMPOSITION METHOD ON EFL STUDENTS TRANSLATION ABILITY	617
Nurdin Bramono	617

GENDER REPRESENTATION IN THE NINTH GRADE STUDENTS' ENGLISH TEXTBOOK THINK GLOBALLY ACT LOCALLY	625
Nurhayati	625
A BLENDED LEARNING: AN APPROACH TO ENHANCE COLLEGE LEARNERS' READING SKILLS	631
Nuriyatul Hamidah	631
MASSIVE MULTI-STUDENTS ONLINE LEARNING: STRATEGIC ONLINE LEARNING INSPIRED BY MASSIVE MULTI-PLAYER ONLINE ROLE GAME PLAY	639
Pandu Prasodjo	639
EXPANDING LANGUAGE LEARNING EXPERIENCES THROUGH THE USE OF MODERN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	644
Patrisius Istiarto Djiwandono	644
ENGLISH FOR JOB HUNTING: ENHANCING EFL STUDENTS' CAREER MARKETABILITY	649
Paulus Widiatmoko	649
DEVELOPING E-MODULE FOR ESP STUDENTS OF COMPUTER AND NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY	656
Pebrina Pirmani	656
Inayatil Izzah	656
TRAINING BEGINNER TEACHERS TO PROMOTE INTEGRATED APPROACH AND PERSONAL BELIEFS INTO LEARNING MATERIALS	662
Peggy Magdalena Jonathans	622
A RESPONSE TO STUDENTS' LOW SPEAKING SKILLS	670
Perwi Darmajanti	670
LEXICAL DENSITY AND NOMINAL GROUP OF STUDENTS' SKRIPSIS AND INTERNATIONAL JOURNALS AND THE IMPLICATION FOR TEACHING WRITING	677
Pila Depita A.	677
BOOSTING STUDENTS' SPEAKING ABILITY BY PROJECT-BASED LEARNING: ITS' EFFECT AND IMPLEMENTATION (A MIX METHOD RESEARCH)	685
Pryla Rochmahwati	685
Nurul Khasanah	685
INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN COOPERATIVE LEARNINGAS A MEDIUM FOR PROVIDING LEARNING EXPERIENCEAS MANDATED BY THE 2006 AND 2013 CURRICULUMS: THE CASE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL EFL CLASSROOMS	692
Puji Astuti	692
INCORPORATING ISLAMIC VALUES IN AN ENGLISH LEARNING MODULEOF ISLAMIC BOARDING SCHOOLSIN WEST NUSA TENGGARA	699
Puspita Dewi	699
Joko Priyana	699

DEVELOPING PROJECT-BASED LEARNING MATERIAL FOR TEACHING ENGLISH BASED ON CURRICULUM 2013	707
Putu Rusanti	707
PROJECT BASED LEARNING: STUDY ON VOCATIONAL ENGLISH TO TEACH ENGLISH FOR NON ACADEMICS	713
Rahmawati Khadijah Maro	713
ENGLISH FOR CULINARY MAJOR IN VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL: THE PROTOTYPE.....	720
Raisha Nur Anggraini	720
Kinanthi Widyadari Darmesta	720
Ardhi Eka Fadilah	720
CONDUCTING WINDOWS MOVIE MAKER AS A CALL (COMPUTER ASSISTED LANGUAGE LEARNING AID) IN TEACHING CREATIVE WRITING.....	730
Ratna Ayu P.K.D.....	730
JannatulLaily Novia Bahari	730
THE GAP BETWEEN THE ESP CLASSROOM WITH THE WORKPLACE NEEDS (THE CASE STUDY OF RESTAURANT SERVICES)	741
Ratnah	741
REASONS WHY LISTENING IN ENGLISH IS DIFFICULT: VOICE FROM FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNERS.....	748
Ratna Rintaningrum	748
INSTRUCTIONAL ROLE PLAY METHOD: AN ALTERNATIVE WAY IN TEACHING SPEAKING(A Research Project at Access Microscholarship Program in Ambon).....	754
Renata C. G. Vigeleyn Nikijuluw.....	754
Sultan G. S. Stover.....	754
USING ITEMAN TO ANALYZE MULTIPLE-CHOICE TEST ITEMS.....	762
Renata Kenanga Rinda	762
THE EFFECT OF BLENDED LEARNING IN TEACHING LISTENING VIEWED FROM STUDENTS' INTERESTS	772
Rengganis Siwi Amumpuni.....	772
PROMOTING INTERCULTURAL CITIZENSHIP IN EFL LISTENING MATERIALS THROUGH DIGITAL STORYTELLING.....	776
Reni Kusumaningputri	776
Dewianti Khazanah	780
Riskia Setiarini	780
DEVELOPING READING MATERIAL IN CLIL CONTEXTS: WAY TO EMPOWER STUDENTS' COMPETENCES IN EFL.....	785
Reny Windi Astuti	785
Tety Mariana.....	785
ESTABLISHING A WHATSAPP CONVERSATION: ONE OF INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING.....	790
Restu Mufanti.....	790
Andi Susilo.....	790
EFL LEARNERS' TRANSLATION COMPETENCE IN INDONESIAN-ENGLISH TRANSLATING CLASSROOM.....	797
Rida Wahyuningrum	797

DEVELOPING STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILL THROUGH TWO STAY TWO STRAY TECHNIQUE: PRE-EXPERIMENTAL STUDY	806
Rika Irawati	806
Wahyudi	806
STUDENTS' ESSAY WRITING STYLES OF ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM 2013 AT ADIBUANA UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA	814
Rikat Eka Prastyawan	814
THE INFLUENCE OF USING DOMINOES GAME ON STUDENT'S GRAMMAR ACHIEVEMENT AT JALAN JAWA JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL GRADE 8th	819
Rima Fitria Ningrum	819
Armelia Nungki Nurbani	819
STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS ON PLAGIARISM IN THEIR ACADEMIC WRITING: AN INDONESIAN CASE STUDY	829
Rina Agustina	829
Aulia Nisa Khusnia	829
Pambudi Raharjo	829
DEVELOPING ENGLISH TEXTBOOK FOR ISLAMIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT BASED ON GENRE-BASED APPROACH	835
Rina Sari	835
LINOIT APPLICATION: THE NEW WAY IN TEACHING STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION	841
Rini Estiyowati Ikaningrum	841
INTEGRATION OF POWOON AND PAIR WORK PROJECT IN ENHANCING ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILL	848
Ririn Ovilia	848
"ELT CURRICULUM AND TEXTBOOK ANALYSIS" AS A SUBJECT TO HELP STUDENTS IN DEVELOPING MATERIALS	856
RirinPusparini	856
Esti Kurniasih	856
DEVELOPING DIGITAL STORY TELLING THROUGH PROJECT BASED APPROACH	862
Risa Triassanti	862
STORYTELLING SENTENCE PRODUCTIONS OF EYL STUDENT TEACHERS: LANGUAGE TYPOLOGY BASED ON MOTION EVENTS	870
Riski Lestiono	870
THE APPLICATION OF PEER AND SELF ASSESMENT IN LISTENING AND SPEAKING CLASS (A PARTICIPATORY ACTION RESEARCH)	879
Rismar Riansih	879
BE STRENGTH OR WEAKNESS: TBLT THREE PHASE TECHNIQUE STAGES IN TEACHING LISTENING FOR TOEFL PREPARATION	888
Risqi Ekanti Ayuningtyas Palupi	888

TRADITIONAL GAMES IN TEACHING SPEAKING IN NON-ENGLISH DEPARTMENT CLASS	895
Riyatno	895
INTEGRATIVE MOTIVATION AFTER TUTORING PROGRAM: A CASE STUDY	904
Riza Weganofa	904
BENEFITING MORE OF PROJECT WORK IN A LARGE CLASS	907
Rohaniatul Makniyah	907
HAVE A LOOK AT LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGIES: A GOOD STEP FOR SUCCESSFUL ENGLISH AS FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING	916
Rohfin Andria Gestanti	916
INCORPORATING CRITICAL LITERACY THROUGH ONLINE INTERACTIVE READING JOURNAL	923
Rojab Siti Rodliyah	923
ASSESSING LEARNERS' PRAGMATIC COMPETENCE TO INTERPRET IMPLICATURES	927
Ronald Maraden Parlindungan Silalahi	927
DEVELOPING STUDENTS' LISTENING COMPREHENSION BY USING VIDEO MATERIAL	935
Rugaiyah	935
USING "BEFORE AND AFTER" CHART IN READING A NURSERY RHYME TO BUILD THE COMPREHENSION SKILLS OF EARLY YEAR STUDENTS	942
Rully Fitria Handayani	942
DEVELOPING TEACHING MATERIALS FOR ENGLISH ELEMENTARY TEACHERS	948
Veronica L. Diptoadi	948
Ruruh Mindari	948
Hendra Tedjasuksmana	948

INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN COOPERATIVE LEARNING AS A MEDIUM FOR PROVIDING LEARNING EXPERIENCE AS MANDATED BY THE 2006 AND 2013 CURRICULUMS: THE CASE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL EFL CLASSROOMS

Puji Astuti

puji.astuti.ssu@gmail.com

State University of Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Cooperative learning (CL) is a mandated teaching method in Indonesian schools. Nevertheless, little is known about how this teaching method is implemented and how it promotes learning. Through qualitative research methodology, this study investigated how individual accountability as one of CL principles and activities was enacted in secondary school classrooms and how it enhanced EFL learning. Data were gathered through three data collection strategies and analysed using constructivist grounded theory (Charmaz, 2014). Seven roles of individual accountability in CL were identified and one of them was reported in this paper. Through their performance of individual accountability in CL, the EFL learners gained learning experience as mandated by the curriculum implemented in their school. By performing their individual accountability in CL, i.e., communicating what was learned, the EFL learners in the middle school carried out the communicating phase, which was one of the five phases prescribed by the 2013 curriculum's scientific approach. In the case of the high school's EFL learners, through their individual accountability performance, they elaborated on what they learned. This activity was a manifestation of the elaboration phase mandated by the 2006 curriculum. This study recommends the inclusion of what constitutes CL in the Process Standard of Primary and Secondary Education.

Keywords: *individual accountability, cooperative learning, EFL*

INTRODUCTION

Generally speaking, this study was concerned with the use of cooperative learning (CL) in English as a foreign language (EFL) classrooms and how it benefited EFL learners. When this study's data collection was conducted (i.e., during the second semester of the 2014/2015 academic year) in Semarang Central Java, the 2016 and the 2013 curriculums were being implemented, with the latter under revision. The 2013 curriculum was being implemented in schools appointed as try-out for the curriculum, or in schools that have implemented the curriculum for three semesters (i.e., from the 2013/2014 academic year). The 2006 curriculum was being implemented in the schools that implemented the 2013 curriculum only for one semester (i.e., in the first semester of 2014/2015 academic year).

Document analysis carried out throughout this study showed that the 2006 and 2013 curriculums adopted competency-based curriculum and employed genre-based approach as one of the ways to help learners achieve the goal of their English learning. The goal of English instruction in Indonesian secondary schools has been to develop learners' communicative competence. According to Agustien (2015), when this is the goal, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is also one of the approaches that guide the instruction. Richards (2001) underlines CL as one of the teaching methods under CLT.

In this study, CL is defined as a group learning activity in which individual students' contribution to the learning is realized through performance or presentation, which is beneficial not only for their own learning but also for their peers' learning. Literature demonstrates that CL increases EFL learners' achievement (e.g., Bejarano, 1987; Ghaith, 2003; Liang, 2002; Sachs, Candlin, & Rose, 2003). However, little research has been done on how CL works and enhances EFL learning. Literature also suggests that CL works when its principles are enacted (Chen, 2011; Johnson & Johnson, 1999; Olsen & Kagan, 1992; Slavin, 1996, Slavin, 1999). Nevertheless, research on CL principles is scant. This study focused on one of CL principles (i.e., individual accountability) and explored how this principle enhanced EFL learning.

Individual accountability in CL, in this study, is defined as an activity (performance or presentation) that individual students do in front of their peers, which means that it is public or witnessed by other students, and is required to complete a learning task. Four levels of individual accountability in CL were identified: 1) individual accountability in pairs, 2) in home groups, 3) in other groups, and 4) to the whole class.

This study sought to answer the following question: What is the role of individual accountability in CL in Indonesian secondary school EFL classrooms? In this paper, I present one of the seven identified roles of individual accountability in CL in the studied EFL classrooms, and I argue that through their performances of individual accountability in CL the EFL learners gained learning experience as mandated by the 2006 and 2013 curriculums.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

I used two theories as my theoretical frameworks. The first one is Cultural-Historical Activity Theory or CHAT (Engeström, 2000; Leont'ev, 1978; Jonassen & Rohrer-Murphy, 1999; Yamagata-Lynch, 2003, Yamagata-Lynch, 2007, Yamagata-Lynch, 2010). The second one is Interaction Hypothesis (Long, 1996) that encompasses the concepts of comprehensible input, comprehensible output, interaction, and negotiation for meaning. Through its concepts of activity system and its components (subjects, tools, object/goal, rules, community, and division of labor), CHAT was used to make sense of how individual accountability as an activity in CL serves as a medium of conscious learning in Indonesian secondary school EFL classrooms. The activity systems analysed were the implementation of CL the middle school and the high school EFL classrooms. Interaction Hypothesis was utilized to understand how individual accountability in CL promotes second language acquisition and development. In combination, the two theories were employed to understand the role of individual accountability in CL in enhancing EFL learning in the studied classrooms.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To address the research question, I employed qualitative research methodology, with the purpose of exploring the role of individual accountability in CL in enhancing EFL learning. To meet this purpose, I used qualitative case study because I needed a case or cases as specific illustration of the enactment of individual accountability in CL in EFL classrooms. This qualitative case study is categorized as multi-case study because two cases were involved and they were also the study's units of analysis: 1) individual accountability in CL in middle school EFL classroom, and 2) individual accountability in CL in high school EFL classroom. Data were gathered through three data collection strategies: 1) participant observations, 2) in-depth interviewing, and 3) document analysis. To guide data collection and analysis, I used constructivist grounded theory (Charmaz, 2014). As indicated earlier, the study took place in two secondary schools: one middle school and one high school. One teacher from each school was involved, respectively: Andini and Putri (pseudonyms). Since students were the subjects in the activity systems (i.e., the doers of individual accountability in CL), students of the two teachers were also involved as research participants, especially those whose class were observed. From these students, I recruited four focal students for the in-depth interviewing. The middle school implemented the 2013 curriculum and the high school implemented the 2006 curriculum.

FINDING

Through their performances of individual accountability in CL in their classrooms, the EFL learners gained learning experience as mandated by the curriculum implemented in their school. The relation between the subjects (i.e., the EFL learners who were the doers of individual accountability) and the rules applied in the activity systems helped me to identify this role. Rules refer to any formal and informal regulations that in varying degree can constrain or liberate the activity and provide guidance to the subject of what are correct procedures and acceptable interactions to take with other community members (Engeström, 1993). Among the rules analysed were the formal regulations or constructs, i.e., the curriculums that set the target competencies and learning objectives/goals, the lesson plans, and the official documents

(relevant Decrees of the Minister of Education and Culture). These rules guided how learning processes should take place to help the students attain their learning objectives/goals.

Case One: Middle school

As stated in the Process Standard for Primary and Secondary Education (National Education Standard Board, 2013a), the learning experience mandated by the 2013 curriculum was learning experience under the scientific approach. This approach was aimed at enacting a number of learning concepts used to develop the curriculum that reflect a paradigm shift in learning valued by Indonesian education system. The learning concepts include: "from teacher-centered to student-centered learning," "from passive to active learning" and "from individual learning to learning in groups" (National Education Standard Board, 2013ba, p. 2). References suggest (e.g., Cohen, 1994; Keyser, 2000; Richards, 2002; Sharan, 2002) that the aforementioned learning concepts are some of the underlying concepts of CL.

The Process Standard for Primary and Secondary Education (National Education Standard Board, 2013a) specified three stages of learning in a lesson: opening, main, and closing. The 2013 curriculum dictated that five learning phases should take place at the main stage of a lesson: 1) observing, 2) questioning, 3) exploring, 4) associating, and 5) communicating (Ministry of Education and Culture, 2014). The communicating phase, in Andini's observed lessons, was realized through individual accountability performance in CL. More specifically, Andini's students communicated their understanding or mastery of the target texts to their peers through their individual accountability performance in CL (Field Notes, 20150331, 20150401, 20150404, 20150406, and 20150413). Additionally, Andini's students performed their individual accountability as one of the learning activities at the opening stage of their lesson (Field Notes, 20150406). The following paragraphs will showcase how, through their individual accountability performance in CL, the student participants in the middle school gain learning experience as mandated by the 2013 curriculum.

In two of the five observed lessons, the student participants in the middle school learned from notices (the day's target text). Working in pairs and through a CL structure named Think-Pair-Share (see Kagan&Kagan, 2009), each student participant had a notice with them and was asked to think about the answers to these three questions: 1) What does the notice mean? 2) What should we do? 3) Where can you find the notice? After the Think phase, the student participants presented their answers to their partner (i.e., individual accountability in pairs). This is the Pair phase. Next, with the help of the previous presentation and feedback from their partner, the student participants presented their answers again to the whole class (i.e., the Share phase, or individual accountability to the whole class) (Field Notes, 20150331, 20150404). In short, by performing two levels of individual accountability in Think-Pair-Share, the student participants communicated their understanding of the assigned notice. Through their individual accountability performance in CL, these EFL learners experienced the communicating phase of the mandated scientific approach.

In the other observed lesson, the middle school students learned about narratives, more specifically fables, through a CL structure named Numbered Heads Together (see Kagan&Kagan, 2009). Their performance of individual accountability through this structure was to communicate their comprehension of a fable they read, entitled *Mousedeer and Crocodile* (Lesson Plan, 20150413). A few steps of Numbered Heads Together, however, were missed by Andini. How she used the structure is as the following.

The students sat in their group. They were then given a number as a label (i.e., one, two, three, four, or five) and listened to Andini's comprehension questions. After she finished reading one question (e.g. what did the mousedeer want to do?), Andini asked students with a certain number to quickly raise their hand and the quickest student was given the chance to answer the question to the whole class (Field Notes, 20150413). This was an individual accountability to the whole class. It took place in the main stage of the lesson for the students to communicate their understanding of the text they read.

The English teacher's book for grade eight (Ministry of Education and Culture, 2014) describes that the communicating phase of the scientific approach is for developing the students' ability of presenting the knowledge and skills, either in spoken or written mode, that they have mastered or have yet to develop. Andini explained that since the target language skill

for all of the observed lessons was speaking, the communicating phase her students did through the individual accountability in CL was for them to speak in English (First Interview, 20150406). This indicated that her students' individual accountability performances in CL were to give them learning activities relevant to the target language skill and functioned a medium for them to gain the learning experience as mandated by the curriculum. This also showed how Andini as the teacher played a role as one of the tools in the activity systems. The learning activities Andini designed and facilitated followed what was mandated by the curriculum and they were aimed at covering one of the competencies set by the curriculum for EFL instruction, i.e. the students' mastery of the language skills to communicate the target texts they learned (the Content Standard, National Education Standard Board, 2013b).

In sum, the communicating phase was mandated by the 2013 curriculum to take place at the main stage of the lesson. Through their performances of individual accountability in CL, the student participants in the middle school experienced the communicating phase in the main stage of their lesson. Through their individual accountability performances in CL, these students gained the learning experience set by the 2013 curriculum.

Case Two: High School

Through their performance of individual accountability in CL, the student participants in the high school (tenth graders) experienced the elaboration phase of learning, which was mandated by the Process Standard of Primary and Secondary Education for the 2006 curriculum (National Education Standard Board, 2007). This Standard consisted of the guidelines for the planning and the process of teaching and learning. Similar to the Process Standard for the 2013 curriculum, the Process Standard for the 2006 curriculum prescribed three stages of learning, including: the opening, the main, and the closing. The Standard dictated three phases of learning: exploration, elaboration, and confirmation that should take place in the main stage. The Standard also stated that CL was one of the teaching methods that teachers should use in the elaboration phase. The paragraphs that follow will showcase how through their performance of individual accountability in CL the student participants in the high school gained learning experience as mandated by the 2006 curriculum. They will also discuss how the formal constructs (the relevant Decrees of the Minister of Education and Culture) aligned with individual accountability in CL in terms of giving the students the learning experience that these constructs set.

In the first observed lesson, the students learned about short news items (the day's target text) through Numbered Heads Together. Like how it went in Andini's class, a few steps were missed in Putri's use of this CL structure. Her students sat in groups of four or five and worked on an exercise sheet containing a short news item with 12 blanks in it. As Putri was reading the complete news item aloud, her students individually filled in the blanks. Each student in the group was then given a number (i.e., one, two, three, four, five or six). One number was called out and assigned a blank to fill in. The students having the corresponding number raised their hand quickly and the quickest got the chance to write their answer on the white board. This was their individual accountability to the whole class (Field Notes, 20150318). In short, in this lesson, individual accountability in CL was used to facilitate the students in presenting the result of their individual work to the whole class. This activity was a manifestation of the elaboration phase, which was mandated by the Process Standard to take place in the main stage of a lesson.

In the same lesson, through One Stray (see Kagan & Kagan, 2009), the student participants performed their individual accountability in the other groups and again to the whole class. Putri used the CL structure to elicit words related to news items. Working in the same groups as they did in Numbered Heads Together, Putri's students listed down as many news item-related words as possible. Next, one member from each group was asked to stay in their group while the rest visited the other groups. Meeting the other groups' members and sitting with them, the student participants shared their word list (i.e., individual accountability in other groups). When requested by their peers, they also explained the meaning of the words. Then, everybody went back to their home group and added words to their list of news item-related vocabulary. After that, one representative from each group came to the white board to write their words (i.e., individual accountability to the whole class) (Field Notes, 20150318). Hence, in this lesson, individual accountability in CL was used to facilitate the students' presentations

of their group's work, and this activity allowed the students to gain learning experiences related to the mandated Process Standard. More specifically, they elaborated on the learning materials as part of the lesson's main stage of learning.

Still in the same lesson, the high school students carried out another performance of individual accountability to the whole class. Through Team Jigsaw (see Kagan&Kagan, 2009), Putri got her students to explore the aspects of a news item. Each group was given one aspect: group one got the definition, group two the social function/purpose, group three the generic structure/organization, and group four the language features. They were then asked to discuss the assigned part. Afterwards, one student from each group presented to the whole class the result of their discussion (i.e., individual accountability to the whole class) (Field Notes, 20150318). There were also a few steps missed in Putri's use of Team Jigsaw. As in Putri's use of One Stray, individual accountability in Team Jigsaw was for the students to present the group's work, and this elaboration activity was mandated by the Process Standard to take place in the main stage of a lesson.

As described above, the use of individual accountability in CL in the observed lesson was for the student participants in the high school to present or elaborate on the learning materials, i.e., news items, including relevant vocabulary and the aspects/features of the text to their peers (Field Notes, 20150318, 20150401). Putri asserted that her focus in her teaching was for her tenth graders to master the knowledge of news items, especially because this text genre was new for them. Her students' mastery of this text genre was realized through their presentations of their own and their group's work (Third Interview, 20150404). These presentations, carried out through performances of individual accountability in CL, were the forms of elaboration activities mandated by the Process Standard. This identified role of individual accountability in CL, as in the case of Andini, showed Putri's role as one of the tools in the activity systems. She designed and facilitated her students' learning in activities that followed what was mandated by the curriculum while at the same time aimed at covering one of the competencies set by the curriculum for EFL instruction, i.e. the students' mastery of the knowledge of the target texts (the Content Standard, National Education Standard Board, 2006).

All in all, individual accountability in CL in the secondary school EFL classrooms played a role as a medium for the students to gain learning experience as mandated by the curriculum implemented in their respective school. The Process Standards of Primary and Secondary Education and the other Decrees of the Minister of Education and Culture applied in the two schools were the rules that provided guidance for the teachers in designing and carrying out their lessons. This guidance included the incorporation of CL and where in the lesson their students should communicate or elaborate on what they had learned, i.e., performing their individual accountability. This analysis also showed that in their effort to comply with the Process Standard (i.e., with regard to how learning should take place), the teacher participants also aimed at covering the competencies mandated by the Content Standard. From a CHAT lens, this shows that the identified role of individual accountability in CL emerged not only because of the interconnectedness between the subjects and the rules but also because of the internal relationships between these two components and the tools in the activity systems. The teacher participants were among the tools in the activity systems because they were the implementer of the curriculum, the designer of the lessons, and the implementer of CL in their EFL classrooms.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Despite the fact that CLT is enshrined in EFL curriculum in most educational contexts, research on how it is actually used is scarce, not only in the literature of EFL instruction in Indonesian contexts but also that of broader Asia Pacific countries (Nunan, 2003). CLT been adopted for EFL instruction in Indonesia for more than three decades (Lie, 2007) and still remains in place today (Agustien, 2015). However, similar with EFL instruction in other Asia Pacific countries, there is a huge gap between ministerial rhetoric and classroom reality (Nunan, 2003). Indonesian EFL teachers are weak in teaching methods and do not have a strong enough teaching repertoire (Alwasilah, 2012/2013) as evidenced by their emphasis on rote learning (Alwasilah, 2013).

The identified role of individual accountability in CL discussed in this paper provided evidence of how through their individual accountability performance, EFL learners involved in this study used the target language, either in spoken or written mode, to communicate or elaborate on their understanding and mastery of the learning materials to their peers. This is a learning activity mandated by the curriculum implemented in their school. This identified role of individual accountability in CL also demonstrates how CLT's underlying concept was put in practice, i.e., language learners learn the target language through using it to communicate with their peers (Larsen-Freeman, 2012; Richards, 2002). In other words, this study offers a description of CLT was in use and how CL, through its individual accountability, could accommodate the application of CLT in EFL instruction.

While this study's document analysis (particularly on the Content Standards) demonstrates that genre-based approach was adopted in the EFL instruction, data gathered from other data collection strategies do not show the manifestation of this approach in the teacher participants' instruction. As the previous section has shown, the two teacher participants' instruction followed what was mandated by the Process Standard of the curriculum in their respective school. While the teacher participants employed CL in their instructions, the gathered data did not indicate that their CL implementation was due to their understanding of the connection between CLT and CL. This appears to indicate that the teacher participants need to develop their understanding of approaches to language instruction relevant with the goal of their EFL instruction, i.e., to develop their students' communicative competence.

It is beyond this study's data can tell but based onmy observation (including teaching Curriculum and Materials Development courses to EFL teacher candidates when the 2006 curriculum was implemented in all schools), I believe that CL can accommodate the four learning stages of genre-based approach: 1) building knowledge of the field, 2) modelling of the text, 3) join construction of the text, and 4) independent construction of the text. In other words, I believe, as how CL could provide the EFL learners learning experience as mandated by the 2006 and 2013 curriculums and how CL helped to put CLT in practice, CL can also accommodate the use of genre-based approach. CL can be used at any stage of the four learning stages. Hence, I recommend TEFLIN to provide our English teachers with comprehensive practical guidelines that encompass the underlying concepts of the adopted approach(s), including relevant teaching methods such as CL, which can help our English teachers to actualize the approach(s) and facilitate their students' EFL learning. Additionally, as the previous section has indicated, there were a few steps missed in some of the uses of CL structures in the studied classrooms, which had consequences for the EFL learners' interaction with their peers (not discussed in this paper due to the space limit). Hence, since it is a mandated teaching method, I also recommend the inclusion of what constitutes CL in the Process Standard of Primary and Secondary Education, including the variety of CL approaches, CL principles, and samples of CL structures along with their functions and procedures. With this inclusion, it is expected that teachers will implement CL more effectively. As for English teachers, the practical guidelines from TEFLIN and the Process Standard should guide them to better help their students acquire and learn the target language, and in turn achieve the goal of their EFL learning: increase in communicative competence.

REFERENCES

- Agustien, Helena I.R. (2015). By definition semua ELT [Facebook comment]. Retrieved January 24, 2016, from <https://www.facebook.com/groups/626766864032969/search/?query=communicative>
- ALWASILAH, A. C. (2012, MAY 19). REDESIGNING THE CURRICULUM FOR ENGLISH TEACHERS. *THE JAKARTA POST*. RETRIEVED FROM
- ALWASILAH, A. C. (2013, SEPTEMBER 21). IMPROVING TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGES. *THE JAKARTA POST*. RETRIEVED FROM [HTTP://WWW.THEJAKARTAPOST.COM/NEWS/2013/09/21/IMPROVING-TEACHER-TRAINING-COLLEGES.HTML](http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2013/09/21/improving-teacher-training-colleges.html)
- Bejarano, Y. (1987). A cooperative small-group methodology in the language classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 21, 483-504.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Chen, H. (2011). Structuring cooperative learning in teaching English pronunciation. *English Language Teaching*, 4(3), 26-32.

- Cohen, E. G. (1994). Restructuring the classroom: Conditions for productive small groups. *Review of Educational Research*, 64(1), 1-35. DOI: 10.3102/00346543064001001
- Engeström, Y. (1993). Developmental studies of work as a testbench of activity theory: The case of primary care medical practice. In Chaiklin, S. and Lave, J. (Eds.) *Understanding practice: Perspectives on activity and context*, 64-103. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Engeström, Y. (2000). Activity theory as a framework for analyzing and redesigning work. *Ergonomics*, 43(7), 960-974.
- Ghaith, G. (2003). Effects of the learning together model of cooperative learning on English as a foreign language reading achievement, academic self-esteem, and feelings of school alienation. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 27, 451-474.
- Johnson, D. W. & Johnson, R. T. (1999). Making cooperative learning work. *Theory into Practice*, 38(2), 67-73.
- Jonassen, D. H., & Rohrer-Murphy, L. (1999). Activity theory as a framework for designing constructivist learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(1), 61-79.
- Kagan, S., & Kagan, M. (2009). *Kagan cooperative learning*. San Clemente, CA: Kagan Publishing.
- Keyser, M. W. (2000). Active learning and cooperative learning: understanding the difference and using both styles effectively. *Research Strategies*, 17(1), 35-44.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2012). From unity to diversity: twenty-five years of language-teaching methodology. In *English Teaching Forum* (Vol. 50, No. 2, pp. 28-38). US Department of State, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Office of English Language Programs, SA-5, 2200 C Street NW 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20037.
- Leont'ev, A.N. (1978). *Activity, consciousness, and personality*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Liang, T. (2002). Implementing cooperative learning in EFL teaching: Process and effects (Unpublished master's thesis). National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Lie, A. (2007). Education policy and EFL curriculum in Indonesia: Between the commitment to competence and the quest for higher score. *TEFLIN Journal*, 18(1), 1-14.
- Long, M. H. (1996). The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition. *Handbook of second language acquisition*, 2, 413-468.
- Ministry of Education and Culture. (2014). *English teacher's book: When English rings a bell for middle schools eight graders*. Jakarta: Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- National Education Standard Board. (2006). *The Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 22 Year 2006 on the Content Standard for Primary and Secondary Education*. Jakarta: BNSP.
- National Education Standard Board. (2007). *The Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 41 Year 2007 on the Process Standard for Primary and Secondary Education*. Jakarta: BNSP.
- National Education Standard Board. (2013a). *The Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 65 Year 2013 on the Process Standard for Primary and Secondary Education*. Jakarta: BNSP.
- National Education Standard Board (2013b). *The Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 64 Year 2013 on Content Standard for Primary and Secondary Education*. Jakarta: BNSP.
- Nunan, D. (2003). The impact of English as a global language on educational policies and practices in the Asia-Pacific region. *TESOL Quarterly*, 37, 589-613.
- Olsen, R. E. & Kagan, S. (1992). About cooperative learning. In Kessler, C. (Ed.), *Cooperative language learning* (pp. 1-30). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Richards, J. C. (2002). 30 Years of TEFL/TESL: A personal reflection. *RELC Journal*, 33(1), 1-35.
- Sachs, G. T., Candlin, C. N., & Rose, K. R. (2003). Developing cooperative learning in the EFL/ESL secondary classroom. *RELC Journal*, 34, 338-369.
- Sharan, S. (2002). Differentiating methods of cooperative learning in research and practice. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 22(1), 106-116.
- Slavin, R. E. (1996). Research on cooperative learning and achievement: What we know, what we need to know. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 21(1), 43-69.
- Slavin, R. E. (1999). Comprehensive approaches to cooperative learning. *Theory into practice*, 38(2), 74-79.
- Yamagata-Lynch, L. C. (2003). Using activity theory as an analytical lens for examining technology professional development in schools. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 10(2), 100-119. DOI: 10.1207/S1532-7884MCA1002_2
- Yamagata-Lynch, L. C. (2007). Confronting Analytical Dilemmas for Understanding Complex Human Interactions in Design-Based Research from a Cultural-Historical Activity Theory (CHAT) Framework. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 16, 451-484.
- Yamagata-Lynch, L. C. (2010). Understanding cultural historical activity theory. In *Activity Systems Analysis Methods* (pp. 13-26). New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company.